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#### Abstract

The SWIFFT compression functions, proposed by Lyubashevsky et al. at FSE 2008, are very efficient instantiations of generalized compact knapsacks. They have the unique property, that asymptotically finding collisions for a random compression function implies being able to solve the worst case of computationally hard lattice problems. We present two results. First, we show that the scheme works equally efficient, when the main security parameter $n$ is the predecessor of a prime instead of a power of two. Then, we present parameter generation algorithms for both cases. Second, we give experimental evidence that finding pseudo-collisions for SWIFFT, is as hard as breaking a 87-bit symmetric cipher according to Lenstra's predictions. We then suggest conservative parameters, corresponding to 100 -bit security.
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## 1 Introduction

On November 2nd 2007 the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) announced a competition to develop a new cryptographic hash algorithm. The algorithm winning this competition will be called "SHA-3" and replace the standard hash functions in use today, namely SHA-1 and SHA-2. One of the main requirements for candidates in this competition is collision resistance. One such candidate is the SWIFFTX hash function [1], whose collision resistance relies on the collision resistance of the SWIFFT compression function family $[7]$.

In this work we analyze the latter. Collisions in SWIFFT compression functions correspond naturally to vectors with $\ell_{\infty}$-norm bounded by 1 in certain lattices. We focus on attacks using lattice basis reduction algorithms. Since these algorithms are highly optimized to find small vectors in the Euclidean norm, it seems reasonable to analyze the computational problem of finding pseudocollisions, i.e. vectors in the smallest ball which contains all vectors corresponding to collisions. We give experimental evidence that according to a well-known heuristic by Lenstra and Verheul [5] this problem as comparable to breaking a 87-bit symmetric cipher.

We also present a parameter generation algorithm for efficient SWIFFT compression function families, that works not only when the main parameter $n$ is a
power of 2 , like in the original proposal, but also when $n$ is the predecessor of a prime. Among the resulting parameters, we suggest one, for which according to our experiments finding pseudo-collisions is at least as hard as breaking a 100-bit symmetric cipher.

## 2 SWIFFT compression functions

The SWIFFT compression function family was proposed by Lyubashevsky et al. at FSE 2008 [7]. They showed that its efficiency is comparable to SHA-2, while its collision-resistance is based on worst-case standard lattice problems asymptotically.

For a set of integer parameters $(n, m, p)$, in their case $(64,16,257)$, they use the polynomial $f(x)=x^{n}+1$, the ring $R_{p, n}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x] /(f(x))$, and the subset $D_{n}=\{0,1\}[x] /(f(x))$ to define the family

$$
\mathcal{H}_{n, m, p}=\left\{h_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}}: \widehat{\mathbf{x}} \in D_{n}^{m} \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \quad(\bmod p) \mid \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \in R_{p, n}^{m}\right\}
$$

The product $\mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$ can be efficiently computed for all $i$, if an element $\omega$ of order $2 n$, exists in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. This is guaranteed when $2 n$ divides $p-1$. For security reasons $p$ is chosen to be prime, and $n$ a power of two, making $x^{n}+1$ irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Lyubashevsky and Micciancio showed in [6] that asymptotically these compression functions are collision-resistant, as long as standard lattice problem in lattices corresponding to ideals of $\mathbb{Z}[x] /(f(x))$ are hard in the worst-case.

### 2.1 More parameters

Let $n$ be the predecessor of a prime, then the polynomial $f(x)=x^{n}+x^{n-1}+\cdots+1$ is irreducible over the integers. Using the same structures as above, i.e. ring $R_{p, n}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x] /(f(x))$, and subset $D_{n}=\{0,1\}[x] /(f(x))$ with the new $f$, we can construct the same compression function family as above and the asymptotic security argument still holds.

Furthermore, if we chose a prime $p$, such that $n+1$ divides $p-1$, then similarly to the case above an element $\omega$ of order $n+1$ exists in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and the speedups described by the SWIFFT inventors in [7] can be used to efficiently compute the products $\mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}$ for all $i$.

Using this construction we have much more variety in the choice of parameters. See for example Table 1 for a comparison of parameters where $n$ is between 64 and 128.

### 2.2 SWIFFT Lattice

Let $\widehat{\mathbf{a}} \in R_{p, n}$. Consider the function $h_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}}$ and extended the domain to $R_{n}=$ $\mathbb{Z}[x] /(f(x))$. The coefficient vectors of the periods of this function form the set

$$
\Lambda_{p}^{\perp}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n m} \mid h_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i+1} x^{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{m(i+1)} x^{i}\right)=\mathbf{0}\right\} .
$$

This is a lattice of dimension $n m$, since the extended $h_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}}$ is linear. A basis for this lattice can be found efficiently using a method described by Buchmann et al. [2]. Collisions in the original (unextended) function $h_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}}$ correspond exactly to vectors in this lattice with $\ell_{\infty}$-norm bounded by 1 . Therefore we refer to these lattices as SWIFFT lattices. A pseudo-collision is a vector in this lattice with Euclidean norm less than $\sqrt{n m}$. In this way every collision is a pseudo-collision, but not vice versa.

## 3 Parameter generation

We now describe an algorithm for generating parameter sets $(n, m, p)$ for the SWIFFT compression function families in Section 2. If the first parameter $n$ is a predecessor of a prime, we will use the polynomial $f(x)=x^{n}+x^{n-1}+\cdots+1$, and if $n$ is a power of two, we will use the polynomial $f(x)=x^{n}+1$. If both is the case, we choose the parameters where the bitlength of the output is shorter, i.e. the one with smaller $p$. In either case $f$ is irreducible over the integers.

### 3.1 Algorithm

All parameter sets can be generated with Algorithm 1.

```
Input: Integer n, s.t. }n+1\in\mathbb{P
Input: Integer n, s.t. n+1\in\mathbb{P}
k\leftarrow0
while true do
    k\leftarrowk+1
    p\leftarrowk\cdot(n+1)+1
    if isPrime(p) then break
end
m\leftarrow\lceil1.99 知g}2(p)
```

Input: Integer $n$, s.t. $n=2^{k}$

```
Input: Integer \(n\), s.t. \(n=2^{k}\)
Output: Parameters ( \(n, m, p\) )
Output: Parameters ( \(n, m, p\) )
while true do
while true do
\[
k \leftarrow k+1
\]
\(\quad p \leftarrow k \cdot 2 \cdot n+1\)
\(\quad\) if \(i \operatorname{simerime}(p)\) then break
end
```

$$
k \leftarrow k+1
$$

$\quad p \leftarrow k \cdot 2 \cdot n+1$
$\quad$ if $i \operatorname{simerime}(p)$ then break
end

```
```

$k \leftarrow 0$

```
\(k \leftarrow 0\)
\(m \leftarrow\left\lceil 1.99 \cdot \log _{2}(p)\right\rceil\)
```

$m \leftarrow\left\lceil 1.99 \cdot \log _{2}(p)\right\rceil$

```

Algorithm 1: Parameter generation for \(n+1 \in \mathbb{P}\) and \(n=2^{k}\).
For each set of parameters, we may additionally compute the output bitlength out \(=\left\lceil n \log _{2}(p)\right\rceil\), the compression rate \(c r=m \log _{2}(p)\), the Hermite factor \(\delta\) required for finding pseudo-collisions, and the minimal dimension \(d\) where we can expect to find pseudo-collisions. These values are listed in Table 1.

The two latter values \(\delta\) and \(d\) are computed in the following fashion. Consider the function \(\operatorname{len}(d)=p^{n / d} \delta^{d}\). According to an analysis by Gama and Nguyen \([4]^{1}\) this is the Euclidean size of the smallest vector we are likely to find when reducing a sublattice with dimension \(d\) of any SWIFFT lattice \(\Lambda_{p}^{\perp}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\). Micciancio and Regev observed in [8] that this function takes its minimal value
\[
\operatorname{len}\left(d_{\min }\right)=\delta^{2 \sqrt{n \log (p) / \log \delta}} \quad \text { for } \quad d_{\min }=\sqrt{n \log (p) / \log (\delta)}
\]

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Their experiments were performed on random lattices following a different distribution, but experimentally the results apply to SWIFFT lattices as well.
}
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrr}
\hline\(n\) & \(m\) & \(p\) & out & cr & \(\delta\) & \(d\) \\
\hline 64 & 16 & 257 & 513 & 1.999 & 1.0085 & 205 \\
66 & 17 & 269 & 533 & 2.106 & 1.0084 & 211 \\
70 & 19 & 569 & 641 & 2.076 & 1.0073 & 247 \\
72 & 17 & 293 & 591 & 2.074 & 1.0078 & 231 \\
78 & 17 & 317 & 649 & 2.046 & 1.0072 & 250 \\
82 & 15 & 167 & 606 & 2.032 & 1.0076 & 236 \\
88 & 15 & 179 & 659 & 2.004 & 1.0071 & 255 \\
96 & 18 & 389 & 826 & 2.092 & 1.0061 & 308 \\
100 & 19 & 607 & 925 & 2.055 & 1.0056 & 340 \\
102 & 19 & 619 & 946 & 2.049 & 1.0055 & 347 \\
106 & 19 & 643 & 989 & 2.037 & 1.0053 & 361 \\
108 & 21 & 1091 & 1090 & 2.081 & 1.0050 & 392 \\
112 & 16 & 227 & 877 & 2.044 & 1.0058 & 325 \\
126 & 18 & 509 & 1133 & 2.002 & 1.0048 & 407 \\
128 & 20 & 769 & 1228 & 2.086 & 1.0045 & 434 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 1. Parameters for \(64 \leq n \leq 128\).

A pseudo-collision is a vector in \(\Lambda_{p}^{\perp}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}})\) with Euclidean norm \(\sqrt{n m}\). In order to find such a vector, we need a \(\delta\), s.t. len \(\left(d_{\min }\right)=\sqrt{n m}\). We say this is the Hermite factor required for finding pseudo-collisions, and the corresponding \(d_{\min }\) is the minimal dimension, where we can expect to find a pseudo-collision. Note that these minimal dimensions, which we will work in are about 5 times smaller than the corresponding dimensions of the SWIFFT lattices.

\subsection*{3.2 Recommended parameters}

We will give arguments in Section 4.2 that parameters with \(d \geq 220\) correspond to SWIFFT instances, where finding pseudo-collisions is at least as hard as breaking a 100 -bit symmetric cipher. Such a parameter set is given in the 4 th row of Table 1, i.e. \((n, m, p)=(72,17,293)\). Concerning all attacks these parameters are more secure than the standard ones, and we recommend to use them when pseudo-collisions should be hard to find.

\section*{4 Security Analysis}

In their original proposal of SWIFFT, Lyubashevsky et al. provide a first analysis of all standard attacks. However, attacks using lattice basis reduction algorithms like LLL/BKZ/RSR often behave much better in practice then their theoretical analysis suggests. We believe this is the case concerning SWIFFT lattices (cf. Section 2.2).

We will focus on this particular attack and give experimental evidence that the computational problem of finding pseudo-collisions corresponds to breaking
a 87-bit symmetric cipher according to the predictions given by Lenstra and Verheul in [5].

In this section we will only consider the standard SWIFFT parameters
\[
(n, m, p)=(64,16,257)
\]

All SWIFFT lattices have dimension \(n m=1024\), but a sublattice of dimension \(d=205\) is sufficient to find pseudo-collisions (cf. Table 1).

\subsection*{4.1 Existence of (pseudo-)collisions in \(d\)-dimensional sublattices}

A \(d\)-dimensional ball of radius \(r\) has volume
\[
r^{d}\left|B_{d}\right|=r^{d} \pi^{d / 2} / \Gamma(d / 2+1)
\]

This is an excellent estimate for the number of vectors in \(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\) with Euclidean norm less than \(r\).

Let \(h\) be a random SWIFFT compression function. The range of this function has size \(p^{n}\). We change the input of \(h\) to all vectors with \(d\) nonzero entries and Euclidean norm less than \(\sqrt{n m} / 2\). The size of this input space is the volume of a \(d\)-dimensional ball of radius \(\sqrt{n m} / 2\). Now any collision in \(h\) corresponds to a pseudo-collision by the triangle inequality. These collisions exist by the pigeonhole principle for all \(d \geq 251\). In fact, assuming that \(h\) maps the inputs randomly onto the range, by the birthday paradox we know that collisions will occur, when the input space is bigger than \(p^{n / 2}\), so \(d \geq 94\) suffices.

The situation for collisions is similar. Here, we shrink the input to all vectors with \(d\) nonzero, positive enteries and \(\ell_{\infty}\)-norm less than 1 . The size of this input space is \(2^{d}\). Again, collisions exist by the pigeonhole principle for all \(d \geq 513\), but assuming a random behavior of \(h\), choosing \(d \geq 257\) suffices.

The second lower bound for both cases is true if we assume \(h\) maps inputs randomly onto the range, but we know \(h\) is linear if we extend the input space to \(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\) in each case, so this assumption might seem unrealistic. However, we have found experimentally that these second lower bounds work well in practice, i.e. (pseudo-)collisions in the corresponding sublattices can indeed be found.

\subsection*{4.2 Experiments}

For our experiments we did not choose the lowest possible sublattice dimension described in the last subsection, but rather the dimension where lattice basis reduction algorithms like LLL/BKZ behave optimal in practice (see Section 3.1).

For our experiments, we fixed \(n=64, m=16\) to their standard values and chose the third parameter \(p\) variable. This results in a steady decrease in the Hermite factor and increase in the dimension required to find pseudo-collisions (see Table 2). We found that for smaller values of \(p\), corresponding to smaller values of \(d\), pseudo-collisions were found too fast to make sensible measurements.

For each of these 9 parameter sets, we created 10 random SWIFFT lattices using the PRNG which is part of the "Number Theory Library" 5.4.2 (NTL)
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr}
\hline\(n\) & \(m\) & \(p\) & \(\delta\) & \(d\) \\
\hline 64 & 16 & 29 & 1.0140 & 125 \\
64 & 16 & 33 & 1.0135 & 130 \\
64 & 16 & 37 & 1.0131 & 134 \\
64 & 16 & 41 & 1.0127 & 138 \\
64 & 16 & 45 & 1.0124 & 141 \\
64 & 16 & 49 & 1.0121 & 144 \\
64 & 16 & 53 & 1.0119 & 147 \\
64 & 16 & 57 & 1.0117 & 150 \\
64 & 16 & 61 & 1.0115 & 152 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2. Parameters used for our experiments.
by Shoup [10]. We then proceeded to break all instances with the NTL floatingpoint variant of BKZ (bkzfp), by increasing the BKZ parameter \(\beta\) until a pseudocollision was found and recording the total time taken in each case. We also broke all instances with a floating-point variant of Schnorr's RSR algorithm [9] (rsrfp) implemented by Ludwig [3] \({ }^{2}\) using the parameters \(\delta=0.9, u=22\) and again increasing \(\beta\) until a pseudo-collision was found.

For each parameter set we computed the average runtime of both algorithms and plotted the \(\log _{2}\) of this value relative to the dimension \(d\). We also plotted a conservative extrapolation for the average runtime of rsrfp using the steepest observed slope fixed to the last known data point (see Figure 1, left).


Fig. 1. Average runtime and corresponding symmetric bit-security of our experiments.

All our experiments where run on a single 2.3 Ghz AMD Opteron processor. According to the predictions of Lenstra and Verheul [5] the computational hardness of a problem solved after \(t\) seconds on such a machine is comparable

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) Available soon.
}
to breaking a \(k\)-bit symmetric cipher, where
\[
k=\log _{2}(t)+\log _{2}(2300)-\log _{2}(60 \cdot 60 \cdot 24 \cdot 365.25)-\log _{2}\left(5 \cdot 10^{5}\right)+56
\]

We have plotted these \(k\) corresponding to the average runtime of each algorithm relative to the dimension \(d\) for each parameter set. Again, we also included the same conservative extrapolation (see Figure 1, right).

The rightmost side of both graphs correspond to \(p=257\), i.e. a real SWIFFT lattice. The extrapolated symmetric bit security for finding pseudo-collisions on these lattices is \(k=87.03\). Any parameter set, where \(d \geq 220\) would correspond to a cipher with symmetric bit-security at least 100 according to our extrapolation. Parameters realizing this paradigm are given in Section 3.2.
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