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#### Abstract

We exhibit an infinite class of almost perfect nonlinear quadratic polynomials from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}(n \geq 12$, $n$ divisible by 3 but not by 9$)$. We prove that these functions are EA-inequivalent to any power function. In the forthcoming version of the present paper we will proof that these functions are CCZ-inequivalent to any Gold function and to any Kasami function, in particular for $n=12$, they are therefore CCZ-inequivalent to power functions.
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## 1 Introduction

Since the introduction by Biham and Shamir of differential attacks on block ciphers [4] and by Matsui of linear attacks [28], and since the introduction by Nyberg [30] of the related notion of almost perfect nonlinear (APN) mappings, and by Chabaud and Vaudenay of the notion of almost bent (AB) mappings [13], much work has been done on these two notions $[1,3,5,6,7,8,11,16,17,18,19,23,24,25]$. A function $F: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ is called APN if, for every $a \neq 0$ and every $b$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, the equation $F(x)+F(x+a)=b$ admits at most two (that is, 0 or 2) solutions. A function $F$ is called AB if the minimum Hamming distance between all Boolean functions $v \cdot F, v \neq 0$ (where "." denotes the usual inner product in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ ) and all affine functions on $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ is maximum (this distance is called the nonlinearity of $F$ and this

[^0]maximum equals $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ ). A comprehensive survey on APN and AB functions can be found in [10].

Until recently, all known constructions of APN and AB functions happened to be EAequivalent to power functions $x^{d}$ (where $x$ ranges over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, identified as a vector space to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ ). Recall that two functions $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are called extended affine equivalent (EA-equivalent) if $F^{\prime}=A_{1} \circ F \circ A_{2}+A$, where the mappings $A, A_{1}, A_{2}$ are affine, and where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are permutations. Table 1 (resp. Table 2) gives all known values of exponents $d$ (up to multiplication by a power of 2 modulo $2^{n}-1$, and up to taking the inverse when a function is a permutation) such that the power function $x^{d}$ is APN (resp. AB).

Table 1
Known APN power functions $x^{d}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

|  | Exponents $d$ | Conditions | $w_{2}(d)$ | Proven in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold functions | $2^{i}+1$ | $\operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=1$ | 2 | $[22,30]$ |
| Kasami functions | $2^{2 i}-2^{i}+1$ | $\operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=1$ | $i+1$ | $[25,26]$ |
| Welch function | $2^{t}+3$ | $n=2 t+1$ | 3 | $[18]$ |
| Niho function | $2^{t}+2^{\frac{t}{2}}-1, t$ even | $n=2 t+1$ | $(t+2) / 2$ | $[17]$ |
|  | $2^{t}+2^{\frac{3 t+1}{2}}-1, t$ odd |  | $t+1$ |  |
| Inverse function | $2^{2 t}-1$ | $n=2 t+1$ | $n-1$ | $[3,30]$ |
| Dobbertin function | $2^{4 i}+2^{3 i}+2^{2 i}+2^{i}-1$ | $n=5 i$ | $i+3$ | $[19]$ |

Table 2
Known AB power functions $x^{d}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, n$ odd.

|  | Exponents $d$ | Conditions | Proven in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold functions | $2^{i}+1$ | $\operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=1$ | $[22,30]$ |
| Kasami functions | $2^{2 i}-2^{i}+1$ | $\operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=1$ | $[26]$ |
| Welch function | $2^{t}+3$ | $n=2 t+1$ | $[7,8]$ |
| Niho function | $2^{t}+2^{\frac{t}{2}}-1, t$ even | $n=2 t+1$ | $[24]$ |
|  | $2^{t}+2^{\frac{3 t+1}{2}}-1, t$ odd |  |  |

Every AB function is APN [13]. The converse is not true in general since AB functions exist only when $n$ is odd while APN functions exist for $n$ even too. Besides, in the $n$ odd case, the Dobbertin APN function is not AB as proven in [8]. Also, the inverse APN function is not AB since it has the algebraic degree $n-1$ while the algebraic degree of any AB function is not greater than $(n+1) / 2$ (see [11]). But, if $n$ is odd again, every APN mapping which is quadratic (that is, whose algebraic degree equals 2 ) is AB.

When $n$ is even, the inverse function $x^{2^{n}-2}$ is a differentially 4 -uniform permutation [30] and has the best known nonlinearity [27], that is $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ (see [8, 16]). This function has been chosen as the basic S-box, with $n=8$, in the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), see [15].

Several conjectures have been made on APN and AB functions. In particular, it had been conjectured (in different terms) that all APN functions are EA-equivalent to power functions and in [11] that all AB functions are EA-equivalent to permutations, and that all quadratic AB functions are EA-equivalent to Gold functions (this last conjecture has been
restated for APN functions in [2]). Using the stability properties studied in [11] and more recently called CCZ-equivalence, a new infinite class of APN functions has been introduced in [5] and disproves the two first conjectures.

The new APN and AB functions introduced in [5] are, by construction, CCZ-equivalent to Gold functions. Hence, the problem of knowing whether there exist APN functions which would be CCZ-inequivalent to power functions remained open after their introduction. A recent paper [21] by Edel, Kyureghyan and Pott introduces a quadratic function from $F_{2^{10}}$ to itself, which is proved to be CCZ-inequivalent to any power function. The exhibition of this function also disproves the third of the conjectures recalled above.

But this (quadratic) function is isolated and this leaves open the question of knowing whether a whole infinite class of APN functions being not CCZ-equivalent to power functions can be exhibited.

In the present paper, we introduce an infinite class of quadratic functions on every number of variables $n$, divisible by 3 , but not by 9 . We show that, for $n \geq 12$, these functions are EA-inequivalent to power functions and, in the next version of this paper, CCZ-inequivalent to Gold and Kasami functions. This implies in particular that, for $n=12$, they are CCZ-inequivalent to power functions.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional vector space over the field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. Any function $F$ from $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ to itself can be uniquely represented as a polynomial on $n$ variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, whose degree with respect to each coordinate is at most 1 :

$$
F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}} c(u)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{u_{i}}\right), \quad c(u) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}
$$

This representation is called the algebraic normal form of $F$ and its degree $d^{\circ}(F)$ the algebraic degree of the function $F$.
Besides, the field $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ being an $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, it can be identified with $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, as a vector space. Then, viewed as a function from this field to itself, $F$ has a unique representation as a univariate polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ of degree smaller than $2^{n}$ :

$$
F(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1} c_{i} x^{i}, \quad c_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}
$$

For any $k, 0 \leq k \leq 2^{n}-1$, the number $w_{2}(k)$ of the nonzero coefficients $k_{s} \in\{0,1\}$ in the binary expansion $\sum_{s=0}^{m-1} 2^{s} k_{s}$ of $k$ is called the 2-weight of $k$. The algebraic degree of $F$ is equal to the maximum 2-weight of the exponents $i$ of the polynomial $F(x)$ such that $c_{i} \neq 0$, that is $d^{\circ}(F)=\max _{0 \leq i \leq n-1, c_{i} \neq 0} w_{2}(i)$ (see [11]).

A function $F: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ is linear if and only if $F(x)$ is a linearized polynomial over
$\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, that is,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_{i} x^{2^{i}}, \quad c_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}
$$

The sum of a linear function and a constant is called an affine function.
Let $F$ be a function from $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ to itself and $A_{1}, A_{2}: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ be affine permutations. The functions $F$ and $A_{1} \circ F \circ A_{2}$ are then called affine equivalent. Affine equivalent functions have the same algebraic degree (i.e. the algebraic degree is affine invariant).

As recalled in introduction, we say that the functions $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are extended affine equivalent (EA-equivalent) if $F^{\prime}=A_{1} \circ F \circ A_{2}+A$ for some affine permutations $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and an affine function $A$. If $F$ is not affine, then $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ have again the same algebraic degree.

For a function $F: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ and any elements $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ we denote

$$
\delta_{F}(a, b)=\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: F(x+a)+F(x)=b\right\}\right|
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{F}=\left\{\delta_{F}(a, b): a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, a \neq 0\right\} .
$$

$F$ is called a differentially $\delta$-uniform function if $\max _{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n *}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}} \delta_{F}(a, b) \leq \delta$, where $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n *}=$ $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, the number $\delta_{F}(a, b)$ is even since if $x_{0}$ is a solution of the equation $F(x+a)+F(x)=b$ then $x_{0}+a$ is a solution too. Hence, $\delta \geq 2$. Differentially 2-uniform mappings are called almost perfect nonlinear.

For any function $F: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, the distribution of the values

$$
\lambda_{F}(a, b)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{b \cdot F(x)+a \cdot x}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n},
$$

do not depend on a particular choice of the inner product ". "in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. If we identify $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ with $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ then we can take $x \cdot y=\operatorname{tr}(x y)$, where $\operatorname{tr}(x)=x+x^{2}+x^{4}+\ldots+x^{2^{m-1}}$ is the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ into $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. The set $\Lambda_{F}=\left\{\lambda_{F}(a, b): a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, b \neq 0\right\}$ is called the Walsh spectrum of $F$ and the value

$$
\mathcal{N} \mathcal{L}(F)=2^{m-1}-\frac{1}{2} \max _{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n *}}\left|\lambda_{F}(a, b)\right|
$$

equals the nonlinearity of the function $F$. The nonlinearity of any function $F$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\mathcal{N} \mathcal{L}(F) \leq 2^{m-1}-2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}
$$

( $[13,33]$ ) and in case of equality $F$ is called almost bent or maximum nonlinear. For any AB function $F$, the Walsh spectrum $\Lambda_{F}$ equals $\left\{0, \pm 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right\}$ as it is proven in [13].

For EA-equivalent functions $F$ and $F^{\prime}$, we have $\Delta_{F}=\Delta_{F^{\prime}}, \Lambda_{F}=\Lambda_{F^{\prime}}$ and if $F$ is a permutation then $\Delta_{F}=\Delta_{F^{-1}}, \Lambda_{F}=\Lambda_{F^{-1}}$ (see [11]).

Two mappings $F$ and $G$ from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ to itself are called CCZ-equivalent if the graphs of $F$ and $G$, that is, the subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ of equations $y=F(x)$ and $y=G(x)$, are affine equivalent. Hence, $F$ and $G$ are CCZ-equivalent if and only if there exists an affine automorphism $L=\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ such that

$$
y=F(x) \Leftrightarrow L_{1}(x, y)=G\left(L_{2}(x, y)\right) .
$$

It has been shown in [11] that, if $F$ and $G$ are CCZ-equivalent, then $F$ is APN (resp. AB) if and only if $g$ is APN (resp. AB). As shown in [11] too, EA-equivalence is a particular case of CCZ-equivalence.

## 3 A new family of APN functions

The following theorem will prove the APN property for an large class of quadratic binomial functions. The functions for which we proof the non equivalence to power functions are a special case of the functions covered by this theorem and are described in corollary 1.

Theorem 1 Let $s$ and $k$ be positive integers and $t \in\{1,2\}, i=3-t$. Furthermore let $d=2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}-\left(2^{s}+1\right)$,

$$
g_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{n}-1, d /\left(2^{k}-1\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
g_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left(2^{k}-1\right), d /\left(2^{k}-1\right)\right)
$$

If $g_{1} \neq g_{2}$ then the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{3 k}} & \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{3 k}} \\
x & \mapsto 2^{2^{k}-1} x^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}}+x^{2^{s}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3 k}}^{*}$ is almost perfect nonlinear (APN).
Proof. Let $n=3 k$ and $L=\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. We have to show that for every $c, e \in L$ and $c \neq 0$ the equation

$$
F(x)+F(x+c)=e
$$

has at most 2 solutions. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
F(x)+F(x+c)= & 2^{2^{k}-1}\left(x^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}}+(x+c)^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}}\right)+x^{2^{s}+1}+(x+c)^{2^{s}+1} \\
= & 2^{2^{k}-1} c^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}}\left(\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^{2^{i k}}+\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^{2^{t k+s}}\right) \\
& +c^{2^{s}+1}\left(\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^{2^{s}}+\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)\right)  \tag{1}\\
& +\alpha^{2^{k}-1} c^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}}+c^{2^{s}+1}
\end{align*}
$$

As this is a linear equation in $x$ it is sufficient to study the kernel. Note furthermore that

$$
c^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}-\left(2^{s}+1\right)}=c^{\left(2^{k}-1\right)\left(2^{k+s}+2^{s}+1-2^{k}\left(2^{s}-1\right)(i-1)\right)}
$$

and to simplify notation we define.

$$
a:=\left(\alpha c^{2^{k+s}+2^{s}+1-2^{k}\left(2^{s}-1\right)(i-1)}\right)^{2^{k}-1}
$$

After replacing $x$ by $c x$ and dividing by $c^{2^{s}+1}$ we finally transferred equation (1) into

$$
\Delta_{a}(x)=a\left(x^{2^{i k}}+x^{2^{t k+s}}\right)+x^{2^{s}}+x .
$$

We have to proof that for all $c \in L$ this equation has at most two zeros or, equivalently, that the only solutions are $x=0$ and $x=1$.

From now on we consider the cases $i=1$ and $i=2$ separately.

Case $1(t=1, i=2)$ : The following step can be seen as a very basic application of the multivariate method introduced by Dobbertin [20]. If we denote $y=x^{2^{k}}, z=y^{2^{k}}$ and $b=a^{2^{k}}, c=b^{2^{k}}$ the above equation $\Delta_{a}(x)=0$ can be rewritten as

$$
a\left(z+y^{2^{s}}\right)+\left(x^{2^{s}}+x\right)=0
$$

As stated before $a$ is always a $2^{k}-1$.th power and thus

$$
a b c=1 .
$$

Considering also the conjugated equations we derive the following system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=a\left(z+y^{2^{s}}\right)+x^{2^{s}}+x=0 \\
& f_{2}=b\left(x+z^{2^{s}}\right)+y^{2^{s}}+y=0 \\
& f_{3}=\frac{1}{a b}\left(y+x^{2^{s}}\right)+z^{2^{s}}+z=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The aim now is to eliminate $y$ and $z$ from these equations and finally getting an equation in $x$ only. First we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1} & =b\left(f_{1} 2^{2^{s}}+a^{2^{s}} f_{2}\right. \\
& =a^{2^{s}} b y^{2^{2 s}}+a^{2^{s}} y^{2^{s}}+a^{2^{s}} y+b x^{2^{2 s}}+b x^{2^{s}}+a^{2^{s}} b x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{2} & =\frac{1}{a(b+1)}\left(b f_{1}+a f_{2}+a b f_{3}\right) \\
& =y^{2^{s}}+\frac{a+1}{a b+a} y+\frac{1}{a} x^{2^{s}}+\frac{a b+b}{a b+a} x
\end{aligned}
$$

to eliminate $z$. To eliminate $y^{2^{2 s}}$ we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{3} & =R_{1}+a^{2^{s}} b\left(R_{2}\right)^{2^{s}} \\
& =\frac{a^{2^{s}}(b+1)^{2^{s}}+(a+1)^{2^{s}} b}{(b+1)^{2^{s}}} y^{2^{s}}+a^{2^{s}} y+\frac{a^{2^{s}} b^{2^{s}+1}+b}{b^{2^{s}}+1} x^{2^{s}}+a^{2^{s}} b x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using equations $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ we can eliminate $y^{2^{s}}$ by computing

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{4} & =R_{3}+\frac{a^{2^{s}}(b+1)^{2^{s}}+(a+1)^{2^{s}} b}{(b+1)^{2^{s}}} R_{2} \\
& =P(a)\left(y+(b+1) x^{2^{s}}+b x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
P(a)=\frac{(a b)^{2^{s}+1}+(a b)^{2^{s}}+a^{2^{s}} b+a^{2^{s}}+a b+b}{(b+1)^{2^{s}+1} a} .
$$

Computing

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{5} & =\left(R_{4}\right)^{2^{s}}+P(a)^{2^{s}} R_{2} \\
& =P(a)^{2^{s}}\left(\frac{a+1}{a b+a} y+\left(b^{2^{s}}+1\right) x^{2^{2 s}}+\frac{a b^{2^{s}}+1}{a} x^{2^{s}}+\frac{a b+b}{a b+a} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we finally get our desired equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{6} & =\frac{a+1}{a b+a} P(a)^{2^{s}-1} R_{4}+R_{5} \\
& =P(a)^{2^{s}}(b+1)\left(x^{2^{2 s}}+x^{2^{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously if $x$ is a solution of $\Delta_{a}(x)=0$ than $R_{6}(x)=0$. For $P(a)^{2^{s}}(b+1) \neq 0$ this is equivalent to $x=0,1$. Thus to prove the theorem we have to show that $P(a)$ does not vanish for elements $a$ fulfilling the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\left(\alpha c^{2^{k}+2^{s}+1}\right)^{2^{k}-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that it is sufficient to prove that if $a$ is not a $2^{k}+2^{s}+1$.th power then $P(a) \neq 0$.
To see this assume that $a$ fulfilling equation (2) is a $2^{k}+2^{s}+1$.th power. Recall that in this case

$$
g_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{n}-1,2^{k}+2^{s}+1\right)
$$

and

$$
g_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left(2^{k}-1\right), 2^{k}+2^{s}+1\right) .
$$

Note that $g_{2}$ is always a divisor of $g_{1}$.
It follows that $\alpha c^{2^{k+1}+3}$ is a $\left(g_{1} / g_{2}\right)$.th power and furthermore $\alpha$ is a $\left(g_{1} / g_{2}\right)$.th power. But as $\left(g_{1} / g_{2}\right)$ is a nontrivial divisor of $2^{n}-1$ this contradicts that $\alpha$ is a primitive element.

Consequently we want to show, that if $P(a)=0$ then $a$ is a $2^{k}+2^{s}+1$.th power. But for $\alpha \notin \mathbb{F}_{2}$ the equation $P(a)=0$ is equivalent to

$$
a=\left(\frac{a+1}{c+1}\right)^{2^{s}+1} c^{2^{s}+1}\left(\frac{b+1}{a+1}\right) a
$$

as can easily be seen by expansion and using that $c=1 / a b$. Note that the right hand side is always a $2^{k}+2^{s}+1$.th power. This proofs the first case.

Case $2(t=2, i=1)$ : In this case the equation $\Delta_{a}(x)=0$ can be transformed into the following system of equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(z+y^{2^{s}}\right)+\left(x+x^{2^{s}}\right) & =0 \\
b\left(x+z^{2^{s}}\right)+\left(y+y^{2^{s}}\right) & =0 \\
\frac{1}{a b}\left(y+x^{2^{s}}\right)+\left(z+z^{2^{s}}\right) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Again eliminating $y$ and $z$ similar as before we get this time

$$
P(a)^{2^{s}}\left(x^{2^{2 s}}+x^{2^{s}}\right)=0
$$

with

$$
P(a)=(a b)^{2^{s}+1}+(a b)^{2^{s}}+a b^{2^{s}}+a b+a+b^{2^{s}} .
$$

Using similar arguments as before it suffices in this case to show that if $P(a)=0$ then $a$ is a $2^{k+s}+2^{s}+1$.th power. For this note that for $a \notin \mathbb{F}_{2}$ the equation $P(a)=0$ is equivalent to

$$
a^{2^{s}}=\left(\frac{a+1}{c+1}\right)^{2^{s}+1} c^{2^{s}+1}\left(\frac{b+1}{a+1}\right)^{2^{s}} a^{2^{s}}
$$

and the right hand side is always a $2^{k+s}+2^{s}+1$.th power.
From this theorem we get the following corollary as a special case.
Corollary 1 Let $s$ and $k$ be positive integers such that $\operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)=\operatorname{gcd}(s, 3 k)=1$, and $i=s k \bmod 3, t=2 i \bmod 3, n=3 k$. Then the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{3 k}} & \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{3 k}} \\
x & \mapsto \alpha^{2^{k}-1} x^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}}+x^{2^{s}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3 k}}^{*}$ is almost perfect nonlinear (APN).
Proof. We only have to verify that in this case the greatest common divisors

$$
g_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{n}-1,2^{k+s}+2^{s}+1-2^{k}\left(2^{s}-1\right)(i-1)\right)
$$

and

$$
g_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left(2^{k}-1\right), 2^{k+s}+2^{s}+1-2^{k}\left(2^{s}-1\right)(i-1)\right)
$$

are not the same. Indeed $g_{1}$ is always divisible by 7 while $g_{2}$ is always coprime to 7 .
It should be noted that the theorem covers a larger class of APN functions as can be seen by checking the conditions on the greatest common divisors for small values of $k$ and $s$.

## 4 On the CCZ-inequivalence between the introduced APN functions and the Gold and the Kasami functions

Below we prove the EA-inequivalence between the APN functions introduced in Corollary 1 and all power functions.

Theorem 2 Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $s, j, q$ be three nonzero elements of $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q \neq s,-s$. Then the function $F(x)=x^{2^{s}+1}+a x^{2^{j}\left(2^{q}+1\right)}$ with $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ is $E A$ inequivalent to power functions on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ if one of the following conditions holds:

1. $j \neq s, q,-s,-q, 2 s, s+q, s-q$;
2. $j \neq s, q,-s,-q, s-q,-s-q,-2 q$;
3. $j \neq 2 s-q, s,-q, s-2 q, s-q, q+s, 2 s$;
4. $j \neq 2 s-q, s,-q, s-2 q, s-q,-s-q,-2 q$.

Proof. Suppose the function $x^{2^{s}+1}+a x^{2^{j}\left(2^{q}+1\right)}$ is EA equivalent to $x^{2^{t}+1}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ for some nonzero $t \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$. Then, there exist affine permutations $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and an affine function $L^{\prime}$ such that $L_{1}\left(x^{2^{s}+1}\right)+L_{1}\left(a x^{2^{j}\left(2^{q}+1\right)}\right)=\left(L_{2}(x)\right)^{2^{t}+1}+L^{\prime}(x)$. Expressing $L_{1}(x), L_{2}(x)$ and $L^{\prime}(x)$ as sums of linearized polynomials and constants and reducing the resulting exponents modulo $2^{n}-1$ leads to an equation whose degree is at most $2^{n-1}+2^{n-2}$ (since the 2 -weights of the exponents are at most 2 ) and which has $2^{n}$ solutions. Hence the equation must be an identity.

Since the functions are quadratic, we can assume without loss of generality that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are linear: $L_{1}(x)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}} b_{m} x^{2^{m}}, L_{2}(x)=\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}} c_{p} x^{2^{p}}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}} b_{m} x^{2^{m}\left(2^{s}+1\right)}+\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}} b_{m} a^{2^{m}} x^{2^{m+j}\left(2^{q}+1\right)}=\sum_{l, p \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}} c_{p} c_{l}^{2^{t}} x^{2^{l+t}+2^{p}}+L^{\prime}(x) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the left hand side of the identity (3) we have only items of the type $x^{2^{m}\left(2^{s}+1\right)}, x^{2^{m+j}\left(2^{q}+1\right)}$, with some coefficients. Therefore this must be true also for the right hand side of the identity.

We shall show that under some conditions on $s, j, q$, the equality above is satisfied only if $b_{m}=0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$. A contradiction.

If $b_{m} \neq 0$ for some $m$, then the coefficients of the items $x^{2^{m}\left(2^{s}+1\right)}$ and $x^{2^{m+j}\left(2^{q}+1\right)}$ are not zero on the left hand side of the identity (3) since $q \neq s,-s$. Hence this is also true for the right hand side of (3), that is,

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{m} c_{m+s-t}^{2^{t}} \neq c_{m+s} c_{m-t}^{2^{t}},  \tag{4}\\
c_{m+j} c_{m+j+q-t}^{2^{t}} \neq c_{m+j+q} c_{m+j-t}^{2^{t}} . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The items of the type $x^{2^{m}+2^{m+j}}$ are missing in the left hand side of (3) when $j \neq$ $s, q,-s,-q$. And we have no item of the kind $x^{2^{m+j}+2^{m+s}}$ in the left hand side of (3) when $j-s \neq s, q,-s,-q$, that is, $j \neq 2 s, s+q, s-q$.

Thus, if these conditions are satisfied, then from the right hand side of (3) we get the following equalities with $c_{m}, c_{m+s-t}^{2^{t}}, c_{m+s}, c_{m-t}^{2^{t}}, c_{m+j}, c_{m+j-t}^{2^{t}}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{m} c_{m+j-t}^{2^{t}}=c_{m+j} c_{m-t}^{2^{t}}  \tag{6}\\
c_{m+j} c_{m+s-t}^{2^{t}}=c_{m+s} c_{m+j-t}^{2^{t}} . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume $c_{m+j-t}, c_{m+s-t} \neq 0$. If $c_{m-t} \neq 0$ then we get from (4), (6), (7):

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{m} c_{m-t}^{-2^{t}} \neq c_{m+s} c_{m+s-t}^{-2^{t}}, \\
c_{m} c_{m-t}^{-2^{t}}=c_{m+j} c_{m+j-t}^{--^{t}}, \\
c_{m+j} c_{m+j-t}^{-2^{t}}=c_{m+s} c_{m+s-t}^{-2^{t}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and we come to a contradiction. If $c_{m-t}=0$ then from (6) and since $c_{m+j-t} \neq 0$ we get $c_{m}=0$. But $c_{m-t}=c_{m}=0$ contradicts (4). Therefore, either $c_{m+j-t}$ or $c_{m+s-t}$ equals 0 .

Assume first that $c_{m+j-t}=0$. Then from (5) we get $c_{m+j} \neq 0$; then from (6), (7) we get $c_{m+s-t}=c_{m-t}=0$, that is in contradiction with (4). Therefore, $c_{m+j-t} \neq 0$.

Assume now that $c_{m+s-t}=0$. Then from (4) we get $c_{m+s} \neq 0$; then from (7) we get $c_{m+j-t}=0$. Then from (5) we get $c_{m+j} \neq 0$ and we arrive to the contradiction $c_{m+s-t}=c_{m-t}=0$ as above.

Therefore, if $j \neq s, q,-s,-q, 2 s, s+q, s-q$ then $F$ is EA inequivalent to quadratic power functions. Since $F$ is quadratic and EA transformation does not change the degree of a function then $F$ is EA inequivalent to any power function.

Using similar arguments we get below other conditions on $s, q, j$ which are also sufficient.
We have no items of the kind $x^{2^{m+j+q}+2^{m}}$ in the left hand side of (3) when $j+q$ and $j+q-n$ are different from $s, q, n-s, n-q$, that is, $j \neq s-q,-s-q,-2 q$. Thus, if $j \neq s, q,-s,-q, s-q,-s-q,-2 q$ then we have the equality (6) and the items of the type $x^{2^{m+j+q}+2^{m}}$ are missing also in the right hand side of (3) and we get the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{m} c_{m+j+q-t}^{2^{t}}=c_{m+j+q} c_{m-t}^{2^{t}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c_{m+j+q-t}, c_{m+j-t} \neq 0$. If also $c_{m-1} \neq 0$ then we get from (5), (6), (8)

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{m+j} c_{m+j-t}^{-2^{t}} \neq c_{m+j+q} c_{m+j+q-t}^{-2^{t}} \\
c_{m} c_{m-t}^{-2^{t}}=c_{m+j} c_{m+j-t}^{-2^{t}} \\
c_{m} c_{m-t}^{-2^{t}}=c_{m+j+q} c_{m+j+q-t}^{-2^{t}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and we come to a contradiction. If $c_{m-t}=0$ then it follows from (6) that $c_{m}=0$. But $c_{m}=c_{m-t}=0$ contradicts (4). Therefore, either $c_{m+j+q-t}=0$ or $c_{m+j-t}=0$.

If $c_{m+j-t}=0$ then $c_{m+j}, c_{m+j+q-t} \neq 0$ by (5). Since $c_{m+j-t}=0$ and $c_{m+j} \neq 0$ then it follows from (6) that $c_{m-t}=0$. Since $c_{m+j+q-t} \neq 0$ and $c_{m-t}=0$ then $c_{m}=0$ by (8). But $c_{m-t}=c_{m}=0$ contradicts (4).

If $c_{m+j+q-t}=0$ then from (5) we get $c_{m+j+q}, c_{m+j-t} \neq 0$. Since $c_{m+j+q-t}=0$ and $c_{m+j+q} \neq 0$ then $c_{m-t}=0$ from (8). We have $c_{m}=0$ from (6) since $c_{m+j-t} \neq 0$ and $c_{m-t}=0$. But $c_{m}=c_{m-t}=0$ contradicts (4).

Thus, if $j \neq s, q,-s,-q, s-q,-s-q,-2 q$ then the function $F$ is EA inequivalent to power functions.

The proofs of the third and the fourth claim of the theorem are similar. We have the following equality if $j \neq 2 s-q, s,-q, s-2 q$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{m+s} c_{m+j+q-t}^{c^{t}}=c_{m+j+q} c_{m+s-t}^{2^{t}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities (7) and (9) lead to the condition $j \neq 2 s-q, s,-q, s-2 q, s-q, q+s, 2 s, s+q$ which is sufficient for $F$ to be EA inequivalent to power functions. The same is true when we consider the equalities (8) and (9) with the condition $j \neq 2 s-q, s,-q, s-2 q, s-2 q, 2 s-$ $q, s-q,-s-q,-2 q, s-q,-s-q,-2 q$.

Theorem 3 Let $s$ and $k$ be positive integers such that $k \geq 4, s \leq 3 k-1, \operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}(s, 3 k)=1$, and $i=s k \bmod 3, t=2 i \bmod 3, n=3 k$. Then the function $F(x)=$ $x^{2^{s}+1}+a x^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}}$ with $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ is EA inequivalent to power functions on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

Proof. Let $s$ and $k$ be positive integers such that $k \geq 4, \operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)=\operatorname{gcd}(s, 3 k)=1$, and $i=s k \bmod 3, t=2 i \bmod 3, n=3 k$. Then the function $F(x)=x^{2^{s}+1}+a x^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}}$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$, satisfies the conditions of 1.) of Theorem 2. Indeed, if $i=1$ then
$2^{i k}+2^{t k+s} \quad \bmod \left(2^{3 k}-1\right)=2^{k}+2^{2 k+s} \quad \bmod \left(2^{3 k}-1\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}2^{k}\left(2^{k+s}+1\right) & \text { if } s<k \\ 2^{s-k}\left(2^{2 k-s}+1\right) & \text { if } k<s<2 k \\ 2^{k}\left(2^{s-2 k}+1\right) & \text { if } s>2 k\end{array}\right.$.
If $0<s<k$ then in terms of Theorem 2 we have $j=k, q=k+s$. Obviously, $k \not \equiv$ $s, q,-s,-q, s-q, q+s, 2 s \equiv s, k+s, 3 k-s, 2 k-s,-k, k+2 s, 2 s, 2 s-3 k, 2 s-2 k, 2 k \bmod$ $n$ since $k \geq 4$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)=\operatorname{gcd}(s, 3 k)=1$.
If $k<s<2 k$ then $j=s-k, q=2 k-s$ and $s-k \not \equiv s, q,-s,-q, s-q, q+s, 2 s \bmod n$. If $s>2 k$ then $j=k, q=s-2 k$ and $k \not \equiv s, q,-s,-q, s-q, q+s, 2 s \bmod n$.

In case $i=2$ we have
$2^{i k}+2^{t k+s} \quad \bmod \left(2^{3 k}-1\right)=2^{2 k}+2^{k+s} \quad \bmod \left(2^{3 k}-1\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}2^{k+s}\left(2^{k-s}+1\right) & \text { if } s<k \\ 2^{2 k}\left(2^{s-k}+1\right) & \text { if } k<s<2 k \\ 2^{s-2 k}\left(2^{4 k-s}+1\right) & \text { if } 2 k<s\end{array}\right.$.
If $s<k$ then $j=k+s, q=k-s$ and using the conditions $k \geq 4$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}(s, 3 k)=1$ we get $k+s \not \equiv s, q,-s,-q, s-q, q+s, 2 s \bmod n$.

If $k<s<2 k$ then $j=2 k, q=s-k$ and $2 k \not \equiv s, q,-s,-q, s-q, q+s, 2 s \bmod n$. If $s>2 k$ then $j=s-2 k, q=4 k-s$ and $s-2 k \not \equiv s, q,-s,-q, s-q, q+s, 2 s \bmod n$.

Obviously, in all cases the condition $q \not \equiv s,-s \bmod n$ is satisfied. Hence, the function $F$ is EA inequivalent to power functions by Theorem 2.

Corollary 2 Let $s$ and $k$ be positive integers such that $s \leq 3 k-1, \operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)=\operatorname{gcd}(s, 3 k)=$ 1 , and $i=s k \bmod 3, t=2 i \bmod 3, n=3 k$. If $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ has the order $2^{2 k}+2^{k}+1$ then the function $F(x)=x^{2^{s}+1}+a x^{2^{i k}+2^{t k+s}}$ is $A B$ on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ when $n$ is odd and APN when $n$ is even and it is $E A$ inequivalent to power mappings.

In a next version of the present paper, we shall show that, except in particular cases, the new APN functions introduced here are not CCZ-equivalent to the Gold functions nor to the Kasami functions.

## 5 Conclusion

We have introduced an infinite class of APN (and AB if $n$ is odd) quadratic functions which we conjecture CCZ-inequivalent to power functions, and therefore, new, up to CCZequivalence. We have shown that they are CCZ-inequivalent to Gold and Kasami functions. This implies that, for $n=12$, they are indeed CCZ-inequivalent to power functions. We leave two open problems:

- proving that the functions introduced in the present paper are CCZ-inequivalent to power functions for every $n \geq 12$;
- finding classes of non-quadratic APN functions which would be CCZ-inequivalent to all known APN functions (or even, CCZ-inequivalent to power functions).
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