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#### Abstract

This paper is on efficient implementation techniques of Elliptic Curve Cryptography. We improve group operation timings ${ }^{1}$ for Hessian and Jacobi-intersection forms of elliptic curves. In this study, traditional coordinates of these forms are modified to speed up the addition operations. For the completeness of our study, we also recall the modified Jacobiquartic coordinates which benefits from similar optimizations. The operation counts on the modified coordinates of these forms are as follows:


- Modified Hessian: Doubling $3 \mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{~S}$, readdition $6 \mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{~S}$, mixed addition $5 \mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{~S}$, addition $6 \mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{~S}$.
- Modified Jacobi-intersection: Doubling 2M+5S+1D, readdition 11M+ $1 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{D}$, mixed addition $10 \mathrm{M}+1 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{D}$, addition $11 \mathrm{M}+1 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{D}$.
- Modified Jacobi-quartic: Doubling $3 \mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{~S}$, readdition $8 \mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$, mixed addition $7 \mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$, addition $8 \mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$.
We compare various elliptic curve representations with respect to their performance evaluations for different point multiplication algorithms. We note that Jacobi-quartics can provide the fastest timings for some S/M and $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}$ values in fast point multiplication implementations. We also show that Hessian form can provide the fastest timings for some $S / M$ and $D / M$ values when side-channel resistance is required for point multiplication.
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## 1 Introduction

One of the main challenges in elliptic curve cryptography is to perform scalar multiplication efficiently under different environment constraints (such as resistance for side channel attacks, bandwidth efficiency, less memory requirement). In the last decade, much effort has been spent in representing the elliptic curves in special forms which permit faster point doubling and addition. In particular,

[^0]- Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky [1] reported the operation counts for inversionfree addition and doubling operations for Weierstrass, Hessian, Jacobiintersection and Jacobi-quartic forms. Cohen, Miyaji and Ono [2] showed a faster method in Weierstrass form on Jacobian coordinates. Doche, Icart and Kohel [3] introduced the fastest doubling ${ }^{2}$ and tripling in Weierstrass form on two different families of curves.
- Joye and Quisquater [6], Liardet and Smart [7], Brier and Joye [8], Billet and Joye [9] showed ways of doing point multiplication to resist side channel attacks on Hessian, Jacobi-intersection, Weierstrass and Jacobi-quartic forms, respectively in chronological order.
- Duquesne [10] improved the operation count for the Jacobi-quartic unified addition formula by extending the traditional coordinates. Here, "unified addition formula" means a point addition formula that can be used for point doubling.
- Edwards [11] introduced a new representation of elliptic curves. Bernstein and Lange $[12,13]$ showed the importance of this new form for providing fast arithmetic and side channel resistance. They have also built a database [4] of explicit formulae that are reported in the literature together with their own optimizations. Later, Bernstein and Lange [12, 13] introduced the inverted Edwards coordinates which improve the unified addition timings for Edwards curves.

This paper is a continuation of an earlier work by the authors in [14]. We focus our attention on the Hessian and the Jacobi-intersection forms. Our aim is to determine whether curve arithmetic can be improved for these forms. The improvements on the addition formulae are obtained from an approach analogous to that of Duquesne's [10]. The fast doubling formulae are obtained by choosing alternative polynomial expressions for the coordinates involved in the doubling formulae. This paper is organized as follows. We provide better operation counts for Hessian form in Section 2 and for Jacobi-intersection form in Section 3. We recall some previous results for Jacobi-quartic form [10, 4, 14] in Section 4. We provide comparisons of various systems and draw our conclusions in Section 5.

## 2 Modified Hessian Coordinates

The historical track of the explicit formulae for Hessian form is reported by Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky [1]. Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K)>3$. For these fields, an elliptic curve in Hessian form is defined by $E(K): x^{3}+y^{3}+1=3 d x y$ where $d^{3} \neq 1$. The identity element is the point at infinity. (See $[15,6,14]$ ). The inversion-free addition formula is defined as follows. The inputs that set

[^1]$Z_{3}=0$ should be handled separately.
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =Y_{1}^{2} X_{2} Z_{2}-X_{1} Z_{1} Y_{2}^{2} \\
Y_{3} & =X_{1}^{2} Y_{2} Z_{2}-Y_{1} Z_{1} X_{2}^{2} \\
Z_{3} & =Z_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

We modify the traditional Hessian coordinates by appending new values denoted by the letters $R, S, T, U, V, W$. Two points;

$$
\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}: R_{1}: S_{1}: T_{1}: U_{1}: V_{1}: W_{1}\right), \quad\left(X_{2}: Y_{2}: Z_{2}: R_{2}: S_{2}: T_{2}: U_{2}: V_{2}: W_{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1} \leftarrow X_{1}^{2}, \quad S_{1} \leftarrow Y_{1}^{2}, T_{1} \leftarrow Z_{1}^{2}, \quad U_{1} \leftarrow 2 X_{1} Y_{1}, \quad V_{1} \leftarrow 2 X_{1} Z_{1}, \quad W_{1} \leftarrow 2 Y_{1} Z_{1} \\
& R_{2} \leftarrow X_{2}^{2}, \quad S_{2} \leftarrow Y_{2}^{2}, \quad T_{2} \leftarrow Z_{2}^{2}, \quad U_{2} \leftarrow 2 X_{2} Y_{2}, \quad V_{2} \leftarrow 2 X_{2} Z_{2}, \quad W_{2} \leftarrow 2 Y_{2} Z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

can be added as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{3} \leftarrow S_{1} V_{2}-V_{1} S_{2}, \quad Y_{3} \leftarrow R_{1} W_{2}-W_{1} R_{2}, \quad Z_{3} \leftarrow T_{1} U_{2}-U_{1} T_{2}, \\
& R_{3} \leftarrow X_{3}^{2}, \quad S_{3} \leftarrow Y_{3}^{2}, \quad T_{3} \leftarrow Z_{3}^{2}, \quad U_{3} \leftarrow\left(X_{3}+Y_{3}\right)^{2}-R_{3}-S_{3}, \\
& \quad V_{3} \leftarrow\left(X_{3}+Z_{3}\right)^{2}-R_{3}-T_{3}, \quad W_{3} \leftarrow\left(Y_{3}+Z_{3}\right)^{2}-S_{3}-T_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The addition for modified Hessian coordinates costs $\mathbf{6 M}+\mathbf{6 S}$. This improves on the 12 M figure reported in [1] at the cost of some more space requirement. The mixed addition can be derived by setting $Z_{2}=1$ and storing $R_{2}, S_{2}, U_{2}$. It costs $\mathbf{5 M}+\mathbf{6 S}$. Unlike other special forms, the addition formula does not depend on the curve parameter. This is certainly an advantage of the Hessian form. If side channel resistance is necessary, point doubling can be performed as

$$
\left(Z_{1}: X_{1}: Y_{1}: T_{1}: R_{1}: S_{1}: V_{1}: W_{1}: U_{1}\right)+\left(Y_{1}: Z_{1}: X_{1}: S_{1}: T_{1}: R_{1}: W_{1}: U_{1}: V_{1}\right)
$$

using the addition formula on modified Hessian coordinates. This strategy originates from Joye and Quisquater [6, p.6].

For speed oriented implementations, there is a perfectly fitting doubling strategy which requires no additional effort for generating the new coordinates. The traditional doubling formula can be expressed as follows. Note, this formula is essentially the same as reported in [1, p.425] (with each coordinate multiplied by 4 ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =\left(2 X_{1} Y_{1}-2 Y_{1} Z_{1}\right)\left(2 X_{1} Z_{1}+2\left(X_{1}^{2}+Z_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \\
Y_{3} & =\left(2 X_{1} Z_{1}-2 X_{1} Y_{1}\right)\left(2 Y_{1} Z_{1}+2\left(Y_{1}^{2}+Z_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \\
Z_{3} & =\left(2 Y_{1} Z_{1}-2 X_{1} Z_{1}\right)\left(2 X_{1} Y_{1}+2\left(X_{1}^{2}+Y_{1}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The point $\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}: R_{1}: S_{1}: T_{1}: U_{1}: V_{1}: W_{1}\right)$ can be added to itself as follows.

$$
X_{3} \leftarrow\left(U_{1}-W_{1}\right)\left(V_{1}+2\left(R_{1}+T_{1}\right)\right),
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{3} \leftarrow\left(V_{1}-U_{1}\right)\left(W_{1}+2\left(S_{1}+T_{1}\right)\right), \\
Z_{3} \leftarrow\left(W_{1}-V_{1}\right)\left(U_{1}+2\left(R_{1}+S_{1}\right)\right), \\
R_{3} \leftarrow X_{3}^{2}, \quad S_{3} \leftarrow Y_{3}^{2}, \quad T_{3} \leftarrow Z_{3}^{2}, \quad U_{3} \leftarrow\left(X_{3}+Y_{3}\right)^{2}-R_{3}-S_{3}, \\
V_{3} \leftarrow\left(X_{3}+Z_{3}\right)^{2}-R_{3}-T_{3}, \quad W_{3} \leftarrow\left(Y_{3}+Z_{3}\right)^{2}-S_{3}-T_{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This formula costs $\mathbf{3 M}+\mathbf{6 S}$. (See [14] for a $7 \mathrm{M}+1 \mathrm{~S}$ doubling on the traditional coordinates). Like the addition formula, the doubling formula also does not depend on the curve parameter.

One can reduce the number of coordinates without storing $V, W$ and $U$. This does not effect doubling, readdition and mixed addition costs. However, the addition costs $\mathbf{6 M}+\mathbf{9 S}$ in this case.

We comment that it is possible to derive unified addition formulae which does not require any permutations of the coordinates for performing doubling. One of such formulae is as follows. (The derivation of the new formula is given in Appendix-A).

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =X_{1} X_{2}\left(X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}+X_{2} Y_{2} Z_{1}^{2}-3 d X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}\right)+\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2} \\
Y_{3} & =-Y_{1} Y_{2}\left(X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}+X_{2} Y_{2} Z_{1}^{2}-3 d X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}\right)-\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2} \\
Z_{3} & =\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We again use a modified coordinate system rather than the standard coordinates. Two points;

$$
\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}: V_{1}: W_{1}\right), \quad\left(X_{2}: Y_{2}: Z_{2}: V_{2}: W_{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
V_{1} \leftarrow X_{1} Y_{1}, \quad W_{1} \leftarrow Z_{1}^{2}, \quad V_{2} \leftarrow X_{2} Y_{2}, \quad W_{2} \leftarrow Z_{2}^{2}
$$

can be added as follows.

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \leftarrow X_{1} X_{2}, \quad B \leftarrow Y_{1} Y_{2}, \quad C \leftarrow\left(\left(Z_{1}+Z_{2}\right)^{2}-W_{1}-W_{2}\right) / 2, \\
D \leftarrow A^{2}, \quad E \leftarrow B^{2}, \quad F \leftarrow D+E, \quad G \leftarrow\left((A+B)^{2}-F\right) / 2 \\
H \leftarrow\left(V_{1}+W_{1}\right)\left(V_{2}+W_{2}\right)-(3 d+1) G-C^{2}, \\
X_{3} \leftarrow A H+E C, \quad Y_{3} \leftarrow-B H-D C, \quad Z_{3} \leftarrow(A-B)(G+F), \\
V_{3} \leftarrow X_{3} Y_{3}, \quad W_{3} \leftarrow Z_{3}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

This strategy costs $\mathbf{9 M}+\mathbf{6 S}+\mathbf{1 D}$ which is faster than the unified addition in Weierstrass form in [8, 4]. However, it is slower than all other unified additions considered in this paper.

## 3 Modified Jacobi-intersection Coordinates

Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 2,3$ and let $a \in K$ with $a(1-a) \neq 0$. An elliptic curve in Jacobi-intersection form $[1,7,14]$ is the set of points which satisfy the equations $s^{2}+c^{2}=1$ and $a s^{2}+d^{2}=1$ simultaneously. The identity element is the point $(0,1,1)$. The inversion-free addition formula is defined as follows. The inputs that set $T_{3}=0$ should be handled separately.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & =S_{1} T_{1} C_{2} D_{2}+C_{1} D_{1} S_{2} T_{2} \\
C_{3} & =C_{1} T_{1} C_{2} T_{2}-S_{1} D_{1} S_{2} D_{2} \\
D_{3} & =D_{1} T_{1} D_{2} T_{2}-a S_{1} C_{1} S_{2} C_{2} \\
T_{3} & =T_{1}^{2} C_{2}^{2}+D_{1}^{2} S_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We modify the traditional Jacobi-intersection coordinates by appending new values denoted by the letters $U$ and $V$. Two points;

$$
\left(S_{1}: C_{1}: D_{1}: T_{1}: U_{1}: V_{1}\right), \quad\left(S_{2}: C_{2}: D_{2}: T_{2}: U_{2}: V_{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
U_{1} \leftarrow S_{1} C_{1}, \quad V_{1} \leftarrow D_{1} T_{1}, \quad U_{2} \leftarrow S_{2} C_{2}, \quad V_{2} \leftarrow D_{2} T_{2}
$$

are added as follows.

$$
E \leftarrow S_{1} D_{2}, \quad F \leftarrow C_{1} T_{2}, \quad G \leftarrow D_{1} S_{2}, \quad H \leftarrow T_{1} C_{2}, \quad J \leftarrow U_{1} V_{2}, \quad K \leftarrow V_{1} U_{2},
$$

$$
S_{3} \leftarrow(H+F)(E+G)-(J+K), \quad C_{3} \leftarrow(H+E)(F-G)-(J-K),
$$

$$
D_{3} \leftarrow\left(V_{1}-a U_{1}\right)\left(U_{2}+V_{2}\right)+a J-K, \quad T_{3} \leftarrow(H+G)^{2}-2 K
$$

$$
U_{3} \leftarrow S_{3} C_{3}, \quad V_{3} \leftarrow D_{3} T_{3}
$$

This formula costs $\mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{M}+\mathbf{1 S}+\mathbf{2 D}$ which improves on the $13 \mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ reported in $[7,4]$. The mixed addition can be derived by setting $T_{2}=1$ and storing $U_{2}$. It costs $\mathbf{1 0 M}+\mathbf{1 S}+\mathbf{2 D}$ as opposed to the previously reported $11 \mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ in $[7,4]$ and $10 \mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ in $[14, \mathrm{p} .146]$.

The compatible doubling formula for this addition is different to the doubling formula explained in $[1,7]$. The new doubling formula is as follows. (The derivation of the new formula is given in Appendix-B).

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & =2 S_{1} C_{1} D_{1} T_{1} \\
C_{3} & =-D_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}-a S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2}+2\left(S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2}+C_{1}^{4}\right) \\
D_{3} & =D_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}-a S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2} \\
T_{3} & =D_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}+a S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The point, $\left(S_{1}: C_{1}: D_{1}: T_{1}: U_{1}: V_{1}\right)$ can be added to itself as follows.

$$
E \leftarrow V_{1}^{2}, \quad F \leftarrow U_{1}^{2}, \quad G \leftarrow a F, \quad T_{3} \leftarrow E+G, \quad D_{3} \leftarrow E-G,
$$

$C_{3} \leftarrow 2\left(F+C_{1}^{4}\right)-T_{3}, \quad S_{3} \leftarrow\left(U_{1}+V_{1}\right)^{2}-E-F, \quad U_{3} \leftarrow C_{3} S_{3}, \quad V_{3} \leftarrow D_{3} T_{3}$.
This formula costs $\mathbf{2 M} \mathbf{+ 5 S}+\mathbf{1 D}$. The operation count is also the same on the traditional coordinates however it is only preferable when the curve constant is small. (i.e. $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M} \approx 0$ ).

## 4 Modified Jacobi-quartic Coordinates

Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 2,3$. An elliptic curve in Jacobi-quartic form [9] is defined by $E(K): y^{2}=x^{4}+2 a x^{2}+1$ where $a^{2}-1$ is nonzero. The identity element is the point $(0,1)$. The leading study for the modification of traditional Jacobi-quartic coordinates was performed by Duquesne [10] followed by an improvement in [4]. A fast doubling formula was proposed by the authors [14]. This formula was adapted to the corresponding coordinates for the study by Bernstein and Lange [4]. For the completeness of this paper we recall the modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates (with some minor differences). Two points;

$$
\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}: U_{1}: V_{1}: W_{1}\right), \quad\left(X_{2}: Y_{2}: Z_{2}: U_{2}: V_{2}: W_{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
U_{1} \leftarrow X_{1}^{2}, & V_{1} \leftarrow Z_{1}^{2},
\end{array} W_{1} \leftarrow 2 X_{1} Z_{1}, ~ \begin{array}{ll}
U_{2} \leftarrow X_{2}^{2}, & V_{2} \leftarrow Z_{2}^{2},
\end{array} W_{2} \leftarrow 2 X_{2} Z_{2}
$$

can be added as follows. The inputs that set $Z_{3}=0$ should be handled separately.

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \leftarrow W_{1} W_{2}, \quad B \leftarrow Y_{1} Y_{2}, \quad C \leftarrow V_{1} V_{2}, \quad D \leftarrow U_{1} U_{2}, \\
X_{3} \leftarrow\left(W_{1}+Y_{1}\right)\left(W_{2}+Y_{2}\right)-A-B, \quad Z_{3} \leftarrow 2(C-D), \\
Y_{3} \leftarrow 2\left((C+D)(2 B+a A)+A\left(\left(U_{1}+V_{1}\right)\left(U_{2}+V_{2}\right)-(C+D)\right)\right), \\
U_{3} \leftarrow X_{3}^{2}, \quad V_{3} \leftarrow Z_{3}^{2}, \quad W_{3} \leftarrow\left(X_{3}+Z_{3}\right)^{2}-U_{3}-V_{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The (unified) addition on modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates costs $8 \mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ as reported in $[10,4]$. For a $7 \mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ mixed addition see [4, 14]. A point;

$$
\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}: U_{1}: V_{1}: W_{1}\right)
$$

can be added to itself as follows. (The derivation of this formula is given in Appendix-C).

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \leftarrow U_{1}+V_{1}, \quad X_{3} \leftarrow Y_{1} W_{1}, \quad Z_{3} \leftarrow A\left(V_{1}-U_{1}\right), \quad U_{3} \leftarrow X_{3}^{2}, \\
V_{3} \leftarrow Z_{3}^{2}, \quad B \leftarrow U_{3}+V_{3}, \quad W_{3} \leftarrow\left(X_{3}+Z_{3}\right)^{2}-B, \quad Y_{3} \leftarrow 2\left(Y_{1} A\right)^{2}-B
\end{gathered}
$$

The operation count shows that Jacobi-quartic doubling on modified coordinates costs $\mathbf{3 M}+\mathbf{4 S}$. One can reduce the number of coordinates without storing $W$. This does not effect doubling, readdition and mixed addition costs. However, the addition costs $8 \mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ in this case.

## 5 Conclusion

We improved the latest operation counts for Hessian and Jacobi-intersection forms by modifying the traditional coordinates. We derived a unified addition formula for Hessian form and showed that this addition is faster than the unified addition in Weierstrass form. The speed comparison of point addition formulae for different forms are given in Figure 1. When compared to the other forms, $6 \mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{~S}$ modified Hessian addition can be the fastest in some cases though it uses 6 extra variables for storing each point. For instance, in Figure 1 we see;

- If $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=0.67$, modified Hessian addition is faster than all other additions except the inverted Edwards addition. Modified Hessian addition becomes the fastest whenever $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}>0.33$.
- If $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=0.8$, modified Hessian addition is faster than Edwards, modified Jacobi-intersection and Weierstrass addition for all $D / M$ values. It is faster than modified Jacobi-quartic addition when $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}>0.4$. It yields the same complexity with inverted Edwards addition when $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}=1$.

If $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=1$, the modified coordinates for Hessian form do not provide any advantages over the traditional coordinates and it will be slower than Edwards, inverted Edwards and Jacobi-quartic additions for all D/M values. On the other hand, a field squaring can be performed faster than a field multiplication in most environments. For instance a low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}$ is reported in [16]. However, the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}$ value may vary depending on the hardware environment and the type of field reduction modulus used.

It is known that in memory limited environments (such as smartcards), there is not enough space for storing large precomputation tables. For these environments, point multiplication with non-adjacent form without precomputation technique is a convenient selection. This algorithm requires 1 doubling and $1 / 3$ mixed addition per key bit. The operation counts for the various systems with respect to this algorithm are depicted in Table 1."DBL" stands for doubling. "mADD" stands for mixed addition. The ratios for each column such as (.5, .67) are the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}$ values respectively. The rows are sorted with respect to the column ( $0, .8$ ) in descending order. Some forms have alternative versions due to alternative doubling and/or addition formulae for different $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}$ values. The main outcomes are as follows.

- Modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates (which uses our fast doubling formula) provides the fastest timings for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}>0.1 \text { when } \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=0.67 \\
& -\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}>0.2 \text { when } \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=0.8 \\
& -\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}>0.33 \text { when } \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Bernstein and Lange [12, 13] provide a detailed cost analysis for "signed 4 -bit sliding windows" point multiplication algorithm (for 256 -bit scalars). The


Figure 1: Performance comparison of unified additions for various forms with different $S / M, D / M$ values. The left figure contains the ratios for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=0.67$ and all $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}$ values. The operation counts are as follows: Edwards $7 \mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$, modified Hessian $6 \mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{~S}$ (v.1) or $9 \mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ (v.2), inverted Edwards $9 \mathrm{M}+1 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ (from $[4,5,17]$ ), modified Jacobi-intersection $11 \mathrm{M}+1 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{D}$, modified Jacobi-quartic $8 \mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ (from [10, 4]), Weierstrass $11 \mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ (from [8, 4]). The right figure contains the ratios for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=0.80$ and all $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}$ values. The operation counts are the same except the Edwards addition which costs $10 \mathrm{M}+1 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ (from $[13,4,12]$ ) in this case.
algorithm requires 0.98 doublings, 0.17 readditions, 0.025 mixed additions and 0.0035 additions per key bit. We use their analysis to report current ranking between different coordinate systems. The operation counts for the various systems with respect to this algorithm are depicted in Table 2. "DBL" stands for doubling. "mADD" stands for mixed addition. "reADD" stands for readdition. "ADD" stands for addition. The underlined values are the fastest timings. The rows are sorted with respect to the column $(0, .8)$ in descending order. The main outcomes are as follows.

- Modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates (which uses our fast doubling formula) provides the fastest timings for
$-\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}>0.07$ when $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=0.67$,
- $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}>0.12$ when $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=0.8$,
$-\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}>0.2$ when $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}=1$.
- If $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M} \leq 0.8$ and $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M} \approx 0$, performance of the modified Jacobi-intersection coordinates is very close to the other fastest systems.

To sum up, we provided new coordinate systems for Hessian and Jacobiintersection forms. We provided a detailed performance evaluation of various systems for elliptic curve point multiplication algorithms. We pointed to the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{M}$ scenarios where modified Hessian (unified) addition is the fastest. We also determined when modified Jacobi-quartic form (which uses our doubling formulae in [14]) provides the fastest timings for speed oriented point multiplications algorithms.

| System | DBL |  |  | mADD |  |  | NON-ADJACENT FORM WITHOUT PRECOMPUTATION ( 1 doublings, 0.33 mixed-additions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | S |  | M | S | D | M | S | D | 1,1 | 1, . 8 | 1, . 66 | .5, 1 | .5, . 8 | .5, . 66 | 0, 1 | 0, . 8 | 0, . 66 |
| Projective | 5 | 6 | 19 | 9 | 2 | , | 8.000 | 6.667 | 1.000 | 15.667 | 14.333 | 13.400 | 15.167 | 13.833 | 12.900 | 14.667 | 13.333 | 12.400 |
| Projective ( $\mathrm{a}=-3$ ) | 7 | 3 | - | 9 | 2 | , | 10.000 | 3.667 | 0.000 | 13.667 | 12.933 | 12.420 | 13.667 | 12.933 | 12.420 | 13.667 | 12.933 | 12.420 |
| Doche/Icart/Kohel-3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 5.333 | 9.000 | 2.333 | 16.667 | 14.867 | 13.607 | 15.500 | 13.700 | 12.440 | 14.333 | 12.533 | 11.273 |
| Jacobi-quartic v. 2 | 1 | 9 | - | 8 | 3 | 1 | 3.667 | 10.000 | 0.333 | 14.000 | 12.000 | 10.600 | 13.833 | 11.833 | 10.433 | 13.667 | 11.667 | 10.267 |
| Hessian v. 1 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10.333 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 11.333 | 11.133 | 10.993 | 11.333 | 11.133 | 10.993 | 11.333 | 11.133 | 10.993 |
| Hessian v. 3 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 6.333 | 6.000 | 0.000 | 12.333 | 11.133 | 10.293 | 12.333 | 11.133 | 10.293 | 12.333 | 11.133 | 10.293 |
| Hessian v. 2 | 7 | 1 | , 5 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 8.667 | 3.000 | 0.000 | 11.667 | 11.067 | 10.647 | 11.667 | 11.067 | 10.647 | 11.667 | 11.067 | 10.647 |
| Hessian v. 4 | 3 | 6 | , | 5 | 6 | 0 | 4.667 | 8.000 | 0.000 | 12.667 | 11.067 | 9.947 | 12.667 | 11.067 | 9.947 | 12.667 | 11.067 | 9.947 |
| Modified Hessian | 3 | 6 | , 5 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 4.667 | 8.000 | 0.000 | 12.667 | 11.067 | 9.947 | 12.667 | 11.067 | 9.947 | 12.667 | 11.067 | 9.947 |
| Jacobian | 1 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3.333 | 9.333 | 1.000 | 13.667 | 11.800 | 10.493 | 13.167 | 11.300 | 9.993 | 12.667 | 10.800 | 9.493 |
| Jacobian ( $\mathrm{a}=-3$ ) | 3 | 5 | - | 7 | 4 | 0 | 5.333 | 6.333 | 0.000 | 11.667 | 10.400 | 9.513 | 11.667 | 10.400 | 9.513 | 11.667 | 10.400 | 9.513 |
| Jacobi-quartic v. 1 | 1 | 7 | , | 8 | 3 | 1 | 3.667 | 8.000 | 2.333 | 14.000 | 12.400 | 11.280 | 12.833 | 11.233 | 10.113 | 11.667 | 10.067 | 8.947 |
| Jacobi-intersection v. 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 6.333 | 4.667 | 0.333 | 11.333 | 10.400 | 9.747 | 11.167 | 10.233 | 9.580 | 11.000 | 10.067 | 9.413 |
| Jacobi-intersection v. 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 5.333 | 5.667 | 1.333 | 12.333 | 11.200 | 10.407 | 11.667 | 10.533 | 9.740 | 11.000 | 9.867 | 9.073 |
| Doche/Icart/Kohel-2 | 2 | 5 |  | 8 | 4 | 1 | 4.667 | 6.333 | 2.333 | 13.333 | 12.067 | 11.180 | 12.167 | 10.900 | 10.013 | 11.000 | 9.733 | 8.847 |
| Modified Jacobi-intersection | 2 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5.333 | 5.333 | 1.667 | 12.333 | 11.267 | 10.520 | 11.500 | 10.433 | 9.687 | 10.667 | 9.600 | 8.853 |
| Edwards v. 2 | 3 | 4 | - | 6 | 5 | 1 | 5.000 | 5.667 | 0.333 | 11.000 | 9.867 | 9.073 | 10.833 | 9.700 | 8.907 | 10.667 | 9.533 | 8.740 |
| Edwards v. 1 | 3 | 4 | - | 9 | 1 | 1 | 6.000 | 4.333 | 0.333 | 10.667 | 9.800 | 9.193 | $\underline{10.500}$ | 9.633 | 9.027 | 10.333 | 9.467 | 8.860 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic | 3 | 4 |  | 7 | 3 | 1 | 5.333 | 5.000 | 0.333 | $\underline{10.667}$ | 9.667 | 8.967 | $\underline{10.500}$ | 9.500 | 8.800 | 10.333 | 9.333 | 8.633 |
| Inverted-Edwards | 3 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5.667 | 4.333 | 1.333 | 11.333 | 10.467 | 9.860 | 10.667 | 9.800 | 9.193 | 10.000 | 9.133 | 8.527 |

Table 1: Point multiplication costs per key bit for "Non-adjacent Form without Precomputation" method.

| System | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DBL } \\ \hline \text { M S D } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { reADD } \\ \hline \text { M S D } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { mADD } \\ \hline \text { M S D } \end{array}$ | ADD <br> M S D | SIGNED 4-BIT SLIDING WINDOWS ( 0.98 doublings, 0.17 re-additions, 0.025 mixed-additons, 0.0035 additions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | M | S | D | 1,1 | 1, . 8 | 1, . 67 | .5, 1 | .5, . 8 | .5, . 67 | 0,1 | 0, . 8 | 0, . 67 |
| Projective | 561 | 1220 | 9220 | 1220 | 7.168 | 6.283 | 0.982 | 14.433 | 13.177 | 12.339 | 13.942 | 12.685 | 11.848 | 13.451 | 12.194 | 11.357 |
| Projective ( $\mathrm{a}=-3$ ) | $7 \begin{array}{lll}7 & 3 & 0\end{array}$ | 1220 | 920 | 1220 | 9.133 | 3.336 | 0.000 | 12.468 | 11.801 | 11.357 | 12.468 | 11.801 | 11.357 | 12.468 | 11.801 | 11.357 |
| Jacobi-quartic v. 2 | 190 | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 3 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 3 & 1\end{array}$ | 1031 | 2.543 | 9.424 | 0.194 | 12.161 | 10.276 | 9.020 | 12.064 | 10.179 | 8.922 | 11.967 | 10.082 | 8.825 |
| Hessian v. 3 | 360 | 1200 | 1000 | 1200 | 5.228 | 5.895 | 0.000 | 11.122 | 9.943 | 9.157 | 11.122 | 9.943 | 9.157 | 11.122 | 9.943 | 9.157 |
| Hessian v. 1 | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | 1200 | 1000 | 1200 | 9.157 | 0.982 | 0.000 | 10.140 | 9.943 | 9.812 | 10.140 | 9.943 | 9.812 | 10.140 | 9.943 | 9.812 |
| Hessian v. 2 | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | 1200 | $5 \begin{array}{lll}5 & 6 & 0\end{array}$ | 1200 | 9.034 | 1.130 | 0.000 | 10.164 | 9.938 | 9.788 | 10.164 | 9.938 | 9.788 | 10.164 | 9.938 | 9.788 |
| Hessian v. 4 | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 6 & 0\end{array}$ | 1200 | 566 | 1200 | 5.105 | 6.042 | 0.000 | 11.147 | 9.938 | 9.133 | 11.147 | 9.938 | 9.133 | 11.147 | 9.938 | 9.133 |
| Jacobian | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 8 & 1\end{array}$ | 1040 | $7 \quad 40$ | 1150 | 2.854 | 8.639 | 0.982 | 12.475 | 10.748 | 9.596 | 11.984 | 10.256 | 9.104 | 11.493 | 9.765 | 8.613 |
| Modified Hessian | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 6 & 0\end{array}$ | $6 \quad 60$ | 566 | 660 | 4.088 | 7.059 | 0.000 | 11.147 | 9.735 | 8.794 | 11.147 | 9.735 | 8.794 | 11.147 | 9.735 | 8.794 |
| Doche/Icart/Kohel-3 | $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 7 & 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 4 & 1\end{array}$ | 10661 | $\begin{array}{lll}11 & 6 & 1\end{array}$ | 3.412 | 7.710 | 2.159 | 13.280 | 11.739 | 10.711 | 12.201 | 10.659 | 9.631 | 11.121 | 9.580 | 8.552 |
| Jacobian ( $\mathrm{a}=-3$ ) | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 5 & 0\end{array}$ | 1040 | $7 \quad 40$ | 1150 | 4.818 | 5.692 | 0.000 | 10.511 | 9.372 | 8.613 | 10.511 | 9.372 | 8.613 | 10.511 | 9.372 | 8.613 |
| Doche/Icart/Kohel-2 | $2 \begin{array}{lll}2 & 5 & 2\end{array}$ | $12 \begin{array}{lll}12 & 1\end{array}$ | 841 | 1251 | 4.196 | 5.858 | 2.159 | 12.213 | 11.042 | 10.261 | 11.134 | 9.962 | 9.181 | 10.054 | 8.883 | 8.102 |
| Jacobi-intersection v. 1 | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 4 & 0\end{array}$ | 1121 | 1021 | 1321 | 5.065 | 4.318 | 0.194 | 9.577 | 8.714 | 8.138 | 9.480 | 8.617 | 8.041 | 9.383 | 8.520 | 7.944 |
| Jacobi-quartic v. 1 | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 7 & 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 3 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 3 & 1\end{array}$ | $10 \begin{array}{ll}10 & 1\end{array}$ | 2.543 | 7.459 | 2.159 | 12.161 | 10.669 | 9.674 | 11.081 | 9.590 | 8.595 | 10.002 | 8.510 | 7.515 |
| Jacobi-intersection v. 2 | $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 5 & 1\end{array}$ | $11 \begin{array}{lll}11 & 1\end{array}$ | 1021 | 1321 | 4.083 | 5.300 | 1.177 | 10.560 | 9.500 | 8.793 | 9.971 | 8.911 | 8.205 | 9.383 | 8.323 | 7.616 |
| Edwards v. 2 | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 4 & 0\end{array}$ | $7 \begin{array}{lll}7 & 5 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 5 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 5 & 1\end{array}$ | 4.282 | 4.900 | 0.194 | 9.376 | 8.396 | 7.743 | 9.279 | 8.299 | 7.646 | 9.182 | 8.202 | 7.549 |
| Edwards v. 1 | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 4 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}10 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ | $9 \begin{array}{lll}9 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}10 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ | 4.864 | 4.124 | 0.194 | 9.182 | 8.357 | 7.807 | 9.085 | 8.260 | 7.710 | 8.988 | 8.163 | 7.613 |
| Modified Jacobi-intersection | $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 5 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}11 & 1 & 2\end{array}$ | 10102 | $11 \begin{array}{ll}11 & 2\end{array}$ | 4.076 | 5.106 | 1.371 | 10.553 | 9.531 | 8.851 | 9.867 | 8.846 | 8.165 | 9.182 | 8.161 | 7.480 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 4 & 0\end{array}$ | 831 | $7 \begin{array}{lll}7 & 3 & 1\end{array}$ | 831 | 4.476 | 4.512 | 0.194 | 9.182 | 8.280 | 7.678 | 9.085 | 8.183 | 7.581 | 8.988 | 8.085 | 7.484 |
| Inverted-Edwards | 341 | 911 | 811 | $\begin{array}{llll}9 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ | 4.670 | 4.124 | 1.177 | 9.970 | 9.146 | 8.596 | 9.382 | 8.557 | 8.007 | 8.794 | 7.969 | 7.419 |

Table 2: Point multiplication costs per key bit for "Signed 4-bit Sliding Windows" method.
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## APPENDIX-A

We give a step by step derivation of $9 \mathrm{M}+6 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ unified addition formula for Hessian form. The unified addition formula can be derived from the "chord-and-tangent" rule however it is easier to explain the procedure if we use the Cauchy-Sylvester formula which is as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =Y_{1}^{2} X_{2} Z_{2}-X_{1} Z_{1} Y_{2}^{2} \\
Y_{3} & =X_{1}^{2} Y_{2} Z_{2}-Y_{1} Z_{1} X_{2}^{2} \\
Z_{3} & =Z_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note, it is enough to work with the coordinates $X_{3}$ and $Z_{3}$. It is easy to derive $Y_{3}$ via the symmetry involved in the curve equation $x^{3}+y^{3}+1=3 d x y$.

Step1: Multiply each coordinate with $\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}$ assuming $\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3} \neq$ $\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =\left(\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}\right)\left(Y_{1}^{2} X_{2} Z_{2}-X_{1} Z_{1} Y_{2}^{2}\right) \\
Z_{3} & =\left(\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}\right)\left(Z_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step2: The quantity $\left(3 d X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{1} Z_{2}-3 d X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)+\left(X_{1}^{3} X_{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{2}-\right.$ $\left.X_{1}^{3} X_{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{2}\right)+\left(X_{1} X_{2}^{3} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1}-X_{1} X_{2}^{3} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1}\right)$ is obviously zero. Add it to $X_{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3}= & \left(\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}\right)\left(Y_{1}^{2} X_{2} Z_{2}-X_{1} Z_{1} Y_{2}^{2}\right)+ \\
& 3 d X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{1} Z_{2}-3 d X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{1} Z_{2}+ \\
& X_{1}^{3} X_{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{2}-X_{1}^{3} X_{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{2}+ \\
& X_{1} X_{2}^{3} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1}-X_{1} X_{2}^{3} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1} \\
Z_{3}= & \left(\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}\right)\left(Z_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step3: Rearrange the terms in $X_{3}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3}= & X_{1} X_{2}^{3} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1}\left(3 d X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{1}-X_{1}^{3}-Y_{1}^{3}\right)+ \\
& X_{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{2}\left(3 d X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{1}-X_{1}^{3}-Y_{1}^{3}\right)- \\
& X_{1} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1}\left(3 d X_{2} Y_{2} Z_{2}-X_{2}^{3}-Y_{2}^{3}\right)- \\
& X_{1}^{3} X_{2} Y_{1}^{2} Z_{2}\left(3 d X_{2} Y_{2} Z_{2}-X_{2}^{3}-Y_{2}^{3}\right)+ \\
& 3 d X_{1}^{3} X_{2}^{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2} Z_{2}^{2}-3 d X_{1}^{2} X_{2}^{3} Y_{1} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1}^{2} \\
Z_{3}= & \left(\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}\right)\left(Z_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step4: Using the projective curve equation replace $\left(3 d X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{1}-X_{1}^{3}-Y_{1}^{3}\right)$ by $Z_{1}^{3}$ and replace $\left(3 d X_{2} Y_{2} Z_{2}-X_{2}^{3}-Y_{2}^{3}\right)$ by $Z_{2}^{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3}= & X_{1} X_{2}^{3} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1}^{4}+X_{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{3} Z_{1}^{3} Z_{2}-3 d X_{1}^{2} X_{2}^{3} Y_{1} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1}^{2}- \\
& X_{1} Y_{1}^{3} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2}^{3}-X_{1}^{3} X_{2} Y_{1}^{2} Z_{2}^{4}+3 d X_{1}^{3} X_{2}^{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2} Z_{2}^{2} \\
Z_{3}= & \left(\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}\right)\left(Z_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step5: Factorize $X_{3}$ over the base field.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3}= & \left(X_{1} X_{2}^{2} Y_{2} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}+Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2}-3 d X_{1}^{2} X_{2}^{2} Y_{1} Y_{2}\right) \\
& \left(Z_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}\right) \\
Z_{3}= & \left(\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}\right)\left(Z_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step6: Divide each coordinate to $\left(Z_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}\right)$ which is assumed to be nonzero.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =X_{1} X_{2}^{2} Y_{2} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}+Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2}-3 d X_{1}^{2} X_{2}^{2} Y_{1} Y_{2} \\
Z_{3} & =\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step7: Generate $Y_{3}$ from the symmetry.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3}= & X_{1} X_{2}^{2} Y_{2} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{2} X_{2} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}+Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2}-3 d X_{1}^{2} X_{2}^{2} Y_{1} Y_{2} \\
Y_{3} & -Y_{1} Y_{2}^{2} X_{2} Z_{1}^{2}-X_{1} Z_{2}^{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}-X_{1}^{2} X_{2}^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2}+3 d X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1}^{2} Y_{2}^{2} \\
Z_{3}= & \left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step8: Rearrange terms in $X_{3}$ and $Y_{3}$. This gives the unified addition formula for Hessian form.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =X_{1} X_{2}\left(X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}+X_{2} Y_{2} Z_{1}^{2}-3 d X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}\right)+\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2} \\
Y_{3} & =-Y_{1} Y_{2}\left(X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{2}^{2}+X_{2} Y_{2} Z_{1}^{2}-3 d X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}\right)-\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2} \\
Z_{3} & =\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We explain the derivation in projective model. Of course, an analogous derivation can be done in the affine model. In that case, one will find the
following affine formula for Hessian addition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{3} & =\frac{-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-x_{1} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}^{2}+3 d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2}}{x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}-y_{1}^{3} y_{2}^{3}} \\
y_{3} & =\frac{x_{1}^{2} x_{2} y_{1}+x_{1} x_{2}^{2} y_{2}-3 d x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} y_{1} y_{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2}}{x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}-y_{1}^{3} y_{2}^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX-B

We give a step by step derivation of $2 \mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{~S}+1 \mathrm{D}$ doubling formula for Jacobiquartic form. The original formula, described by Liardet and Smart [7], is as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & =2 S_{1} C_{1} D_{1} T_{1} \\
C_{3} & =-S_{1}^{2} D_{1}^{2}+C_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2} \\
D_{3} & =S_{1}^{2} D_{1}^{2}-C_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}+2 C_{1}^{2} D_{1}^{2} \\
T_{3} & =S_{1}^{2} D_{1}^{2}+C_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step1: Using the defining equations $S_{1}^{2}+C_{1}^{2}=T_{1}^{2}$ and $a S_{1}^{2}+D_{1}^{2}=T_{1}^{2}$, replace all instances of $S_{1}^{2} D_{1}^{2}$ with $\left(T_{1}^{2}-C_{1}^{2}\right) D_{1}^{2}$ and $C_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}$ with $C_{1}^{2}\left(a S_{1}^{2}+D_{1}^{2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & =2 S_{1} C_{1} D_{1} T_{1} \\
C_{3} & =-\left(T_{1}^{2}-C_{1}^{2}\right) D_{1}^{2}+C_{1}^{2}\left(a S_{1}^{2}+D_{1}^{2}\right) \\
D_{3} & =\left(T_{1}^{2}-C_{1}^{2}\right) D_{1}^{2}-C_{1}^{2}\left(a S_{1}^{2}+D_{1}^{2}\right)+2 C_{1}^{2} D_{1}^{2} \\
T_{3} & =\left(T_{1}^{2}-C_{1}^{2}\right) D_{1}^{2}+C_{1}^{2}\left(a S_{1}^{2}+D_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step2: Replace the term $2 C_{1}^{2} D_{1}^{2}$ with $2 C_{1}^{2}\left(S_{1}^{2}+C_{1}^{2}-a S_{1}^{2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & =2 S_{1} C_{1} D_{1} T_{1} \\
C_{3} & =-D_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}+a S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2}+2 C_{1}^{2}\left(S_{1}^{2}+C_{1}^{2}-a S_{1}^{2}\right) \\
D_{3} & =D_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}-a S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2} \\
T_{3} & =D_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}+a S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step3: Rearrange terms. This gives the desired doubling formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & =2 S_{1} C_{1} D_{1} T_{1} \\
C_{3} & =-D_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}-a S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2}+2\left(S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2}+C_{1}^{4}\right) \\
D_{3} & =D_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}-a S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2} \\
T_{3} & =D_{1}^{2} T_{1}^{2}+a S_{1}^{2} C_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding affine formula for Jacobi-intersection doubling is as follows.

$$
s_{3}=\frac{2 s_{1} c_{1} d_{1}}{d_{1}^{2}+a s_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{3}=\frac{2 c_{1}^{4}+2 s_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{2}-d_{1}^{2}-a s_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{d_{1}^{2}+a s_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{2}} \\
& d_{3}=\frac{d_{1}^{2}-a s_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{d_{1}^{2}+a s_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX-C

We give a step by step derivation of $3 \mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{~S}$ doubling formula for Jacobi-quartic form. The original formula, described by Billet and Joye [9], is as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =2 X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{1} \\
Y_{3} & =2 a X_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{6}+4 X_{1}^{4} Z_{1}^{4}+Y_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{4}+2 a X_{1}^{6} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{4} Y_{1}^{2} \\
Z_{3} & =Z_{1}^{4}-X_{1}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step1: Modify the point $\left(X_{3}: Y_{3}: Z_{3}\right)$ to $\left(-X_{3}: Y_{3}:-Z_{3}\right)$. These two points correspond to the same affine point.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =-2 X_{1} Y_{1} Z_{1} \\
Y_{3} & =2 a X_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{6}+4 X_{1}^{4} Z_{1}^{4}+Y_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{4}+2 a X_{1}^{6} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{4} Y_{1}^{2} \\
Z_{3} & =X_{1}^{4}-Z_{1}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step2: Organize $X_{3}$ and $Z_{3}$. Here, $Y_{3}$ should be computed after $X_{3}$ and $Z_{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =Y_{1}\left(\left(X_{1}^{2}+Z_{1}^{2}\right)\right)-Y_{1}\left(X_{1}+Z_{1}\right)^{2} \\
Z_{3} & =\left(X_{1}^{2}+Z_{1}^{2}\right)\left(X_{1}^{2}-Z_{1}^{2}\right) \\
Y_{3} & =2 a X_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{6}+4 X_{1}^{4} Z_{1}^{4}+Y_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{4}+2 a X_{1}^{6} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{4} Y_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step3: Use the curve equation, $Y_{1}^{2}=X_{1}^{4}+2 a X_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{2}+Z_{1}^{4}$, to find a suitable polynomial representation for $Y_{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{3} & =Y_{1}\left(\left(X_{1}^{2}+Z_{1}^{2}\right)\right)-Y_{1}\left(X_{1}+Z_{1}\right)^{2} \\
Z_{3} & =\left(X_{1}^{2}+Z_{1}^{2}\right)\left(X_{1}^{2}-Z_{1}^{2}\right) \\
Y_{3} & =2 a X_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{6}+4 X_{1}^{4} Z_{1}^{4}+Y_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{4}+2 a X_{1}^{6} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{4} Y_{1}^{2} \\
& =2 a X_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{6}+4 X_{1}^{4} Z_{1}^{4}+2 a X_{1}^{6} Z_{1}^{2}+\left(X_{1}^{4}+Z_{1}^{4}\right) Y_{1}^{2} \\
& \equiv 2 a X_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{6}+4 X_{1}^{4} Z_{1}^{4}+2 a X_{1}^{6} Z_{1}^{2}+\left(X_{1}^{4}+Z_{1}^{4}\right)\left(Z_{1}^{4}+2 a X_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{4}\right) \\
& \equiv Z_{1}^{8}+4 a X_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{6}+6 X_{1}^{4} Z_{1}^{4}+4 a X_{1}^{6} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{8} \\
& \equiv 2\left(Y_{1} Z_{1}^{2}+X_{1}^{2} Y_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(Z_{1}^{8}-2 X_{1}^{4} Z_{1}^{4}+X_{1}^{8}\right)-\left(4 X_{1}^{2} Y_{1}^{2} Z_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \equiv 2\left(Y_{1}\left(X_{1}^{2}+Z_{1}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}-X_{3}^{2}-Z_{3}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding affine formula for Jacobi-quartic doubling is as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=\frac{-2 x_{1} y_{1}}{x_{1}^{4}-1} \\
& y_{3}=\frac{2\left(y_{1}\left(x_{1}^{2}+1\right)\right)^{2}-\left(-2 x_{1} y_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{1}^{4}-1\right)^{2}}{\left(x_{1}^{4}-1\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{M}$ : Field multiplication, S: Field squaring, D: Multiplication by a curve constant.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ With the improvements of Bernstein, Birkner, Lange and Peters [4, 5].

