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#### Abstract

Exponentiation in finite fields of characteristic 2 is proposed to construct large bijective $S$-boxes of block ciphers. We obtain some properties of the exponential $S$-boxes that are related to differential, higher order differential, and linear cryptanalysis methods.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a field of prime power order $q$. We will work with the fields of characteristic 2 : $\mathbb{F}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, everywhere below $n>1$. Denote by $V_{n}$ the $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

The basic components of most of block ciphers are mappings s: $V_{n} \rightarrow V_{m}$ that are fixed (DES), or determined by short-term (Blowfish) or long-term (GOST) key data. These mappings, known as $S$-boxes, are used to create a complex relationship between the plaintext, key, and ciphertext.

The main design criteria of the $S$-boxes are
(a) small probabilities of differential characteristics - it must be difficult to predict the difference between $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{s}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ given the difference between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ (see Section 3);
(b) high nonlinearity - linear combinations of coordinates of $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ must not correlate sufficiently with linear combinations of coordinates of $\mathbf{x}$ (see Section (4);
(c) high degrees of the coordinate boolean functions of $\mathbf{s}$ (see Section 5);
(d) good propagation of errors - the modification of one or more coordinates of $\mathbf{x}$ must result in changing any coordinate of $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ with the probability close to $1 / 2$ (see Section 6);
(e) complex interpolation polynomial - it must be difficult to interpolate s: $V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$ by a sparse polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$;
(f) adequate cycle structure - for example, absence of fixed points in $\mathbf{s}: V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$.

The following basic constructions for building $S$-boxes are used for the block ciphers of the AES [1] and NESSIE [10] contests:

1. Pseudorandom generation (Anubis, Khazad, MARS, Serpent).

Given $n$ and $m$, one generates random $S$-boxes until the $S$-box s with required properties is found. As a rule, the generation time increases fast as dimensions grow. Moreover, for sufficiently large $n$ and $m$ there can be lack of memory on small devices (smartcards, tokens) to store $\mathbf{s}$.
2. Algorithmic $S$-boxes (Crypton, CS-Cipher, DFC, RC6, Twofish).

The values of $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ are calculated in the precomputation or encryption/decryption time using some algorithm. This algorithm utilizes arithmetical and logical operations that can be effectively implemented in software and hardware, and also uses $S$-boxes of small dimensions. As a rule, the cryptographic properties of such algorithmic $S$-boxes are not optimal.
3. Monomial $S$-boxes (E2, Hierocrypt, LOKI-97, Rijndael, SC2000).

The raising to the fixed power $k$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ was proposed in [11 to construct $S$-boxes s: $V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$. On the proper choice of $k$, monomial $S$-boxes are close to optimal under criteria (a), (b), (c). A shortcoming of this construction is a simple interpolation polynomial. In recent papers [2, 9] such weakness of the Rijndael $S$-box $V_{8} \rightarrow V_{8}$ is used to construct the systems of quadratic equations over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$ with round keys and intermediate encryption results as unknowns. The complexity of the solution of these systems and the corresponding security margin of Rijndael are now being extensively discussed.

In the next section we propose the construction of $S$-boxes s: $V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$ that is based on exponentiation in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. To calculate values of $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$, we need no more than $2 n$ multiplications in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Using additional memory, one can make these calculations even more effective. In Sections 3-6 we obtain some cryptographic properties of exponential $S$ boxes related to criteria (a)-(d). Note that the similar construction was used in the block cipher Magenta [1]. Note also that exponentiation in the prime field $\mathbb{F}_{p}, p=2^{n}+1$, is used to construct $\mathbf{s}$ in cryptosystems of the SAFER family [6].

## 2 Construction

Let $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$ be the absolute trace function, $\operatorname{Tr}(\beta)=\beta+\beta^{2}+\cdots+\beta^{2^{n-1}}$, and $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ be some basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ (see [3] for details). For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, define the vector $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in V_{n}$ with coordinates

$$
x_{i}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i} x\right)
$$

and then define the number

$$
\overline{\mathbf{x}}=x_{0}+2 x_{1}+\cdots+2^{n-1} x_{n-1}
$$

from the set $\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right\}$. It is easy to check that mappings $x \mapsto \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{x}}$ are bijections.

Choose a primitive element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ with the minimal polynomial

$$
f(x)=x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+1, \quad a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2},
$$

and consider the mapping $s: V_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$,

$$
s(\mathbf{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{rc}
0, & \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}  \tag{1}\\
\alpha^{\overline{\mathbf{x}}}, & \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}, \ldots, \alpha^{2^{n}-1}$ are all nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, s$ is bijective. Replacing in (1) images $s(\mathbf{x})$ by vectors, we construct an exponential substitution $\mathbf{s}: V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$.

Let $S_{n}(f)$ be the set of all binary sequences of length $2^{n}$ that are constructed as follows: the first element of each sequence is 0 , the rest is a linear recurrence sequence with the primitive characteristic polynomial $f(x)$. Using the properties of linear recurrences, it is easy to check that $S_{n}(f)$ is the $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and each nonzero sequence of $S_{n}(f)$ is balanced, i. e. contains equal numbers of 0 and 1 .

The exponential substitution $\mathbf{s}$ can be defined by $n$ coordinate boolean functions $s_{0}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, s_{n-1}(\mathbf{x})$ of $n$ variables so that

$$
\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})=\left(s_{0}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, s_{n-1}(\mathbf{x})\right) .
$$

Let $s_{i 0}, s_{i 1}, \ldots, s_{i, 2^{n}-1}$ be the truth table of $s_{i}(\mathbf{x})$, i. e. the values of $s_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ on the lexicographically ordered vectors of $V_{n}$. For any positive integer $t \leq 2^{n}-n-1$,

$$
s_{i t}+a_{1} s_{i, t+1}+\cdots+a_{n-1} s_{i, t+n-1}+s_{i, t+n}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i} \alpha^{t} f(\alpha)\right)=0
$$

and the truth table is an element of $S_{n}(f)$.
Note, that each nonsingular linear combination of the truth tables of $s_{0}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, s_{n-1}(\mathbf{x})$ is also a nonzero element of $S_{n}(f)$ and hence balanced. From here, using Theorem 7.37 of [3, we obtain another proof of the bijectivity of $\mathbf{s}$. It is clear, that an alternative to (1) way of constructing $\mathbf{s}$ is as follows: choose some basis of the vector space $S_{n}(f)$ as truth tables of $s_{0}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, s_{n-1}(\mathbf{x})$.

There are $\varphi\left(2^{n}-1\right) / n$ distinct primitive polynomials of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and as many distinct sets $S_{n}(f)$ (here $\varphi$ is the Euler function). The basis of $S_{n}(f)$ can be chosen in $\left(2^{n}-1\right)\left(2^{n}-2\right) \cdots\left(2^{n}-2^{n-1}\right)$ ways and therefore there are

$$
\frac{\varphi\left(2^{n}-1\right)}{n}\left(2^{n}-1\right)\left(2^{n}-2\right) \cdots\left(2^{n}-2^{n-1}\right)
$$

distinct exponential substitutions $V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$.

## 3 Differential characteristics

Let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ be finite Abelian groups and $s$ be a bijection $G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$. Consider the quantity

$$
\mathcal{R}(s)=\max _{\substack{a \in G_{1}, a \neq 0 \\ b \in G_{2}}} \sum_{x \in G_{1}} \mathbf{I}\{s(x+a)=s(x)+b\},
$$

where $\mathbf{I}\{\varepsilon\}$ is the indicator function of an event $\mathcal{E}$.
The quantity $\mathcal{R}(s)$ represents the efficiency of differential cryptanalysis methods 4 while using $s$ as a functional component of a block cipher. Small values of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ make the application of these methods difficult.

It is obvious that $\mathcal{R}(s) \geq 2$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{R}(s)=1$, then the mapping $x \mapsto s(x+a)-s(x)$ is a bijection for any nonzero $a \in G_{1}$ and in particular takes the value 0 . But this is impossible, since $s$ is bijective.

Transfer on $V_{n}$ and denote by $\boxplus$ the operation of integer addition modulo $2^{n}$ : the notation $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{a} \boxplus \mathbf{b}$ for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in V_{n}$ means that $\overline{\mathbf{c}}=(\overline{\mathbf{a}}+\overline{\mathbf{b}}) \bmod 2^{n}$. To avoid ambiguities, in this section we will denote by $\oplus$ the addition in $V_{n}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

The operations $\oplus$ and $\boxplus$ are often used in block ciphers. So it is important to analyze $\mathcal{R}(s)$ when $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are the groups $\left\langle V_{n}, \oplus\right\rangle,\left\langle\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, \oplus\right\rangle,\left\langle V_{n}, \boxplus\right\rangle$. If, for instance, $G_{1}=$ $\left\langle V_{n}, \boxplus\right\rangle, G_{2}=\left\langle\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, \oplus\right\rangle$, we write $\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \oplus}(s)$ instead of $\mathcal{R}(s)$. Consider two examples as an illustration.

1. Let $s: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, s(x)=x^{k}$, where $k$ and $2^{n}-1$ are coprime. This monomial construction provides $\mathcal{R}_{\oplus \oplus}(s)=2^{\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)}$ at the choice $k=2^{m}+1$. Besides, if $k=2^{n}-2$, then $\mathcal{R}_{\oplus \oplus}(s) \leq 4$.
2. Let $p=2^{n}+1$ be prime, $g$ be a primitive root of $\mathbb{F}_{p}, s: V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}, s(\mathbf{x})=\mathrm{T}\left(g^{\overline{\mathbf{x}}}\right)$, where T maps a nonzero $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ to a vector $\mathbf{a} \in V_{n}$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{a}} \equiv b\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)($ in particular, $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{0}$, if $b=2^{n}$ ). This construction is used in the SAFER family at $n=8$, $p=257, g=45$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \boxplus}(s)=2$, but $\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \oplus}(s)=\mathcal{R}_{\oplus \oplus}(s)=2^{n-1}$.

The following theorem indicates that the value of $\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \oplus}(s)$ is close to the minimal if $s$ is defined by (1).

Theorem 1. If $f(x)$ does not divide any of the polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2^{n-1}}+x^{t}+1, \quad t=1, \ldots, 2^{n-1}-1, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \oplus}(s) \leq 3$ for the mapping (11). Otherwise, $\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \oplus}(s)=4$.
Proof. Let $\mathbf{a} \in V_{n}$ and $\tau=\overline{\mathbf{a}}>0$. The set $\left\{s(\mathbf{x}) \oplus s(\mathbf{x} \boxplus \mathbf{a}): \mathbf{x} \in V_{n}\right\}$ is the union $\left\{\alpha^{\tau}\right\} \cup\left\{\alpha^{2^{n}-\tau}\right\} \cup A \cup B$, where

$$
A=\left\{\alpha^{t} \oplus \alpha^{t+\tau}: t=1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1-\tau\right\}, \quad B=\left\{\alpha^{t} \oplus \alpha^{2^{n}-\tau+t}: t=1, \ldots, \tau-1\right\} .
$$

Since all elements of the form $\alpha^{t} \oplus \alpha^{t+\tau}$ and also of the form $\alpha^{t} \oplus \alpha^{2^{n}-\tau+t}$ are distinct, there exist no more than 4 equal elements among $s(\mathbf{x}) \oplus s(\mathbf{x} \boxplus \mathbf{a})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \oplus}(s) \leq 4$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \oplus}(s)=4$ if and only if

$$
\alpha^{\tau}=\alpha^{t} \oplus \alpha^{t+\tau}
$$

for $\tau=2^{n-1}$ (in this case $\alpha^{\tau}=\alpha^{2^{n}-\tau}$ ) and some $t, 1 \leq t \leq 2^{n-1}-1$. But it means that the minimal polynomial $f(x)$ of $\alpha$ divides some polynomial of the form (2).

To determine the exponential substitution $\mathbf{s}$ from (11), we use the basis $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \oplus}(\mathbf{s})=\mathcal{R}_{\boxplus \oplus}(s)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\oplus \oplus}(\mathbf{s})=\mathcal{R}_{\oplus \oplus}(s)$ for any basis.

## 4 Nonlinearity

Let $L_{n}$ be a set of all affine boolean functions of $n$ variables, i. e. functions of the form

$$
l(\mathbf{x})=\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}\rangle+c=b_{0} x_{0}+b_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+b_{n-1} x_{n-1}+c, \quad \mathbf{b} \in V_{n}, \quad c \in \mathbb{F}_{2} .
$$

Let $L_{n}^{*}$ be obtained from $L_{n}$ by deleting the zero function.
Denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$ the linear span (with coefficients from $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ ) of coordinate functions of a substitution s: $V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$. If $\mathbf{s}$ is an exponential substitution, then the truth table $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{2^{n}-1}$ of any function of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$ is an element of $S_{n}(f)$. It means that there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ such that $s_{t}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \alpha^{t}\right), t=1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$.

The nonlinearity $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$ of $\mathbf{s}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})=\mathrm{d}\left(L_{n}^{*}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})\right)=\min _{l(\mathbf{x}) \in L_{n}^{*}} \mathrm{~d}(l(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}))=\min _{\substack{l(\mathbf{x}) \in L_{n}^{*} \\ \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})}} \mathrm{d}(l(\mathbf{x}), \sigma(\mathbf{x})),
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{d}(l(\mathbf{x}), \sigma(\mathbf{x}))=\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{n}} \mathbf{I}\{l(\mathbf{x}) \neq \sigma(\mathbf{x})\}
$$

is the Hamming distance between truth tables of $l(\mathbf{x})$ and $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$. Large values of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$ increase resistance to linear cryptoanalysis methods 7] while using sas a component of a block cipher.

The direct calculation of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s})$ can be simplified using the following theorem, where we denote by $\rho$ the right cyclic shift operator on $V_{n}: \rho(\mathbf{x})=\left(x_{n-1}, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-2}\right)$.

Theorem 2. Let $l(\mathbf{x})=\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}\rangle, l^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})=\left\langle\mathbf{b}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle$ be linear functions of $n$ variables and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}=\rho^{d}(\mathbf{b})$ for some integer $d$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(l(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s}))=\mathrm{d}\left(l^{\prime}(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an exponential substitution s: $V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$.
Proof. Consider any function $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$. Denote $\alpha_{i}=\alpha^{2^{i}}, i=0,1, \ldots$. Since $\alpha$ is a primitive element, $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{n+i}$ and for some $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$

$$
\sigma(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \alpha^{\overline{\mathbf{x}}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}^{x_{i}}\right)
$$

for all nonzero x . Moreover,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}^{x_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\theta \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}^{x_{i}}\right)^{2^{d}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta^{2^{d}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{i+d}^{x_{i}}\right)=\sigma^{\prime}\left(\rho^{d}(\mathbf{x})\right)
$$

where the function

$$
\sigma^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{rc}
0, & \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} \\
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta^{2^{d}} \alpha^{\overline{\mathbf{x}}}\right), & \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is an element of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$. Thus,

$$
\sigma^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})=\sigma\left(\rho^{-d}(\mathbf{x})\right), \quad l^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})=l\left(\rho^{-d}(\mathbf{x})\right), \quad \mathrm{d}\left(l^{\prime}(\mathbf{x}), \sigma^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})\right)=\mathrm{d}(l(\mathbf{x}), \sigma(\mathbf{x})),
$$

from which (3) follows.

Note that (3) also holds for $\mathbf{b}=(1,0, \ldots, 0,1), \mathbf{b}^{\prime}=(0,0, \ldots, 0,1)$. Indeed, the truth table $(0,1,0,1, \ldots, 0,1)$ of $l^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})$ can be obtained from the truth table

$$
(\underbrace{0,1,0,1, \ldots, 0,1}_{2^{n-1}}, \underbrace{1,0,1,0, \ldots, 1,0}_{2^{n-1}})
$$

of $l(\mathbf{x})$ under the permutation

$$
\left(l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2^{n-1}-1}, l_{2^{n-1}}, \ldots, l_{2^{n}-1}\right) \mapsto\left(l_{0}, l_{2^{n-1}}, \ldots, l_{2^{n}-1}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2^{n-1}-1}\right)
$$

Since this permutation leaves the set $S_{n}(f)$ invariant, we obtain (3).
The following theorem gives the lower bound on the nonlinearity of exponential substitutions.

Theorem 3. Let $r=2^{n}-1, K(\mathbf{b})$ be the set of indices of nonzero coordinates of $\mathbf{b} \in V_{n}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(\mathbf{b})=\frac{1}{r} \sum_{h=1}^{r-1} \prod_{k \in K(\mathbf{b})}\left|\tan \frac{\pi 2^{k} h}{r}\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) \geq 2^{n-1}-1-2^{n / 2-1} \max _{\substack{\mathbf{b} \in V_{n} \\ \mathbf{b} \neq 0}} \Pi(\mathbf{b}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an exponential substitution $\mathbf{s}: V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$.
Proof. Consider any nonzero linear recurrence sequence $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots$ with the primitive characteristic polynomial $f(x)$ and the truth table $l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}$ of the linear function $l(\mathbf{x})=$ $\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}\rangle, \mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Let $s_{0}=0$ and $\chi$ be the unique non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ : $\chi(a)=(-1)^{a}$. Then the Hamming distances

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{r} \mathbf{l}\left\{s_{t} \neq l_{t}+c\right\}=2^{n-1} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}+l_{t}\right), \quad c \in \mathbb{F}_{2},
$$

and it is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{t=0}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}+l_{t}\right)\right| \leq 2+2^{n / 2} \Pi(\mathbf{b}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an integer $j$ let $\omega(j)=\exp (2 \pi i j / r)$ be the $r$-th root from unity, $i=\sqrt{-1}$. Since

$$
\sum_{h=0}^{r-1} \omega(h j)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
r, & j \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod r) \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=0}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}+l_{t}\right) & =\chi\left(s_{0}+l_{0}\right)-\chi\left(s_{r}+l_{0}\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}\right) \sum_{\tau=0}^{r} \chi\left(l_{\tau}\right) \frac{1}{r} \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} \omega(h(t-\tau)) \\
& =\chi\left(s_{0}\right)-\chi\left(s_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{r} \sum_{h=0}^{r-1}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}\right) \omega(h t)\right)\left(\sum_{\tau=0}^{r} \chi\left(l_{\tau}\right) \omega(-h \tau)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\chi\left(l_{0}\right)+\chi\left(l_{1}\right)+\cdots+\chi\left(l_{r}\right)=0$ and we can sum from $h=1$ to $r-1$. Using estimates for Gauss sums [3, § 2 ch .5 ], we obtain

$$
\left|\sum_{t=1}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}\right) \omega(h t)\right|=\left|\sum_{t=1}^{r} \chi\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \alpha^{t}\right)\right) \omega(h t)\right|=2^{n / 2}, \quad h=1, \ldots, r-1
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{t=0}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}+l_{t}\right)\right| \leq 2+\frac{2^{n / 2}}{r} \sum_{h=1}^{r-1}|\pi(\mathbf{b}, h)| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\pi(\mathbf{b}, h)=\sum_{\tau=0}^{r} \chi\left(l_{\tau}\right) \omega(h \tau)
$$

Consider the expression $\chi\left(l_{\tau}\right) \omega(h \tau)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in V_{n}$ be such that $\overline{\boldsymbol{\tau}}=\tau$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(l_{\tau}\right) & =\chi\left(b_{0} \tau_{0}+b_{1} \tau_{1}+\cdots+b_{n-1} \tau_{n-1}\right)=\chi\left(b_{0}\right)^{\tau_{0}} \chi\left(b_{1}\right)^{\tau_{1}} \cdots \chi\left(b_{n-1}\right)^{\tau_{n-1}} \\
\omega(h \tau) & =\omega\left(h\left(\tau_{0}+2 \tau_{1}+\cdots+2^{n-1} \tau_{n-1}\right)\right)=\omega(h)^{\tau_{0}} \omega(2 h)^{\tau_{1}} \cdots \omega\left(2^{n-1} h\right)^{\tau_{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
|\pi(\mathbf{b}, h)| & =\left|\sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in V_{n}}\left(\chi\left(b_{k}\right) \omega\left(2^{k} h\right)\right)^{\tau_{k}}\right|=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|1+\chi\left(b_{k}\right) \omega\left(2^{k} h\right)\right| \\
& =\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left|1-\left(\chi\left(b_{k}\right) \omega\left(2^{k} h\right)\right)^{2}\right|}{\left|1-\chi\left(b_{k}\right) \omega\left(2^{k} h\right)\right|}=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left|1-\omega\left(2^{k+1} h\right)\right|}{\left|1-\chi\left(b_{k}\right) \omega\left(2^{k} h\right)\right|} \\
& =\prod_{k \in K(\mathbf{b})} \frac{\left|1-\omega\left(2^{k} h\right)\right|}{\left|1+\omega\left(2^{k} h\right)\right|}=\prod_{k \in K(\mathbf{b})}\left|\tan \frac{\pi 2^{k} h}{r}\right| \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (8) in (7), we obtain the required result (6).
We cannot find acceptable upper bounds on $\Pi(\mathbf{b})$. Direct calculations show that $\Pi(\mathbf{b})$ essentially depends on the number $\mathrm{w}(\mathbf{b})$ of nonzero coordinates of $\mathbf{b}$. As a rule, $\Pi(\mathbf{b})$ is maximal if $\mathrm{w}(\mathbf{b})=n$.

If $\mathrm{w}(\mathbf{b})=1$, we can obtain the following bound

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi(\mathbf{b}) & =\frac{1}{r} \sum_{h=1}^{r-1}\left|\tan \frac{\pi h}{r}\right|=\frac{2}{r} \sum_{h=1}^{(r-1) / 2} \tan \frac{\pi h}{r} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{r} \tan \frac{\pi(r-1)}{2 r}+\frac{2}{r} \int_{1}^{(r-1) / 2} \tan \frac{\pi x}{r} d x \\
& =\frac{2}{r} \cot \frac{\pi}{2 r}+\frac{2}{\pi} \ln \cos \frac{\pi}{r}-\frac{2}{\pi} \ln \sin \frac{\pi}{2 r} \\
& =\frac{2}{r} \cot \frac{\pi}{2 r}+\frac{2}{\pi} \ln 2+\frac{2}{\pi} \ln \cot \frac{\pi}{r}+\frac{2}{\pi} \ln \cos \frac{\pi}{2 r} \\
& <\frac{2}{\pi}(2+\ln 2+\ln r-\ln \pi)<\frac{2}{\pi} \ln r+1 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

(cf. [3, Lemma 8.80]).
The estimate (5) can be used for a rather large $n$. In Table 1 for $n \leq 16$ we list tight lower and upper nonlinearity bounds for exponential substitutions.

| $n$ | $Q_{n}^{-}$ | $Q_{n}^{+}$ | $q_{n}^{-}$ | $q_{n}^{+}$ | $n$ | $Q_{n}^{-}$ | $Q_{n}^{+}$ | $q_{n}^{-}$ | $q_{n}^{+}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 10 | 56 | 132 | 3.5 | 8.25 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 84 | 166 | 3.71 | 7.34 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 12 | 136 | 240 | 4.25 | 7.5 |
| 6 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 196 | 378 | 4.33 | 8.35 |
| 7 | 16 | 22 | 2.83 | 3.89 | 14 | 308 | 604 | 4.81 | 9.44 |
| 8 | 26 | 36 | 3.25 | 4.5 | 15 | 450 | 1124 | 4.97 | 12.42 |
| 9 | 38 | 76 | 3.36 | 6.72 | 16 | 674 | 1504 | 5.27 | 11.75 |

Table 1: Nonlinearity bounds for exponential substitutions: $Q_{n}^{-} \leq 2^{n-1}-\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}) \leq Q_{n}^{+}$, $Q_{n}^{ \pm}=q_{n}^{ \pm} 2^{n / 2-1}$

## 5 Degrees of coordinate functions

A nonzero function $\sigma(\mathbf{x})=\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$ can be represented by a polynomial of the ring $\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right]$ (see [3, ch. 7$]$ ). While using $\mathbf{s}$ as a component of a block cipher, it is desirable that the degree $\operatorname{deg}(\sigma)$ of this polynomial is large, which makes more diffucult the application of higher order differential attacks [5].

It is easy to establish that $\operatorname{deg}(\sigma) \leq n-1$. Indeed, if the polynomial $\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ contains the monomial $x_{0} x_{1} \cdots x_{n-1}$, then the truth table of $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ contains odd number of 1 and hence is not balanced that contradicts the bijectivity of $\mathbf{s}$. The following theorem gives the lower bound on $\operatorname{deg}(\sigma)$.

Theorem 4. If s: $V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$ is an exponential substitution, then for any nonzero function $\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\sigma) \geq n-\left\lceil\log _{2}(n+1)\right\rceil
$$

where $\lceil z\rceil$ is the smallest integer $\geq z$.
Proof. Let, as before, $\alpha_{i}={\alpha^{2}}^{i}, i=0,1, \ldots$. For some nonzero $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and for all $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in V_{n}$ we have
$\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}^{x_{i}}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(\theta) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+x_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{aligned} 0, & x_{0}=\cdots=x_{n-1}=0, \\ \operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \alpha^{\overline{\mathrm{x}}}\right) & \text { otherwise. }\end{aligned}\right.$
Consider the difference operator $\Delta_{j}, 0 \leq j \leq n-1$, that is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{j} \sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) & =\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, 0, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \\
& +\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, 1, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta\left(1+\alpha_{j}\right) \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
i \neq j}} \alpha_{i}^{x_{i}}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(\theta) \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
i \neq j}}\left(1+x_{i}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The polynomial $x_{j} \Delta_{j} \sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ is a term in the polynomial $\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ and therefore

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\sigma) \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(\Delta_{j} \sigma\right)+1
$$

Let $g\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)$ be the function obtained by successively applying the operators $\Delta_{k}, \ldots, \Delta_{n-1}, k \geq 1$, to $\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$. We have

$$
g\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \beta \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}^{x_{i}}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(\theta) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(1+x_{i}\right)
$$

where

$$
\beta=\prod_{i=k}^{n-1}\left(1+\alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{2^{n-k}-1} \alpha_{k}^{t}=(1+\alpha)\left(1+\alpha_{k}\right)^{-1} \neq 0
$$

After deleting the first element $\operatorname{Tr}(\theta \beta+\theta)$ in the truth table of $g\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)$, we obtain the nonzero linear recurrence sequence

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(\theta \beta \alpha), \operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \beta \alpha^{2}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \beta \alpha^{2^{k}-1}\right)
$$

with a primitive characteristic polynomial of degree $n$. If $2^{k}-1 \geq n$, then this sequence must be nonzero. Therefore, for $k=\left\lceil\log _{2}(n+1)\right\rceil$

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\sigma) \geq \operatorname{deg}(g)+n-k \geq n-k
$$

which was to be proved.
The following theorem gives a criterion for nonzero functions of $\mathcal{L}(s)$ to have the maximal degree $n-1$. We remind that $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ is a normal element over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, if $a, a^{2}, \ldots, a^{2^{n-1}}$ form a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

Theorem 5. If s: $V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$ is an exponential substitution, then $\operatorname{deg}(\sigma)=n-1$ for all nonzero functions $\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$ if and only if $a=\alpha(1+\alpha)^{-1}$ is a normal element over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

Proof. Using notations introduced in the previous proof, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) & =\Delta_{n-1} \cdots \Delta_{j+1} \Delta_{j-1} \cdots \Delta_{0} \sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \alpha_{j}^{x_{j}} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
i \neq j}}\left(1+\alpha_{i}\right)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(\theta)\left(1+x_{j}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \alpha_{j}^{x_{j}}\left(1+\alpha_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(\theta)\left(1+x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is followed from

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+\alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{2^{n}-1} \alpha^{t}=1+\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2} n} \beta=1
$$

In addition,

$$
g_{j}(0)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta\left(\left(1+\alpha_{j}\right)^{-1}+1\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \alpha_{j}\left(1+\alpha_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)=g_{j}(1)
$$

Denote $a_{j}=\alpha_{j}\left(1+\alpha_{j}\right)^{-1}=a^{2^{j}}$. It is clear, that $\operatorname{deg}(\sigma)=n-1$ if and only if $g_{j}(0)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta a_{j}\right) \neq 0$ for some $j, 0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{deg}(\sigma)=n-1$ for all nonzero $\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$, then the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow V_{n}, \quad \theta \mapsto\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta a_{0}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta a_{n-1}\right)\right)
$$

consicts of the single (zero) element. But it means that $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

If the polynomial $x^{n}+1$ has $d$ distinct irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ of degrees $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}$, then there exist

$$
\Phi\left(x^{n}+1\right)=2^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n_{i}}}\right)
$$

distinct normal elements of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ (see [3, theorem 3.73]). The mapping $\mu: \alpha \mapsto$ $\alpha(1+\alpha)^{-1}$ sets up a bijection on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ and a normal element $\mu(\alpha)$ stated in Theorem 5 always exists, if

$$
\Phi\left(x^{n}+1\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n}-1\right)>2^{n}-2
$$

where $\varphi\left(2^{n}-1\right)$ is the number of distinct primitive elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

## 6 Propagation of single errors

Let $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$ and $p_{j}(\sigma)$ be the probability of $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ being changed given that $x_{j}$ is changed, i. e. the probability

$$
p_{j}(\sigma)=\mathbf{P}\left\{\sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{j}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \neq \sigma\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j}+1, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)\right\}
$$

under the assumption that $\mathbf{x}$ is a random vector uniformly distributed on $V_{n}$.
The technique used in the previous sections allows us to obtain the following propagation property of exponential substitutions.

Theorem 6. If $\mathbf{s}: V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$ is an exponential substitution, then for any nonzero $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \in$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$ and for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ it holds that

$$
\left|p_{j}(\sigma)-\frac{1}{2}\right|<\frac{\ln r}{\pi 2^{n / 2}}+\frac{1}{2^{n / 2+1}}+\frac{1}{2^{n-1}},
$$

where $r=2^{n}-1$.
Proof. We use notations introduced while proving Theorems 3 and 4. Obviously,

$$
p_{j}(\sigma)=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{n}} \mathbf{I}\left\{\Delta_{j} \sigma(\mathbf{x})=1\right\}
$$

Using 10$]$, for some nonzero $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ we have

$$
\Delta_{j} \sigma(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta\left(1+\alpha_{j}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha_{i+j+1}^{y_{i}}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(\theta) \prod_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(1+y_{i}\right),
$$

where $y_{i}=x_{(i+j+1) \bmod n}$. It means that

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{n}} \mathbf{I}\left\{\Delta_{j} \sigma(\mathbf{x})=1\right\}=2 \sum_{t=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \mathbf{I}\left\{s_{t}=1\right\}
$$

where $s_{0}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta \alpha_{j}\right)$, and $s_{t}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\theta\left(1+\alpha_{j}\right) \alpha_{j+1}^{t}\right), t=1,2, \ldots$, is a nonzero linear recurrence sequence with a primitive characteristic polynomial of degree $n$.

Let $l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2^{n}-1}$ be the truth table of the linear boolean function $l(\mathbf{x})=\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}\rangle$, $\mathbf{b}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}+l_{t}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \chi\left(s_{t}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2^{n-1}}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{t=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \mathbf{I}\left\{s_{t}=1\right\}+\sum_{t=2^{n-1}}^{r} \mathbf{I}\left\{s_{t}=1\right\} \\
& =2^{n-1}-2 \sum_{t=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \mathbf{I}\left\{s_{t}=1\right\}+\mathbf{I}\left\{s_{0}=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality holds since there are $2^{n-1}$ nonzero elements among $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}$. Thus

$$
\left|p_{j}(\sigma)-\frac{1}{2}\right|=\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left|\sum_{t=0}^{r} \chi\left(s_{t}+l_{t}\right)+\chi\left(s_{0}\right)-1\right|
$$

and following the proof of Theorem 3, it is easy to show that

$$
\left|p_{j}(\sigma)-\frac{1}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left|\chi\left(s_{0}\right)-\chi\left(s_{r}\right)+\chi\left(s_{0}\right)-1\right|+\frac{2^{n / 2}}{2^{n+1}} \Pi(\mathbf{b})
$$

Substituting (9) in the last expression, we obtain the result stated.

## 7 Conclusion

Return to the construction (1). The value of $s(\mathbf{x})$ can be calculated using no more than $2 n$ multiplications in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ (see, for example, [8, ch. 14]). With additional memory it might be possible to reduce the number of multiplications. Indeed, let $m \mid n$ and $n=d m$. Calculate and save values

$$
T_{i}(t)=\left(\alpha^{2^{m i}}\right)^{t}, \quad i=0, \ldots, d-1, \quad t=0, \ldots, 2^{m}-1
$$

Now, if $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}=2^{(d-1) m} t_{d-1}+\cdots+2^{m} t_{1}+t_{0}, 0 \leq t_{i}<2^{m}$, then

$$
s(\mathbf{x})=\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} T_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)
$$

and it is neccessary to make only $d-1$ multiplications. To store tables $T_{i}(t)$, we need $2^{m} n^{2} / m$ bits of memory. For example, if $n=32$ and $m=8$, we need 4 KBytes that is quite acceptable for software or hardware implementations (the same memory is used to store the four $S$-boxes $V_{8} \rightarrow V_{32}$ of Blowfish [12]). At the same time, values of $s(\mathbf{x})$ are calculated using only 3 multiplications in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{32}}$ and the dimension $n=32$ is "gigantic" for $S$-boxes of modern block ciphers.
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