

# A Refined Algorithm for the $\eta_T$ Pairing Calculation in Characteristic Three

Jean-Luc Beuchat<sup>1</sup> and Masaaki Shirase<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Systems and Information Engineering, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8573, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Future University-Hakodate, School of Systems Information Science, 116-2 Kamedanakano-cho, Hakodate, Hokkaido, 041-8655, Japan

**Abstract.** We describe further improvements of the  $\eta_T$  pairing algorithm in characteristic three. Our approach combines the loop unrolling technique introduced by Granger *et. al* for the Duursma-Lee algorithm, and a novel algorithm for multiplication over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$  proposed by Gorla *et al.* at SAC 2007. For  $m = 97$ , the refined algorithm reduces the number of multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  from 815 to 692.

**Keywords:**  $\eta_T$  pairing, finite field arithmetic, characteristic three.

## 1 Introduction

This short paper describes further improvements of the  $\eta_T$  pairing algorithm in characteristic three without inverse Frobenius maps proposed in [3] (Algorithm 1). We consider the supersingular elliptic curve  $E : y^2 = x^3 - x + 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  and denote by  $E(\mathbb{F}_{3^m})[\ell]$  the  $\ell$ -torsion subgroup of  $E(\mathbb{F}_{3^m})$ . The  $\eta_T$  pairing is the map  $\eta_T : E(\mathbb{F}_{3^m})[\ell] \times E(\mathbb{F}_{3^m})[\ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}^*$  defined by  $\eta_T(P, Q) = f_{T,P}(\psi(Q))$ , where  $T \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f_{T,P}$  is a rational function on the curve with divisor  $[T](P) - (TP) - [T-1](\mathcal{O})$ . The distortion map  $\psi : E(\mathbb{F}_{3^m}) \rightarrow E(\mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}})$  is defined, for all  $Q = (x_q, y_q) \in E(\mathbb{F}_{3^m})$ , by  $\psi(Q) = (-x_q + \rho, y_q \sigma)$ , where  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  belong to  $\mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$  and satisfy  $\sigma^2 = -1$  and  $\rho^3 = \rho + 1$  respectively. We construct  $\mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$  as an extension of  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  using the basis  $(1, \sigma, \rho, \sigma\rho, \rho^2, \sigma\rho^2)$ . Hence, arithmetic operations over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$  are replaced by computations over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$ . In order to get a well-defined, non-degenerate, bilinear pairing, a final exponentiation is mandatory: we have to compute  $\eta_T(P, Q)^W$ , where  $W = (3^{3m} - 1)(3^m + 1)(3^m - 3^{\frac{m+1}{2}} + 1)$ .

In the following, we take advantage of a novel algorithm for multiplication over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$  [4] and apply the loop unrolling technique proposed by Granger *et al.* for the Duursma-Lee algorithm [5]. For  $m = 97$ , the refined algorithm reduces the number of multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  from 815 to 692, thus improving software and hardware implementations of the  $\eta_T$  pairing.

## 2 Refined Algorithm

Granger *et al.* proposed a loop unrolling technique for the Duursma-Lee algorithm [5]. They exploit the sparsity of  $R_1$  in order to reduce the number of

---

**Algorithm 1** Computation of  $\eta_T(P, Q)^W$  [3].

---

**Input:**  $P = (x_p, y_p)$  and  $Q = (x_q, y_q) \in E(\mathbb{F}_{3^m})[l]$ . The algorithm requires  $R_0$  and  $R_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$ , as well as  $r_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{F}_3$  for intermediate computations.

**Output:**  $\eta_T(P, Q)^{(3^{3m}-1)(3^m+1)(3^m+1-3^{(m+1)/2})}$ .

```

1: for  $i = 0$  to  $\frac{m-1}{2} - 1$  do
2:    $x_p \leftarrow x_p^9 - 1$ ;  $y_p \leftarrow -y_p^9$ ;
3: end for
4:  $y_p \leftarrow -y_p$ ;  $d \leftarrow 1$ ;
5:  $r_0 \leftarrow x_p + x_q + d$ ;
6:  $R_0 \leftarrow -y_p r_0 + y_q \sigma + y_p \rho$ ;
7:  $R_1 \leftarrow -r_0^2 + y_p y_q \sigma - r_0 \rho - \rho^2$ ;
8:  $R_0 \leftarrow (R_0 R_1)^3$ ;
9: for  $i = 0$  to  $\frac{m-1}{2} - 1$  do
10:   $y_p \leftarrow -y_p$ ;  $x_q \leftarrow x_q^9$ ;  $y_q \leftarrow y_q^9$ ;  $d \leftarrow (d - 1) \bmod 3$ ;
11:   $r_0 \leftarrow x_p + x_q + d$ ;
12:   $R_1 \leftarrow -r_0^2 + y_p y_q \sigma - r_0 \rho - \rho^2$ ;
13:   $R_0 \leftarrow (R_0 R_1)^3$ ;
14: end for
15:  $R_0 \leftarrow R_0^{(3^{3m}-1)(3^m+1)(3^m+1-3^{(m+1)/2})}$ ;
16:  $R_0 \leftarrow \sqrt[3^m]{R_0}$ ;
17: return  $R_0$ ;
```

---

multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$ . Let  $R_1[i]$  and  $R_1[i+1]$  denote the value of  $R_1$  at steps  $i$  and  $i+1$  respectively. By noting that  $R_1[i]^3$  is as sparse as  $R_1[i]$ , we can apply the same approach to Algorithm 1. Let  $A = a_0 + a_1\sigma + a_2\rho + a_3\sigma\rho + a_4\rho^2 + a_5\sigma\rho^2$  and recall that the cubing formula is given by:

$$A^3 = (a_0^3 + a_2^3 + a_4^3) + (-a_1^3 - a_3^3 - a_5^3)\sigma + (a_2^3 - a_4^3)\rho + (-a_3^3 + a_5^3)\sigma\rho + a_4^3\rho^2 + (-a_5^3)\sigma\rho^2.$$

By substituting  $a_0 = -r_0[i]^2$ ,  $a_1 = y_p[i]y_q[i]$ ,  $a_2 = -r_0[i]$ ,  $a_3 = a_5 = 0$ , and  $a_4 = -1$  in the above equation, we obtain:

$$R_1[i]^3 = (-r_0[i]^6 - r_0[i]^3 - 1) - (y_p[i]y_q[i])^3\sigma + (-r_0[i]^3 + 1)\rho - \rho^2.$$

By unrolling the main loop of Algorithm 1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} R_0[i+1] &= (R_0[i] \cdot R_1[i+1])^3 \\ &= ((R_0[i-1] \cdot R_1[i])^3 \cdot R_1[i+1])^3 \\ &= (R_0[i-1]^3 \cdot R_1[i]^3 \cdot R_1[i+1])^3. \end{aligned}$$

The product  $R_1[i]^3 \cdot R_1[i+1]^3$  can be computed by means of six multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  (Algorithm 2). Note that neither  $R_0[i+1]$  nor  $R_1[i]^3 \cdot R_1[i+1]^3$  are sparse in general. Their multiplication can be performed according to a novel algorithm introduced by Gorla *et al.* [4]. This approach is based on the fast Fourier transform and reduces the number of multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  from 18

(see for instance [6]) to 15 (Algorithm 3). Note that we rewrote the algorithm in order to save additions. Therefore,  $R_0[i+1]$  can be computed by means of 25 multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  (Table 1). Algorithm 4 summarizes the  $\eta_T$  pairing calculation with loop unrolling. The first multiplication over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$  (lines 7 and 8) involves 8 multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  [1]. The final exponentiation features a single multiplication over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$  [2]. Thus, only three multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  can be saved here. Table 2 summarizes the number of multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  requested for the full pairing. When  $m = 97$ , we have to carry out  $8+25 \cdot (m-1)/4+84 = 692$  multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  instead of 815 as in [1].

---

**Algorithm 2** Computation of  $R_1[i]^3 \cdot R_1[i+1]$ .

---

**Input:**  $r_0[i], r_0[i+1], y_p[i], y_p[i+1], y_q[i],$  and  $y_q[i+1] \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m}$ .

**Output:**  $c_0 + c_1\sigma + c_2\rho + c_3\sigma\rho + c_4\rho^2 + c_5\sigma\rho^2 = R_1[i]^3 \cdot R_1[i+1]$ .

- 1:  $a_0 \leftarrow -r_0[i]^6 - r_0[i]^3 - 1; a_1 \leftarrow -(y_p[i]y_q[i])^3; a_2 \leftarrow -r_0[i]^3 + 1;$
  - 2:  $b_0 \leftarrow r_0[i+1]^2; b_1 \leftarrow y_p[i+1]y_q[i+1]; b_2 \leftarrow r_0[i+1];$
  - 3:  $e_0 \leftarrow a_0 + a_1; e_1 \leftarrow a_0 + a_2; e_2 \leftarrow a_1 + a_2;$
  - 4:  $e_3 \leftarrow -b_0 + b_1; e_4 \leftarrow -b_0 - b_2; e_5 \leftarrow b_1 - b_2;$
  - 5:  $e_6 \leftarrow a_0 \cdot b_0; e_7 \leftarrow a_1 \cdot b_1; e_8 \leftarrow a_2 \cdot b_2;$
  - 6:  $e_9 \leftarrow e_0 \cdot e_3; e_{10} \leftarrow e_1 \cdot e_4; e_{11} \leftarrow e_2 \cdot e_5;$
  - 7:  $c_0 \leftarrow -e_6 - e_7 + b_2 - a_2;$
  - 8:  $c_1 \leftarrow e_9 + e_6 - e_7;$
  - 9:  $c_2 \leftarrow e_{10} + e_6 + e_8 - a_2 + b_2 + 1;$
  - 10:  $c_3 \leftarrow e_{11} + e_8 - e_7;$
  - 11:  $c_4 \leftarrow -e_8 - a_0 + b_0 + 1;$
  - 12:  $c_5 \leftarrow -a_1 - b_1;$
- 

**Table 1.** Number of multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  to compute  $R_0[i+1]$ .

Operation	# multiplications
$r_0[i]^2, r_0[i+1]^2, y_p[i]y_q[i],$ and $y_p[i+1]y_q[i+1]$	4
$S = R_1[i]^3 \cdot R_1[i+1]$	6 (Algorithm 2)
$R_0[i+1] = R_0[i-1]^3 \cdot S$	15 [4]

## References

1. J.-L. Beuchat, N. Brisebarre, J. Detrey, and E. Okamoto. Arithmetic operators for pairing-based cryptography. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2007/091, 2007.
2. J.-L. Beuchat, N. Brisebarre, M. Shirase, T. Takagi, and E. Okamoto. A coprocessor for the final exponentiation of the  $\eta_T$  pairing in characteristic three. In C. Carlet

**Table 2.** Number of multiplications over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  to compute the full  $\eta_T$  pairing.

Operation	# multiplications
$\eta_T(P, Q)$	$25 \cdot \frac{m-1}{4} + 8$
Final exponentiation	84 [2, 4]

and B. Sunar, editors, *Proceedings of Waifi 2007*, number 4547 in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 25–39. Springer, 2007.

3. J.-L. Beuchat, M. Shirase, T. Takagi, and E. Okamoto. An algorithm for the  $\eta_T$  pairing calculation in characteristic three and its hardware implementation. In P. Kornerup and J.-M. Muller, editors, *Proceedings of the 18th IEEE Symposium on Computer Arithmetic*, pages 97–104. IEEE Computer Society, 2007.
4. E. Gorla, C. Puttmann, and J. Shokrollahi. Explicit formulas for efficient multiplication in  $\mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$ . In *Proceedings of SAC 2007*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2007.
5. R. Granger, D. Page, and M. Stam. On small characteristic algebraic tori in pairing-based cryptography. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2004/132, 2004.
6. T. Kerins, W. P. Marnane, E. M. Popovici, and P.S.L.M. Barreto. Efficient hardware for the Tate Pairing calculation in characteristic three. In J. R. Rao and B. Sunar, editors, *Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems – CHES 2005*, number 3659 in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 412–426. Springer, 2005.

---

**Algorithm 3** Multiplication over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^6m}$  [4].

---

**Input:**  $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_{3^6m}$  with  $A = a_0 + a_1\sigma + a_2\rho + a_3\sigma\rho + a_4\rho^2 + a_5\sigma\rho^2$  and  $B = b_0 + b_1\sigma + b_2\rho + b_3\sigma\rho + b_4\rho^2 + b_5\sigma\rho^2$ .

**Output:**  $C = AB$ . The algorithm requires 15 multiplications and 67 additions over  $\mathbb{F}_{3^6m}$ .

- 1:  $r_0 \leftarrow a_0 + a_4; e_0 \leftarrow r_0 + a_2; e_{12} \leftarrow r_0 - a_2;$
  - 2:  $r_0 \leftarrow b_0 + b_4; e_3 \leftarrow r_0 + b_2; e_{15} \leftarrow r_0 - b_2;$
  - 3:  $r_0 \leftarrow a_0 - a_4; e_6 \leftarrow r_0 - a_3; e_{18} \leftarrow r_0 + a_3;$
  - 4:  $r_0 \leftarrow b_0 - b_4; e_9 \leftarrow r_0 - b_3; e_{21} \leftarrow r_0 + b_3;$
  - 5:  $r_0 \leftarrow a_1 + a_5; e_1 \leftarrow r_0 + a_3; e_{13} \leftarrow r_0 - a_3;$
  - 6:  $r_0 \leftarrow b_1 + b_5; e_4 \leftarrow r_0 + b_3; e_{16} \leftarrow r_0 - b_3;$
  - 7:  $r_0 \leftarrow a_1 - a_5; e_7 \leftarrow r_0 + a_2; e_{19} \leftarrow r_0 - a_2;$
  - 8:  $r_0 \leftarrow b_1 - b_5; e_{10} \leftarrow r_0 + b_2; e_{22} \leftarrow r_0 - b_2;$
  - 9:  $e_2 \leftarrow e_0 + e_1; e_5 \leftarrow e_3 + e_4; e_8 \leftarrow e_6 + e_7; e_{11} \leftarrow e_9 + e_{10};$
  - 10:  $e_{14} \leftarrow e_{12} + e_{13}; e_{17} \leftarrow e_{15} + e_{16}; e_{20} \leftarrow e_{18} + e_{19}; e_{23} \leftarrow e_{21} + e_{22};$
  - 11:  $e_{24} \leftarrow a_4 + a_5; e_{25} \leftarrow b_4 + b_5;$
  - 12:  $m_0 \leftarrow e_0 \cdot e_3; m_1 \leftarrow e_2 \cdot e_5; m_2 \leftarrow e_1 \cdot e_4;$
  - 13:  $m_3 \leftarrow e_6 \cdot e_9; m_4 \leftarrow e_8 \cdot e_{11}; m_5 \leftarrow e_7 \cdot e_{10};$
  - 14:  $m_6 \leftarrow e_{12} \cdot e_{15}; m_7 \leftarrow e_{14} \cdot e_{17}; m_8 \leftarrow e_{13} \cdot e_{16};$
  - 15:  $m_9 \leftarrow e_{18} \cdot e_{21}; m_{10} \leftarrow e_{20} \cdot e_{23}; m_{11} \leftarrow e_{19} \cdot e_{22};$
  - 16:  $m_{12} \leftarrow a_4 \cdot b_4; m_{13} \leftarrow e_{24} \cdot e_{25}; m_{14} \leftarrow a_5 \cdot b_5;$
  - 17:  $e_0 \leftarrow m_0 + m_4 + m_{12}; e_1 \leftarrow m_2 + m_{10} + m_{14};$
  - 18:  $e_2 \leftarrow m_6 + m_{12}; e_3 \leftarrow -m_8 - m_{14}; e_4 \leftarrow m_7 + m_{13};$
  - 19:  $e_5 \leftarrow e_3 + m_2; e_6 \leftarrow e_2 - m_0;$
  - 20:  $e_7 \leftarrow e_3 - m_2 + m_5 + m_{11}; e_8 \leftarrow e_2 + m_0 - m_3 - m_9;$
  - 21:  $c_0 \leftarrow -e_0 + e_1 - m_3 + m_{11};$
  - 22:  $c_1 \leftarrow e_0 + e_1 - m_1 + m_5 + m_9 - m_{13};$
  - 23:  $c_2 \leftarrow e_5 + e_6;$
  - 24:  $c_3 \leftarrow e_5 - e_6 + e_4 - m_1;$
  - 25:  $c_4 \leftarrow e_7 + e_8;$
  - 26:  $c_5 \leftarrow e_7 - e_8 + e_4 + m_1 - m_4 - m_{10};$
-

---

**Algorithm 4** Computation of  $\eta_T(P, Q)^W$ .

---

**Input:**  $P = (x_p, y_p)$  and  $Q = (x_q, y_q) \in E(\mathbb{F}_{3^m})[l]$ . The algorithm requires  $R_0$  and  $R_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{6m}}$ , as well as  $r_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{F}_3$  for intermediate computations.

**Output:**  $\eta_T(P, Q)^{(3^{3m}-1)(3^m+1)(3^m+1-3^{(m+1)/2})}$ .

```

1: for  $i = 0$  to  $\frac{m-1}{2} - 1$  do
2:    $x_p \leftarrow x_p^9 - 1$ ;  $y_p \leftarrow -y_p^9$ ;
3: end for
4:  $y_p \leftarrow -y_p$ ;  $d \leftarrow 1$ ;
5:  $r_0 \leftarrow x_p + x_q + d$ ;
6:  $R_0 \leftarrow -y_p r_0 + y_q \sigma + y_p \rho$ ;
7:  $R_1 \leftarrow -r_0^2 + y_p y_q \sigma - r_0 \rho - \rho^2$ ;
8:  $R_0 \leftarrow (R_0 R_1)^3$ ;
9: for  $i = 0$  to  $\frac{m-1}{4} - 1$  do
10:   $y_p \leftarrow -y_p$ ;  $x_q \leftarrow x_q^9$ ;  $y_q \leftarrow y_q^9$ ;  $d \leftarrow (d - 1) \bmod 3$ ;
11:   $r_0 \leftarrow x_p + x_q + d$ ;
12:   $R_1 \leftarrow (-r_0^2 + y_p y_q \sigma - r_0 \rho - \rho^2)^3$ ;
13:   $R_0 \leftarrow R_0^3$ ;
14:   $y_p \leftarrow -y_p$ ;  $x_q \leftarrow x_q^9$ ;  $y_q \leftarrow y_q^9$ ;  $d \leftarrow (d - 1) \bmod 3$ ;
15:   $r_0 \leftarrow x_p + x_q + d$ ;
16:   $R_1 \leftarrow R_1 \cdot (-r_0^2 + y_p y_q \sigma - r_0 \rho - \rho^2)$ ;
17:   $R_0 \leftarrow (R_0 R_1)^3$ ;
18: end for
19:  $R_0 \leftarrow R_0^{(3^{3m}-1)(3^m+1)(3^m+1-3^{(m+1)/2})}$ ;
20:  $R_0 \leftarrow \sqrt[3^m]{R_0}$ ;
21: return  $R_0$ ;

```

---