

# Unlinkability of a Group Signature

Zhou Sujing<sup>1</sup> and Lin Dongdai<sup>1</sup>

SKLOIS Lab, Institute of Software Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China  
zhousujing@is.iscas.ac.cn

**Abstract.** Miyaji et.al proposed a fully functional(i.e., satisfying unforgeability, exculpability,anonymity, traceability, unlinkability, and revocability.) group signature over only known-order groups, that is based only on Discrete logarithm related assumptions, specifically, multiple DLP they proposed in the same paper [MU04]. In this paper, we point out their scheme does not have unlinkability.

**Keywords:** Digital Signature, Group Signature.

## 1 Introduction

A group signature scheme is a signature scheme that has multiple secret keys corresponding to a single public key. A group signature should at least include the following 5 algorithms: SETUP, JOIN, SIGN, VERIFY and OPEN. SETUP is executed by a group manager, GM for short; JOIN is an interactive protocol between group members and GM; SIGN is an algorithm run by group members; any one can execute VERIFY to check the validity of a given group signature; OPEN is used by GM, or a separate Opener when available, to open a given signature for the identity of its signer.

A secure group signature should at least have the following properties, as defined in [ACJT00]: **unforgeability**, only group members are able to sign on behalf of the group; **exculpability**, neither a group member nor the group manager can sign on behalf of other group members; **unlinkability**, deciding whether two different signatures were signed by the same group member is computationally hard; **anonymity**, identifying the signer given a signature is computationally hard except for the group manager, or Opener; **traceability**, the group manager or Opener is able to open a signature and identify the signer; moreover, a signer cannot prevent the opening of a valid signature; **coalition-resistance**, a colluding subset of group members cannot generate valid group signatures that cannot be opened.

Miyaji et.al proposed a fully functional(i.e., satisfying unforgeability, exculpability,anonymity, traceability, unlinkability, and revocability.) group signature over only known-order groups, that is based only on Discrete logarithm related assumptions, specifically, multiple DLP they proposed in the same paper [MU04].

In this paper, we point out their scheme does not have unlinkability.

## 2 Miyaji and Umeda's Group Signature

1. SETUP. The group manager GM chooses two groups  $G_q, G_P$  with order  $q, P(= pq)$  ( $p, q$  are primes) respectively, randomly chooses  $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G_q$ , and  $h \in_R G_P$ , and  $x \in_R Z_q$ , set  $y_1 = g_1^x, y_2 = g_3^x$ . Group public keys are  $Y = \{q, P, G_q, G_P, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, h, y_1, y_2\}$ . GM's secret key is  $S = \{x\}$ .
2. JOIN. When a user denoted as  $P_i$  wants to join the group, he runs an interactive protocol with GM
  - $P_i$  randomly selects one of his secret keys  $x_i \in Z_q$  and sets  $z_i := g_2^{x_i}$ .
  - GM randomly chooses  $w_i \in Z_q$ , computes  $A_i = z_i g_1^{-w_i}, b_i = w_i - A_i x$ , sends them to  $P_i$ .
  - $P_i$  verifies that  $A_i y_1^{A_i} g_1^{b_i} = z_i$ . $P_i$ 's secret keys is  $x_i$ , and he also got a certificate  $(A_i, b_i)$  from GM.
3. SIGN.  $P_i$  signs on  $m$  chooses  $w \in_R Z_q$ , calculates  $T_1 = h^{g_3^w}, T_2 = T_1^{g_4^{b_i}}, T_3 = g_3^{b_i} g_4^w, T_4 := A_i g_3^w, T_5 := y_2^w$ , generates two signatures of proof of knowledge  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ .
4. VERIFY, OPEN and Revocation. Omitted here because they are unrelated with our analysis of unlinkability.

### 3 Analysis of Unlinkability

Suppose two group signatures are given:  $(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4, T_5, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $(T'_1, T'_2, T'_3, T'_4, T'_5, \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$ , if they are signed by the same member, then we have the following equations:

$$T_1^{T_4} = h^{g_3^{A_i g_3^{w'}}} \bmod P = h^{A_i g_3^{w+w'}} \bmod P = T_1'^{T_4} \quad (1)$$

$$h^{T_4/T_4} = T_1/T_1' \quad (2)$$

Either one will be sufficient to link any two signatures.

### References

- [ACJT00] G. Ateniese, J. Camenisch, M. Joye, and G. Tsudik. A practical and provably secure coalition-resistant group signature scheme. In *Crypto'00*, LNCS 1880, pages 255–270. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2000.
- [MU04] Atsuko Miyaji and Kozue Umeda. A fully-functional group signature scheme over only known-order group. In *ACNS 2004*, LNCS 3089, 2004.