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#### Abstract

In PKC 2009, Alexander May and Maike Ritzenhofen[7] proposed an ingenious lattice-based method to factor the RSA moduli $N_{1}=p_{1} q_{1}$ with the help of the oracle which outputs $N_{2}=p_{2} q_{2}$, where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ share the $t$ least significant bits and $t$ is large enough when we query it with $N_{1}$. They also showed that when asking $k-1$ queries for RSA moduli with $\alpha$-bit $q_{i}$, they can improve the bound on $t$ to $t \geq \frac{k}{k-1} \alpha$. In this paper, we propose a new lattice for implicit factoring in polynomial time, and $t$ can be a little smaller than in $[7]$. Moreover, we also give a method in which the bound on $t$ can also be improved to $t \geq \frac{k}{k-1}\left(\alpha-1+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{k+3}{k}\right)$ but with just only one query. Moreover we can show that our method reduces the running time of the implicit factoring for balanced RSA moduli much efficiently and makes it practical.
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## 1 Introduction

Although after the invention of the public key cryptosystem RSA[12], factoring large integers becomes more and more important and many efforts including the Quadratic Sieve [10], the Elliptic Curve Method[4] and the Number Field Sieve[5] are made to improve the factorization complexity, there still have not been a polynomial factorization algorithm on classical computing model while Shor[13] has showed there exists a polynomial time algorithm for factorization on quantum computing platforms.

In classical domain, Rivest and Shamir[11] showed that $N=p q$ can be factored efficiently with an oracle that outputs $\frac{3}{5} \log p$ most significant bits of $p$ at Eurocrypt 1985. Later at Eurocrypt 1996, Coppersmith[2] improved the bound to $\frac{1}{2} \log p$.

In PKC 2009, Alexander May and Maike Ritzenhofen[7] proposed an ingenious lattice-based method to factor the RSA moduli with the help of an oracle. Considering the RSA moduli $N_{1}=p_{1} q_{1}$, they can factor $N_{1}$ efficiently if the oracle outputs $N_{2}=p_{2} q_{2}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ share $t$ least significant bits and $t$ is large enough. More precisely, let $q_{1}, q_{2}$ be $\alpha$-bit prime numbers, then if $t>2(\alpha+1)$, they can find $q_{1}, q_{2}$ in polynomial time. To further improve upon the bound on $t$, they make $k-1$ queries, and prove that if $t \geq \frac{k}{k-1} \alpha$, they can factor $N_{1}$ efficiently under some assumption. In their paper, they also proposed an algorithm to factor balanced RSA moduli with $k-1$ queries and $2^{\frac{n}{4}}$ guesses, where $N_{1}$ is an $n$-bit number. However, $2^{\frac{n}{4}}$ guesses is too large to make the algorithm practical and by Coppersmith's method[3], we can also directly factor $N_{1}$ with $2^{\frac{n}{4}}$ guesses without the oracle's help.

In this paper, we propose another lattice-based algorithm which at least has the advantages below:

- We also reach the bound $\frac{k}{k-1} \alpha$ but just using only one query, this can be realized more easily in the real life.
- The vector we will find in our lattice is shorter than the one in [7]. By the Gaussian Heuristic and experiences, our vector can be found more easily.
- For the balanced RSA moduli, our algorithm uses just only one query and $2 \frac{n}{2 k}$ guesses, which make our algorithm practical. This shows that the oracle does help us to factor $N_{1}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some results we need. In Section 3, we give our new 2 - dimensional lattice with one query. In Section 4 , we present a $k$-dimensional version to improve the bound on $t$. At last, we give the method to factor the balanced RSA moduli with the help of the oracle in Section 5.

## 2 Preliminaries

An integer lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is a discrete additive subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and can be also defined as below:
Definition of Lattice: Let $b_{1}, b_{2} \cdots, b_{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be linearly independent vectors, the lattice $\mathcal{L}$ spanned by them is

$$
\mathcal{L}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i} b_{i} \mid a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

$B=\left(\begin{array}{c}b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{d}\end{array}\right)$ is called the basis of $\mathcal{L}$.
A lattice is full rank if $d=n$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is full rank, the determinant $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})$ is equal to the absolute value of determinant of the basis $B$.

Denote $\|v\|$ the Euclidean $l_{2}$-norm of a vector $v$ and $\lambda_{1}(\mathcal{L})$ the length of the shortest vector in the lattice $\mathcal{L}$. The Minkowski's Theorem[9] tells us $\lambda_{1}(\mathcal{L}) \leq$ $\sqrt{n} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{n}}$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is an $n$-dimensional lattice. Moreover, by the Gaussian Heuristic, $\lambda_{1}(\mathcal{L}) \approx \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \pi e}} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for an $n$-dimensional random lattice $\mathcal{L}$, and experiences tell us the smaller $\frac{\|v\|}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \pi e}} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{n}}}$, the more easily we can find $v$ in practice.

We can use Gaussian reduction algorithm to find the shortest vector $v$ in polynomial time and the information on Gaussian reduction algorithm can be found in [8]. To find a short vector in a $n$-dimensional lattice $\mathcal{L}$, we can use LLL algorithm[6] to find $v \in \mathcal{L}$, such that $\|v\| \leq 2^{\frac{n-1}{4}} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{n}}$ in polynomial time.

LLL algorithm is used by Coppersmith[3] to find the factorization of $N=p q$ in polynomial time if we know the low order $\frac{n}{4}$ bits of $p$ where $N$ is an $n$-bit number.

## 3 The New Lattice for Implicit Factoring

To factor $N_{1}=p_{1} q_{1}$, we get $N_{2}$ after one query with the oracle, where $N_{2}=p_{2} q_{2}$, $p_{1}, p_{2}$ share the $t$ least significant bits and $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are $\alpha$-bit prime as assumed in [7].

As in [7], let $p_{1}=p+2^{t} \bar{p}_{1}$ and $p_{2}=p+2^{t} \bar{p}_{2}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=p q_{1}+2^{t} \bar{p}_{1} q_{1} \\
& N_{2}=p q_{2}+2^{t} \bar{p}_{2} q_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Reducing the two equations modulo $2^{t}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=p q_{1} \bmod 2^{t} \\
& N_{2}=p q_{2} \bmod 2^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminating $p$ from the two equations, May and Ritzenhofen[7] get the linear equation

$$
\left(N_{1}^{-1} N_{2}\right) q_{1}-q_{2}=0 \bmod 2^{t}
$$

and proves that the set of the solutions of the equation above is a lattice $\mathcal{L}_{M R}$ spanned by the row vectors of the basis matrix

$$
B_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & N_{1}^{-1} N_{2} \\
0 & 2^{t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

So the vector $q_{M R}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{M R}$, if $\left\|q_{M R}\right\|$ is small enough, they can find $q_{1}$ by Gaussian reduction algorithm.

Here we use a new lattice as below instead of $\mathcal{L}_{M R}$. Assume $q_{1}<q_{2}$ (Notice that this can't hold forever, we give a short comment in the remark on the case $q_{1}>q_{2}$ and we can compute $\operatorname{gcd}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$ if $\left.q_{1}=q_{2}\right)$, we subtract $N_{1}$ from $N_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=p q_{1} \bmod 2^{t} \\
& N_{2}-N_{1}=p\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right) \bmod 2^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $N_{1}$ is an odd number, we can eliminate $p$ and get

$$
N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) q_{1}-\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)=0 \bmod 2^{t}
$$

As in [7], it can be also easily checked that the set of solutions

$$
L=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) x_{1}-x_{2}=0 \bmod 2^{t}\right\}
$$

forms a lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}$ spanned by the rows of the basis matrix

$$
B_{\text {new }}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) \\
0 & 2^{t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Notice that the vector $q_{\text {new }}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}-q_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}$ and $q_{1} \leq 2^{\alpha}, q_{2}-q_{1} \leq 2^{\alpha-1}$, so we can get a similar theorem as Theorem 5 in [7].

Theorem 1. Let $N_{1}=p_{1} q_{1}, N_{2}=p_{2} q_{2}$ be two different RSA moduli with $\alpha$-bit $q_{i}$, and $q_{1}<q_{2}$. Suppose that $p_{1}, p_{2}$ share at least $t>2 \alpha+1$ bits. Then $N_{1}, N_{2}$ can be factored in quadratic time by running Gaussian reduction on $\mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}$.

Using the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}$, we can reduce the numbers of the sharing bits by 1.
Remark 1. If $q_{1}>q_{2}$, we can construct a new lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}^{\prime}$ spanned by the rows of matrix

$$
B_{\text {new }}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & N_{2}^{-1}\left(N_{1}-N_{2}\right) \\
0 & 2^{t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Notice that $q_{\text {new }}^{\prime}=\left(q_{2}, q_{1}-q_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}^{\prime}$ and $\left\|q_{\text {new }}^{\prime}\right\|<\left\|q_{M R}\right\|$, so we can also find $q_{\text {new }}^{\prime}$ and so $q_{2}$ by Theorem 1, then $q_{1}=N_{2}^{-1} N_{1} \bmod 2^{t}$.

Another question is we don't know whether $q_{1}<q_{2}$ or not, so we run Gaussian reduction on the two lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}^{\prime}$, if any of them successes, then we can factor $N_{1}$.

Notice that for any unimodular matrix $U=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$, either $a N_{1}+b N_{2}$ or $c N_{1}+d N_{2}$ is odd. Suppose not, they are both even, then either $a, b$ are even or are
odd, so are $c, d$. Moreover, so are $a d, b c$, hence $a d-b c$ is even, which contradicts the fact $a d-b c=1$. We assume $a N_{1}+b N_{2}$ is odd, then we can construct a lattice spanned by the row vectors of the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \left(a N_{1}+b N_{2}\right)^{-1} c N_{1}+d N_{2} \\ 0 & 2^{t}\end{array}\right)$ which contains the vector $q=\left(a q_{1}+b q_{2}, c q_{1}+d q_{1}\right)$, if $q$ is short enough, then we may improve the bound on $t$.

## 4 Improving the Bound on $t$

To further improve upon the bound on $t$, we needn't query the oracle more than once, we can do it just with only one query.

Assume $q_{1}<q_{2}$, Let the $k$-dimensional lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}^{k}$ be the one spanned by the rows of the matrix below:

$$
B_{n e w}^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) & N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) & \cdots & N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) \\
0 & 2^{t} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2^{t} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2^{t}
\end{array}\right)_{k \times k}
$$

Notice that the vector $q_{\text {new }}^{k}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}-q_{1}, q_{2}-q_{1}, \cdots, q_{2}-q_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\left\|q_{\text {new }}^{k}\right\| \leq$ $\sqrt{k+3} 2^{\alpha-1}$, if $q_{\text {new }}^{k}$ is indeed the shortest vector in $\mathcal{L}$, then by Minkowski's Theorem, we have

$$
\left\|q_{n e w}^{k}\right\| \leq \sqrt{k} 2^{\frac{k-1}{k} t}
$$

Let

$$
\sqrt{k+3} 2^{\alpha-1} \leq \sqrt{k} 2^{\frac{k-1}{k} t}
$$

which implies that

$$
t \geq \frac{k}{k-1}\left(\alpha-1+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{k+3}{k}\right)
$$

This also give us a good suggestion for the choice of $k$, if $t$ is large enough, we can choose small $k$ to reduce the running time and improve the success probability, if $t$ is small, we have to choose large $k$.

Similar to Assumption 6 in [7], we can also assume that if $t \geq \frac{k}{k-1}(\alpha-1+$ $\left.\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{k+3}{k}\right)$, then $q_{\text {new }}^{\prime}$ may be a shortest vector in $\mathcal{L}$.

Comparing with the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{M R}^{k}$ in [7] spanned by the rows of the matrix

$$
B_{M R}^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & N_{1}^{-1} N_{2} & N_{1}^{-1} N_{3} & \cdots & N_{1}^{-1} N_{k} \\
0 & 2^{t} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2^{t} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2^{t}
\end{array}\right)_{k \times k}
$$

and the vector $q_{M R}^{k}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{k}\right)$, we find that we just use only one query, but the bound on $t$ can be improved to a lower bound. Notice that by the Gaussian Heuristic, we have

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{M R}^{k}\right) \approx \lambda_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\text {new }}^{k}\right) \approx \sqrt{\frac{k}{2 \pi e}} 2^{\frac{k-1}{k} t}
$$

However, $\left\|q_{\text {new }}^{k}\right\|<\left\|q_{M R}^{k}\right\|$, since $q_{2}-q_{1}<2^{\alpha-1} \leq q_{i}$, then $\frac{\left\|q_{\text {new }}^{k}\right\|}{\sqrt{\frac{k}{2 \pi e}} 2^{\frac{k-1}{k} t}}<\frac{\left\|q_{M R}^{k}\right\|}{\sqrt{\frac{k}{2 \pi e}} 2^{\frac{k-1}{k}} t}$, so the experience tells us $q_{\text {new }}^{k}$ can be found more efficiently than $q_{M R}^{k}$ in practice.

Next we present a case in which Assumption 6 in [7] is not true, but our assumption may have a little more advantage. To ensure Assumption 6, we show that $k$ can't be too large.
Claim: Let $t=\alpha+t_{0}$ where $t_{0} \geq 0$, and choose $k_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \log k_{0}-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{0}-1} \alpha+$ $\alpha-1=t_{0}$, then for $k \geq k_{0}$, Assumption 6 is not true.

Proof. Let $f(x)=\frac{1}{2} \log x-\frac{x}{x-1} \alpha+\alpha-1$, notice that $f(x)$ is a strictly monotonic increasing function, and $f(2)<0, \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f(x)=+\infty$, so for any $t_{0} \geq 0$, we can find $k_{0}$ such $f\left(k_{0}\right)=t_{0}$.
for any $k \geq k_{0}$, let $t=\frac{k}{k-1} \alpha+t^{\prime}$ where $0 \leq t^{\prime}<t_{0}$, so $f(k) \geq f\left(k_{0}\right)>t^{\prime}$, i.e. $\frac{1}{2} \log k-\frac{k}{k-1} \alpha+\alpha-1>t^{\prime}$, this implies that

$$
t=\frac{k}{k-1} \alpha+t^{\prime}<\frac{1}{2} \log (k)+\alpha-1
$$

which can also be written as

$$
2^{t}<\sqrt{k} 2^{\alpha-1} \leq\left\|q_{M R}^{k}\right\|
$$

So the vector $\left(0,2^{t}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$ is shorter than $q_{M R}^{k}$.
Notice that the proof uses the fact that $\left\|q_{M R}^{k}\right\| \geq \sqrt{k} 2^{\alpha-1}$. Since $q_{\text {new }}^{k}$ is shorter than $q_{M R}^{k}$, hence has much lower bound, so our assumption may be true for larger $k$.

Remark 2. To increase the success rate and reduce the running time, we can use a simple strategy. In the process of LLL algorithm, once we get a new basis vector $q=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)$, we compute $g c d\left(x_{1}, N_{1}\right)$ and halt when we get $q_{1}$. If $q_{1}>q_{2}$, then we can use the similar method in Remark 1.

## 5 Implicit Factoring of Balanced RSA Moduli

The most attractive advantage of our method is decreasing the running time for balanced RSA moduli much efficiently and making the implicit factoring of balanced RSA moduli practical.

Let $N_{i}=p_{i} q_{i}$ such that $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ have bitsize $\frac{n}{2}$ each for $i=1,2$. As in [7], we assume $t=\frac{n}{4}$ to minimize the time complexity. We first choose $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that it is possible to solve the CVP on a $k$-dimensional lattice in a reasonable time. Let $\beta=\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{k} t\right\rfloor$. Then we can split $q_{i}\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)$ into $2^{\beta} \bar{q}_{i}+x_{i}\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. So the number of the bits of $x_{i}$ is at most $\beta$. Suppose $x_{1}<x_{2}$, and in Section 3 we have

$$
N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) q_{1}-\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)=0 \bmod 2^{t}
$$

So we have

$$
N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) x_{1}-\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)=2^{\beta}\left(\bar{q}_{2}-\bar{q}_{1}-N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) \bar{q}_{1}\right) \bmod 2^{t}
$$

Let $c=2^{\beta}\left(\bar{q}_{2}-\bar{q}_{1}-N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) \bar{q}_{1}\right)$, and we guess $\bar{q}_{1}, \bar{q}_{2}$, since the size of $\bar{q}_{i}$ is at most $t-\beta$, so we must guess roughly $\frac{n}{2 k}$ bits, which is much less than $\frac{n}{4}$ in [7]. For any pair ( $\bar{q}_{1}, \bar{q}_{2}-\bar{q}_{1}$ ) we guess, we compute the corresponding $c$, and define the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\text {bal }}$ spanned by the rows of the following basis matrix

$$
B_{b a l}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) & N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) & \cdots & N_{1}^{-1}\left(N_{2}-N_{1}\right) \\
0 & 2^{t} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2^{t} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2^{t}
\end{array}\right)_{k \times k}
$$

Denote $c_{b a l}$ the target vector $(0, c, c, \cdots, c)$ and notice that the vector $q_{b a l}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-\right.$ $\left.x_{1}+c, x_{2}-x_{1}+c, \cdots, x_{2}-x_{1}+c\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text {bal }}$, then

$$
\left\|q_{b a l}-c_{b a l}\right\|=\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-x_{1}, x_{2}-x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\right\| \leq \sqrt{k} 2^{\beta} \leq \sqrt{k} 2^{\frac{(k-1) n}{4 k}}
$$

So we also make the assumption, that $q_{b a l}$ is the closest vector to $c_{b a l}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{b a l}$. Under the assumption, since $k$ is fixed, then we can use a polynomial time algorithm to find $q_{b a l}$ hence get $x_{1}$ (see Blömer[1]). So we find the least $\frac{n}{4}$ bits of $q_{1}$ (i.e. $q_{1} \bmod$
$2^{t}$ ) by combining $\bar{q}_{1}$ and $x_{1}$. Then by using Coppersmith's method[3], we can find the complete $q_{1}$.

Denote TIME $E_{c v p}$ the running time of getting $q_{b a l}$ and TIME $E_{c o p}$ the running time of Coppersmith's method, then in the worst case, we can use our method to get $q_{1}$ in $2^{\frac{n}{2 k}}\left(T I M E_{c v p}+T I M E_{c o p}\right)$ instead of $2^{\frac{n}{4}}\left(T I M E_{c v p}+T I M E_{c o p}\right)$ which is needed when using the original method in [7].

Since $T I M E_{c v p}+T I M E_{c o p}$ is bounded by a polynomial in $\left(k!, \max \left(\log N_{1}, \log N_{2}\right)\right)$, the number of the guesses must be the most important factor to decide whether a method is practical or not. For example, if $n=1000$ and $k=50$, then we only try 1024 guesses which is practical, but not $2^{250}$ which is impossible to realize nowadays.

Remark 3. Obviously, a parallel strategy can give us great help to find $q_{1}$.
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