

Faster Group Operations on Elliptic Curves

Huseyin Hisil, Kenneth Wong, Gary Carter, Ed Dawson
Information Security Institute, Queensland University of Technology,
{h.hisil, kk.wong, g.carter, e.dawson}@qut.edu.au

Abstract

This paper is on improving implementation techniques of Elliptic Curve Cryptography. We introduce new addition formulae for Jacobi-quartic, Edwards, Hessian forms and new doubling formulae for Jacobi-intersection form of elliptic curves. The new formulae speed up the group operations for each of these forms on suitable coordinate systems. To show this, a comparison is made in respect to their performance evaluations with classic point multiplication algorithms using the previous and current operation counts. The most significant outcomes are obtained from the modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates which provide the fastest timings¹ for most point multiplication strategies and the fastest unified² addition which costs $7M+3S+1D$. These new unified addition formulae can be used to provide an efficient way of protecting against side channel attacks which are based on simple power analysis (SPA).

Keywords: Efficient elliptic curve arithmetic, unified addition, side channel attack.

1 Introduction

From the advent of elliptic curve cryptosystems, independently by Miller [16] and Koblitz [14] in mid 80's to date, the arithmetic of elliptic curves has drawn wide attention from cryptographic researchers. It is well known that the Weierstrass form provides a general representation for all elliptic curves. In other words, every elliptic curve (over a field K , $\text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3$) can be defined by the set of points (x_i, y_i) satisfying the equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \quad a, b \in K$$

together with the point at infinity \mathcal{O} . These points exhibit a group structure under an explicitly defined additive group law. In other words, two points $P = (x_1, y_1)$ and $Q = (x_2, y_2)$ can be added to form a third point $R = P + Q = (x_3, y_3)$ on the same curve. The negative of the point P is $(x_1, -y_1)$. The identity element is the point at infinity \mathcal{O} . From this we can define scalar multiple S of a point P as

$$S = [k]P = \underbrace{P + P + \dots + P}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

¹**M:** The cost of field multiplication, **S:** The cost of field squaring, **D:** The cost of multiplication by a curve constant.

²Valid for doubling i.e. addition of a nontrivial point to itself.

If parameters are chosen carefully, it is intractable to compute k when only P and S are known. This forms the basis of the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem, which is used to provide cryptographic security. One of the main challenges in elliptic curve cryptography is to perform scalar multiplication efficiently under different environment constraints (such as resistance to side channel attacks, bandwidth efficiency, memory limitations). Scalar multiplication is often computed using double-and-add methods and its variants, so the group operations of concern are elliptic curve point addition and doubling.

To obtain faster group operations, other elliptic curve forms have also been considered in the last two decades by researchers. For security considerations, the selected curves should have a small cofactor, usually equal to or less than 4. It is possible to find cryptographically interesting curves which satisfy the security criterion and which can be parameterized by one of the curve models in Section 2. (See [15, 6] for examples). In this context, here is a short outline of previous work on which our paper is built.

- Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky [8] reported the operation counts for inversion-free addition and doubling operations for Weierstrass, Jacobi-quartic, Jacobi-intersection, and Hessian forms. Cohen, Miyaji and Ono [9] provided better operation counts for Weierstrass form. Doche, Icart and Kohel [10] introduced the fastest doubling³ and tripling in Weierstrass form on two special families of curves.
- In chronological order, Joye and Quisquater [13], Liardet and Smart [15], Brier and Joye [7], Billet and Joye [6] showed ways of doing point multiplication to resist side channel attacks using Hessian, Jacobi-intersection, Weierstrass and Jacobi-quartic forms, respectively.
- Duquesne [11] improved the operation count for the Jacobi-quartic unified addition formulae in [6] by using an alternative coordinate system. Bernstein and Lange [4] provided an extended version of these coordinates with better operation counts for $S < M$. We extensively use these ideas throughout this paper to obtain faster operation counts for the new formulae.
- Bernstein and Lange [4] showed the importance of Edwards curves for providing fast arithmetic and efficient countermeasure to side channel attacks. Later, Bernstein and Lange [5] introduced the inverted Edwards coordinates which improve timings for Edwards curves and provide the fastest unified addition known to date. They have built a database [2] of explicit formulae that are reported in the literature together with their own optimizations.

Here is a collection of some latest operation counts. The new operation counts that appear in this paper are given in bold. We explain these results in detail in Section 2.

- *Modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates:* Doubling $3M+4S$, (unified) addition **$7M+3S+1D$** , readdition **$7M+3S+1D$** , mixed addition **$6M+3S+1D$** .
- *Modified Jacobi-intersection coordinates:* Doubling **$2M+5S+1D$** , (unified) addition **$11M+1S+2D$** , readdition **$11M+1S+2D$** , mixed addition **$10M+1S+2D$** .

³With the improvements of Bernstein, Birkner, Lange and Peters in [1].

- *Standard Edwards coordinates:* Doubling $3M+4S$, (dedicated) addition $11M$, (unified) addition $10M+1S+1D$, readdition $9M+2S$, mixed addition $9M$.
- *Inverted Edwards coordinates:* Doubling $3M+4S+1D$, (unified) addition $9M+1S+1D$, readdition $9M+1S+1D$, mixed addition $9M$ or $8M+1S+1D$.
- *Modified Hessian coordinates:* Doubling $3M+6S$, (unified) addition $6M+6S$, readdition $6M+6S$, mixed addition $5M+6S$.

The paper is organized as follows. We provide new formulae and better operation counts for various elliptic curve forms in Section 2. The exceptional cases are explained in Section 3. We make comparisons of various systems and draw our conclusions in Section 4.

2 Improvements

We omit the operation counts for affine coordinates since these coordinates require field inversions which are relatively expensive compared to the cost of a field multiplication when the field is finite. The derivations of the new addition formulae especially the ones for Edwards and Hessian forms are aided by the use of reduction algorithms for rational expressions on computer algebra systems Magma, Maple, and Mathematica. Details of the reduction procedure can be found in [17]. We obtain curve definitions and affine versions of various formulae from [2].

2.1 Jacobi-quartic form

The uses of these curves in cryptology are explained by Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky in [8] and Billet and Joye in [6]. We follow the descriptions in [2] for our optimizations. Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3$. An elliptic curve in Jacobi-quartic form is defined by $y^2 = x^4 + 2ax^2 + 1$ where $a \in K$ with $a^2 \neq 1$. The identity element is the point $(0, 1)$. The negative of a point (x, y) is $(-x, y)$. Birational maps between Weierstrass and Jacobi-quartic curves can be found in [6, 2, 3]. The affine unified addition formulae (explained in [6]) are as follows.

$$(x_3, y_3) = \left(\frac{x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_2}{1 - x_1^2 x_2^2}, \frac{(x_1^2 x_2^2 + 1)(2a x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) + 2x_1 x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{(1 - x_1^2 x_2^2)^2} \right)$$

In this section, we show the derivation of new formulae which produce the same results. In fact, we only need to change the numerator of y_3 . If the numerator is designated t then, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} t &= (x_1^2 x_2^2 + 1)(2a x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) + 2x_1 x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\ &= (x_1^2 x_2^2 + 1)(2a x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) + 2x_1 x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + x_1^2 y_2^2 + 2x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 + y_1^2 x_2^2 - (x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using the curve equation, $y^2 = x^4 + 2ax^2 + 1$, we replace y_1^2 with $x_1^4 + 2ax_1^2 + 1$ and y_2^2 with $x_2^4 + 2ax_2^2 + 1$. Then, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} t &= (x_1^2 x_2^2 + 1)(2a x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) + 2x_1 x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \\ &\quad x_1^2(x_2^4 + 2ax_2^2 + 1) + 2x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 + (x_1^4 + 2ax_1^2 + 1)x_2^2 - (x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the new formulae for y_3 by organizing the terms.

$$(x_3, y_3) = \left(\frac{x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_2}{1 - x_1^2 x_2^2}, \left(\frac{x_1 x_2 + 1}{1 - x_1^2 x_2^2} \right)^2 (x_1^2 x_2^2 + 2a x_1 x_2 + 1 + (x_1 - x_2)^2 + y_1 y_2) - x_3^2 - 1 \right)$$

The new addition formulae on the Jacobi-quartic coordinates are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &= X_1 Z_1 Y_2 + Y_1 X_2 Z_2 \\ Z_3 &= Z_1^2 Z_2^2 - X_1^2 X_2^2 \\ Y_3 &= (X_1 X_2 + Z_1 Z_2)^2 (X_1^2 X_2^2 + 2a X_1 X_2 Z_1 Z_2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^2 + \\ &\quad (X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1)^2 + Y_1 Y_2) - X_3^2 - Z_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note, each point $(X_i:Y_i:Z_i)$ corresponds to the affine point $(X_i/Z_i, Y_i/Z_i^2)$. The triplets satisfy the projective curve $Y^2 = X^4 + 2aX^2Z^2 + Z^4$. This coordinate system is used in [8, 6] and named the Jacobi-quartic coordinates in [2]. The new addition formulae are not attractive for the Jacobi-quartic coordinates. On the other hand, they are suitable for a modified version of the Jacobi-quartic coordinates where each point is represented by the 6-tuple $(X_i:Y_i:Z_i:X_i^2:Z_i^2:X_i Z_i)$. The idea behind using such coordinates is explained by Duquesne [11] for the addition formulae in [6]. Regarding the new formulae, two points $(X_1:Y_1:Z_1:U_1:V_1:W_1)$ and $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2:U_2:V_2:W_2)$ with $U_1 = X_1^2, V_1 = Z_1^2, W_1 = X_1 Z_1, U_2 = X_2^2, V_2 = Z_2^2, W_2 = X_2 Z_2$ can be added as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leftarrow U_1 U_2, \quad B \leftarrow V_1 V_2, \quad C \leftarrow W_1 W_2, \quad D \leftarrow Y_1 Y_2, \\ X_3 &\leftarrow (W_1 + Y_1)(W_2 + Y_2) - C - D, \quad Z_3 \leftarrow B - A, \quad U_3 \leftarrow X_3^2, \quad V_3 \leftarrow Z_3^2, \\ F &\leftarrow A + B + 2C, \quad G \leftarrow (U_1 + V_1)(U_2 + V_2) + kC + D, \quad H \leftarrow U_3 + V_3, \\ Y_3 &\leftarrow FG - H, \quad W_3 \leftarrow ((X_3 + Z_3)^2 - H)/2 \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 2(a - 1)$. The new unified addition costs **7M+3S+1D** on the modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates. This is faster than the 9M+2S+1D algorithm⁴ in [11] and the 8M+3S+1D algorithm in [2].

Assuming that $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2:U_2:V_2:W_2)$ is cached, the readdition costs **7M+3S+1D**. Then, a **6M+3S+1D** mixed addition can be derived by setting $Z_2 = 1$. We use the names “modified Jacobi-quartic v.1” and “modified Jacobi-quartic v.2b” to refer to this coordinate system in Section 4. Modified Jacobi-quartic v.1 uses the original formulae. Modified Jacobi-quartic v.2b uses the new formulae.

When using the new formulae, a similar algorithm can be used for another version of the modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates using the 5-tuple $(X_i:Y_i:Z_i:U_i:V_i)$ for representing the points. Then, the unified addition costs **7M+4S+1D** (computing $W_1 = (X_1 + Z_1)^2 - U_1 - V_1$ and $W_2 = (X_2 + Z_2)^2 - U_2 - V_2$ on the fly, and not computing W_3). Following this and assuming that $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2:U_2:V_2)$ is cached, the readdition costs **7M+3S+1D** (with the extra caching of W_2). Then, a **6M+3S+1D** mixed addition can be derived by setting $Z_2 = 1$. We use the name “modified Jacobi-quartic v.2a” to refer to this system in Section 4.

⁴Using the Jacobi-quartic curves with $\epsilon = 1$ for the unified addition algorithm in [11].

The 3M+4S doubling formulae described by Hisil, Carter and Dawson in [12] can be easily derived from the new unified addition formulae as follows. First, we input the same points to the new addition formulae and obtain the following,

$$x_3 = \frac{2x_1y_1}{1-x_1^4}, \quad y_3 = \left(\frac{x_1^2+1}{1-x_1^4}\right)^2 (x_1^4 + 2ax_1^2 + 1 + y_1^2) - x_3^2 - 1.$$

The doubling formulae in [12] can be derived by replacing $x_1^4 + 2ax_1^2 + 1$ with y_1^2 .

$$(x_3, y_3) = \left(\frac{2x_1y_1}{1-x_1^4}, 2\left(\frac{y_1(x_1^2+1)}{1-x_1^4}\right)^2 - x_3^2 - 1\right)$$

The doubling formulae [12] on the Jacobi-quartic coordinates are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &= 2X_1Y_1Z_1 \\ Z_3 &= Z_1^4 - X_1^4 \\ Y_3 &= 2(Y_1(X_1^2 + Z_1^2))^2 - X_3^2 - Z_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

These formulae are suitable to be used with the modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates v.2a, v.2b⁵. A point $(X_1:Y_1:Z_1:U_1:V_1:W_1)$ can be doubled as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leftarrow U_1 + V_1, \quad X_3 \leftarrow 2Y_1W_1, \quad Z_3 \leftarrow A(V_1 - U_1), \quad U_3 \leftarrow X_3^2, \\ V_3 &\leftarrow Z_3^2, \quad B \leftarrow U_3 + V_3, \quad W_3 \leftarrow ((X_3 + Z_3)^2 - B)/2, \quad Y_3 \leftarrow 2(Y_1A)^2 - B. \end{aligned}$$

Doubling costs 3M+4S on both versions of the modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates.

2.2 Jacobi-intersection form

The uses of these curves in cryptology are explained by Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky in [8] and Liardet and Smart in [15]. Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) > 3$. An elliptic curve in Jacobi-intersection form is the set of points which satisfy the equations $s^2 + c^2 = 1$ and $as^2 + d^2 = 1$ simultaneously where $a \in K$ with $a(1-a) \neq 0$. The identity element is the point $(0, 1, 1)$. The negative of a point (s, c, d) is $(-s, c, d)$. Birational maps to Weierstrass curves can be found in [15, 2, 3]. The affine unified addition formulae are given as follows.

$$(s_3, c_3, d_3) = \left(\frac{s_1c_2d_2 + c_1d_1s_2}{c_2^2 + d_1^2s_2^2}, \frac{c_1c_2 - s_1d_1s_2d_2}{c_2^2 + d_1^2s_2^2}, \frac{d_1d_2 - as_1c_1s_2c_2}{c_2^2 + d_1^2s_2^2}\right)$$

The addition on the standard Jacobi-intersection coordinates is given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= S_1T_1C_2D_2 + C_1D_1S_2T_2 \\ C_3 &= C_1T_1C_2T_2 - S_1D_1S_2D_2 \\ D_3 &= D_1T_1D_2T_2 - aS_1C_1S_2C_2 \\ T_3 &= D_1^2S_2^2 + T_1^2C_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

⁵The adaptation of these formulae to an extended version of the modified Jacobi-quartic coordinates is developed by Bernstein and Lange in EFD [2].

Note, the point $(S_i:C_i:D_i:T_i)$ corresponds to the affine point $(S_i/T_i, C_i/T_i, D_i/T_i)$. These points satisfy the projective curves $S^2+C^2=T^2$ and $aS^2+D^2=T^2$ simultaneously. We modify the standard Jacobi-intersection coordinates where each point is represented by the 6-tuple, $(S_i:C_i:D_i:T_i:S_iC_i:D_iT_i)$. Then, two points $(S_1:C_1:D_1:T_1:U_1:V_1)$ and $(S_2:C_2:D_2:T_2:U_2:V_2)$ with $U_1 = S_1C_1, V_1 = D_1T_1, U_2 = S_2C_2, V_2 = D_2T_2$ are added as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} E &\leftarrow S_1D_2, & F &\leftarrow C_1T_2, & G &\leftarrow D_1S_2, & H &\leftarrow T_1C_2, & J &\leftarrow U_1V_2, & K &\leftarrow V_1U_2, \\ S_3 &\leftarrow (H+F)(E+G) - J - K, & C_3 &\leftarrow (H+E)(F-G) - J + K, \\ D_3 &\leftarrow (V_1 - aU_1)(U_2 + V_2) + aJ - K, & T_3 &\leftarrow (H+G)^2 - 2K, & U_3 &\leftarrow S_3C_3, & V_3 &\leftarrow D_3T_3. \end{aligned}$$

The unified addition costs **11M+1S+2D** on the modified Jacobi-intersection coordinates. This is faster than the **13M+2S+1D** algorithm in [15] for the standard Jacobi-intersection coordinates. Assuming that $(S_2:C_2:D_2:T_2:U_2:V_2)$ is cached, the readdition costs **11M+1S+2D**. Then, a **10M+1S+2D** mixed addition can be derived by setting $T_2 = 1$. We use the name “modified Jacobi-intersection” to refer to these results in Section 4.

A similar algorithm can be used for the standard Jacobi-intersection coordinates. Then, the unified addition costs **13M+1S+2D** (computing $U_1 = S_1C_1, V_1 = D_1T_1, U_2 = S_2C_2, V_2 = D_2T_2$ on the fly, and not computing U_3 and V_3). This is also faster than the **13M+2S+1D** algorithm in [15] when $D < S$. Following this and assuming that $(S_2:C_2:D_2:T_2)$ is cached, the readdition costs **11M+1S+2D** (with the extra caching of U_2 and V_2). Then, a **10M+1S+2D** mixed addition can be derived by setting $T_2 = 1$. We use the name “Jacobi-intersection v.2” to refer to these results in Section 4.

Compatible doubling formulae for this coordinate system can be derived from the unified addition formulae. First, we input the same points to the original addition formulae and obtain the following.

$$(s_3, c_3, d_3) = \left(\frac{2s_1c_1d_1}{c_1^2 + s_1^2d_1^2}, \frac{c_1^2 - s_1^2d_1^2}{c_1^2 + s_1^2d_1^2}, \frac{d_1^2 - as_1^2c_1^2}{c_1^2 + s_1^2d_1^2} \right)$$

Using the defining equations, $s^2 + c^2 = 1$ and $as^2 + d^2 = 1$, we replace c_1^2 with $c_1^2(as_1^2 + d_1^2)$ (only for the denominators) and $s_1^2d_1^2$ with $(1 - c_1^2)d_1^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} s_3 &= (2s_1c_1d_1)/(c_1^2(as_1^2 + d_1^2) + (1 - c_1^2)d_1^2) \\ c_3 &= (c_1^2(as_1^2 + d_1^2) - (1 - c_1^2)d_1^2)/(c_1^2(as_1^2 + d_1^2) + (1 - c_1^2)d_1^2) \\ d_3 &= (d_1^2 - as_1^2c_1^2)/(c_1^2(as_1^2 + d_1^2) + (1 - c_1^2)d_1^2) \end{aligned}$$

This gives an intermediate formula for c_3 .

$$(s_3, c_3, d_3) = \left(\frac{2s_1c_1d_1}{d_1^2 + as_1^2c_1^2}, \frac{as_1^2c_1^2 + 2c_1^2d_1^2 - d_1^2}{d_1^2 + as_1^2c_1^2}, \frac{d_1^2 - as_1^2c_1^2}{d_1^2 + as_1^2c_1^2} \right)$$

Finally, we replace $2c_1^2d_1^2$ with $2c_1^2(s_1^2 + c_1^2 - as_1^2)$ in c_3 .

$$\begin{aligned} s_3 &= (2s_1c_1d_1)/(as_1^2c_1^2 + d_1^2) \\ c_3 &= (as_1^2c_1^2 + 2c_1^2(s_1^2 + c_1^2 - as_1^2) - d_1^2)/(as_1^2c_1^2 + d_1^2) \\ d_3 &= (d_1^2 - as_1^2c_1^2)/(as_1^2c_1^2 + d_1^2) \end{aligned}$$

The new doubling formulae are as follows.

$$(s_3, c_3, d_3) = \left(\frac{2s_1c_1d_1}{d_1^2 + as_1^2c_1^2}, \frac{-d_1^2 - as_1^2c_1^2 + 2(s_1^2c_1^2 + c_1^4)}{d_1^2 + as_1^2c_1^2}, \frac{d_1^2 - as_1^2c_1^2}{d_1^2 + as_1^2c_1^2} \right)$$

The new doubling formulae on the standard Jacobi-intersection coordinates are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= 2S_1C_1D_1T_1 \\ C_3 &= -D_1^2T_1^2 - aS_1^2C_1^2 + 2(S_1^2C_1^2 + C_1^4) \\ D_3 &= D_1^2T_1^2 - aS_1^2C_1^2 \\ T_3 &= D_1^2T_1^2 + aS_1^2C_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

The point $(S_1:C_1:D_1:T_1:U_1:V_1)$ can be added to itself as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} E &\leftarrow V_1^2, & F &\leftarrow U_1^2, & G &\leftarrow aF, & T_3 &\leftarrow E + G, & D_3 &\leftarrow E - G, \\ C_3 &\leftarrow 2(F + C_1^4) - T_3, & S_3 &\leftarrow (U_1 + V_1)^2 - E - F, & U_3 &\leftarrow S_3C_3, & V_3 &\leftarrow D_3T_3. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that point doubling costs **2M+5S+1D** both on standard and the modified Jacobi-intersection coordinates.

2.3 Edwards form

The uses of these curves in cryptology were introduced by Bernstein and Lange in [4, 1, 5]. Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. An elliptic curve in Edwards form is defined by $x^2 + y^2 = c^2(1 + dx^2y^2)$ where $c, d \in K$ with $cd(1 - c^4d) \neq 0$. The identity element is the point $(0, c)$. The negative of a point (x, y) is $(-x, y)$. Birational maps between Weierstrass and Edwards curves can be found in [4]. The affine unified addition formulae are given as follows.

$$(x_3, y_3) = \left(\frac{x_1y_2 + y_1x_2}{c(1 + dx_1y_1x_2y_2)}, \frac{y_1y_2 - x_1x_2}{c(1 - dx_1y_1x_2y_2)} \right)$$

We first describe how new addition formulae for Edwards curves can be derived from the original addition formulae in [4]. We start with the Edwards curve equation $x^2 + y^2 - c^2(1 + dx^2y^2) = 0$. Suppose we wish to add (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) . Consider the relations obtained by the curve equation at these two points, i.e., $x_1^2 + y_1^2 - c^2(1 + dx_1^2y_1^2) = 0$, $x_2^2 + y_2^2 - c^2(1 + dx_2^2y_2^2) = 0$. From this, we can express c and d in terms of x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 as follows,

$$c^2 = \frac{x_1^2x_2^2y_1^2 - x_1^2x_2^2y_2^2 + x_1^2y_1^2y_2^2 - x_2^2y_1^2y_2^2}{x_1^2y_1^2 - x_2^2y_2^2}, \quad d = \frac{x_1^2 - x_2^2 + y_1^2 - y_2^2}{x_1^2x_2^2y_1^2 - x_1^2x_2^2y_2^2 + x_1^2y_1^2y_2^2 - x_2^2y_1^2y_2^2}.$$

Substitutions can be made to the original addition formulae to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 &= \frac{x_1y_2 + y_1x_2}{\frac{1}{c} \frac{x_1^2x_2^2y_1^2 - x_1^2x_2^2y_2^2 + x_1^2y_1^2y_2^2 - x_2^2y_1^2y_2^2}{x_1^2y_1^2 - x_2^2y_2^2} \left(1 + \frac{x_1^2 - x_2^2 + y_1^2 - y_2^2}{x_1^2x_2^2y_1^2 - x_1^2x_2^2y_2^2 + x_1^2y_1^2y_2^2 - x_2^2y_1^2y_2^2} x_1y_1x_2y_2 \right)}, \\ y_3 &= \frac{y_1y_2 - x_1x_2}{\frac{1}{c} \frac{x_1^2x_2^2y_1^2 - x_1^2x_2^2y_2^2 + x_1^2y_1^2y_2^2 - x_2^2y_1^2y_2^2}{x_1^2y_1^2 - x_2^2y_2^2} \left(1 - \frac{x_1^2 - x_2^2 + y_1^2 - y_2^2}{x_1^2x_2^2y_1^2 - x_1^2x_2^2y_2^2 + x_1^2y_1^2y_2^2 - x_2^2y_1^2y_2^2} x_1y_1x_2y_2 \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

After simplifications, we derive the new addition formulae

$$(x_3, y_3) = \left(\frac{c(x_1y_1 + x_2y_2)}{x_1x_2 + y_1y_2}, \frac{c(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2)}{x_1y_2 - y_1x_2} \right).$$

Note, the formula for computing y_3 is not defined for $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$ and hence not unified. For this reason, we call the new formulae *dedicated* addition for Edwards curves. These new formulae show an interesting fact that dedicated addition on the Edwards curves does not depend on the curve parameter d . Therefore, a larger valid d can be selected without any efficiency loss.

To prevent field inversions that appear in the affine formulae, we represent each point in standard Edwards coordinates [4] with the triplet $(X_i:Y_i:Z_i)$ which corresponds to the affine point $(X_i/Z_i, Y_i/Z_i)$. These triplets satisfy the projective curve $(X^2 + Y^2)Z^2 = c^2(Z^4 + dX^2Y^2)$. The new addition formulae on the standard Edwards coordinates are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &= Z_1Z_2(X_1Y_2 - Y_1X_2)(X_1Y_1Z_2^2 + Z_1^2X_2Y_2) \\ Y_3 &= Z_1Z_2(X_1X_2 + Y_1Y_2)(X_1Y_1Z_2^2 - Z_1^2X_2Y_2) \\ Z_3 &= kZ_1^2Z_2^2(X_1Y_2 - Y_1X_2)(X_1X_2 + Y_1Y_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1/c$. Two points $(X_1:Y_1:Z_1)$ and $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2)$ can be added as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leftarrow X_1Z_2, & B &\leftarrow Y_1Z_2, & C &\leftarrow Z_1X_2, & D &\leftarrow Z_1Y_2, & E &\leftarrow AB, & F &\leftarrow CD, \\ G &\leftarrow E + F, & H &\leftarrow E - F, & J &\leftarrow (A - C)(B + D) - H, & K &\leftarrow (A + D)(B + C) - G, \\ X_3 &\leftarrow GJ, & Y_3 &\leftarrow HK, & Z_3 &\leftarrow kJK. \end{aligned}$$

We also investigate the operation counts for the inverted Edwards coordinates in [5]. The new addition formulae on the inverted Edwards coordinates are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &= Z_1Z_2(X_1X_2 + Y_1Y_2)(X_1Y_1Z_2^2 - Z_1^2X_2Y_2) \\ Y_3 &= Z_1Z_2(X_1Y_2 - Y_1X_2)(X_1Y_1Z_2^2 + Z_1^2X_2Y_2) \\ Z_3 &= c(X_1Y_1Z_2^2 + Z_1^2X_2Y_2)(X_1Y_1Z_2^2 - Z_1^2X_2Y_2) \end{aligned}$$

The triplet $(X_i:Y_i:Z_i)$ corresponds to the affine point $(Z_i/X_i, Z_i/Y_i)$. The triplets satisfy the projective curve $(X^2 + Y^2)Z^2 = c^2(X^2Y^2 + dZ^4)$. Two points $(X_1:Y_1:Z_1)$ and $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2)$ can be added as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leftarrow X_1Z_2, & B &\leftarrow Y_1Z_2, & C &\leftarrow Z_1X_2, & D &\leftarrow Z_1Y_2, \\ E &\leftarrow AB, & F &\leftarrow CD, & G &\leftarrow E + F, & H &\leftarrow E - F, \\ X_3 &\leftarrow ((A + D)(B + C) - G)H, & Y_3 &\leftarrow ((A - C)(B + D) - H)G, & Z_3 &\leftarrow cGH. \end{aligned}$$

We assume $c = 1$ (see [4, Section 4]) Then, the dedicated addition costs **11M** for both coordinate systems. A **9M** mixed addition can be derived by setting $Z_2 = 1$ again for both coordinate systems. It is more convenient to divide each coordinate of the new formulae by Z_1Z_2 (which is never 0) for the readdition of a point on the standard Edwards coordinates. Then, the

readdition of the point $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2)$ to an arbitrary point $(X_1:Y_1:Z_1)$ can be performed with the cached values $R_1 = X_2Y_2$ and $R_2 = Z_2^2$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leftarrow X_1Y_1, & B &\leftarrow Z_1^2, & C &\leftarrow R_2A, & D &\leftarrow R_1B, & E &\leftarrow (X_1 - X_2)(Y_1 + Y_2) - A + R_1, \\ F &\leftarrow (X_1 + Y_2)(X_2 + Y_1) - A - R_1, & G &\leftarrow ((Z_1 + Z_2)^2 - B - R_2)/2, \\ X_3 &\leftarrow E(C + D), & Y_3 &\leftarrow F(C - D), & Z_3 &\leftarrow kEFG. \end{aligned}$$

The readdition costs **9M+2S** on the standard Edwards coordinates. (See ‘‘Edwards v.2’’ in Table 1 and Table 2 in the appendix). In fact, the readdition algorithm shows that a modified version of the standard Edwards coordinates in which the points are represented by the 5-tuple $(X_i:Y_i:Z_i:Z_i^2:X_iY_i)$ permits an inversion-free addition in 9M+2S using the same algorithm. This is faster than the 11M algorithm that we have just described. However, the 3M+4S doubling formulae/algorithm in [4] costs 5M+2S on this coordinate system and also the mixed addition costs 8M+2S which is slower than the 9M mixed addition given above. Therefore, we do not consider this case. The new addition and its associated readdition on the inverted Edwards coordinates are not attractive as they are for the standard Edwards coordinates. On the other hand, the mixed addition can be used in some cases. (See ‘‘Inverted Edwards v.2’’ in Table 1 and Table 2 in the appendix).

2.4 Hessian form

The uses of these curves in cryptology are explained by Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky in [8], Joye and Quisquater in [13], and Smart in [18]. Let K be a field with $\text{char}(K) > 3$. An elliptic curve in Hessian form is defined by $x^3 + y^3 + 1 = 3dxy$ where $d \in K$ with $d^3 \neq 1$. The identity element is the point at infinity. The negative of a point (x, y) is (y, x) . Birational maps between Weierstrass and Hessian curves can be found in [18, 13, 2, 3]. The addition formulae attributed to Sylvester in [8, pp.424-425] are as follows.

$$(x_3, y_3) = \left(\frac{y_1^2x_2 - y_2^2x_1}{x_2y_2 - x_1y_1}, \frac{x_1^2y_2 - x_2^2y_1}{x_2y_2 - x_1y_1} \right)$$

The addition formulae on the standard Hessian coordinates are defined as follows (with each coordinate multiplied by 2).

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &= 2Y_1^2X_2Z_2 - 2X_1Z_1Y_2^2 \\ Y_3 &= 2X_1^2Y_2Z_2 - 2Y_1Z_1X_2^2 \\ Z_3 &= 2Z_1^2X_2Y_2 - 2X_1Y_1Z_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note, each point is represented by the triplet $(X_i:Y_i:Z_i)$ which corresponds to the affine point $(X_i/Z_i, Y_i/Z_i)$. The triplets satisfy the projective curve $X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3 = 3dXYZ$. To gain better operation counts, we modify the standard Hessian coordinates with a more redundant representation of points using the 9-tuple, $(X_i:Y_i:Z_i:X_i^2:Y_i^2:Z_i^2:2X_iY_i:2X_iZ_i:2Y_iZ_i)$. Two points $(X_1:Y_1:Z_1:R_1:S_1:T_1:U_1:V_1:W_1)$ and $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2:R_2:S_2:T_2:U_2:V_2:W_2)$ with $R_1 = X_1^2, S_1 =$

$Y_1^2, T_1 = Z_1^2, U_1 = 2X_1Y_1, V_1 = 2X_1Z_1, W_1 = 2Y_1Z_1, R_2 = X_2^2, S_2 = Y_2^2, T_2 = Z_2^2, U_2 = 2X_2Y_2, V_2 = 2X_2Z_2, W_2 = 2Y_2Z_2$ can be added as follows,

$$X_3 \leftarrow S_1V_2 - V_1S_2, \quad Y_3 \leftarrow R_1W_2 - W_1R_2, \quad Z_3 \leftarrow T_1U_2 - U_1T_2,$$

$$R_3 \leftarrow X_3^2, \quad S_3 \leftarrow Y_3^2, \quad T_3 \leftarrow Z_3^2,$$

$$U_3 \leftarrow (X_3 + Y_3)^2 - R_3 - S_3, \quad V_3 \leftarrow (X_3 + Z_3)^2 - R_3 - T_3, \quad W_3 \leftarrow (Y_3 + Z_3)^2 - S_3 - T_3.$$

The unified addition⁶ costs **6M+6S** on the modified Hessian coordinates. If $S < M$, this strategy improves on the 12M figure reported in [8] at the cost of more space. Assuming that $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2:R_2:S_2:T_2:U_2:V_2:W_2)$ is cached, the readdition costs **6M+6S**. Then, a **5M+6S** mixed addition can be derived by setting $Z_2 = 1$. We use the name ‘‘modified Hessian’’ to refer to these results in Section 4.

A similar algorithm can be used for the standard Hessian coordinates for the readdition and the mixed addition. Assuming that $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2)$ is cached, the readdition costs **6M+6S** (with the extra caching of $R_2, S_2, T_2, U_2, V_2, W_2$). Then, a **5M+6S** mixed addition can be derived by setting $Z_2 = 1$. (Also see Hisil, Carter and Dawson [12, pp.146–147]). We use the name ‘‘Hessian v.2’’ to refer to these results in Section 4.

For speed oriented implementations, Sylvester’s doubling formulae are as follows.

$$(x_3, y_3) = \left(\frac{y_1(1 - x_1^3)}{x_1^3 - y_1^3}, -\frac{x_1(1 - y_1^3)}{x_1^3 - y_1^3} \right)$$

When working with the modified coordinates, there exists a doubling strategy which requires no additional effort for generating the new coordinates. Sylvester’s doubling formulae can be expressed on the standard Hessian coordinates (with each coordinate multiplied by 4).

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &= (2X_1Y_1 - 2Y_1Z_1)(2X_1Z_1 + 2(X_1^2 + Z_1^2)) \\ Y_3 &= (2X_1Z_1 - 2X_1Y_1)(2Y_1Z_1 + 2(Y_1^2 + Z_1^2)) \\ Z_3 &= (2Y_1Z_1 - 2X_1Z_1)(2X_1Y_1 + 2(X_1^2 + Y_1^2)) \end{aligned}$$

Then, the point $(X_1:Y_1:Z_1:R_1:S_1:T_1:U_1:V_1:W_1)$ can be added to itself as follows,

$$X_3 \leftarrow (U_1 - W_1)(V_1 + 2(R_1 + T_1)), \quad Y_3 \leftarrow (V_1 - U_1)(W_1 + 2(S_1 + T_1)),$$

$$Z_3 \leftarrow (W_1 - V_1)(U_1 + 2(R_1 + S_1)), \quad R_3 \leftarrow X_3^2, \quad S_3 \leftarrow Y_3^2, \quad T_3 \leftarrow Z_3^2,$$

$$U_3 \leftarrow (X_3 + Y_3)^2 - R_3 - S_3, \quad V_3 \leftarrow (X_3 + Z_3)^2 - R_3 - T_3, \quad W_3 \leftarrow (Y_3 + Z_3)^2 - S_3 - T_3.$$

Point doubling costs **3M+6S** on both standard and the modified Hessian coordinates. (See [12] for a 7M+1S algorithm on the standard coordinates).

We comment that it is possible to derive unified addition formulae which do not require any permutations of the coordinates to perform doubling. Assuming⁷ $x_1x_2 \neq y_1y_2$, we multiply

⁶Point doubling can be performed as $(Z_1: X_1: Y_1: T_1: R_1: S_1: V_1: W_1: U_1) + (Y_1: Z_1: X_1: S_1: T_1: R_1: W_1: U_1: V_1)$ using the addition formulae on the modified Hessian coordinates. This strategy originates from Joye and Quisquater [13, p.6].

⁷This is equivalent to saying $(x_1, y_1) \neq -(x_2, y_2)$. The contrary case should be handled separately as explained in Section 3.

the numerator and the denominator of Sylvester's addition formulae for x_3 by $(x_1^3x_2^3 - y_1^3y_2^3)$ to obtain

$$x_3 = \frac{(x_1^3x_2^3 - y_1^3y_2^3)(y_1^2x_2 - y_2^2x_1)}{(x_1^3x_2^3 - y_1^3y_2^3)(x_2y_2 - x_1y_1)}.$$

This can be rearranged as follows

$$x_3 = \frac{x_1y_1^2(y_2^3 + x_2^3)(y_2^2y_1 + x_1^2x_2) - x_2y_2^2(y_1^3 + x_1^3)(y_1^2y_2 + x_2^2x_1)}{(x_1^3x_2^3 - y_1^3y_2^3)(x_2y_2 - x_1y_1)}.$$

Using the curve equation $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 3dxy$, the above expression can be rewritten as

$$x_3 = \frac{x_1y_1^2(3dx_2y_2 - 1)(y_2^2y_1 + x_1^2x_2) - x_2y_2^2(3dx_1y_1 - 1)(y_1^2y_2 + x_2^2x_1)}{(x_1^3x_2^3 - y_1^3y_2^3)(x_2y_2 - x_1y_1)}.$$

The numerator can be factorized and cancels with $(x_2y_2 - x_1y_1)$ in the denominator, giving the new addition formulae. The corresponding formula for y_3 can be similarly derived from symmetry.

$$(x_3, y_3) = \left(\frac{x_1x_2(x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 - 3dx_1x_2y_1y_2) + y_1^2y_2^2}{x_1^3x_2^3 - y_1^3y_2^3}, -\frac{y_1y_2(x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 - 3dx_1x_2y_1y_2) + x_1^2x_2^2}{x_1^3x_2^3 - y_1^3y_2^3} \right)$$

The new addition formulae on the standard Hessian coordinates are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &= X_1X_2(X_1Y_1Z_2^2 + X_2Y_2Z_1^2 - 3dX_1Y_1X_2Y_2) + Y_1^2Z_1Y_2^2Z_2 \\ Y_3 &= -Y_1Y_2(X_1Y_1Z_2^2 + X_2Y_2Z_1^2 - 3dX_1Y_1X_2Y_2) - X_1^2Z_1X_2^2Z_2 \\ Z_3 &= X_1^3X_2^3 - Y_1^3Y_2^3 \end{aligned}$$

We again use a modified version of the standard coordinates. Two points $(X_1:Y_1:Z_1:V_1:W_1)$ and $(X_2:Y_2:Z_2:V_2:W_2)$ with $V_1 = X_1Y_1, W_1 = Z_1^2, V_2 = X_2Y_2, W_2 = Z_2^2$ can be added as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leftarrow X_1X_2, & B &\leftarrow Y_1Y_2, & C &\leftarrow ((Z_1 + Z_2)^2 - W_1 - W_2)/2, & D &\leftarrow A^2, & E &\leftarrow B^2, \\ F &\leftarrow D + E, & G &\leftarrow ((A + B)^2 - F)/2, & H &\leftarrow (V_1 + W_1)(V_2 + W_2) - (3d + 1)G - C^2, \\ X_3 &\leftarrow AH + EC, & Y_3 &\leftarrow -BH - DC, & Z_3 &\leftarrow (A - B)(G + F), & V_3 &\leftarrow X_3Y_3, & W_3 &\leftarrow Z_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

This strategy costs **9M+6S+1D** which is faster than the unified addition in Weierstrass form in [7, 2]. However, it is slower than all other unified additions considered in this paper. In addition, doubling, readdition and mixed addition formulae that can be derived from these formulae are not attractive. Therefore, we omit these formulae from further comparison with other systems.

3 Handling Exceptional Cases

An elliptic curve which can be written in one of these forms always has points of small order (other than the identity) and the arithmetic of these points can cause division by zero exceptions depending on the formulae and the coordinate system in use. Cryptographic applications use a large prime order subgroup in which these points (except the identity element, \mathcal{O}) do not exist. At this stage, an implementer only needs to be careful about the identity element. When the points P and Q are to be added, a general strategy to handle the exceptional cases is as follows. Let R be the sum of P and Q . Then, $R = Q$ if $P = \mathcal{O}$; $R = P$ if $Q = \mathcal{O}$; $R = \mathcal{O}$ if $P = -Q$. For all other inputs, the sum can be computed with the relevant formulae given in Section 2. In this context, there are some formulae and coordinate system combinations which do not cause exceptions. These are Edwards v.1a, v.1b, v.2, Jacobi-quartic v.1, v.2, Jacobi-intersection v.1, v.2, modified Jacobi-quartic v.1, v.2a, v.2b, and modified Jacobi-intersection. The ones which need exception handling are inverted Edwards (as explained in [5]) v.1, v.2, Hessian v.1, v.2, and modified Hessian. The descriptions and the references for the systems which are not defined so far can be found in the appendix.

4 Comparison and Conclusion

There are several point multiplication algorithms which can benefit from the optimizations in this paper. We only make comparisons for the popular point multiplication strategies between known elliptic curve forms/families. We exclude the cost of the final inversion to affine coordinates for point multiplication.

Resource limited environments. In memory limited environments (such as smartcards), there is not enough space for storing precomputation tables. For these environments, point multiplication with “*Non-adjacent form without precomputation*” algorithm is a convenient selection. This algorithm requires 1 doubling, 1/3 mixed addition per bit. The cost estimates are depicted in Table 1. *For example, the best timings for 256-bit scalar multiplication ($S/M=0.8$, $D/M\approx 0$) are obtained by the modified Jacobi-quartic v.2a and v.2b which costs 2297M. The previous best was set by the inverted Edwards v.1 [5] which requires 2331M for the same example.*

Speed implementations. This is the most difficult case in which to state a fair comparison because the optimum speeds are somewhat dependent on the choice of the scalar multiplication algorithm. For instance, one might expect to gain the best timing with the system which has the fastest doubling operation since point doubling is the dominating operation. However, the readdition and the mixed addition costs also play important roles in the overall timings. Furthermore, Doche/Icart/Kohel-3 curves [10] have very fast tripling formulae which can benefit from double base number system based point multiplication. We can *roughly* state that the fast systems ($S/M=0.8$, $D/M\approx 0$) are the modified Jacobi-quartics v.1, v.2a, v.2b, inverted Edwards v.1a, v.1b, Edwards v.2. Jacobi-intersection v.2 is also fast (maybe the fastest) if the readdition and the mixed addition can be invoked fewer times. To make the comparison easier, we fix the algorithm to the “*signed 4-bit sliding windows*” scalar multiplication algorithm analyzed in [4]. The algorithm requires 0.98 doublings, 0.17 readditions, 0.025 mixed additions and 0.0035 additions per bit (for 256-bit scalars). We use this analysis to report current rankings between

different systems in Table 2. With our improvements, the modified Jacobi-quartic v.2a, v.2b provides the fastest timings for almost all S/M and D/M values. *For example, 256-bit scalar multiplication ($S/M=0.8$, $D/M\approx 0$) costs around 2020M for the modified Jacobi-quartic v.2a, v.2b. The previous best was set by the inverted Edwards v.1 which require 2040M for the same example. It should be noted that the modified Jacobi-quartic v.2a, v.2b are less sensitive to changes in D than the inverted Edwards v.1, v.2.*

Side channel attacks. Targeting the embedded implementations, we take the “*Non-adjacent form without precomputation with SPA protection*” scalar multiplication algorithm into our consideration. This is almost the same as using the “*Non-adjacent form without precomputation*” algorithm with the difference that unified addition formulae is used for both point doubling and point addition. This strategy hides the side channel information from the attacker who needs more samplings and statistical tools for a successful attack. This algorithm invokes 4/3 unified additions per bit. The modified coordinates for Hessian and Jacobi-intersection forms are only useful here. The **7M+3S+1D** unified addition of the modified Jacobi-quartic v.2b is the fastest among all other unified additions. The cost estimates for various systems are depicted in Table 3. *For example, 256-bit scalar multiplication ($S/M=0.8$, $D/M\approx 0$) costs 3208M for the modified Jacobi-quartic v.2b. The same operation requires 3345M for the inverted Edwards v.1, v.2 (previous fastest) and 5256M for the Weierstrass form ($a=-3$) using the standard projective coordinates. Modified Jacobi-quartic v.2b is 64% faster than the Weierstrass form in this context. The speedup varies between 45% and 67% depending on the S/M and D/M values present.*

Future directions. We should note that many of these operation counts may be subject to further development. For instance, the lazy reduction possibilities and the total memory requirements of the new algorithms have not been determined yet. In addition, there are curve models (such as the Montgomery form $by^2 = x(x^2 - 2ax + 1)$) which are not studied in this paper, which may provide improvements. Furthermore, similar ideas might also apply to the low characteristic and/or higher genus curves. Therefore, there is still much room for research on this topic.

References

- [1] Daniel J. Bernstein, Peter Birkner, Tanja Lange, and Christiane Peters. Optimizing double-base elliptic-curve single-scalar multiplication. In *INDOCRYPT 2007*, volume 4859 of *LNCS*, pages 167–182. Springer, 2007.
- [2] Daniel J. Bernstein and Tanja Lange. Explicit-formulas database. <http://www.hyperelliptic.org/EFD>.
- [3] Daniel J. Bernstein and Tanja Lange. Analysis and optimization of elliptic-curve single-scalar multiplication. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2007/455, 2007. <http://eprint.iacr.org/>.
- [4] Daniel J. Bernstein and Tanja Lange. Faster addition and doubling on elliptic curves. In *ASIACRYPT 2007*, volume 4833 of *LNCS*, pages 29–50. Springer, 2007.

- [5] Daniel J. Bernstein and Tanja Lange. Inverted Edwards coordinates. In *AAECC-17*, volume 4851 of *LNCS*, pages 20–27. Springer, 2007.
- [6] Olivier Billet and Marc Joye. The Jacobi model of an elliptic curve and side-channel analysis. In *AAECC-15*, volume 2643 of *LNCS*, pages 34–42. Springer, 2003.
- [7] Eric Brier and Marc Joye. Weierstraß elliptic curves and side-channel attacks. In *PKC 2002*, pages 335–345. Springer, 2002.
- [8] David V. Chudnovsky and Gregory V. Chudnovsky. Sequences of numbers generated by addition in formal groups and new primality and factorization tests. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 7(4):385–434, 1986.
- [9] Henri Cohen, Atsuko Miyaji, and Takatoshi Ono. Efficient elliptic curve exponentiation using mixed coordinates. In *ASIACRYPT'98*, pages 51–65. Springer, 1998.
- [10] Christophe Doche, Thomas Icart, and David R. Kohel. Efficient scalar multiplication by isogeny decompositions. In *PKC 2006*, volume 3958 of *LNCS*, pages 191–206. Springer, 2006.
- [11] Sylvain Duquesne. Improving the arithmetic of elliptic curves in the Jacobi model. *Information Processing Letters*, 104(3):101–105, 2007.
- [12] Huseyin Hisil, Gary Carter, and Ed Dawson. New formulae for efficient elliptic curve arithmetic. In *INDOCRYPT 2007*, volume 4859 of *LNCS*, pages 138–151. Springer, 2007.
- [13] Marc Joye and Jean Jacques Quisquater. Hessian elliptic curves and side-channel attacks. In *CHES 2001*, volume 2162 of *LNCS*, pages 402–410. Springer, 2001.
- [14] Neal Koblitz. Elliptic curve cryptosystems. *Mathematics of Computation*, 48(177):203–209, January 1987.
- [15] Pierre Yvan Liardet and Nigel P. Smart. Preventing SPA/DPA in ECC systems using the Jacobi form. In *CHES 2001*, volume 2162 of *LNCS*, pages 391–401. Springer, 2001.
- [16] Victor S. Miller. Use of elliptic curves in cryptography. In *CRYPTO'85*, volume 218 of *LNCS*, pages 417–426. Springer, 1986.
- [17] Michael Monagan and Roman Pearce. Rational simplification modulo a polynomial ideal. In *ISSAC'06*, pages 239–245. ACM, 2006.
- [18] Nigel P. Smart. The Hessian form of an elliptic curve. In *CHES 2001*, volume 2162 of *LNCS*, pages 118–125. Springer, 2001.

A Appendix

The appendix is composed of three tables. In each table, the ratios such as (.5, .67) are the D/M, S/M values, respectively. The underlined values are the fastest timings in that column. The rows are sorted with respect to the column (0, .8) in descending order. “REG” stands for the number of coordinates in each system. “DBL”, “mADD”, “reADD”, “ADD”, and “uADD” stands for the costs of doubling, mixed addition, readdition, addition and unified addition, respectively. Some forms have alternative versions due to alternative operation counts for different S/M and D/M values. It is possible to put more versions due to the richness of current formulae and algorithms. On the other hand, this will decrease readability of the tables. Therefore, we only provide the most significant cases. The references for the comparisons are;

- Doche/Icart/Kohel-2; all operations from [10, 2]. The appearance of [2] is to emphasize that better operation counts are available and is obtained from this database. This is the same for other dot items,
- Edwards; all operations for v.1a, v.1b, and doubling for v.2 from [4],
- Hessian; doubling for v.1, v.2 from [12], readdition, mixed addition, and addition for v.1, addition for v.2 from [8],
- Inverted Edwards; all operations for v.1 and doubling, readdition and addition for v.2 from [5],
- Jacobian ($a = -3$) and Jacobian; all operations from [8, 9, 2],
- Jacobi-intersection; doubling, addition, readdition, from [15, 2], mixed addition from [12],
- Jacobi-quartic; doubling⁸, readdition, mixed addition⁹ and addition for v.1a, v.1b from [6, 11, 2],
- Modified Jacobi-quartic doubling for v.1, v.2a, v.2b [12, 2], readdition, mixed-addition, and addition for v.1 from [11, 2],
- Projective ($a = -3$) and Projective doubling, readdition, mixed addition and addition for [8, 2], unified addition from [7, 2].

The rest are from this paper and they are highlighted in the tables.

⁸The $2M+6S+2D$ doubling formulae/algorithm by Hisil, Dawson and Carter reported in [2] cost $1M+7S+2D$ if the coordinate X_3 is computed as $(X_1Z_1 + Y_1)^2 - (X_1Z_1)^2 - Y_1^2$.

⁹The mixed addition costs $7M+3S+1D$ on the Jacobi-quartic coordinates when $Z_2 = 1$ for the $8M+3S+1D$ readdition algorithm in [11, 2].

Table 1: Point multiplication cost estimates per bit for “Non-adjacent form without precomputation” method.

| System | REG | DBL | | | mADD | | | 1 DBL, 0.33 mADD per bit | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | M | S | D | M | S | D | 1, 1 | 1, .8 | 1, .67 | .5, 1 | .5, .8 | .5, .67 | 0, 1 | 0, .8 | 0, .67 |
| Projective | 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 15.630 | 14.298 | 13.432 | 15.130 | 13.798 | 12.932 | 14.630 | 13.298 | 12.432 |
| Projective (a=-3) | 3 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 13.630 | 12.898 | 12.422 | 13.630 | 12.898 | 12.422 | 13.630 | 12.898 | 12.422 |
| Jacobi-quartic v.1a | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 13.630 | 11.632 | 10.333 | 13.465 | 11.467 | 10.168 | 13.300 | 11.302 | 10.003 |
| Hessian v.1 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11.300 | 11.100 | 10.970 | 11.300 | 11.100 | 10.970 | 11.300 | 11.100 | 10.970 |
| Hessian v.2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 12.630 | 11.034 | 9.997 | 12.630 | 11.034 | 9.997 | 12.630 | 11.034 | 9.997 |
| Modified Hessian | 9 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 12.630 | 11.034 | 9.997 | 12.630 | 11.034 | 9.997 | 12.630 | 11.034 | 9.997 |
| Jacobian | 3 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 13.630 | 11.766 | 10.554 | 13.130 | 11.266 | 10.054 | 12.630 | 10.766 | 9.554 |
| Jacobian (a=-3) | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 11.630 | 10.366 | 9.544 | 11.630 | 10.366 | 9.544 | 11.630 | 10.366 | 9.544 |
| Jacobi-intersection v.1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 11.290 | 10.358 | 9.752 | 11.125 | 10.193 | 9.587 | 10.960 | 10.028 | 9.422 |
| Jacobi-quartic v.1b | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 13.630 | 12.032 | 10.993 | 12.465 | 10.867 | 9.828 | 11.300 | 9.702 | 8.663 |
| Doche/lcart/Kohel-2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 13.290 | 12.026 | 11.204 | 12.125 | 10.861 | 10.039 | 10.960 | 9.696 | 8.874 |
| Jacobi-intersection v.2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 12.290 | 11.224 | 10.531 | 11.460 | 10.394 | 9.701 | 10.630 | 9.564 | 8.871 |
| Modified Jacobi-intersection | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 12.290 | 11.224 | 10.531 | 11.460 | 10.394 | 9.701 | 10.630 | 9.564 | 8.871 |
| Edwards v.1b | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 10.960 | 9.830 | 9.096 | 10.795 | 9.665 | 8.931 | 10.630 | 9.500 | 8.766 |
| Edwards v.1a | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 10.630 | 9.764 | 9.201 | 10.465 | 9.599 | 9.036 | 10.300 | 9.434 | 8.871 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic v.1 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 10.630 | 9.632 | 8.983 | 10.465 | 9.467 | 8.818 | 10.300 | 9.302 | 8.653 |
| Inverted Edwards v.2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 10.970 | 10.170 | 9.650 | 10.470 | 9.670 | 9.150 | <u>9.970</u> | 9.170 | 8.650 |
| Edwards v.2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | <u>9.970</u> | <u>9.170</u> | <u>8.650</u> | <u>9.970</u> | 9.170 | 8.650 | <u>9.970</u> | 9.170 | 8.650 |
| Inverted Edwards v.1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 11.300 | 10.434 | 9.871 | 10.635 | 9.769 | 9.206 | <u>9.970</u> | 9.104 | 8.541 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic v.2a | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 10.300 | 9.302 | 8.653 | 10.135 | <u>9.137</u> | <u>8.488</u> | <u>9.970</u> | <u>8.972</u> | <u>8.323</u> |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic v.2b | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 10.300 | 9.302 | 8.653 | 10.135 | <u>9.137</u> | <u>8.488</u> | <u>9.970</u> | <u>8.972</u> | <u>8.323</u> |

Table 2: Point multiplication cost estimates per bit for “Signed 4-bit Sliding Windows” method.

| System | REG | DBL | | | reADD | | | mADD | | | ADD | | | 0.98 DBL, 0.17 reADD, 0.025 mADD, 0.0035 ADD per bit | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | M | S | D | M | S | D | M | S | D | M | S | D | 1, 1 | 1, .8 | 1, .67 | .5, 1 | .5, .8 | .5, .67 | 0, 1 | 0, .8 | 0, .67 |
| Projective | 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 14.433 | 13.177 | 12.360 | 13.942 | 12.685 | 11.869 | 13.451 | 12.194 | 11.377 |
| Projective (a=-3) | 3 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 12.468 | 11.801 | 11.368 | 12.468 | 11.801 | 11.368 | 12.468 | 11.801 | 11.368 |
| Jacobi-quartic v.1a | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 12.136 | 10.251 | 9.026 | 12.039 | 10.154 | 8.929 | 11.942 | 10.057 | 8.832 |
| Hessian v.1 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 10.140 | 9.943 | 9.816 | 10.140 | 9.943 | 9.816 | 10.140 | 9.943 | 9.816 |
| Jacobian | 3 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 12.475 | 10.748 | 9.624 | 11.984 | 10.256 | 9.133 | 11.493 | 9.765 | 8.642 |
| Hessian v.2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 11.147 | 9.739 | 8.824 | 11.147 | 9.739 | 8.824 | 11.147 | 9.739 | 8.824 |
| Modified Hessian | 9 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 11.147 | 9.735 | 8.817 | 11.147 | 9.735 | 8.817 | 11.147 | 9.735 | 8.817 |
| Jacobian (a=-3) | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 10.511 | 9.372 | 8.632 | 10.511 | 9.372 | 8.632 | 10.511 | 9.372 | 8.632 |
| Doche/Icart/Kohel-2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 12.213 | 11.042 | 10.280 | 11.134 | 9.962 | 9.201 | 10.054 | 8.883 | 8.121 |
| Jacobi-intersection v.1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 9.577 | 8.714 | 8.152 | 9.480 | 8.617 | 8.055 | 9.383 | 8.520 | 7.958 |
| Jacobi-quartic v.1b | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 12.136 | 10.644 | 9.675 | 11.057 | 9.565 | 8.595 | 9.977 | 8.485 | 7.516 |
| Edwards v.1b | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 9.376 | 8.396 | 7.759 | 9.279 | 8.299 | 7.662 | 9.182 | 8.202 | 7.565 |
| Jacobi-intersection v.2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 10.560 | 9.539 | 8.875 | 9.874 | 8.853 | 8.189 | 9.189 | 8.168 | 7.504 |
| Edwards v.1a | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 9.182 | 8.357 | 7.821 | 9.085 | 8.260 | 7.724 | 8.988 | 8.163 | 7.627 |
| Modified Jacobi-intersection | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 10.553 | 9.531 | 8.868 | 9.867 | 8.846 | 8.182 | 9.182 | 8.161 | 7.497 |
| Edwards v.2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | <u>8.963</u> | 8.111 | 7.557 | 8.963 | 8.111 | 7.557 | 8.963 | 8.111 | 7.557 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic v.1 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 9.182 | 8.280 | 7.693 | 9.085 | 8.183 | 7.596 | 8.988 | 8.085 | 7.499 |
| Inverted Edwards v.2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 9.946 | 9.126 | 8.593 | 9.370 | 8.550 | 8.017 | <u>8.794</u> | 7.974 | 7.441 |
| Inverted Edwards v.1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 9.970 | 9.146 | 8.609 | 9.382 | 8.557 | 8.021 | <u>8.794</u> | 7.969 | 7.433 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic v.2a | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 8.991 | 8.088 | 7.501 | 8.894 | 7.991 | 7.404 | 8.797 | 7.894 | 7.307 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic v.2b | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 8.988 | <u>8.085</u> | <u>7.499</u> | <u>8.891</u> | <u>7.988</u> | <u>7.402</u> | <u>8.794</u> | <u>7.891</u> | <u>7.305</u> |

Table 3: Point multiplication cost estimates per bit for “Non-adjacent form without precomputation with SPA protection” algorithm.

| System | REG | uADD | | | 1.33 uADD per bit | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|-----------|----------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | M | S | D | 1, 1 | 1, .8 | 1, .67 | .5, 1 | .5, .8 | .5, .67 | 0, 1 | 0, .8 | 0, .67 |
| Projective | 3 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 24.000 | 22.400 | 21.360 | 23.333 | 21.733 | 20.693 | 22.667 | 21.067 | 20.027 |
| Projective (a=-1) | 3 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 21.333 | 20.533 | 20.013 | 21.333 | 20.533 | 20.013 | 21.333 | 20.533 | 20.013 |
| Jacobi-intersection v.1 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 21.333 | 20.800 | 20.453 | 20.667 | 20.133 | 19.787 | 20.000 | 19.467 | 19.120 |
| Jacobi-intersection v.2 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 21.333 | 21.067 | 20.893 | 20.000 | 19.733 | 19.560 | 18.667 | 18.400 | 18.227 |
| Jacobi-quartic v.1a, v.1b | 3 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 18.667 | 17.867 | 17.347 | 18.000 | 17.200 | 16.680 | 17.333 | 16.533 | 16.013 |
| Hessian v.1, v.2 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 16.000 | 16.000 | 16.000 | 16.000 | 16.000 | 16.000 | 16.000 | 16.000 | 16.000 |
| Modified Jacobi-intersection | 6 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 18.667 | 18.400 | 18.227 | 17.333 | 17.067 | 16.893 | 16.000 | 15.733 | 15.560 |
| Edwards v.1b | 3 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 17.333 | 16.000 | 15.133 | 16.667 | 15.333 | 14.467 | 16.000 | 14.667 | 13.800 |
| Edwards v.1a | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 16.000 | 15.733 | 15.560 | 15.333 | 15.067 | 14.893 | 14.667 | 14.400 | 14.227 |
| Modified Hessian | 9 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 16.000 | 14.400 | 13.360 | 16.000 | 14.400 | 13.360 | 16.000 | 14.400 | 13.360 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic v.1 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 16.000 | 15.200 | 14.680 | 15.333 | 14.533 | 14.013 | 14.667 | 13.867 | 13.347 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic v.2a | 5 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 16.000 | 14.933 | 14.240 | 15.333 | 14.267 | 13.573 | 14.667 | 13.600 | 12.907 |
| Inverted Edwards v.1 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 1 | <u>14.667</u> | 14.400 | 14.227 | <u>14.000</u> | 13.733 | 13.560 | <u>13.333</u> | 13.067 | 12.893 |
| Modified Jacobi-quartic v.2b | 6 | 7 | 3 | 1 | <u>14.667</u> | <u>13.867</u> | <u>13.347</u> | <u>14.000</u> | <u>13.200</u> | <u>12.680</u> | <u>13.333</u> | <u>12.533</u> | <u>12.013</u> |