FAST GENERATORS FOR THE DIFFIE-HELLMAN KEY AGREEMENT PROTOCOL AND MALICIOUS STANDARDS

BOAZ TSABAN

ABSTRACT. The Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol is based on taking large powers of a generator of a prime-order cyclic group. Some generators allow faster exponentiation. We show that to a large extent, using the fast generators is as secure as using a randomly chosen generator. On the other hand, we show that if there is some case in which fast generators are less secure, then this could be used by a malicious authority to generate a standard for the Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol which has a hidden trapdoor.

1. Introduction

The Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol [2] is one of the most celebrated means for two parties, say Alice and Bob, to agree on a secret key over an insecure communication channel. Alice and Bob make their computations in some previously fixed cyclic group G with an agreed generator g. The protocol is defined as follows:

- (1) Alice chooses a random¹ $a \in \{1, ..., |G| 1\}$, and sends g^a to Bob.
- (2) Bob chooses a random $b \in \{1, ..., |G| 1\}$, and sends g^b to Alice.

The agreed key is g^{ab} , which can be computed both by Alice $((g^b)^a)$ and by Bob $((g^a)^b)$.

Due to the Pohling-Hellman attack [4] (which exploits the Chinese Remainder Theorem), it is preferred that the order of the group be prime, which is henceforth assumed.

Consider, for example, the case $g \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Let q be the (prime) order of the generated group $G = \langle g \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Computing g^x for $x \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ consists of squaring and multiplying. If g = 2, then

Key words and phrases. Diffie-Hellman Problem, Discrete Logarithm Problem, fast generators, trapdoor.

 $^{^{1}}$ Throughout the paper, by random we mean uniformly random and independent of earlier samples.

the multiplication operation amounts to shifting and taking modular reduction. For $h \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$,

$$2h \bmod p = \begin{cases} 2h & h < p/2 \\ 2h - p & p/2 \le h \end{cases}$$

which is computationally negligible in comparison to multiplying by a random g. In standard square-and-multiply implementations this saves about 33% of the computational complexity of evaluating g^x (in fact, squaring can often be done more efficiently than general multiplication, so this saves more). Thus, if $2 \in G$, we may wish to chose it as our generator.

We show that, in the common interpretation, this can be done with no loss of security. On the other hand, we show that if there is a conceivable way to make some generators weaker than random ones, then this can be used by an authority of standards to find parameters for the Diffie-Hellman protocol with a trapdoor allowing the authority to exploit these weaknesses. In the appendix we give an example of a public-key cryptosystem based on this phenomenon.

The results also apply to choices of efficient generators in other groups, e.g., low hamming weight polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{a^m}^*$.

2. A fast generator is almost as secure

Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be a cyclic group of prime order p. Let $f \in G$ be any element except the identity. Then f is a generator of G. In the intended application, f is chosen so that the computation of f^x is more efficient (we call f a $fast\ generator$), or that its usage is convenient for some other reason.

Fix $h \in G$. An algorithm DH_h (depending on h) is said to solve the Diffie-Hellman Problem (DHP) for base h if, for each $x, y \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, $DH_h(h^x, h^y) = h^{xy}$.

Henceforth, for a number $r \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, $r^{-1} \mod p$ denotes the element s of $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ such that $sr = 1 \pmod p$.

Theorem 1. Assume that for some $f \in G \setminus \{1\}$, there exists an algorithm DH_f to solve the DHP for base f, in running time T(f). Then for each $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, there is an algorithm DH_g which solves the DHP for base g in running time $O(T(f) \cdot \log p)$.

Proof. Given g, there exists a unique $r \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$ such that $g = f^r$.

Lemma 2. Given f^r , we can compute $f^{r^{-1} \mod p}$ using at most $2 \log p$ queries to DH_f .

Proof. By Fermat's Little Theorem, $r^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$, and therefore

$$r^{p-2} = r^{-1} \pmod{p}.$$

We can compute $f^{r^{-1}} = f^{r^{p-2}}$ using DH_f in a square-and-multiply manner: Write p-2 in base 2 as $b_0+b_1\cdot 2+\cdots+b_n\cdot 2^n$, $b_n\neq 0$ (then $n\leq \log_2 p$). Let $f_0=f^r$. For each $i=1,2,\ldots,n$ compute $h_i=DH_f(f_{i-1},f_{i-1})$, and let $f_i=h_i$ if $b_{n-i}=1$, and $f_i=DH_f(h_i,f_0)$ otherwise. Then $f_n=f^{r^{p-2}}$.

Now, assume that we are given g^x, g^y and we wish to find g^{xy} . Recall that $g = f^r$. Compute $f^{r^{-1}}$ as in Lemma 2, and proceed with

$$DH_f(f^{r^{-1}}, g^y) = DH_f(f^{r^{-1}}, f^{ry}) = f^{r^{-1}ry} = f^y,$$

and

$$\mathrm{DH}_f(g^x, f^y) = \mathrm{DH}_f(f^{rx}, f^y) = f^{rxy} = g^{xy}.$$

Remark 3 (Amplification). Theorem 1 generalizes to various other settings. For example, assume that DH_f only solves the DHP with probability ϵ , i.e., for each $z \neq xy \pmod{p}$,

$$\Pr[\mathrm{DH}_f(f^x, f^y) = f^{xy}] \ge \Pr[\mathrm{DH}_f(f^x, f^y) = f^z] + \epsilon.$$

Then DH_f can be transformed to an algorithm which succeeds in probability arbitrarily close to 1: Choose random $r,s\in\{1,\ldots,p-1\}$, compute $f^{xr}=(f^x)^r,\ f^{ys}=(f^s)^y$, and $h=\mathrm{DH}_f(f^{xr},f^{ys})$. If the output h was correct, then

$$h = f^{xrys} = f^{xyrs}.$$

Let $t = (rs)^{-1} \pmod{p}$. Then, in the case of correct output h, $h^t = f^{xy}$. We can repeat this $O(1/\epsilon^2)$ times to get f^{xy} as the most frequent value almost certainly.

Having the algorithm transformed to one which succeeds in probability very close to 1, the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1 apply. These assertions apply to all problems mentioned in this paper.

The closely related Discrete Logarithm Problem is much easier to deal with: An algorithm DL_h is said to solve the *Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP)* for base h if, for each $x \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$, $DL_h(h^x) = x$.

Theorem 4. Assume that $f \in G \setminus \{1\}$, and there exists an algorithm DL_f to solve the DLP for base f, in running time T(f). Then for each $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, there is an algorithm DL_g which solves the DLP for base g in running time O(T(f)).

Proof. Given g^x , find x using the following sequence of computations: $r = \mathrm{DL}_f(g)$, $rx = \mathrm{DL}_f(f^{rx}) = \mathrm{DL}_f(g^x)$, $s = r^{-1} \bmod p$, and x = srx.

A closely related problem remains open: An algorithm DDH_h is said to solve the *Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problem (DDH)* for base h [1] if, for each $x, y, z \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$, $DDH_h(h^x, h^y, h^z) = 1$ if, and only if, z = xy.

Problem 5. Assume that $f \in G \setminus \{1\}$, and there exists an algorithm DDH_f to solve the DDH for base f, in running time T(f). Does there exist, for each $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, an algorithm DDH_g which solves the DDH for base g in running time polynomial in $T(f) \cdot \log p$?

3. Malicious standards

One can still figure out models of security for which it is not clear that using fast generators is as secure as using a random generator. For example, assume that the following holds.

Scenario 6 (Malicious Diffie-Hellman (MDH)).

(1) There exist $f \in G \setminus \{1\}$, a function F, and an algorithm DH_f such that for each $x, y \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$,

$$DH_f(f^x, f^y) = F(f^{xy}).$$

- (2) For a random $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, $F(g^{xy})$ cannot be efficiently extracted from g^x and g^y .
- (3) For random $x, y, F(f^{xy})$ has enough entropy to generate a key for symmetric encryption (e.g., 80 bits).

Remark 7. While it seems unlikely that MDH could hold, we should note that the field is full of surprises. For example, in [3] it is shown that there are some groups where the Diffie-Hellman Problem is difficult and the Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problem (see Section 2) is easy.

If MDH holds, then DH_f reveals some information on the agreed key obtained by the Diffie-Hellman protocol using f as a generator. In an extreme case, the function F could be the hash function which Alice and Bob use to derive from f^{ab} a key for symmetric encryption. However, in general it is not clear how to use DH_f to reveal the same information g^{ab} for a random generator g. Of course, there is a random $r \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ such that $g = f^r$ and therefore

$$DH_f(g^a, g^b) = DH_f(f^{ra}, f^{rb}) = F(f^{r^2ab}) = F(g^{rab}),$$

but rab is a random element of $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and independent of ab, so this information is of no use. Similar assertions hold for the Discrete Logarithm Problem.

Consequently, it might be the case that fast generators are not as secure as random ones. While we are unable to prove the impossibility

of Scenario 6, we can show that if it is true, then we cannot trust given standards for the Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol, unless we know how they were generated.

Assume that MDH holds. Then an authority of standards can do the following: Choose a uniformly random $trapdoor\ t \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, compute $g = f^t$, and suggest (G, p, g) as the standard's parameters for the Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol. As t was uniformly random, g is a uniformly random generator of G, so there is no way to know that it was chosen in a malicious way. Now, assume that Alice sends Bob g^a and Bob sends Alice g^b . For everyone else but the authority of standards, deducing information on the agreed key g^{ab} is impossible.

Claim 8. For all $a, b \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$, the authority of standards can compute $F(g^{ab})$ efficiently.

Proof. Using the trapdoor t, compute $t^{-1} \mod p$, and $(g^b)^{t^{-1}}$, which is the same as $f^{tbt^{-1}} = f^b$. Now, compute $F(f^{rab}) = \mathrm{DH}_f(f^{ra}, f^b)$. But $f^{rab} = g^{ab}$.

Consequently, the authority of standards can decrypt the messages sent between Alice and Bob.

In the appendix we indicate a possible positive consequence of the MDH. We believe that many more can be derived from it. The proof of the impossibility of MDH under mild hypotheses, or the construction of a system for which MDH holds, are fascinating challenges.

Appendix A.

A PUBLIC-KEY CRYPTOSYSTEM FROM THE MALICIOUS DIFFIE-HELLMAN ASSUMPTION

Assume that MDH holds for a group G with prime order p and a generator f. Then we define the following *public-key cryptosystem* for celebrities: In the intended application, we have some center (a "celebrity") sending messages to many recipients. The purpose is to minimize the communication load of the center's messages.

- (1) G and p are publicly known.
- (2) A celebrity, say Bob, chooses a random $r \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$ and publishes $g = f^r$.
- (3) Each one (say, Alice) who wishes to obtain in the future messages from Bob should choose a random $a \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$ and publish q^a .
- (4) When Bob wishes to encrypt a message to Alice, he computes $F(g^{a^2})$ (using r he can do that, as shown in Section 3) and uses

- some known hash function of the result as a key for a block cipher with which he encrypts the message to Alice.
- (5) Alice can compute g^{a^2} and thus decrypt the message.
- (6) Users other than Bob who wish to send messages to one another or to Bob can use standard algorithms like El-Gamal.

The advantage of this protocol is that Bob's encrypted message lengths is the same as that of the plain message.

We should point out that it is not known whether finding g^{a^2} given g^a is as difficult as the Diffie-Hellman Problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Boneh, The decision Diffie-Hellman problem, in: **Proceedings of the Third Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium**, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **1423** (1998), 48–63.
- [2] W. Diffie and M. E. Hellman, New directions in cryptography, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 22 (1976), 644–654.
- [3] A. Joux and K. Nguyen, Separating Decision Diffie-Hellman from Diffie-Hellman in cryptographic groups, Journal of Cryptology 16 (2003), 239–247.
- [4] S. Pohling and M. Hellman, An improved algorithm for computing logarithms in GF(p) and its cryptographic significance, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 24 (1978), 106–111.

BOAZ TSABAN, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, THE WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL

E-mail address: boaz.tsaban@weizmann.ac.il URL: http://www.cs.biu.ac.il/~tsaban