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#### Abstract

For certain cryptography applications, including identity based encryption schemes [1] and short signatures [2], it is important to have simple abelian varieties with security parameters that are neither too small nor too large. Simple supersingular abelian varieties are natural candidates for these applications. This paper gives improvements on the upper bounds of Galbraith [6] for the security parameters of simple supersingular abelian varieties, and constructs several families of curves whose jacobians achieve these upper bounds.


## 1. Introduction

Abelian varieties are higher-dimensional generalizations of elliptic curves (elliptic curves are the one-dimensional abelian varieties). Supersingular abelian varieties are a very special class of abelian varieties. Supersingular abelian varieties are bad for some purposes $[9,5,4]$. However, for some recent interesting cryptographic applications [11, 7, 1, 2, 14, 6], supersingular abelian varieties turn out to be very good. This paper gives families of examples of the "best" supersingular abelian varieties to use in these cryptographic applications, and gives strong upper bounds on how good supersingular abelian varieties can be for use in cryptography.

The group of points on an abelian variety over a finite field can be used in cryptography in the same way one uses the multiplicative group of a finite field. The security of the system relies on the difficulty of the discrete logarithm problem (DLP) in the group of points. One of the advantages of using the group $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ of an abelian variety in place of $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}$is that there is no known subexponential algorithm for computing discrete logarithms on general abelian varieties.

One of the attacks on the DLP in $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ is to map $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ (or the relevant large cyclic subgroup of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ ) into a multiplicative group $\mathbf{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times}$, using the
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Weil or Tate pairing $[9,5,4]$. If this can be done for some small $k$, then the subexponential algorithm for the DLP in $\mathbf{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times}$can be used to solve the DLP in $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$. Thus, to have high security, $\# A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ should be divisible by a large prime which does not divide $\#\left(\mathbf{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times}\right)=q^{k}-1$ for any very small values of $k$.

On the other hand, for certain cryptographic applications which make use of the Weil or Tate pairing $[11,7,1,2,14,6]$, it is important that $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ (or the relevant large cyclic subgroup of $\left.A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)\right)$ can be mapped into $\mathbf{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times}$with $k$ not too large, in order to compute the pairing efficiently. For these applications it is of interest to produce families of abelian varieties for which this "security multiplier" $k$ (see $\S 6$ ) is not too large, but not too small. It is known how to produce families of elliptic curves with security multiplier up to 6 , namely supersingular elliptic curves. However, it seems to be difficult to systematically produce elliptic curves with security multiplier larger than 6 but not enormous. To obtain security multipliers that are not too large but not too small, it is natural to consider supersingular abelian varieties.

In [6], Galbraith defined a certain function $k(g)$ and showed that if $A$ is a supersingular abelian variety of dimension $g$ over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q}$, then there exists an integer $k \leq k(g)$ such that the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ divides $q^{k}-1$. For example, $k(1)=6, k(2)=12, k(3)=30, k(4)=60, k(5)=120$, and $k(6)=210$.

Note that, since cryptographic security is based on the cyclic subgroups of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$, for purposes of cryptology it is only necessary to consider simple abelian varieties, i.e., abelian varieties which do not decompose as products of lower-dimensional abelian varieties.

Suppose $A$ is a simple supersingular abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ and $q$ is a square. In Theorem 8 we show that if we are not in the case where $g \leq 2$ and $q=4$, then the smallest positive integer $k$ such that the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ divides $q^{k / 2}-1$ satisfies $\varphi(k) \in\{g, 2 g\}$, where $\varphi$ is Euler's $\varphi$-function. Theorem 8 is a refinement of Theorem 3 of [6], and uses some of the same ideas (see also Theorem 4.2 of [10]), along with a new idea (Proposition 2) that relies on [8]. Theorem 9 gives results in the case where $q$ is not a square, and uses algebraic number theory and cyclotomic fields.

For example, we show that if $A$ is a simple supersingular abelian variety over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ of dimension $g$, then the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ divides $q^{k}-1$ for some positive integer $k$ less than or equal to the entry in the following table (where $p=\operatorname{char}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ ). The maximum of each column shows how our bounds compare with the bounds of Galbraith stated above, and how they improve on his
bounds when $g \geq 3$.

| $g$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q$ a square | 3 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 21 |
| $q$ not a square, $p>11$ | 2 | 6 | $*$ | 12 | $*$ | 18 |
| $q$ not a square, $p=2$ | 4 | 12 | $*$ | 20 | $*$ | 36 |
| $q$ not a square, $p=3$ | 6 | 6 | 18 | 30 | $*$ | 42 |
| $q$ not a square, $p=5$ | 2 | 6 | $*$ | 15 | $*$ | 18 |
| $q$ not a square, $p=7$ | 2 | 6 | 14 | 12 | $*$ | 42 |
| $q$ not a square, $p=11$ | 2 | 6 | $*$ | 12 | 22 | 18 |

(A ' $*$ ' means that there are no simple supersingular abelian varieties of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. See Corollary 10 below.)

In Theorem 13 we obtain a method for generating good supersingular curves for use in cryptography. Example 14 gives families of examples which are "best possible", in the sense that their jacobians are simple abelian varieties which achieve the upper bounds listed in the top row of the table above. Our main tools come from the theory of complex multiplication of abelian varieties, especially when applied to Fermat curves.

In $\S 4$ we introduce a useful new invariant, the cryptographic exponent of an abelian variety. Our results are phrased in terms of this invariant. In Theorem 11 we show that the security multiplier (defined in [2]) attached to a point of large order coincides with either the cryptographic exponent of the variety, or, in certain cases, half the cryptographic exponent.

## 2. Cyclotomy

Let $\mathbf{N}$ denote the set of natural numbers. If $k \in \mathbf{N}$ write $\Phi_{k}(x)$ for the $k$ th cyclotomic polynomial $\prod_{\zeta}(x-\zeta)$, where the product is over the primitive $k$-th roots of unity $\zeta$. Note that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{k}\right)=\varphi(k)$.
Lemma 1. (i) For all $k \in \mathbf{N}, x^{k}-1=\prod_{d \mid k} \Phi_{d}(x)$.
(ii) $\Phi_{k}(x)=x^{\varphi(k)} \Phi_{k}(1 / x)$ if $k>1$, and $\Phi_{1}(x)=-x \Phi_{1}(1 / x)$.
(iii) If $k, q \in \mathbf{N}$ then $\Phi_{k}(q)$ divides $q^{k}-1$.

Proof. The first two assertions are straightforward, and (iii) follows from (i).

Proposition 2. Suppose $w, q \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\Phi_{k}(q)$ divides $q^{w}-1$. Then either $w \geq k$, or $(k, q)=(6,4)$.
Proof. Suppose $w<k$. Since $\Phi_{k}(q) \mid q^{k}-1$ and the $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q^{w}-1, q^{k}-1\right)=$ $q^{(k, w)}-1$, we may assume that $w \mid k$. Let $m=k / w>1$, and consider the polynomial identity

$$
\frac{x^{k}-1}{x^{w}-1}=x^{(m-1) w}+x^{(m-2) w}+\cdots+1 \equiv m \quad\left(\bmod x^{w}-1\right)
$$

Since $\Phi_{k}(x)$ divides the left-hand side, evaluating this identity at $q$ shows that $\Phi_{k}(q) \mid m$. But when $(k, q) \neq(6,4)$, it follows from (29) and (35) of [8] that $\Phi_{k}(q)$ has a prime divisor which does not divide $k$. This contradiction shows that we cannot have $w<k$. (In the exceptional case $(k, q)=(6,4)$, we have $\Phi_{k}(q)=3$ which divides $q-1$.)

## 3. Simple supersingular abelian varieties

Suppose $A$ is an abelian variety over the finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q}$, where $q$ is a power of a prime $p$. $A$ is supersingular if $A$ is isogenous over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{q}$ to a power of a supersingular elliptic curve. (An elliptic curve is supersingular if $E\left(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{q}\right)$ has no points of order $p$.) $A$ is simple if it is not isogenous over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ to a product of lower-dimensional abelian varieties.

Theorem 3 (Zhu [15]). Suppose $A$ is a simple supersingular abelian variety over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$, and $P(x)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius endomorphism of $A$. Then:
(i) $P(x)=G(x)^{e}$, where $G(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ is a monic irreducible polynomial and $e=1$ or 2 ;
(ii) $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right) \cong(\mathbf{Z} / G(1) \mathbf{Z})^{e}$ unless $q$ is not a square and either
(a) $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4), \operatorname{dim}(A)=1$, and $G(x)=x^{2}+q$, or
(b) $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \operatorname{dim}(A)=2$, and $G(x)=x^{2}-q$.

In these exceptional cases, $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right) \cong(\mathbf{Z} / G(1) \mathbf{Z})^{a} \times\left(\mathbf{Z} / \frac{G(1)}{2} \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}\right)^{b}$ with non-negative integers $a$ and $b$ such that $a+b=e$.
Remark 4. Note that in the two exceptional cases in Theorem 3, the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ is either $|G(1)|$ or $|G(1)| / 2$. Otherwise, the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ is exactly $|G(1)|$. Always, $\# A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)=P(1)$.

## 4. The cryptographic exponent $k_{A}$

Suppose $A$ is a simple supersingular abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. We will associate to $A$ (for fixed $q$ ) a positive integer $k_{A}$, which we will call the cryptographic exponent of $A$. We retain the notation $G$ and $e$ from Theorem 3. Let

$$
G_{1}(x)=\frac{G(\sqrt{q} x)}{q^{g / e}} \in \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{q})[x] .
$$

Proposition 5. Suppose $A$ is a simple supersingular abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$.
(i) Suppose $q$ is a square. Then there is a unique positive integer $k_{A}$ such that $G_{1}(x)=\Phi_{k_{A}}(x)$. Further, $\varphi\left(k_{A}\right)=2 g / e$ and $G(1)= \pm \Phi_{k_{A}}(\sqrt{q})$.
(ii) Suppose $q$ is not a square, and $G_{1}(x) \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$. Then there is a unique positive integer $k_{A}$ such that $G_{1}(x)=\Phi_{k_{A}}\left(x^{2}\right)$. Further, $\varphi\left(k_{A}\right)=g / e$ and $G(1)= \pm \Phi_{k_{A}}(q)$.
(iii) Suppose $q$ is not a square, and $G_{1}(x) \notin \mathbf{Q}[x]$. Then there is a unique positive integer $k_{A}$ such that $G_{1}(x) G_{1}(-x)=\Phi_{k_{A}}\left(x^{2}\right)$. Further, we have $\varphi\left(k_{A}\right)=2 g / e$ and $G(1) G(-1)= \pm \Phi_{k_{A}}(q)$.
Proof. Note that $G_{1}$ is monic. Since $A$ is supersingular, the roots of $G_{1}$ are roots of unity by p. 142 of [13].

Suppose first that $q$ is a square. Then $G_{1} \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$, and $G_{1}$ is irreducible in $\mathbf{Q}[x]$ because $G$ is. Hence $G_{1}$ is a cyclotomic polynomial, so there is a (unique) $k_{A}$ such that $G_{1}=\Phi_{k_{A}}$. We have $\varphi(k)=\operatorname{deg}\left(G_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(G)=2 g / e$, and by Lemma 1(ii), $G(1)=q^{g / e} G_{1}(1 / \sqrt{q})= \pm \Phi_{k_{A}}(\sqrt{q})$. This proves (i).

Now suppose that $q$ is not a square, and that $G_{1}(x) \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$. Then $G_{1}(x)$ is a polynomial in $x^{2}$, say $G_{1}(x)=h\left(x^{2}\right)$ with $h(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$. Since $G$ is irreducible, so is $h$, and so $h$ is a cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{k_{A}}$. We have $\varphi\left(k_{A}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{k_{A}}\right)=$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(G_{1}\right) / 2=\operatorname{deg}(G) / 2=g / e$, and (again using Lemma 1(ii))

$$
G(1)=q^{g / e} G_{1}(1 / \sqrt{q})=q^{g / e} \Phi_{k_{A}}(1 / q)= \pm \Phi_{k_{A}}(q) .
$$

Finally, suppose that $G_{1}(x) \notin \mathbf{Q}[x]$. Then $G_{1}(-x)=\bar{G}_{1}(x) \in \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{q})[x]$, where the bar denotes the nontrivial automorphism of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{q})$, and we have $G_{1}(x) G_{1}(-x)=G_{1}(x) \bar{G}_{1}(x)=h\left(x^{2}\right)$ with $h \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$. Since $G$ is irreducible and $G_{1}(x) \notin \mathbf{Q}[x], h$ is irreducible. The roots of $h$ are roots of unity, so $h$ is a cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{k_{A}}$. We have $\varphi\left(k_{A}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{k_{A}}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(G_{1} \bar{G}_{1}\right) / 2=$ $\operatorname{deg}(G)=2 g / e$, and

$$
G(1) G(-1)=q^{2 g / e} G_{1}(1 / \sqrt{q}) G_{1}(-1 / \sqrt{q})=q^{2 g / e} \Phi_{k_{A}}(1 / q)= \pm \Phi_{k_{A}}(q) .
$$

Definition 6. The cryptographic exponent of $A$ is the integer $k_{A}$ given by Proposition 5.

## Theorem 7.

(i) If $q$ is a square then the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ divides $\Phi_{k_{A}}(\sqrt{q})$, which divides $\sqrt{q}^{k_{A}}-1$.
(ii) If $q$ is not a square then the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ divides $\Phi_{k_{A}}(q)$, which divides $q^{k_{A}}-1$.

Proof. Apply Remark 4, Proposition 5, and Lemma 1(iii).

## 5. Bounds on the cryptographic Exponent

Theorem 8. Suppose $A$ is a simple supersingular abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ and $q$ is a square. Then:
(i) $\varphi\left(k_{A}\right) \in\{g, 2 g\}$;
(ii) if we are not in the case where $g \leq 2, q=4$, and $k_{A}=6$, then $k_{A}$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ such that the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ divides $\sqrt{q}^{k}-1$.

Proof. The first assertion is part of Proposition 5(i). By Theorem 3, the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ is $G(1)$. By Proposition $5(\mathrm{i}), G(1)= \pm \Phi_{k_{A}}(\sqrt{q})$. The result now follows from Lemma 1(iii) and Proposition 2.

If $g$ is a positive integer and $p$ is a prime, define finite sets

$$
W_{n}=\{k \in \mathbf{N}: k \geq 2, \varphi(k)=n\}
$$

and

$$
K_{g}(p)= \begin{cases}W_{g} \cup\left\{k \in W_{2 g}: k \equiv 4 \quad(\bmod 8)\right\} & \text { if } p=2, \\ W_{g} \cup\left\{k \in W_{2 g}: p \mid k \text { and } k \text { is odd }\right\} & \text { if } p \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4), \\ W_{g} \cup\left\{k \in W_{2 g}: p \mid k \text { and } k \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 4)\right\} & \text { if } p \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 4) .\end{cases}
$$

For example, $W_{1}=\{2\}, W_{n}=\emptyset$ if $n$ is odd and $n>1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{2}=\{3,4,6\}, \quad W_{4}=\{5,8,10,12\}, \quad W_{6}=\{7,9,14,18\},
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 9. Suppose $A$ is a simple supersingular abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ and $q$ is not a square. Let $p=\operatorname{char}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$. Then either $k_{A} \in K_{g}(p)$, or else $g=2$ and $k_{A}=1$.

Proof. Suppose $G_{1}(x) \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$. By Proposition 3.3 of [15], if $e=2$ then $G_{1}(x)=x^{2}-1$, so $k_{A}=1$ and $g=2$. If $e=1$, then $k_{A} \in W_{g}$ by Proposition 5 (ii).

Suppose $G_{1}(x) \notin \mathbf{Q}[x]$. By Proposition $5($ iii $), G_{1}(x) G_{1}(-x)=\Phi_{k_{A}}\left(x^{2}\right)$ and $k_{A} \in W_{g} \cup W_{2 g}$. Thus the roots of $G_{1}$ (and therefore also the coefficients) lie in the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{2 k_{A}}\right)$. Thus $\sqrt{p} \in \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{2 k_{A}}\right)$, and it follows that $p$ divides $k_{A}$. If $k_{A}$ is odd, then the primes $p$ such that $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{2 k_{A}}\right)$ are exactly the primes dividing $k_{A}$ that are congruent to $1(\bmod 4)$. In particular, if $p=2$ or $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ then $k_{A}$ is even.

Suppose that $k_{A}$ is even. Then $\Phi_{k_{A}}\left(x^{2}\right)=\Phi_{2 k_{A}}(x)$. Let $\Delta$ denote the Galois group of $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{2 k_{A}}\right)$ over $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$. Identifying $\Delta$ with a subgroup of $\left(\mathbf{Z} / 2 k_{A} \mathbf{Z}\right)^{\times}$, then $\Delta$ is the reduction modulo $2 k_{A}$ of the set

$$
D=\left\{d \in \mathbf{Z}:\left(d, 2 k_{A}\right)=1 \text { and }\left(\frac{p}{d}\right)=1\right\}
$$

where $\left(\frac{p}{d}\right)$ is the Jacobi symbol. Since $G_{1}$ is stable under $\Delta$, we can write $G_{1}(x)=\prod_{\delta \in \Delta}\left(x-\zeta^{\delta}\right)$ where $\zeta$ is a primitive $2 k_{A}$-th root of unity. Since
$\Phi_{2 k_{A}}(x)$ has no multiple roots, $G_{1}(x)$ and $G_{1}(-x)$ are relatively prime, so $\zeta^{d} \neq-\zeta$ for every $d \in D$. In particular since $\zeta^{k_{A}+1}=-\zeta$, it follows that $k_{A}+1 \notin D$. Combining the definition of $D$ with quadratic reciprocity we obtain

$$
-1=\left(\frac{p}{k_{A}+1}\right)= \begin{cases}(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{k_{A}}{2}}\left(\frac{k_{A}+1}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{k_{A}}{2}} & \text { if } p \text { is odd } \\ (-1)^{\frac{\left(k_{A}+1\right)^{2}-1}{8}} & \text { if } p=2\end{cases}
$$

If $p$ is odd we conclude that $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $k_{A} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. If $p=2$, then $4 \mid k_{A}\left(\right.$ since $\left.\sqrt{2} \in \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{2 k_{A}}\right)\right)$, so $k_{A} \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$.
Corollary 10. Suppose $p$ is prime, $s$ and $g$ are odd positive integers, and $g>1$.
(i) If $p \not \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then there does not exist a simple supersingular abelian variety $A$ of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{s}}$.
(ii) If $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and there exists a simple supersingular abelian variety $A$ of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{s}}$, then $g=p^{b-1}(p-1) / 2$ for some positive integer $b$.

Proof. Suppose there is a simple supersingular abelian variety $A$ of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{s}}$. Since $g>1$ is odd, we conclude from Theorem 9 that $\varphi\left(k_{A}\right)=2 g$ and $p \mid k_{A}$. This is only possible if $k_{A}=p^{b}$ or $2 p^{b}$, and $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

The table in the introduction follows from Theorems 8, 9, and 7 and Corollary 10.

## 6. The Security multiplier $\alpha$

As in [2], define the security multiplier $\alpha$ as follows. If $A$ is an abelian variety over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ and $P \in A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ is a point of prime order $\ell$, let $\alpha$ denote the order of $q(\bmod \ell)$. Equivalently, $\alpha$ is the smallest positive integer $a$ such that $\mathbf{F}_{q^{a}}$ contains non-trivial $\ell$-th roots of unity.

For purposes of cryptography we are only interested in the case where $\ell$ is large. Since $\varphi\left(k_{A}\right) \leq 2 g$, the cryptographic exponent $k_{A}$ is small, so the condition that $\ell \nmid k_{A}$ in the following result is not a problem.

Theorem 11. Suppose $A$ is a simple supersingular abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}, \alpha$ is the security multiplier for some point in $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ of prime order $\ell$, and $\ell \nmid k_{A}$. Then $\alpha=k_{A}$, unless $q$ is a square and $k_{A}$ is even, in which case $\alpha=k_{A} / 2$. Thus, $\varphi(\alpha) \in\left\{\frac{g}{2}, g, 2 g\right\}$ (in particular, if $g$ is odd then either $g=1$ and $\alpha=2$, or $\varphi(\alpha)=2 g)$.
Proof. Note that $\ell$ divides the exponent of $A\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$. Since $A$ is supersingular, $\ell \nmid q$. The roots of $\Phi_{k_{A}}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell}$ are exactly the primitive $k_{A^{-}}$-th roots of unity, since $\ell \nmid k_{A}$.

Suppose first that $q$ is a square. By Theorem $7(\mathrm{i})$, we have $\ell \mid \Phi_{k_{A}}(\sqrt{q})$, so $\sqrt{q}$ has order $k_{A}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$. Thus $\alpha=k_{A}$ if $k_{A}$ is odd and $\alpha=k_{A} / 2$ if $k_{A}$ is even.

Now suppose that $q$ is not a square. By Theorem 7 (ii), we have $\ell \mid \Phi_{k_{A}}(q)$, so $q$ has order $k_{A}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$. Thus $\alpha=k_{A}$.

## 7. The best supersingular abelian varieties

Definition 12. Suppose $A$ is a supersingular abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. We say that $A$ is optimal if
(i) $A$ is simple, and
(ii) $k_{A} \geq k_{B}$ for every simple supersingular abelian variety $B$ of dimension $g$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$.
Note that if $A$ is simple, to prove than $A$ is optimal it suffices to show that $k_{A}$ is the largest $k$ with $\varphi(k)=2 g$ if $q$ is a square (Theorem 8(i)), or that $k_{A}$ is the largest element of $K_{g}(p)$ if $q$ is an odd power of a prime $p$ (Theorem 9).

In this section we give families of examples of optimal supersingular abelian varieties $A$ and compute their cryptographic exponents $k_{A}$. These include examples with $g=3,4,5$, and 6 , for infinitely many prime powers $q$.

Theorem 13. Suppose that $a, b, n \in \mathbf{N}$ have no common divisor greater than 1 , $n$ is odd, and $n+2-((n, a)+(n, b)+(n, a+b))=\varphi(n)$. Let $q$ be a prime power congruent to $-1(\bmod n)$, and let $F=\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$. For $\gamma \in F^{\times}$let $C_{\gamma}$ be the curve

$$
y^{n}=\gamma x^{a}(1-x)^{b}
$$

over $F$ and write $A_{\gamma}$ for its jacobian variety. Then
(i) $C_{\gamma}$ has genus $\varphi(n) / 2$,
(ii) $A_{\gamma}$ is supersingular.

If in addition $\gamma$ generates $F^{\times}$modulo $n$-th powers, then
(iii) $A_{\gamma}$ is simple,
(iv) $k_{A_{\gamma}}=2 n$,
(v) $A_{\gamma}(F)$ is cyclic,
(vi) if $(n, q) \neq(3,4)$ then $n$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ such that $\# A_{\gamma}(F)$ divides $q^{k}-1$.

Proof. The genus $g$ of $C_{\gamma}$ is independent of $\gamma$, so assertion (i) follows from the formula for the genus of $C_{ \pm 1}$ given on p. 55 of [3].

Since $q \equiv-1(\bmod n)$, Theorem 20.15 of [12] shows that the Frobenius endomorphism of $A_{1}$ is multiplication by $-q$. In particular, the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius is $(x+q)^{2 g}$, and $A_{1}$ is supersingular. Since every $A_{\gamma}$ is isomorphic to $A_{1}$ over the algebraic closure $\bar{F}$, every $A_{\gamma}$ is supersingular.

The endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ contains the group of $n$-th roots of unity $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}$, where $\xi \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}$ acts on $C_{\gamma}$ by sending $(x, y)$ to $(x, \xi y)$.

Fix an $n$-th root $\delta$ of $\gamma$. Then $\delta^{q^{2}}$ is also an $n$-th root of $\gamma$. Let $\zeta=$ $\gamma^{\left(q^{2}-1\right) / n}=\delta^{q^{2}-1}$. Then $\zeta^{n}=1$, so we can view $\zeta \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n} \subset \operatorname{End}\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$. We have a commutative diagram

where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{\gamma}$ are the $q^{2}$-power maps $(x, y) \mapsto\left(x^{q^{2}}, y^{q^{2}}\right)$ of $C_{1}$ and $C_{\gamma}$, respectively, and $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{\gamma}$ are the isomorphisms $(x, y) \mapsto(x, \delta y),(x, y) \mapsto$ $\left(x, \delta^{q^{2}} y\right)$. Writing $\left[\phi_{\gamma}\right]$, $\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]$, etc. for the induced maps on $A_{1}$ and $A_{\gamma}$, we noted above that $\left[\phi_{1}\right]=-q$, and so the Frobenius endomorphism of $A_{\gamma}$ is $\left[\phi_{\gamma}\right]=\left[\lambda^{\prime} \circ \phi_{1} \circ \lambda^{-1}\right]=\left[\lambda^{-1}\right] \circ\left[\phi_{1}\right] \circ\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]=\left[\lambda^{-1}\right] \circ(-q) \circ\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]=-q \circ\left[\lambda^{\prime} \circ \lambda^{-1}\right]=-\zeta q$.

Suppose now that $\gamma$ generates $F^{\times}$modulo $n$-th powers. Then $\zeta$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity, and since $n$ is odd, $-\zeta$ is a primitive $2 n$-th root of unity. The characteristic polynomial $P(x)$ of Frobenius on $A_{\gamma}$ has degree $2 g=\varphi(n)=$ $\varphi(2 n)$, and has $-\zeta q$ as a root, so $P(x)=\prod_{\xi}(x-\xi q)$, product over primitive $2 n$-th roots of unity $\xi$. Thus $P(x)=q^{\varphi(2 n)} \Phi_{2 n}(x / q)$.

Since $\Phi_{2 n}(x)$ is irreducible, so is $P(x)$. Assertion (iii) follows from this, (iv) is immediate from the definition of $k_{A}$ (Theorem 5(i)), and (v) follows from Theorem 3. The final assertion follows from Theorem 8.

The following examples are easily deduced from Theorem 13.
Example 14. Suppose ( $g, n, a, b$ ) is one of the following 4-tuples:

| $g$ | $n$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 9 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 15 | 5 | 3 |
| 6 | 21 | 7 | 3 |
| 9 | 27 | 9 | 1 |
| 10 | 33 | 11 | 3 |
| $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ | $\ell$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ |

where in the last row $\ell$ is a prime, $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq \ell-1$, and $\alpha+\beta \neq \ell$. Let $q$ be a prime power congruent to $-1(\bmod n), F=\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$, and $\gamma$ a generator of $F^{\times}$ modulo $n$-th powers. Let $C$ be the curve $y^{n}=\gamma x^{a}(1-x)^{b}$ and $A$ its jacobian. Then by Theorem 13, $A$ is simple and supersingular, $\operatorname{genus}(C)=\operatorname{dim}(A)=g$, $k_{A}=2 n, A(F)$ is cyclic, and $n$ is the smallest integer $k$ such that $\# A(F)$ divides $q^{k}-1$.

In the table above, if $g=3,4,6,9,10$, or if $g>3$ and $g$ is a prime of the form $(\ell-1) / 2$, then $2 n$ is the largest integer $k$ such that $\varphi(k)=2 g$, so $A$ is optimal (in the sense of Definition 12).

Remark 15. Example 14 gives optimal examples with $g=1$ and 5 by taking $\ell=3$ and 11 in the last row, and non-optimal examples with $g=2$ and 3 by taking $\ell=5$ and 7 in the last row. The example $y^{2}+y=x^{5}+x^{3}$ over $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ satisfies $k_{A}=12$ and $g=2$ (i.e., is optimal), and was given in [6]. Example 14 gives many optimal examples over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ when $q$ is a square. One would like additional optimal examples when $q$ is not a square.

## 8. Conclusion

For certain security applications it is useful to have simple abelian varieties with security parameters that are neither too small nor too large. Simple supersingular abelian varieties are natural candidates for these applications. This paper gives strong upper bounds for the security parameters of simple supersingular abelian varieties (in terms of the dimension of the abelian variety and the size of the finite field), and gives constructions of several families of curves over fields of square order whose jacobians achieve these upper bounds.
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