# An Improved Remote User Authentication Scheme with Smart Cards using Bilinear Pairings

K. K. Goyal<sup>a</sup>, Amit K Awasthi<sup>b</sup> and Sunder Lal<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Management & Computer Application, R. B. S. College, Khandari, Agra-282002 (U.P)-INDIA E-Mail:kkgoyal@gmail.com

<sup>b</sup> Group for Cryptology Research Department of Mathematics, Pranveer Singh Institute of Technology, Kalpi Road, Bhauti, Kanpur. (U.P)-INDIA E-Mail:awasthi\_hcst@yahoo.com

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Institute of Basic Science, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar University, Khandari, Agra-282002 (U.P)-INDIA

#### Abstract

Recently Manik et al. [3] proposed a novel remote user authentication scheme using bilinear pairings. Various attacks were discussed on this scheme. Recently, Fang et al [15] re-analyzed these schemes and pointed out that these further proposed schemes are still insecure. They proposed an improvement to previous schemes. Recently, Giri and Srivastava [16] observed that the improved scheme is still insecure to off-line attack and they suggested an improvement on Feng et al's scheme. However, the improved scheme is still insecure. In this paper, we discuss these attacks and propose an improvement of their scheme that provides the better security compared to the schemes previously published.

Keywords: Authentication; Smart Card; Attacks; Password; Timestamp.

#### 1. Introduction

User authentication is very important mechanism in computer network systems for preventing unauthorized network access. The password-based authentication schemes with smart cards are the important parts of security for accessing remote servers. Password-based authentication is one of the simpler and more convenient authentication mechanisms to deal with secret data over insecure networks. In 1981, Lamport [5] proposed a well-known hash-based password authentication scheme for insecure communication. His scheme requires a verification table to verify the legitimacy of a login user. However, this approach introduces the risk and cost of managing and protecting the tables. To avoid such problems, several authentication schemes without the verification table have been proposed. Also, it is difficult for a user to memorize a long key or a server generated password. To overcome this problem, several schemes have been proposed so that the legitimate users can choose their passwords freely. Recently, some related schemes have been proposed [11-16] for the authentication using smart cards. In 2005, the Das et al. [6] proposed a scheme for smart card authentication using bilinear pairings that provides the users to choose and change their passwords by their own choices. But, their scheme has some security flaws, which are

described in [12-16]. In 2006, Fang et al [15] proposed an improvement of Das et al's scheme [3] to remedy their weakness. Further in 2006, Giri and Srivastava [16] proposed an improvement on Fang et al [15] scheme to prevent some weaknesses.

In this paper, we have shown that the proposed scheme is still insecure against the theft & on-line attack. In this paper, we propose an improvement of their schemes that provides the better security compared to the schemes previously published. Further, proposed scheme enables users to choose and change their password by their own choices without the help of a remote server.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly introduces some preliminary mathematical concepts for introducing our proposed scheme. Section 3 briefly reviews the Fang et al's scheme. In Section 4, Attacks of the Fang et al's scheme by Giri et al. Section 5 briefly reviews Giri et al's scheme. In Section 6, we show possible attacks of the Giri et al Srivastava scheme. In Section 7, we introduce our scheme. Finally, Section 8 concludes the paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly review the basic concepts on bilinear pairings and a related mathematical problem.

### 2.1 Bilinear pairing

The bilinear pairings [18] namely the Weil pairings or Tate pairings may be used in important applications of cryptography and allowed us to construct identity (ID)-based cryptographic schemes. Suppose  $\langle G_1, + \rangle$  be an additive cyclic group of order q generated by P, where q is prime and  $\langle G_1, \times \rangle$  a multiplicative cyclic group of same order as in  $G_1$ . A mapping  $e: G_1^2 \rightarrow G_2$  is called a bilinear mapping if it satisfies the following properties:

Bilinear property: For all  $Q, R, S \in G_1$ ,

$$e\left(Q+R,S\right) = e\left(Q,S\right)e\left(R,S\right)$$
$$e\left(Q,R+S\right) = e\left(Q,R\right)e\left(Q,S\right)$$

As a result  $e(aQ,bR) = e(Q,R)^{ab}$  for all  $Q, R \in G_1$  and for all  $a, b \in Z_q^*$ , where aQ means a times additions of Q, over the group  $\langle G_1, + \rangle$ 

Non-degeneracy property:

There exist  $Q, R \in G_1$  such that  $e(Q, R) \neq 1_{G_2}$ , where  $1_{G_2}$  is the identity element of G2.

Computability property:

There is an efficient algorithm to compute e(Q,R) for all  $Q, R \in G_1$ .

For implementation point of view, G1 will be the group of points on an elliptic curve and G2 will denote a multiplicative subgroup of a finite field. Then there exists a mapping e will be derived from either the Weil or the Tate pairing on an elliptic curve over a finite field. We refer to [7, 21, 22] for more comprehensive description on how these groups, pairings and other parameters are defined.

### 2.2 Computational problem

**Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP):** Given two elements  $Q, R \in G_1$ , find an element  $x \in Z_a^*$ , such that Q = x \* R, whenever such an element exists.

# 3. Brief review of the Fang et al's authentication scheme

In this section, we review the Fang et al.'s authentication scheme with smart cards. Their scheme consists of the following important phases, namely, the setup phase, the registration phase, login phase and the verification phase.

### 3.1 Set-up phase

The set-up phase proceeds as follows by the remote server (RS, for short). The RS selects two groups: (i)  $G_1$ , an additive cyclic group of order prime, say, q, and (ii)  $G_2$ , a multiplicative cyclic group of the same order. They define  $e: G_1^2 \to G_2$  is a bilinear mapping and  $H: \{0, 1\}^* \to G_1$  a cryptographic hash function. The RS chooses a secret key *s* and computes the public-key as  $Pub_{RS} = sP$ , where *P* is a generator of the group  $G_1$ . Finally, the RS publishes the following system parameters:  $G_1, G_2, q, P, Pub_{RS}$  the functions *e* and *H* and keeps the parameter s as secret.

### 3.2 Registration phase

In this phase, if a new user  $U_i$  wants to register with the RS, he/she submits his/her own identity  $\underline{ID}_i$  as well as his/her password  $PW_i$  to the RS. Once the RS receives the registration request, it computes the registration identifier as  $RegID_i = sH(ID_i)$  and a point  $H(PW_i)$  on  $\langle G_1, + \rangle$  corresponding to the password PW<sub>i</sub> Then, the RS issues a smart card with the parameters  $ID_i$ ,  $RegID_i$ , H(.) for the user  $U_i$ .

#### 3.3 Login phase

In the login phase, the user  $U_i$  first inserts his smart card into a card reader and supplies his identifier  $ID_i$  and password  $PW_i$ . Firstly, smart card computes a dynamic coupon DID<sub>i</sub> =TRegID<sub>i</sub> and ET<sub>i</sub> = EPubrs(T), where T is the user system's timestamp. After that it sends the login request <ID<sub>i</sub>; DID<sub>i</sub>; ET<sub>i</sub>> to the RS over a public channel.

### 3.4 Verification phase

Let the RS receive the login message  $\langle ID_i; DID_i; ET_i \rangle$  at time T' ( $\geq$ T). In first step, the RS verifies the validity of the time interval between T' and T. If (T'-T)  $\leq \Delta$ T (acceptable duration), the RS proceeds for the next step, where  $\Delta$ T denotes the expected valid time interval for transmission delay. Otherwise, the RS rejects it. In next step, RS first computes T

=  $E_s(ET_i)$  and then checks whether the equation  $e(DID_i, P) = e(H(ID_i), Pub_{RS})^T$  holds or not. In case, the above equation holds, the login request is accepted; otherwise the login request is rejected.

# 4 Attack on Fang et al's scheme

In this section, we will show that the Fang et al's authentication scheme with smart card is not secured. We have an attack on their scheme as follow:

#### Off-line attack:

Let us assume that an user  $U_i$  sends the login request message  $\langle ID_i; DID_i; ET_i \rangle$  to the RS and an adversary traps that message at timestamp, say,  $T_i$ . It is also known to the adversary that the maximum timestamp difference between the timestamp when legitimate smart card holder sent the login request to the RS and the timestamp when the adversary trapped that sent message, which is denoted by  $T_M$ . Now, the adversary can try to compute ET = $E_{PubRs}(T)$  for T such that  $T_1 - T_M \leq T \leq T_1$ , until ET equals  $ET_i$ . Hence, the adversary gets the correct timestamp which is encrypted by the smart card of the user  $U_i$ , which be denoted by T, as q is the order of  $G_1$  which is a public parameter. As a result, the adversary computes  $T^1$ such that  $T^{-1}.T = 1 \mod q$ . Then adversary computes  $T^{-1}$ DIDi which is equal to RegIDi. Hence, the adversary computes  $RegID_i$  after that adversary can create valid login request, message in future without knowing password and smart card of the user  $U_i$  by the following techniques.

- 1. Adversary computes  $DID_i = T' \cdot RegID_i$ , where T' is the current timestamp of its system.
- 2. It then computes  $ET_{i} = E_{Pub_{pc}}(T)$
- 3. Next, it transmits the login request message as  $M' = \langle ID_i; DID'_i; ED'_i \rangle$  to the RS.

Note that after receiving the message M', the RS can verify the validity of this message M'. Then the verification phase will be correct for this message sent by the adversary. Hence, without knowing password and stolen smart card, the adversary can create the valid login request message.

## 5 Brief review of the Giri et al's authentication scheme

In this section, we present our authentication scheme with smart cards. We discussed four phases of our proposed scheme, namely, setup, registration, authentication, and password change phases.

## 5.1 Set-up phase

The system set-up has the following steps. The setup phase proceeds as follows by the RS. The RS selects two groups: (i)  $G_1$ , an additive cyclic group of order prime, say, q, and (ii)  $G_2$ , a multiplicative cyclic group of the same order. We define a function  $e: G_1^2 \rightarrow G_2$  is a bilinear mapping and  $H:\{0; 1\}^* \rightarrow G_1$  is a cryptographic hash function. The RS chooses randomly a secret key (private key) s and computes the public-key as  $Pub_{RS} = sP$ , where P is a generator of the group  $G_1$ . Again, the RS selects a public key cryptosystem, where EPubRS (.) and  $E_s$ (.) are the encryption and decryption algorithms respectively. Finally, the RS publishes the following system parameters:  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ , q,  $Pub_{RS}$ , e, H(.) and  $E_{PubRS}$ (.). The RS keeps the parameter s as secret.

## 5.2 Registration

In this phase, an user  $U_i$  submits his/her identifier  $ID_i$  and password  $PW_i$  to the RS. These private data must be sent over a secure channel. Then the RS issues the smart card to the user  $U_i$  after performing the following steps:

1. It computes a secret parameter  $SP_i = PW_i * Pub_{RS}$ .

2. It computes registration identi\_er of the user  $U_i$  as  $RegIDi = s.H(ID_i) + SP_i$ 

3. It loads  $Pub_{RS}$ ,  $ID_i$ ,  $RegID_i$ ,  $SP_i$  and H(.) in the memory of the smart card and issues the card to  $U_i$ .

### 5.3 Authentication

In this subsection, authentication phase is divided in two phases: (1) the login phase and (2) the verification phase. These are described as follows:

#### 5.3.1 Login

If the user  $U_i$  wants to log into the RS, he/she must insert his/her smart card into a card reader and keys in his identifier  $ID_i$  and password  $PW_i$ . Then the smart card performs the following steps:

- 1. The smart card computes  $A = PW_i Pub_{Rs}$ .
- 2. It computes  $B = RegID_i A$ .
- 3. It randomly selects a number r and computes  $C_i = E_{PubRs}(r)$ , where E is the encryption algorithm of public key cryptosystem with public key *PubRs*.
- 4. It computes  $D_i = T.B + r. Pub_{RS}$ , where T is the user system's current timestamp.
- 5. It sends the login request message  $M = \langle ID_i, C_i, D_i, T_i \rangle$  to the RS over a public channel.

#### 5.3.2 Verification

In this phase, assume that the RS receives the login request message  $M = \langle ID_i, C_i, D_i, T_i \rangle$  at time T', the RS and the smart card will perform the following steps for mutual authentication between the user and the RS.

- 1. The RS verifies the validity of the time interval between T and T. If  $(T T) > \Delta T$ , then the RS rejects the login request, where  $\Delta T$  denotes the expected valid time interval for transmission delay. Otherwise, it goes for the next step.
- 2. It computes  $X = E_s(C_i)$  and then Y = X. Pub<sub>Rs</sub>.
- 3. It Checks whether  $e(D_i Y, P) = e(H(ID_i), Pub_{RS})^T$ . If it holds, the RS accepts the login request; otherwise, rejects it.

### 5.4 Password change

Our scheme also enables user to change their password freely and securely. If the user  $U_i$  wants to change his password from  $PW_i$  to  $PW_i$ , he/she should insert his smart card into a card reader and keys in his identifier  $ID_i$  and password  $PW_i$ . Then the smart card performs the following steps:

1. The smart card computes  $SP_i = PW_i . Pub_{RS}$ .

- 2. The smart card verifies whether  $SP_i^*$  and  $SP_i$  are equal. If yes, the smart card requests the user for new password and  $U_i$  then submits a new password  $PW'_i$ , otherwise it rejects the password-change-request.
- 3. The smart card computes  $Reg_{IDi}' = Reg_{IDi} SP_i' + PW_i' \cdot Pub_{RS} = sH(IDi) + PW_i' \cdot Pub_{RS}$ .
- 4. The password has been changed now with the new password  $PW'_i$  and the smartcard stores new  $SP'_i$  and  $\text{Reg}_{IDi}$  in place of  $SP_i$  and  $\text{Reg}_{IDi}$  respectively.

# 6 Attack on Giri et al's scheme

In this section, we will show that the Giri et al's authentication scheme with smart card is not secured. We have two attacks on their scheme as follow:

**Theft attack:** As user  $U_i$  submits his/her identifier  $ID_i$  and password  $PW_i$  to the RS. Then RS issues the smart card to the user  $U_i$  after performing the following steps :

1. It computes a secret parameter  $SP_i = PW_i Pub_{RS}$ .

2. It computes registration identifier of the user  $U_i$  as  $Reg_{IDi} = s.H(IDi) + SPi$ .

3. It loads  $Pub_{RS}$ ,  $ID_i$ ,  $Reg_{ID_i}$ ,  $SP_i$  and H(.) in the memory of the smart card and issues the card to  $U_i$ .

Because the RS loads  $Pub_{RS}$ ,  $ID_i$ ,  $Reg_{ID_i}$ ,  $SP_i$  and H(.) in the memory of the smart card & suppose the smart card has been stolen then the adversary can create valid login request massage in future as follows :

 Reg<sub>IDi</sub> & SPi are stored on smart card adversary can find the s\*H(ID<sub>i</sub>) s\*H(ID<sub>i</sub>) = Reg<sub>IDi</sub> - SP<sub>i</sub>
Now adversary will create the new SP'<sub>i</sub> by new password as SP'<sub>I</sub>=PW'<sub>i</sub>\*Pub<sub>RS</sub>
New Reg'<sub>ID</sub> = s\*H(ID<sub>i</sub>)+SP'<sub>i</sub>

Now adversary loads  $Pub_{RS}$ ,  $ID_i$ ,  $RegID_i$ ,  $SP_i$  and H(.) in the memory of the smart card and uses the card as it is used by  $U_i$ , until card theft is not detected and it is not blocked.

# 7 Our Modification

In this section, we present our authentication scheme with smart cards. We discussed four phases of our proposed scheme, namely, setup, registration, authentication, and password change phases.

## 5.1 Set-up phase

The system set-up has the following steps. The setup phase proceeds as follows by the RS. The RS selects two groups: (i)  $G_1$ , an additive cyclic group of order prime, say, q, and (ii)  $G_2$ , a multiplicative cyclic group of the same order. We define a function  $e: G_1^2 \rightarrow G_2$  is a bilinear mapping and  $H:\{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow G_1$  is a cryptographic hash function. The RS chooses randomly a secret key (private key) s and computes the public-key as  $Pub_{RS} = s.P$ , where P is a generator

of the group  $G_1$ . Again, the RS selects a public key cryptosystem, where  $E_{PubRS}(.)$  and  $E_s(.)$  are the encryption and decryption algorithms respectively. Finally, the RS publishes the following system parameters:  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ , q,  $Pub_{RS}$ , e, H(.) and  $E_{PubRS}(.)$ . The RS keeps the parameter s as secret.

### 5.2 Registration

In this phase, an user  $U_i$  submits his/her identifier  $ID_i$  and password  $PW_i$  to the RS. These private data must be sent over a secure channel. Then the RS issues the smart card to the user  $U_i$  after performing the following steps:

- 1. It computes a secret parameter  $SP_i = PW_i Pubrs$ .
- 2. It computes registration identifier of the user  $U_i$  as  $RegID_i = s.H(ID_i) + SP_i$ .
- 3. It loads  $Pub_{RS}$  *IDi*,  $Reg_{IDi}$  and H(.) in the memory of the smart card and issues the card to  $U_{i}$ .

### 5.3 Authentication

In this subsection, authentication phase is divided in two phases: (1) the login phase and (2) the verification phase. These are described as follows:

#### 5.3.1 Login

If the user  $U_i$  wants to log into the RS, he/she must insert his/her smart card into a card reader and keys in his identifier  $ID_i$  and password  $PW_i$ . Then the smart card performs the following steps:

- 1. The smart card computes  $A = PW_i * Pub_{RS}$ .
- 2. It computes  $B = \operatorname{Reg}_{IDi} A$ .
- 3. It randomly selects a number r and computes  $C_i = E_{PubRs}(r)$ , where E is the encryption algorithm of public key cryptosystem with public key PubRs.
- 4. It computes  $D_i = T.B + r.Pub_{RS}$ , where T is the user system's current timestamp.
- 5. It sends the login request message  $M = \langle ID_i, C_i, D_i, T_i \rangle$  to the RS over a public channel.

#### 5.3.2 Verification

In this phase, assume that the RS receives the login request message  $M = \langle ID_i, C_i, D_i, T_i \rangle$  at time T', the RS and the smart card will perform the following steps for mutual authentication between the user and the RS.

- 1. The RS verifies the validity of the time interval between T' and T. If  $(T'-T) > \Delta T$ , then the RS rejects the login request, where  $\Delta T$  denotes the expected valid time interval for transmission delay. Otherwise, it goes for the next step.
- 2. It computes  $X = E_s(C_i)$  and then  $Y = X.Pub_{Rs}$ .
- 3. It Checks whether  $e(D_i Y, P) = e(H(ID_i), Pub_{RS})^T$ . If it holds, the RS accepts the login request; otherwise, rejects it.

#### 5.4 Password change

Our scheme enables user to change their password, but online, not offline. If the user  $U_i$  wants to change his password from  $PW_i$  to  $PW_i$ , he/she should insert his smart card into a

card reader and keys in his identifier  $ID_i$ , old password  $PW_i$ , new password  $PW_n$ . Then the smart card performs the following steps:

1. The server computes  $SP_i = PW_i . Pub_{RS}$ .

2. Checks the validity  $Reg_{ID_i} = s.H(ID_i) + SP_{i.}$ , if valid it computes  $Reg_{ID_n} = s.H(ID_i) + SP_{n.}$ , where  $SP_n = PW_n .Pub_{RS}$ 

3. It loads  $Pub_{RS}$  ID<sub>i</sub>,  $RegID_{in}$  and H(.) in the memory of the smart card and issues the card to  $U_{i}$ .

## 8 Conclusions

In this paper, we analyzed both Feng et al.'s scheme and Giri et al.'s scheme. We propose an improvement for the flaws we found. Now this scheme can stand with theft attack as well as all the vulnerability proposed in previous schemes. We also modified the password change protocol, which is in our scheme is unlike from other schemes is online line. Offline secure password change protocol is still to be a open problem.

## References

- 1. L. Fan, J. H. Li, and H. W. Zhu, "An enhancement of timestamp-based password authentication scheme," Computers & Security, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 665-667, 2002.
- 2. M. S. Hwang, "A remote password authentication scheme based on the digital signature method," International Journal of Computer Mathematics, vol. 70, pp. 657-666, 1999.
- M. L. Das, A. Saxena, V. P. Gulati and D. B. Phatak, "A novel remote user authentication scheme using bilinear pairings," Computers and Security, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 184-189, 2005.
- D. Boneh, M. Franklin, "Identity-based Encryption from the Weil pairing," In J. Kilian, editor, Advances in Cryptology-CRYPTO 2001, Springer-Verlag, LNCS, vol. 2139, pp. 213-229, 2001.
- 5. L. Lamport, "Password authentication with insecure communication," Commun ACM, vol. 24, pp.770-772, 1981.
- 6. H. Sun, "An efficient remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," IEEE Trans Consumer Electron, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 958-961, November 2000.
- M. S. Hwang and L. Li, "A new remote user authentication scheme using smart cards," IEEE Trans. Consumer Electron, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 28-30, February 2000.
- 8. W. Yang and S. Shieh, "Password authentication schemes with smart cards," Computers and Security, vol.18,no. 8, pp. 727-733, 1999.
- 9. K. Tan and H. Zhu, "Remote password authentication scheme with smart cards," Comput Commun,vol. 18, pp. 390-393, 1999.
- T. T. May, J. W. James, P. H. Bosma, and J. D. Veatch, "Requirements Driven Methodology for accessing the security and business use of smart cards," IEEE International Camahan Conference on Security Technology, pp. 72-88, 1996.
- 11. C. C. Chang, and T.C. Wu, "Remote password authentication with smart cards," IEE Proceedings- E, 138 (3), pp. 165-168, 1991.
- 12. J. S. Chou, Y. Chen, and J. Y. Lin, "Improvement of Manik et al.s remote user authentication scheme," http://eprint.iacr.org/2005/450.pdf, 2005.
- 13. T. Goriparthi, M. L. Das, A. Negi, and A. Saxena, "Cryptanalysis of recently proposed Remote User Authentication Schemes," http://eprint.iacr.org/2006/028.pdf, 2005.

- 14. G. Thulasi, Manik Lal Das and Ashutosh Saxena," Cryptanalysis of recently proposed Remote User Authentication Schemes," <u>http://eprint.iacr.org/2006/028.pdf</u>
- 15. G. Fang and G. Huang, "Improvement of recently proposed Remote User Authentication Schemes," <u>http://eprint.iacr.org/2006/200.pdf</u>.
- 16. D. Giri and P. D. Srivastava, "An Improved Remote User Authentication Scheme with Smart Card using Billinear Pairings." http://eprint.iacr.org/2006/274.pdf.