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#### Abstract

In this note we first characterize the class of Montgomery curves with $B=1$ by the simplicity of their transformation into short Weierstrass form and explicitly determine their torsion points of order 2 and 4 . We then consider two "verifiably random" elliptic curves in the SECG standards [8] and show that they are $B=1$ Montgomery curves that can also be simply transformed into Edwards form over their ground fields.


## 1. Introduction

It is well-known that every elliptic curve $E$ over a field $k$ of characteristic $p$, with $p>3$, can be transformed into short Weierstrass form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by a birational transformation over $k$. In [6] Montgomery considered elliptic curves that can be written in what has since become known as Montgomery form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B Y^{2}=X^{3}+A X^{2}+X \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

More recently Bernstein and Lange [3] initiated a study of curves that can be written in Edwards form [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}^{2}+\bar{y}^{2}=1+d \bar{x}^{2} \bar{y}^{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The special forms (2) and (3) are particularly well suited for certain computations and many authors have used them to improve the efficiency of diverse cryptographic applications (see, for example, [2], [4], [7], and references therein). In general, however, a transformation between elliptic curve forms requires passage to a finite extension of $k$, the cost of which can outweigh any advantages the special forms might otherwise afford. (For example, it is unlikely one would consider applying Montgomery's method [6] to protocols based on NSA Suite B curves.)
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Even when transformations between forms exist over $k$ their complexity may prove to be prohibitive for use in certain algorithms. Thus it is natural to ask which Montgomery (resp. Edwards) curves (other than $y^{2}=x^{3}+x$, of course) are transformable in the simplest possible manner into short Weierstrass form over $k$.

In this note, we prove that the Montgomery curves which are mapped to Weierstrass form by a translation of the $x$-coordinate are precisely those which are of the form

$$
Y^{2}=X^{3}+A X^{2}+X
$$

which we call $B=1$ Montgomery curves. We also show that two such curves are specified in the SEC 2 standards [8]. These are the only curves of which the authors are aware to be both specified in a standards document and to be transformable to Edwards form over their field of definition.

## 2. The Simplest Montgomery Curves

Proposition 1. An elliptic curve over $k$ in Montgomery form can be mapped into short Weierstrass form by a simple translation $(x, y)=(X+\alpha, Y)$ of the $x$-coordinate for some $\alpha \in k$ if and only if $B=1$. If $B=1$, then the displacement $\alpha$ is a root of the polynomial $f(x)=x^{3}+a x+b$.

Proof. First, suppose that $E_{M}$ is an elliptic curve in Montgomery form with $B=1$, i.e., that $E$ is given by an equation of the form $Y^{2}=X^{3}+A X^{2}+X$ for some $A \in k$. If we set $\alpha=A / 3 \in k$, then the translation $(X, Y)=(x-\alpha, y)$ clearly transforms $E_{M}$ into the short Weierstrass form $E_{W}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+\left(1-3 \alpha^{2}\right) x+\left(2 \alpha^{3}-\alpha\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, suppose that the Montgomery curve $E_{M}$ given by (2) is transformed into short Weierstrass form $E_{W}$ as in (1) by the translation $(x, y)=(X+\alpha, Y)$ for some displacement $\alpha \in k$. Substituting this into (2) yields

$$
B y^{2}=(x-\alpha)^{3}+A(x-\alpha)^{2}+(x-\alpha)
$$

and for this equation to be of the form (1), we must have $B=1$ and $A=3 \alpha$ (multiply (1) through by $B$ and compare $x^{3}$ and $x^{2}$ terms).
Now under the translation $(X, Y)=(x-\alpha, y),(0,0) \in E_{M} \mapsto(\alpha, 0)$ and for the latter point to be on $E_{W}$, we must have $f(\alpha)=0$.

Remark. This proposition may be viewed as a corollary of the proof of the related result [7, Prop.1] which states that the general Montgomery curve (2) is transformable into short Weierstrass form (1) (by an affine transformation) over $k$ if and only if the
following two conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x)=x^{3}+a x+b \text { has at least one root } \alpha \in k, \text { and for this root }  \tag{5}\\
& 3 \alpha^{2}+a \text { is a quadratic residue in } k .
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. The Low Order Torsion of $B=1$ Montgomery Curves

Recall that a point $P$ on an elliptic curve $E$ is a torsion point of order $n$ (possibly defined over the algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ ) if $n P=0$ and $n>0$ is the least such integer with this property. In this section we give explicit formulae for the Weierstrass coordinates of the points of order 2 and 4 on a $B=1$ Montgomery curve. Our results provide an explanation of the rather surprising configuration of these points on the "random" SECG standard curves presented in the next section.

For the remainder of this section, let $E_{W}$ denote the $B=1$ Montgomery curve given in short Weierstrass form by (4) with $\alpha \in k$.

Points of Order 2. The points of order 2 on a curve in Weierstrass coordinates are those points on the curve with $y=0$. Factoring the right hand side of (4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-\alpha)\left(x^{2}+\alpha x-2 \alpha^{2}+1\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that $(\alpha, 0)$ is always a point of order 2 defined over $k$ on $E_{W}$.
Considering the other two roots of the cubic (6), we observe that

$$
\left(\frac{-\alpha \pm \sqrt{9 \alpha^{2}-4}}{2}, 0\right)
$$

are the remaining points of order 2 and they are defined over $k$ only when $9 \alpha^{2}-4$ is a quadratic residue.

Points of Order 4. The $x$-coordinates of the points of order 4 on $E_{W}$ are given by the roots of the fourth division polynomial $\psi_{4}$ that are not also roots of the second division polynomial $\psi_{2}$. On our $B=1$ curve given in short Weierstrass form by (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{4} / 2 \psi_{2}(x)= & x^{6}+5\left(1-3 \alpha^{2}\right) x^{4}+20\left(2 \alpha^{3}-\alpha\right) x^{3}-5\left(9 \alpha^{4}-6 \alpha^{2}+1\right) x^{2} \\
& +4 \alpha\left(6 \alpha^{4}-5 \alpha^{2}+1\right) x-5 \alpha^{6}+5 \alpha^{4}+\alpha^{2}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This polynomial factors as

$$
(x-\alpha+1)(x-\alpha-1)\left[x^{4}+2 \alpha x^{3}+6\left(1-2 \alpha^{2}\right) x^{2}-2 \alpha\left(3-7 \alpha^{2}\right) x+\left(1-5 \alpha^{4}\right)\right]
$$

showing that $\alpha \pm 1$ are $x$-coordinates of points of order 4 . Substituting $x=\alpha+1$ into (4) gives $y^{2}=3 \alpha+2$, so we see that $(\alpha+1, \pm \sqrt{3 \alpha+2})$ are points of order 4 and are defined over $k$ when $3 \alpha+2$ is a quadratic residue. Similarly, we find that
$(\alpha-1, \pm \sqrt{3 \alpha-2})$ are points of order 4 defined over $k$ when $3 \alpha-2$ is a quadratic residue.

In particular, we have the
Proposition 2. On a $B=1$ Montgomery curve in short Weierstrass form (4), the displacement $\alpha$ is the $x$-coordinate of a point of order 2 defined over $k$. Furthermore, $\alpha+1$, resp. $\alpha-1$, is the $x$-coordinate of a point of order 4 that is defined over $k$ when $3 \alpha+2$, resp. $3 \alpha-2$, is a quadratic residue.

## 4. Montgomery and Edwards Coordinates For Two SECG Curves

In this section we show that the two "verifiably random" curves secp112r2 and secp128r2 in the SEC 2 standard [8], which were originally specified there in short Weierstrass form, are in fact Montgomery curves with $B=1$. We also show that these two curves may be transformed into Edwards form (3) by simple linear fractional transformations over their respective ground fields.
secp112r2. (See [8, Section 2.2.2]) This curve, defined over $k=G F\left(\left(2^{128}-3\right) / 76439\right)$, is given in short Weierstrass form (1) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =1970543761890640310119143205433388 \\
b & =1660538572255285715897238774208265 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\alpha=3610075134545239076002374364665933 \in k$.
secp128r2. (See [8, Section 2.3.2]) This curve, defined over $k=G F\left(2^{128}-2^{97}-1\right)$, is given in short Weierstrass form (1) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =284470887156368047300405921324061011681 \\
b & =126188322377389722996253562430093625949 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, choose $\alpha=311198077076599516590082177721943503641 \in k$.
For each of these curves, the translation $(x, y) \mapsto(X+\alpha, Y)$ with the indicated choice of displacement $\alpha$ transforms the given Weierstrass equation into the Montgomery form (2) with $B=1$ and $A=3 \alpha$. The values $3 \alpha-2$ are quadratic residues in their respective fields, the values $3 \alpha+2$ are not. Taking $\beta$ to be a square root of $3 \alpha-2$ in the appropriate field, it is easy to check that the transformation

$$
(\bar{x}, \bar{y})=\left(\frac{\beta(x-\alpha)}{y}, \frac{x+1-\alpha}{x-1-\alpha}\right)
$$

maps secp112r2 (resp. secp128r2) into Edwards form (3) with $d=(3 \alpha+2) /(3 \alpha-2)$.

## 5. Conclusion

We've seen that the two propositions of the present paper apply to the SECG curves secp112r2 and secp128r2. This answers a question raised by the third author who wondered how likely it was that a curve chosen "verifiably at random" would have a fourth division polynomial that vanished on three consecutive field elements. In [8], it was asserted that these curves were chosen "so that scalar multiplication of points on the associated elliptic curve can be accelerated using Montgomery's method [6]". In light of Prop. 1, we speculate that at least one additional (and unspecified) design criterion was applied in the choice of these curves, namely the condition $B=1$, in order to minimize the cost of change of coordinate transformations between the Montgomery and Weierstrass forms.

When one intends to use the Montgomery form for computational efficiency, one would like "cheap" change of coordinate transformations between the Montgomery and Weierstrass forms since parameters, keys, signatures, key agreement data, etc. are normally presented or exchanged in Weierstrass coordinates. A similar statement applies to Edwards form, which recent work $[1,3]$ has shown may be faster than other forms in software and hardware implementations.

In any case, the following brief SAGE script should allow the reader to check that $\alpha-1, \alpha, \alpha+1$ are indeed roots of $\psi_{4}$ for the curve secp112r2, as assured by Prop. 2.

```
\(\mathrm{p}=4451685225093714772084598273548427\)
\(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{p})\)
\(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{k}(1970543761890640310119143205433388)\)
\(\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{k}(1660538572255285715897238774208265)\)
\(\mathrm{s}=\operatorname{sqrt}((1-\mathrm{a}) / 3)\)
if \(2 * s^{\wedge} 3-s==b:\)
    alpha \(=\mathrm{s}\)
else:
    alpha \(=-\) s
\(\mathrm{E}=\) EllipticCurve([a, b])
(alpha - 1, 1) in E.division_polynomial(4).roots()
(alpha, 1) in E.division polynomial(4).roots()
(alpha \(+1,1\) ) in E.division_polynomial(4).roots()
```

With the appropriate inputs $p, a$ and $b$, the same script verifies the result of Prop. 2 for secp128r2.
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