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#### Abstract

For the first time we find a Boolean function on 9 variables having nonlinearity 241. Such functions are discovered using a suitably modified steepest-descent based iterative heuristic search in the class of rotation symmetric Boolean functions. This shows that there exist Boolean functions on $n$ (odd) variables having nonlinearity $>2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ if and only if $n>7$.
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## 1 Introduction

Boolean functions with very high nonlinearity is one of the most challenging problems in the area of cryptography and combinatorics. The problem is also related to covering radius of first order Reed-Muller code. On even number of variables $n$, there maximum possible nonlinearity $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ is attained for the well known bent functions [2, 5]. However, for the case when $n$ is odd, the situation is more complicated and very few results are available since 1972 as follows.

1. Negative results. In 1972 [1], it has been shown that the maximum nonlinearity of 5 -variable Boolean functions is 12 and in 1980 [3] it has been shown that the maximum nonlinearity of 7 -variable Boolean functions is 56 . Thus for odd $n \leq 7$, the maximum nonlinearity of $n$-variable functions is $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$.

[^0]2. Positive results. In 1983 [4], it has been shown that one can get Boolean functions on 15 variables having nonlinearity 16276 and using this result one can show that for odd $n \geq 15$, it is possible to get Boolean functions having nonlinearity $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}+$ $20 \cdot 2^{\frac{n-15}{2}}$.

The question for $n=9,11,13$ stayed completely open; the maximum nonlinearity known for these cases was $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ and there was no proof or evidence (before this work) whether there are functions having nonlinearity strictly greater than that.

A Boolean function on $n$ variables may be viewed as a mapping from $V_{n}=\{0,1\}^{n}$ into $\{0,1\}$. The truth table of a Boolean function $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a binary string of length $2^{n}$, $f=[f(0,0, \cdots, 0), f(1,0, \cdots, 0), f(0,1, \cdots, 0), \ldots, f(1,1, \cdots, 1)]$. The Hamming weight of a binary string $S$ is the number of 1's in $S$ denoted by $w t(S)$. An $n$-variable function $f$ is said to be balanced if its truth table contains an equal number of 0 's and 1's, i.e., $w t(f)=2^{n-1}$. Also, the Hamming distance between equidimensional binary strings $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ is defined by $d\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)=w t\left(S_{1} \oplus S_{2}\right)$, where $\oplus$ denotes the addition over $G F(2)$.

An $n$-variable Boolean function $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ can be considered to be a multivariate polynomial over $G F(2)$. This polynomial can be expressed as a sum of products representation of all distinct $k$-th order products $(0 \leq k \leq n)$ of the variables. More precisely, $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ can be written as

$$
a_{0} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{i} x_{i} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} a_{i j} x_{i} x_{j} \oplus \ldots \oplus a_{12 \ldots n} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{0}, a_{i j}, \ldots, a_{12 \ldots n} \in\{0,1\}$. This representation of $f$ is called the algebraic normal form (ANF) of $f$. The number of variables in the highest order product term with nonzero coefficient is called the algebraic degree, or simply the degree of $f$ and denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(f)$.

Functions of degree at most one are called affine functions. An affine function with constant term equal to zero is called a linear function. The set of all $n$-variable affine (respectively linear) functions is denoted by $A(n)$ (respectively $L(n)$ ). The nonlinearity of an $n$-variable function $f$ is

$$
n l(f)=\min _{g \in A(n)}(d(f, g)),
$$

i.e., the distance from the set of all $n$-variable affine functions.

Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right)$ both belonging to $\{0,1\}^{n}$ and $x \cdot \omega=$ $x_{1} \omega_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus x_{n} \omega_{n}$. Let $f(x)$ be a Boolean function on $n$ variables. Then the Walsh transform of $f(x)$ is a real valued function over $\{0,1\}^{n}$ which is defined as

$$
W_{f}(\omega)=\sum_{x \in\{0,1\}^{n}}(-1)^{f(x) \oplus x \cdot \omega} .
$$

In terms of Walsh spectrum, the nonlinearity of $f$ is given by

$$
n l(f)=2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \max _{\omega \in\{0,1\}^{n}}\left|W_{f}(\omega)\right| .
$$

### 1.1 Rotation Symmetric Boolean Functions

Let $x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n}^{k}\left(x_{i}\right) & =x_{i+k}, \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { if } i+k \leq n, \\
\\
\end{array} x_{i+k-n}, \quad \text { if } i+k>n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \in V_{n}$. We can extend the definition of $\rho_{n}^{k}$ to $n$-tuples as

$$
\rho_{n}^{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\rho_{n}^{k}\left(x_{1}\right), \rho_{n}^{k}\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, \rho_{n}^{k}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Definition $1 A$ Boolean function $f$ is called Rotation Symmetric if for each input

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{n}, f\left(\rho_{n}^{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n
$$

Consider the set of vectors

$$
G_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left\{\rho_{n}^{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n\right\} .
$$

Note that $G_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ generates an orbit in the set $V_{n}$. Let $g_{n}$ be the number of such orbits. Using Burnside's lemma, it can be shown that

$$
g_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \mid n} \phi(k) 2^{\frac{n}{k}},
$$

$\phi$ being Euler's $p h i-$ function. It can be easily checked that $g_{n} \approx \frac{2^{n}}{n}$. Since $2^{g_{n}} \ll 2^{2^{n}}$, the number of $n$-variable RSBFs is much smaller than the total space of Boolean functions. Thus attempting a search in this space is more encouraging than attempting a search in the complete space of Boolean functions.

## 2 Search Strategy

Our search strategy uses a steepest-descent like iterative algorithm, where each iteration step has the input Boolean function $f$ and the output Boolean function $f_{\text {min }}$. At each iteration step, a cost function is calculated within a pre-defined neighborhood of $f$ and the Boolean function having the smallest cost is chosen as the iteration output $f_{\text {min }}$. In some rare cases, the cost of $f_{\min }$ may be larger than or equal to the cost of $f$. This is the crucial part of the search strategy, which provides the ability to escape from local minima and its distinction from the steepest-descent algorithm. Our steepest-descent based search technique minimizes the cost until a local minimum is attained, then it takes a step in the direction of non-decreasing cost. That is, whenever possible, the cost is minimized; otherwise, a step in the reverse direction is taken. The deterministic step in the reverse direction corresponds to the smallest possible cost increase within the pre-defined neighborhood of the preceding Boolean function, which also makes it possible to escape from the local minima. The following is the truth table of a 9 -variable function $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{9}\right)$ having nonlinearity $2^{9-1}-2^{\frac{9-1}{2}}+1=241$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1001011101111111001111111111101000001110111110101010111011001001 \\
& 0101010111111000111110101100110111001100101010011010000010000011 \\
& 011101100110011011101011100000011111010100010001110000010110011 \\
& 1111010011100000100010011000001111001000010001011001000101011110 \\
& 0111111101111100001011000010100111111100110010111010000100000001 \\
& 1110101010011000110000001000010111101000000100011000101101011110 \\
& 1111111000100001111010010001000110000100100000111000010100011110 \\
& 1110000110010101001000010011011010010111000101100111011011101001
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it is clear that the function $g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \oplus f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{9}\right)$ is an 11 -variable function with nonlinearity $2^{11-1}-2^{\frac{11-1}{2}}+2=994$ where $g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ is a 2 -variable bent function. Similarly $h\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) \oplus f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{9}\right)$ is a 13 -variable function with nonlinearity $2^{13-1}-2^{\frac{13-1}{2}}+4=$ 4036 where $h\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)$ is a 4 -variable bent function.

This proves the following result.
Theorem 1 There exist Boolean functions on $n$ (odd) variables having nonlinearity $>$ $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ if and only if $n>7$.
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