Security Pitfalls of an efficient remote user authentication scheme using smart cards

Manoj Kumar

Abstract – In 2004, W. C. Ku and S. M. Chen proposed an efficient remote user authentication scheme using smart cards to solve the security problems of Chien et al.'s scheme. Recently, Hsu and Yoon et al. pointed out the security weakness of the Ku and Chen's scheme Furthermore, Yoon et al.'s scheme also proposed a new efficient remote user authentication scheme using smart cards. This paper analyzes the security pitfalls of Yoon et al's scheme and aims to show that the Yoon et al.'s scheme is still vulnerable to the password guessing attack and the insider attack¹.

Index Terms — Cryptography, Authentication, Smart cards, Password, Check digit.

I. INTRODUCTION

Password based remote user authentication schemes are used to check the validity of a login request made by a remote user U to gain the access rights on an *authentication server* (AS). In these schemes, the AS and the remote user U share a secret, which is often called as password. With the knowledge of this password, the remote user U uses it to create a valid login request to the AS. AS checks the validity of the login request to provide the access rights to the user U. Password authentication schemes with smart cards have a long history in the remote user authentication environment. So far different types of password authentication schemes with smarts cards [3] - [4] - [5] - [6] - [12] - [13] - [14] - [18] - [20] - [21] - [24] -[29] have been proposed.

Lamport [17] proposed the first well-known remote password authentication scheme using smart cards. In Lamport's scheme, the AS stores a password table at the server to check the validity of the login request made by the user. However, high hash overhead and the necessity for password resetting decrease the suitability and practical ability of Lamport's scheme. In addition, the Lamport scheme is vulnerable to a small n attack [7]. Since then, many similar schemes [23]-[26] have been proposed. They all have a common feature: a verification password table should be securely stored in the AS. Actually, this property is a disadvantage for the security point of view. If the password table is stolen /removed /modified by the adversary, the AS will be partially or totally braked/affected.

In 2002, Chien–Jan–Tseng [13] introduced an efficient remote user authentication scheme using smart cards. In 2004, Ku and Chen [31] pointed out some attacks [7]-[28]-[30] on Chien – Jan and Tseng's scheme. According to Ku and Chen,

Chien et al.'s scheme is vulnerable to a reflection attack [7] and an insider attack [30]. Ku and Chen claimed that Chien et al.'s scheme is also not reparable [28]. In addition, they also proposed an improved scheme to prevent the attacks: reflection attack and an insider attack on Chien–Jan–Tseng's scheme. In the same year, Hsu [10] pointed out that the Chien–Jan–Tseng's scheme is still vulnerable to a parallel session attack and Yoon et al. [11] claimed that the password change phase of improved scheme of Chien–Jan–Tseng's scheme is still insecure.

Contributions

This paper analyzes the security pitfalls of Yoon et al's scheme and aims to show that the Yoon et al.'s scheme is still vulnerable to the password guessing attack and insider attack.

Organization

Section II reviews the Ku and Chen's scheme [31]. Section III reviews Hsu [10] and Yoon et al.'s comments on Ku and Chen's scheme .Section IV reviews Yoon et al.'s scheme [11]. Section V is about our observations on the security pitfalls of Yoon et al.'s scheme. Finally, comes to a conclusion in the section VI.

II. REVIEW OF KU AND CHEN'S SCHEME

This section briefly describes Ku and Chen's scheme [31]. This scheme has four phases: the registration phase, login phase, verification phase and the password change phase. All these four phases are described below.

A. Registration Phase

This phase is invoked whenever U initially or re-registers to AS. Let n denotes the number of times U re-registers to AS. The following steps are involved in this phase.

- Step.R1: User U selects a random number b and computes $PW_S = f(b \oplus PW)$ and submits her/his identity ID and PW_S to the AS through a secure channel.
- Step.R2: AS computes a secret number $R = f(EID \oplus x) \oplus PW_S$, where EID = (ID || n) and creates an entry for the user U in his account database and stores n = 0 for initial registration, otherwise set n = n+1, and n denotes the present registration.
- Step.R3: AS provides a smart card to the user U through a secure channel. The smart card contains the secret number R and a one-way function f.
- Step.R4: User *U* enters his random number *b* into his smart card.

¹ Manoj Kumar is with the Department of Applied Sciences and Humanities, Sharda Group of Institutions (*SGI*), Jawahar Nagar, Khandri, Agra, India - 282004, (<u>e-mail:</u> Balyanyamu@rediffmail.com, Chayayamu@yahoo.co.in)

Manoj Kumar: On the security of an efficient remote user authentication scheme using smart cards

B. Login Phase

For login, the user U inserts her/his smart card to the smart card reader and then keys the identity and the password to gain the access services. The smart card will perform the following operations:

Step.L1: Computes $C_1 = R \oplus f$ ($b \oplus PW$) and $C_2 = f$ ($C_1 \oplus T_U$). Here T_U denotes the current date and time of the smart card reader.

Step.L2: Sends a login request $C = (ID, C_2, T_U)$ to the AS.

C. Verification Phase

Assume AS receives the message C at time T_S where T_S is the current date and time at AS. Then the AS takes the following actions:

- Step.V1: If the identity ID and the time T_U are not valid, then AS will rejects this login request.
- Step.V2: Checks, if $C_2 = f(f(EID \oplus x) \oplus T_U)$, then the AS accepts the login request and computes $C_3 = f(f(EID \oplus x) \oplus T_S)$. Otherwise, the login request C will be rejected.
- Step.V3: AS sends the pair T_S and C_3 to the user U for mutual authentication.

Step.V4: If the time T_S is invalid *i.e.* $T_U = T_S$, then U terminates the session. Otherwise, the user U

verifies the equation $C_3 = f (C_1 \oplus T_S)$ to authenticate AS.

D. Password Change Phase

This phase is invoked whenever U wants to change his password PW with a new password, say PW_{new} . This phase has the following steps.

- Step.P1: U inserts her/his smart card to the smart card reader keys the identity and the password and then requests to change the password. Next, U enters a new password PW_{new} .
- Step.P2: U's smart cards computes a new secret number R_{new} = $R \oplus f (b \oplus PW) \oplus f (b \oplus PW_{new})$ and then replaces R with R_{new} .

III. REVIEW OF HSU AND YOON ET AL.'S COMMENT ON THE KU AND CHEN'S SCHEME

A. Hsu's Comment

According to Hsu, Ku and Chen's scheme is vulnerable to a parallel session attack [10]. The intruder Bob intercepts the communication between the AS and user U and then from this intercepted information, he makes a valid login request to masquerade as a legal user. The intruder Bob applies the following steps for a successful parallel session attack.

- ✓ Intercepts the login request $C = (ID, C_2, T_U)$ which is sent by a valid user U to AS.
- ✓ Intercepts the response message (C_3 , T_s), which is sent by AS to he user U.
- ✓ Starts a new session with the AS by sending a fabricated login request $C_f = (ID, C_3, T_S)$.

The fabricated login request passes all the requirements for a successful authentication of the intruder Bob by the AS, due to the fact that the second part, C_3 , of the login request also

satisfies the verification equation $C_3 = f(f(EID \oplus x) \oplus T_S)$.

✓ Finally, AS computes $C_4 = f$ (f (EID ⊕ x) ⊕ T_S) and responses with the message pair (T_S^* , C_4) to the user U for mutual authentication, where is the current timestamp of the AS. Thus, the intruder intercepts and drops this message

B. Yoon et al.'s Comment on Ku and Chen's Scheme

According to Yoon et al., the password change phase of Ku and Chen's scheme is insecure. When the smart card was stolen, an authorized user can easily replace the old password by a new password of her/his choice. First, the authorized user inters the smart card into the smart card reader, enters the identity *ID* and any password PW^* of her/his choice and then requests to change the password. Next, the authorized user enters a new password PW^*_{new} and then the smart card computes a new $R^*_{new} = R \oplus f(b \oplus PW^*) \oplus f(b \oplus PW^*_{new})$ and then replaces *R* with R^*_{new} , without any checking.

Thus, if the malicious user stole the user U's smart card once, only for a small time and then change the valid password with an arbitrary password PW^* , then the registered/ legal user U also will not be able to make a valid login request. The AS will not authenticate a registered user U, because $C_2 \neq f$ (f (EID $\oplus x$) $\oplus T_U$) in the verification phase.

IV. YOON ET AL.'S SCHEME

This section briefly describes Yoon et al.'s scheme [11]. This scheme also has four phases: the registration phase, login phase, verification phase and the password change phase. All these four phases are described below.

A. Registration Phase

This phase is invoked whenever U initially or re-registers to AS. Let n denotes the number of times U re-registers to AS. The following steps are involved in this phase.

- ★ User *U* selects a random number *b* and computes PW_S = $f(b \oplus PW)$ and submits her/his identity *ID* and PW_S to the *AS* through a secure channel.
- ★ AS computes two secret numbers $V = f(EID \oplus x)$ and $R = f(EID \oplus x) \oplus PW_S$, where EID = (ID || n) and creates an entry for the user U in his account database and stores n = 0 for initial registration, otherwise set n = n+1, and n denotes the present registration.
- ✤ AS provides a smart card to the user U through a secure channel. The smart card contains two secret numbers V, R and a one-way function f.
- User U enters her/his random number b into his smart card.

B. Login Phase

For login, the user U inserts her/his smart card to the smart card reader and then keys the identity and the password to

gain access services. The smart card will perform the following operations:

- ★ Computes $C_1 = R \oplus f (b \oplus PW)$ and $C_2 = f (C_1 \oplus T_U)$. Here T_U denotes the current date and time of the smart card reader.
- Sends a login request $C = (ID, C_2, T_U)$ to the AS.
- C. Verification Phase

Assume AS receives the message C at time T_S , where T_S is the current date and time at AS. Then the AS takes the following actions:

- If the identity *ID* and the time T_U is invalid *i.e.* $T_U = T_S$, then *AS* will rejects this login request.
- ★ Checks, if $C_2 = f(f(EID \oplus x) \oplus T_U)$, then the AS accepts the login request and computes $C_3 = f(f(EID \oplus x) \oplus T_S)$. Otherwise, the login request C will be rejected.
- ♦ AS sends the pair T_S and C_3 to the user U for mutual authentication.
- ★ If the time T_S is invalid *i.e.* $T_U = T_S$, then U terminates the session. Otherwise, U verifies the equation $C_3 \stackrel{?}{=} f$ $(C_1 \oplus T_S)$ to authenticates AS.

D. Password Change Phase

This phase is invoked whenever U wants to change his password PW with a new one, say PW_{new} . This phase has the following steps.

- U inserts her/his smart card to the smart card reader and then keys her/his identity and the old password PW and then requests to change the password.
- ♦ *U*'s smart cards computes $V^* = R \oplus f(b \oplus PW)$.
- Compare this calculated value V* with the secret value V, which is stored in the smart card of the user U. If they are equal, then U can select a new password PW_{new}, otherwise the smart card rejects the password change request.
- ★ U's smart cards computes a new secret number $R_{new} = V^* \oplus f(b \oplus PW_{new})$ and then replaces R with R_{new} .

V. OUR SECURITY ANALYSIS: CRYPTANALYSIS OF THE YOON ET AL.'S SCHEME

Although, Yoon et al. [11] modified the registration, verification and password change phase of Ku and Chen's scheme to remove its security weaknesses. But the modified scheme of Yoon et al. cannot withstand password guessing attack and the insider attack by the insider of *AS*. This section shows that the modified scheme is vulnerable to password guessing attack and the insider attack by an adversary/insider of *AS* and hence the security weaknesses still exist in the Yoon et al.'s scheme.

A. Password Guessing Attack

This section proves that in Yoon et al.'s scheme; an adversary is able to obtain the initial password PW as well as the renewal PW_{new} of a legal user U. The following subsections clearly show how an adversary can obtained the password.

1). Attack via the Initial Password PW

The smart card of a legal user U in Yoon et al.'s scheme contains: the secret value V, R, a random number b and a hash function f. According to Kocher et al. [22] and Messerges et al. [29], for the security point of view, to store the secret information in smart cards is not a good practice. On the basis of these assumptions [22]-[29], we claim that the Yoon et al.'s modified scheme is still insecure and that is under the threat of poor reparability. Although, Yoon et al. proposed a modified scheme, but they repeated the same mistake, as by the former researchers, Chien et al. and Ku -Chen: store the secret value V, R and a random number b in the smart cards of the users. If an adversary can obtain the secret value R from the smart cards, then he can obtain the secret number V and b also [31]. Once an adversary has obtained the stored values V, R and b from the smart cards of the user U, then he can perform a password guessing attack to obtain the password. For the success of this attack, by using the breached secrets R and b, the adversary will perform the following operations:

- Step. 1: Intercepts the login request $C = (ID, C_2, T_U)$ and guesses a password PW^* .
- Step. 2: Computes $C_1^* = R \oplus f(b \oplus PW^*) = f(ID \oplus x)^*$ and $C_2^* = f(C_1^* \oplus T_U).$
- Step. 3: Checks if $C_2^* = C_2$, then the adversary has correctly guessed the password $PW^* = PW$ and $C_1^* = C_1$. Otherwise, the adversary goes to step: 1.

Once the adversary has correctly obtained C_1 , instantly, the password PW^* corresponding to C_1 will be the correct password and then successfully, he can impersonate the legal user U.

2.) Attack via the new Password PW_{new}

According to Yoon et al., the password change phase of Ku and Chen's scheme is insecure. With this reason, they modified the password change phase of Ku and Chen's scheme.

Although, in the password change phase of Yoon et al.'s scheme, only the legal user U is able to replace the old password PW with a new password PW_{new} . But the security parameters are remaining the same: now the smart card contains the older secret V, a new secret R_{new} and the same random secret b in it.

As described above, we can easily observe that there is no new change in the security parameters through the password change phase against the password guessing attacks. Since all the security parameters and the security environment are remains the same as they were before the password change phase, hence these new security parameters cannot defend the password guessing attack and the adversary is still able to guess the new password PW_{new} in the same manner as described earlier: *attack via the initial password PW*.

B. Insider Attack

This section proves that Yoon et al.'s scheme is not free from the insider attack. Although, in Yoon et al.'s scheme, the user U registers herself/himself to AS by sending the number $PW_S = f (b \oplus PW)$, instead of PW, hence the insider of AS cannot directly obtain the password PW. In this way, also the random number b will not be reveal to the insider of AS. But, we analyze and observe the above situation in a different frame of reference and claim that Yoon et al.'s scheme is not free from the insider attack. This section is divided into two sub-sections, which clearly show how an insider of AS will be able to impersonate the legal user U. The following description proves our claims.

This next discussion proves how an insider of AS will successfully impersonate a legal user U by an insider attack through the initially registered ID. In Yoon et al.'s scheme, the value $PW_S = f(b \oplus PW)$, thus, the insider of AS is not able to obtain the secret number b and the password PW of a registered user U. This argument is the backbone of cryptography and we are not against this one-way property of hash function. But, in our observation the insider of AS is able to attack Yoon et al.'s scheme through a different way.

For the further discussion, first we have to reconsidered the registration phase of Yoon et al.'s scheme and then analyze how this registration phase is responsible for the vulnerability of the Yoon et al.'s scheme against the insider attack of the insider of *AS*. In this reference, take the following *three true conditions* into consideration:

- In the registration phase, the User U selects a random number b and computes PW_S = f (b ⊕ PW) and submits her/his identity ID and PW_S to the AS through a secure channel. It means the insider of AS is in possession of the number PW_S = f (b ⊕ PW) for the legal user U.
- ★ In the registration phase, the AS computes two secret numbers $V = f(EID \oplus x)$ and $R = f(EID \oplus x) \oplus PW_s$, where EID = (ID || n). Thus, the insider of AS is also in possession of the secret numbers V and R for the legal user U.
- A remote user password authentication is used to authenticate the legitimacy of the remote users over an insecure channel.

It is clear that the malicious insider of AS utilizes these three conditions freely and he can send a valid login request to AS or another server AS^* , where the user U uses the same password PW to access several services for her/his convenience. At this stage, because the insider of AS is in the possession of the secret number R and another important information $PW_S = f(b \oplus PW)$, hence by intercepting a valid login request $C = (ID, C_2, T_U)$ emitted from the user U, a malicious insider of AS (attacker) can construct another fabricated login request L_f such that L_f passes the authentication phase of Yoon et al.'s scheme. The AS / AS^* cannot distinguish between the authentic login request *C* and the fabricated login request L_f . The following discussion claims how to do that, whenever the insider of *AS* (attacker) wants to gain the access right.

- ★ First, the insider of AS Computes $C_1^* = R \oplus PW_S$ and $C_2^* = f(C_1^* \oplus T_U^*)$. Here T_U^* denotes the current date and time.
- Secondly, the insider of AS delivers the fabricated login request $L_f = (ID, C_2^*, T_U^*)$ to the AS/AS^{*}.

After receiving the fabricated login request $L_f = (ID, C_2^*, T_U^*)$, the AS / AS^* will authenticate the insider of AS (adversary) as a legal user U and grants the access rights to her/him. The success of the authentication phase is shown below.

Assume AS / AS^* receives the fabricated login request $L_f = (ID, C_2^*, T_U^*)$, at time T_S^* , where T_S^* is the current date and time at AS / AS^* . Then the AS / AS^* takes the following actions to authenticate the insider of AS.

- ♦ Check, the validity of the *ID* and the time T_U^* . It is obviously true because the insider of *AS* has been used a previously registered identity *ID* and the current date and time.
- Check the verification equation $C_2^* = f(f(EID \oplus x) \oplus T_U^*)$, which is also obviously holds, then AS / AS^* computes $C_3 = f(f(EID \oplus x) \oplus T_S^*)$.
- ♦ AS sends the pair T_S^* and C_3 to the user U for mutual authentication.
- ♦ Obviously, the time T_s^* is valid (since, $T_U^* \neq T_s^*$) and ? $(C_1 \oplus T_s^*)$ is also holds true to authenticates AS/AS^* .

In this way, the AS/AS^* accepts and then authenticates the fabricated login request L_f , that is made by the insider of AS. Consequently, the AS/AS^* provides all access rights of the legal user U to the insider of AS. Thus, the insider of AS works as an intruder and she/he is able to impersonate a valid user U, who holds a valid pair of the identity ID and the corresponding password PW.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper analyzed the security lapses of Yoon et al.'s scheme and proved that the modified scheme of Yoon et al.'s scheme is still vulnerable to the password guessing attack and the insider attack as well. Actually, the secret information V,R and b, which is stored in the smart card of the user U, is responsible for the password guessing attacks and the registration phase is responsible for the insider attacks. As, we have observed that Yoon et al. just consider the security problems in the password change phase of Ku and Chen's scheme and repairs that phase only. They again presented a modified scheme with same security parameters as it was with previous security parameters. Thus, the security pitfalls are still exists in Yoon et al.'s scheme.

Manoj Kumar: On the security of an efficient remote user authentication scheme using smart cards

REFERENCES

- A. J. Menezes, P. C. vanOorschot and S. A. Vanstone, *Handbook of Applied Cryptography*, pp. 490 524, 1997.
- [2] C. C. Chang and K. F. Hwang, "Some forgery attack on a remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," *Infomatics*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 189 - 294, 2003.
- [3] C. C. Chang and S. J. Hwang, "Using smart cards to authenticate remote passwords," *Computers and Mathematics with applications*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 19-27, 1993.
- [4] C. C. Chang and T. C. Wu, "Remote password authentication with smart cards," *IEE Proceedings-E*, vol. 138, no. 3, pp. 165-168, 1993.
- [5] C. C. Lee, L. H. Li and M. S. Hwang, "A remote user authentication scheme using hash functions," ACM Operating Systems Review, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 23-29, 2002.
- [6] C. C. Lee, M. S. Hwang and W. P. Yang, "A flexible remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," ACM Operating Systems Review, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 46-52, 2002.
- [7] C. J. Mitchell and I. Chen, "Comments on the S/KEY user authentication scheme," ACM Operating System Review, vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 12-16, Oct 1996.
- [8] C. K. Chan and L. M. Cheng, "Cryptanalysis of a remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 46, pp. 992-993, 2000.
- [9] C. Mitchell, "Limitation of a challenge- response entity authentication," Electronic Letters, vol. 25, No.17, pp. 1195- 1196, Aug 1989.
- [10] C.L Hsu, "Security of Chien et al.'s remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," *Computer Standards and Interfaces*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 167 - 169, 2004.
- [11] E. J. Yoon, E. K. Ryu and K. Y. Yoo, Further improvement of an efficient password based remote user authentication scheme using smart cards", *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 612-614, May 2004.
- [12] H. M. Sun, "An efficient remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 958-961, Nov 2000.
- [13] H. Y. Chien, J.K. Jan and Y. M. Tseng, "An efficient and practical solution to remote authentication: smart card," *Computer & Security*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 372-375, 2002.
- [14] J. J. Shen, C. W. Lin and M. S. Hwang, "A modified remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 414-416, May 2003.
- [15] K. C. Leung, L. M. Cheng, A. S. Fong and C. K. Chen, "Cryptanalysis of a remote user authentication scheme using smart cards", *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 1243-1245, Nov 2003.
- [16] L. H. Li, I. C. Lin and M. S. Hwang, "A remote password authentication scheme for multi-server architecture using neural networks," *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1498-1504, 2001.
- [17] L. Lamport, "Password authentication with insecure communication," communication of the ACM, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 770-772, 1981.
- [18] M. Kumar, "New remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 597-600, May 2004.
- [19] M. Kumar, "Some remarks on a remote user authentication scheme using smart cards with forward secrecy." *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 615-618, May 2004.
- [20] M. S. Hwang and L. H. Li, "A new remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 28-30, Feb 2000.
- [21] M. Udi, "A simple scheme to make passwords based on the one-way function much harder to crack," *Computer and Security*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 171 - 176, 1996.

- [22] P. Kocher, J. Jaffe and B. Jun, "Differential power analysis," Proc. Advances in Cryptography (CRYPTO'99), pp. 388-397, 1999.
- [23] R. E. Lennon, S. M. Matyas and C. H. Mayer, "Cryptographic authentication of time-variant quantities." *IEEE Trans. on Commun., COM -29*, no. 6, pp. 773 - 777, 1981.
- [24] S. J. Wang, "Yet another login authentication using N-dimensional construction based on circle property," *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 49, No. 2, pp. 337-341, May 2003.
- [25] S. M. Yen and K.H. Liao, "Shared authentication token secure against replay and weak key attack," *Information Processing Letters*, pp. 78-80, 1997.
- [26] T. C. Wu, "Remote login authentication scheme based on a geometric approach," *Computer Communication*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 959 - 963, 1995.
- [27] T. ElGamal, "A public key cryptosystem and a signature scheme based on discrete logarithms," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 31, No. 4, pp. 469-472, July 1985.
- [28] T. Hwang and W.C. Ku, "Reparable key distribution protocols for internet environments," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 43, No. 5, pp. 1947-1950, May 1995.
- [29] T. S. Messerges, E. A. Dabbish and R. H. Sloan, "Examining smart card security under the threat of power analysis attacks," *IEEE Trans.* on Computers, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 541–552, May 2002.
- [30] W. C. Ku, C. M. Chen and H. L. Lee, "Cryptanalysis of a variant of Peyravian- Zunic's password authentication scheme," *IEICE Trans. Commun*, vol. E86- B, no. 5, pp. 1682 –1684, May 2002.
- [31] W. C. Ku and S. M. Chen, "Weaknesses and improvements of an efficient password based user authentication scheme using smart cards," *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronic*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 204 –207, Feb 2004.
- [32] Y. L. Tang, M. S. Hwang and C. C. Lee, "A simple remote user authentication scheme," *Mathematical and Computer Modeling*, vol. 36, pp. 103 - 107, 2002.



Manoj Kumar received the B.Sc. degree in mathematics from Meerut University Meerut, in 1993; the M. Sc. in Mathematics (Goldmedalist) from C.C.S.University Meerut, in 1995; the M.Phil. (Goldmedalist) in Cryptography, from Dr. B.R. A. University Agra, in 1996; submitted the Ph.D. thesis in Cryptography, in 2003. He also taught applied DAV Mathematics at College. Muzaffarnagar, India from Sep, 1999 to March, 2001; at S.D. College of Technology, Engineering &

Muzaffarnagar, and U.P., India from March, 2001 to Nov, 2001; at Hindustan College of Science & Technology, Farah, Mathura, continue since Nov, 2001. He also qualified the *National Eligibility Test* (NET), conducted by *Council of Scientific and Industrial Research* (CSIR), New Delhi- India, in 2000. He is a member of Indian Mathematical Society, Indian Society of Mathematics and Mathematical Science, Ramanujan Mathematical society, and Cryptography Research Society of India. His current research interests include Cryptography, Numerical analysis, Pure and Applied Mathematics.