

On second order nonlinearities of cubic monomial Boolean functions

Ruchi Gode and Sugata Gangopadhyay
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology
Roorkee - 247 667 Uttarakhand INDIA

October 16, 2009

Abstract

We study cubic monomial Boolean functions of the form $Tr_1^n(\mu x^{2^i+2^j+1})$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. We prove that the functions of this form do not have any affine derivative. A lower bound on the second order nonlinearities of these functions is also derived.

Keywords: Boolean functions, monomial functions, cubic functions, derivatives, second order nonlinearity.

1 Introduction

Suppose \mathbb{F}_{2^n} is the extension field of degree n over \mathbb{F}_2 , the prime field of characteristic 2. Any function from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to \mathbb{F}_2 is said to be a Boolean function on n variables. The set of all n -variable Boolean functions is denoted by \mathcal{B}_n . Suppose $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Then any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ can be written as

$$x = x_1 b_1 + \dots + x_n b_n \text{ where } x_i \in \mathbb{F}_2, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) is said to be the coordinates of $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with respect to the basis B . Once a basis B of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} is fixed, any function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ can be written as a polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_n over \mathbb{F}_2 , said to be the algebraic normal form (ANF)

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{a=(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \mu_a \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i} \right), \text{ where } \mu_a \in \mathbb{F}_2.$$

Given the ANF of a function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$, the value of f at a point $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is obtained by substituting in the ANF of f the coordinates of x with respect to B . The weight of an

n -tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is defined as $wt(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, where the sum is over \mathbb{Z} , the ring of integers. The algebraic degree of f , $\deg(f) = \max\{wt(a) : \mu_a \neq 0, a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\}$. For any two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_n$, $d(f, g) = |\{x : f(x) \neq g(x), x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\}|$ is said to be the Hamming distance between f and g .

The trace function $Tr_1^n : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is defined by

$$Tr_1^n(x) = x + x^2 + x^{2^2} + \dots + x^{2^{n-1}}, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n.$$

Given any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $Tr_1^n(xy)$ is an inner product of x and y . For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $\phi_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_n$ denotes the linear function defined by

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = Tr_1^n(\lambda x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n.$$

Monomial Boolean functions are the expression of Boolean functions over finite field and are expressed as $Tr_1^n(\lambda x^d)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and an integer d . The degree of monomial Boolean functions is equal to the weight of d .

The set of all Boolean functions of n variables of degree at most r is said to be the Reed-Muller code, $RM(r, n)$, of length 2^n and order r . The nonlinearity, $nl(f)$, of a Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is the minimum of the Hamming distances of the function f from the Boolean functions in $RM(1, n)$. The idea of nonlinearity was introduced by Rothaus [33]. The relationship between nonlinearity and explicit attack on symmetric ciphers was discovered by Matsui [28]. For results on constructions of Boolean functions with high nonlinearity we refer to [4, 5, 9, 22, 23, 30, 31, 33, 34]. The Walsh transform of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ at $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is defined by

$$W_f(\lambda) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x) + Tr_1^n(\lambda x)}.$$

The multiset $[W_f(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n]$ is said to be the Walsh spectrum of f . Nonlinearity and Walsh spectrum of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is related as follows

$$nl(f) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} |W_f(\lambda)|.$$

Using Parseval's identity

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(\lambda)^2 = 2^{2n}$$

it can be shown that $|W_f(\lambda)| \geq 2^{n/2}$ which implies that $nl(f) \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$. Thus, the nonlinearity of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is bounded above by $2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$.

Definition 1 Suppose n is an even integer. A function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is said to be a bent function if and only if $nl(f) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ (i.e., $W_f(\lambda) \in \{2^{\frac{n}{2}}, -2^{\frac{n}{2}}\}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$).

For odd $n \geq 9$, the tight upper bound of nonlinearities of Boolean functions in \mathcal{B}_n is not known. The idea of nonlinearity is generalized to r th-order nonlinearity and nonlinearity profile of a Boolean function.

Definition 2 Suppose f is a Boolean function on n variables. For every integer r , $0 < r \leq n$, the minimum of the Hamming distances of f from all the functions belonging to $RM(r, n)$ is said to be the r -th-order nonlinearity of the Boolean function f . The sequence of values $nl_r(f)$, for r ranging from 1 to $n - 1$, is said to be the nonlinearity profile of f .

The idea of higher order nonlinearity is natural and has been used in cryptanalysis by Courtois, Golic, Iwata-Kurosawa, Knudsen-Robshaw, Maurer, and Millan [11, 18, 20, 24, 27, 29]. However a systematic study of higher order nonlinearity and nonlinearity profile of a Boolean functions along with development of techniques to obtain bounds of these characteristics for several classes of Boolean functions is initiated by Carlet [3, 7]. We also refer to results due to Carlet-Mesnager [6], and Sun-Wu [35]. The best known asymptotic upper bound on $nl_r(f)$ is obtained by Carlet and Mesnager [6], which is

$$nl_r(f) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{2} \cdot (1 + \sqrt{2})^{r-2} \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{2}} + O(n^{r-2}).$$

Computation of the r -th order nonlinearity for $r > 1$ is itself a difficult problem. Efforts are made to compute second order nonlinearity by using decoding techniques of the second order Reed-Muller codes. The algorithms developed till date [15, 16, 21] to compute second order nonlinearity for $n \leq 11$ and for $n \leq 13$ for some special cases. Thus there is a need to find out lower bounds of the second order nonlinearity of Boolean functions and in general lower bounds for r -th order nonlinearity of Boolean functions (for $r \geq 1$) which is satisfied for all values of n .

Carlet [7] has introduced a method to determine lower bound of the r -th order nonlinearity of a function from the upper bound of the $(r - 1)$ -th order nonlinearity of its first derivatives. In recent papers some attempts have been made to find a lower bound of second order nonlinearity of some particular class of cubic monomial Boolean functions. In [7], Carlet deduced the lower bounds of the second order nonlinearity of several classes of monomial Boolean functions, such as the Welch function $f(x) = Tr_1^n(x^{2^t+3})$, when $t = n - 1$ and n odd, or when $t = n + 1$ and n odd, and the inverse function $f(x) = Tr_1^n(x^{2^n-2})$. The approach was to study the nonlinearity of the derivative of the function f . Using this approach, Sun and Wu [35] and Gangopadhyay, Sarkar and Telang [17] recently have obtained the lower bounds of the second order nonlinearity of several classes of cubic monomial Boolean functions. Also Kolokotronis, Limniotis and Kalouptsidis [32] have determined the class of cubic functions with maximum second order nonlinearity. In this paper we study cubic monomial Boolean functions of the form $Tr_1^n(\mu x^{2^i+2^j+1})$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. We prove that the functions of this form do not have any affine derivative. A lower bound on the second order nonlinearities of these functions is also derived.

2 Preliminary results

2.1 Recursive lower bounds of higher-order nonlinearities

The recursive lower bounds of higher-order nonlinearities of Boolean functions, obtained by Carlet [7], are dependent on the nonlinearities of their derivatives.

Definition 3 *The derivative of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, denoted by $D_a f$, is defined as $D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x + a)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.*

The higher-order derivatives are defined as follows.

Definition 4 *Let V be an m -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} generated by a_1, \dots, a_m , i.e., $V = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$. The m th-order derivative of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with respect to V , denoted by $D_V f$ or $D_{a_1} \dots D_{a_m} f$, is defined by*

$$D_V f(x) = D_{a_1} \dots D_{a_m} f(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}.$$

It is to be noted that the m th-order derivative of f depends only on the choice of the m -dimensional subspace V and independent of the choice of the basis of V .

Proposition 1 ([7], Proposition 2) *Let $f(x)$ be any n -variable Boolean function and r be a positive integer smaller than n , we have*

$$nl_r(f) \geq \frac{1}{2^i} \max_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} nl_{r-i}(D_{a_1} D_{a_2} \dots D_{a_i} f).$$

In particular, for $r = 2$,

$$nl_2(f) \geq \frac{1}{2} \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} nl(D_a f).$$

If some lower bound on $nl(D_a f)$ is known for all a , then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1 ([7], Corollary 2) *Let f be any n -variable function and r a positive integer smaller than n . Assume that, for some nonnegative integers M and m , we have $nl_{r-1}(D_a f) \geq 2^{n-1} - M2^m$ for every nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} nl_r(f) &\geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1)M2^{m+1} + 2^n} \\ &\approx 2^{n-1} - \sqrt{M2^{\frac{n+m-1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Propositions 1 and Corollary 1 are applicable for computation of the lower bounds of the second order nonlinearities of cubic Boolean functions. This is due to the fact that any first derivative of a cubic Boolean function has algebraic degree at most 2 and the Walsh spectrum of a quadratic Boolean function (degree 2 Boolean function) is completely characterized by the dimension of the kernel of the bilinear form associated with it.

2.2 Quadratic Boolean functions

Suppose $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is a quadratic function. The bilinear form associated with f is defined by $B(x, y) = f(0) + f(x) + f(y) + f(x + y)$. The kernel [2, 26] of $B(x, y)$ is the subspace of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_f = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : B(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}.$$

Lemma 1 ([2], Proposition 1) *Let V be a vector space over a field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic 2 and $Q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ be a quadratic form. Then the dimension of V and the dimension of the kernel of Q have the same parity.*

Lemma 2 ([2], Lemma 1) *Let f be any quadratic Boolean function. The kernel, \mathcal{E}_f , is the subspace of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} consisting of those a such that the derivative $D_a f$ is constant. That is,*

$$\mathcal{E}_f = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : D_a f = \text{constant}\}.$$

The Walsh spectrum of any quadratic function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is given below.

Lemma 3 ([2, 26]) *If $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is a quadratic Boolean function and $B(x, y)$ is the quadratic form associated with it, then the Walsh Spectrum of f depends only on the dimension, k , of the kernel, \mathcal{E}_f , of $B(x, y)$. The weight distribution of the Walsh spectrum of f is:*

$W_f(\alpha)$	number of α
0	$2^n - 2^{n-k}$
$2^{(n+k)/2}$	$2^{n-k-1} + (-1)^{f(0)} 2^{(n-k-2)/2}$
$-2^{(n+k)/2}$	$2^{n-k-1} - (-1)^{f(0)} 2^{(n-k-2)/2}$

2.3 Linearized polynomials

Suppose q denotes a prime power.

Definition 5 ([25]) *A polynomial of the form*

$$L(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i x^{q^i}$$

with the coefficients in an extension field \mathbb{F}_{q^m} of \mathbb{F}_q is said to be a linearized polynomial (q -polynomial) over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} .

Some facts [25] related to the linearized polynomials are listed below.

1. The zeroes of $L(x)$ lie in some extension field \mathbb{F}_{q^s} of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} for some $s \geq m$. The zeroes form a \mathbb{F}_q -subspace of \mathbb{F}_{q^s} .

2. Each zero of $L(x)$ has the same multiplicity which is either 1 or a power of q .
3. Suppose $L(x)$ be a linearized polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} and $F = \mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ is an extension field of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . The map $L : \beta \in F \mapsto L(\beta) \in F$ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear operator on F .

The following result is taken from [10].

Lemma 4 ([10]) *Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^v r_i x^{2^{si}}$ be a linearized polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , where $\gcd(n, s) = 1$. Then the equation $g(x) = 0$ has at most 2^v solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .*

3 Cubic monomial Boolean functions

The function $f_\mu \in \mathcal{B}_n$ given by

$$f_\mu(x) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu x^{2^i+2^j+1})$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ and i, j are positive integers such that $i > j$, is a cubic monomial Boolean function.

Theorem 1 *Suppose $\phi_\mu \in \mathcal{B}_n$ defined as $\phi_\mu(x) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu x^{2^r+2^s+2^t})$, where r, s, t are integers such that $r > s > t \geq 0$ and $r - t = i, s - t = j$. Then ϕ_μ and f_μ are affinely equivalent Boolean functions.*

Proof : $\phi_\mu(x) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu x^{2^r+2^s+2^t}) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu x^{2^t(2^{r-t}+2^{s-t}+1)})$.

Since the mapping $T : x \rightarrow x^{2^{n-t}}$ is a linear transformation from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} onto itself. It follows from definition ?? that $\phi_\mu(x)$ and $\phi_\mu(T(x)) = \phi_\mu(x^{2^{n-t}})$ are affinely equivalent functions. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\mu(T(x)) &= \phi_\mu(x^{2^{n-t}}) \\ &= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(x^{2^{n-t}})^{2^t(2^{r-t}+2^{s-t}+1)}) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu x^{2^{n-t+t}(2^{r-t}+2^{s-t}+1)}) \\ &= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu x^{2^r+2^s+2^t}) \\ &= f_\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f_μ and ϕ_μ are affinely equivalent Boolean functions. ■

Theorem 2 *The function f_μ posses no affine derivative if $n \neq i + j$ or $n \neq 2i - j$, where $i > j$.*

Proof : The first derivative $D_a f_\mu$ of f_μ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ is

$$\begin{aligned} D_a f_\mu(x) &= f_\mu(x + a) + f_\mu(x) \\ &= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(x + a)^{2^i+2^j+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu x^{2^i+2^j+1}) \\ &= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(x^{2^i+2^j} a + x^{2^i+1} a^{2^j} + x^{2^j+1} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a^{2^j+1} \\ &\quad + x^{2^j} a^{2^i+1} + x a^{2^i+2^j} + a^{2^i+2^j+1})). \end{aligned}$$

The degree two part of the above equation is $Tr_1^n(\mu a x^{2^i+2^j}) + Tr_1^n(\mu a^{2^j} x^{1+2^i}) + Tr_1^n(\mu a^{2^i} x^{1+2^j})$. If $n \neq 2i - j$ then $2^i + 2^j$ and $2^i + 1$ are not in the same cyclotomic coset. If $n \neq i + j$ then $2^i + 1$ and $2^j + 1$ are not in the same cyclotomic coset. Therefore if either of the above two conditions are satisfied then if $D_a f_\mu = 0$ then $\mu = 0$. \blacksquare

Theorem 3 *The lower bound of the second order nonlinearity of f_μ for $n > 2i$ is given as*

$$nl_2(f_\mu) \geq \begin{cases} 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{3n+2i-4}{4}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{3n+2i-5}{4}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof : The first derivative $D_a f_\mu$ of f_μ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ is

$$\begin{aligned} D_a f_\mu(x) &= f_\mu(x+a) + f_\mu(x) \\ &= Tr_1^n(\mu(x+a)^{2^i+2^j+1}) + Tr_1^n(\mu x^{2^i+2^j+1}) \\ &= Tr_1^n(\mu(x^{2^i+2^j} a + x^{2^i+1} a^{2^j} + x^{2^j+1} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a^{2^j+1} \\ &\quad + x^{2^j} a^{2^i+1} + x a^{2^i+2^j} + a^{2^i+2^j+1})). \end{aligned}$$

It is known from Theorem 2 that $D_a f_\mu$ is quadratic for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ if $n \neq i + j$ or $n \neq 2i - j$. Thus $D_a f_\mu$ is always quadratic as $n \geq 2i$. Walsh spectrum of $D_a f_\mu$ is equivalent to the Walsh spectrum of following function

$$h_\mu(x) = Tr_1^n(\mu(x^{2^i+2^j} a + x^{2^i+1} a^{2^j} + x^{2^j+1} a^{2^i})).$$

Let $B(x, y)$ be the bilinear form associated with h_μ , \mathcal{E}_f the kernel of $B(x, y)$ and k the dimension of \mathcal{E}_f . Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_f = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : B(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\},$$

where Bilinear form associated with h_μ is

$$\begin{aligned}
B(x, y) &= h_\mu(0) + h_\mu(x) + h_\mu(y) + h_\mu(x + y) \\
&= Tr_1^n(\mu(x^{2^i+2^j}a + x^{2^i+1}a^{2^j} + x^{2^j+1}a^{2^i})) \\
&\quad + Tr_1^n(\mu(y^{2^i+2^j}a + y^{2^i+1}a^{2^j} + y^{2^j+1}a^{2^i})) \\
&\quad + Tr_1^n(\mu((x+y)^{2^i+2^j}a + (x+y)^{2^i+1}a^{2^j} + (x+y)^{2^j+1}a^{2^i})) \\
&= Tr_1^n(\mu(x^{2^i+2^j}a + x^{2^i+1}a^{2^j} + x^{2^j+1}a^{2^i} + y^{2^i+2^j}a + y^{2^i+1}a^{2^j} \\
&\quad + y^{2^j+1}a^{2^i} + (x+y)^{2^i+2^j}a + (x+y)^{2^i+1}a^{2^j} + (x+y)^{2^j+1}a^{2^i})) \\
&= Tr_1^n(\mu((xa^{2^j} + x^{2^j}a)y^{2^i} + (xa^{2^i} + x^{2^i}a)y^{2^j} + (x^{2^i}a^{2^j} + x^{2^j}a^{2^i})y)) \\
&= Tr_1^n(\mu(xa^{2^j} + x^{2^j}a)y^{2^i}) + Tr_1^n(\mu(xa^{2^i} + x^{2^i}a)y^{2^j}) \\
&\quad + Tr_1^n(\mu(x^{2^i}a^{2^j} + x^{2^j}a^{2^i})y) \\
&= Tr_1^n(\mu(xa^{2^j} + x^{2^j}a)y^{2^i})^{2^{n-i}} + Tr_1^n(\mu(xa^{2^i} + x^{2^i}a)y^{2^j})^{2^{n-j}} \\
&\quad + Tr_1^n(\mu(x^{2^i}a^{2^j} + x^{2^j}a^{2^i})y) \\
&= Tr_1^n(\mu^{2^{n-i}}(x^{2^{n-i}}a^{2^{n-i+j}} + x^{2^{n-i+j}}a^{2^{n-i}})y^{2^n}) \\
&\quad + Tr_1^n(\mu^{2^{n-j}}(x^{2^{n-j}}a^{2^{n+i-j}} + x^{2^{n+i-j}}a^{2^{n-j}})y^{2^n}) \\
&\quad + Tr_1^n(\mu(x^{2^i}a^{2^j} + x^{2^j}a^{2^i})y) \\
&= Tr_1^n(y(\mu^{2^{-i}}(x^{2^{-i}}a^{2^{j-i}} + x^{2^{j-i}}a^{2^{-i}}) + \mu^{2^{-j}}(x^{2^{-j}}a^{2^{i-j}} + x^{2^{i-j}}a^{2^{-j}}) \\
&\quad + \mu(x^{2^i}a^{2^j} + x^{2^j}a^{2^i}))) \\
&= Tr_1^n(y(x^{2^i}a^{2^j}\mu + x^{2^j}a^{2^i}\mu + x^{2^{i-j}}a^{2^{-j}}\mu^{2^{-j}} + x^{2^{-j}}a^{2^{i-j}}\mu^{2^{-j}} \\
&\quad + x^{2^{-i}}a^{2^{j-i}}\mu^{2^{-i}} + x^{2^{j-i}}a^{2^{-i}}\mu^{2^{-i}})).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the kernel of $B(x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_f &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : x^{2^i}a^{2^j}\mu + x^{2^j}a^{2^i}\mu + x^{2^{i-j}}a^{2^{-j}}\mu^{2^{-j}} + x^{2^{-j}}a^{2^{i-j}}\mu^{2^{-j}} \\
&\quad + x^{2^{-i}}a^{2^{j-i}}\mu^{2^{-i}} + x^{2^{j-i}}a^{2^{-i}}\mu^{2^{-i}} = 0\} \\
&= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : P_{(\mu,a)}(x) = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{(\mu,a)}(x) &= x^{2^i}a^{2^j}\mu + x^{2^j}a^{2^i}\mu + x^{2^{i-j}}a^{2^{-j}}\mu^{2^{-j}} + x^{2^{-j}}a^{2^{i-j}}\mu^{2^{-j}} \\
&\quad + x^{2^{-i}}a^{2^{j-i}}\mu^{2^{-i}} + x^{2^{j-i}}a^{2^{-i}}\mu^{2^{-i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the number of elements in the kernel \mathcal{E}_f is equal to the number of zeroes of $P_{(\mu,a)}(x)$, equivalently number of zeroes of $(P_{(\mu,a)}(x))^{2^i}$. Let us denote the polynomial $(P_{(\mu,a)}(x))^{2^i}$ by $L_{(\mu,a)}(x)$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{(\mu,a)}(x) &= (x^{2^i} a^{2^j} \mu + x^{2^j} a^{2^i} \mu + x^{2^{i-j}} a^{2^{-j}} \mu^{2^{-j}} \\
&\quad x^{2^{-j}} a^{2^{i-j}} \mu^{2^{-j}} + x^{2^{j-i}} a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}} + x^{2^{-i}} a^{2^{j-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}})^{2^i} \\
&= x^{2^{2i}} a^{2^{i+j}} \mu^{2^i} + x^{2^{i+j}} a^{2^{2i}} \mu^{2^i} + x^{2^{2i-j}} a^{2^{i-j}} \mu^{2^{i-j}} \\
&\quad + x^{2^{i-j}} a^{2^{2i-j}} \mu^{2^{i-j}} + x^{2^j} a \mu + x a^{2^j} \mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the degree of $L_{(\mu,a)}(x)$ considered as a linearized polynomial in x is at most 2^{2i} . Therefore, by Lemma 1, $k \leq 2i$ for n even and $k \leq 2i - 1$ for n odd. These upper bounds of k are non-trivial if $n > 2i$. Thus, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

$$W_{D_a f_\mu}(\lambda) \leq \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{n+2i}{2}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 2^{\frac{n+2i-1}{2}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$nl(D_a f_\mu) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} |W_{D_a f_\mu}(\lambda)|,$$

We obtain,

$$nl(D_a f_\mu) \geq \begin{cases} 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} 2^{\frac{n+2i}{2}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} 2^{\frac{n+2i-1}{2}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Comparing the inequality (1) and Corollary 1, we get

$$\begin{cases} M = 1 \text{ and } m = \frac{n+2i-2}{2}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ M = 1 \text{ and } m = \frac{n+2i-3}{2}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

So Corollary 1 gives,

- For even n

$$\begin{aligned}
nl_2(f_\mu) &\geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) 2^{\frac{n+2i}{2}} + 2^n} \\
&\approx 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{3n+2i-4}{4}}. \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

- For odd n

$$\begin{aligned}
nl_2(f_\mu) &\geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) 2^{\frac{n+2i-1}{2}} + 2^n} \\
&\approx 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{3n+2i-5}{4}}. \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 4 Suppose g_μ be defined as

$$g_\mu(x) = Tr_1^n(\mu x^{2^{2i}+2^i+1})$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ and i is a positive integer such that $\gcd(n, i) = 1$. Then for $n \geq 4$

$$nl_2(g_\mu) \geq \begin{cases} 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{3n}{4}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{3n-1}{4}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof :The first derivative $D_a g_\mu$ of g_μ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ is

$$\begin{aligned} D_a g_\mu(x) &= g_\mu(x+a) + g_\mu(x) \\ &= Tr_1^n(\mu(x+a)^{2^{2i}+2^i+1}) + Tr_1^n(\mu x^{2^{2i}+2^i+1}) \\ &= Tr_1^n(\mu(x^{2^{2i}+2^i}a + x^{2^{2i}+1}a^{2^i} + x^{2^i+1}a^{2^{2i}} + x^{2^{2i}}a^{2^i+1} \\ &\quad + x^{2^i}a^{2^{2i}+1} + xa^{2^{2i}+2^i} + a^{2^{2i}+2^i+1})). \end{aligned}$$

It is known from Theorem 2 that $D_a g_\mu$ is quadratic for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ if $n \neq 3i$, from the conditions stated in this Theorem we observe that $D_a g_\mu$ is always quadratic.

Walsh spectrum of $D_a g_\mu$ is equivalent to the Walsh spectrum of following function

$$h_\mu(x) = Tr_1^n(\mu(x^{2^{2i}+2^i}a + x^{2^{2i}+1}a^{2^i} + x^{2^i+1}a^{2^{2i}})).$$

Let $B(x, y)$ be the bilinear form associated with h_μ , \mathcal{E}_g the kernel of $B(x, y)$ and k the dimension of \mathcal{E}_g . Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_g = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : B(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}.$$

Bilinear form associated with h_μ is

$$\begin{aligned}
B(x, y) &= h_\mu(0) + h_\mu(x) + h_\mu(y) + h_\mu(x + y) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(x^{2^{2i}+2^i} a + x^{2^{2i}+1} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i+1} a^{2^{2i}})) \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(y^{2^{2i}+2^i} a + y^{2^{2i}+1} a^{2^i} + y^{2^i+1} a^{2^{2i}})) \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu((x+y)^{2^{2i}+2^i} a + (x+y)^{2^{2i}+1} a^{2^i} + (x+y)^{2^i+1} a^{2^{2i}})) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(x^{2^{2i}+2^i} a + x^{2^{2i}+1} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i+1} a^{2^{2i}} + y^{2^{2i}+2^i} a \\
&\quad + y^{2^{2i}+1} a^{2^i} + y^{2^i+1} a^{2^{2i}} + (x+y)^{2^{2i}+2^i} a + (x+y)^{2^{2i}+1} a^{2^i} \\
&\quad + (x+y)^{2^i+1} a^{2^{2i}})) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu((xa^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a)y^{2^{2i}} + (xa^{2^{2i}} + x^{2^{2i}} a)y^{2^i} \\
&\quad + (x^{2^{2i}} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a^{2^{2i}})y)) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(xa^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a)y^{2^{2i}}) + \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(xa^{2^{2i}} + x^{2^{2i}} a)y^{2^i}) \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(x^{2^{2i}} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a^{2^{2i}})y) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(xa^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a)y^{2^{2i}})^{2^{n-2i}} + \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(xa^{2^{2i}} + x^{2^{2i}} a)y^{2^i})^{2^{n-i}} \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(x^{2^{2i}} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a^{2^{2i}})y) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu^{2^{n-2i}}(x^{2^{n-2i}} a^{2^{n-i}} + x^{2^{n-i}} a^{2^{n-2i}})y^{2^n}) \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu^{2^{n-i}}(x^{2^{n-i}} a^{2^{n+i}} + x^{2^{n+i}} a^{2^{n-i}})y^{2^n}) \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}_1^n(\mu(x^{2^{2i}} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a^{2^{2i}})y) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^n(y(\mu^{2^{-2i}}(x^{2^{-2i}} a^{2^{-i}} + x^{2^{-i}} a^{2^{-2i}}) + \mu^{2^{-i}}(x^{2^{-i}} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a^{2^{-i}}) \\
&\quad + \mu(x^{2^{2i}} a^{2^i} + x^{2^i} a^{2^{2i}}))) \\
&= \text{Tr}_1^n(y(x^{2^{2i}} a^{2^i} \mu + x^{2^i} (a^{2^{2i}} \mu + a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}) \\
&\quad + x^{2^{-i}} (a^{2^i} \mu^{2^{-i}} + a^{2^{-2i}} \mu^{2^{-2i}}) + x^{2^{-2i}} a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-2i}})).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the kernel of $B(x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_g &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : x^{2^{2i}} a^{2^i} \mu + x^{2^i} (a^{2^{2i}} \mu + a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}) \\
&\quad + x^{2^{-i}} (a^{2^i} \mu^{2^{-i}} + a^{2^{-2i}} \mu^{2^{-2i}}) + x^{2^{-2i}} a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-2i}} = 0\} \\
&= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : P_{(\mu, a)}(x) = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the number of elements in the kernel \mathcal{E}_g is equal to the number of zeroes of $P_{(\mu, a)}(x)$, equivalently number of zeroes of $(P_{(\mu, a)}(x))^{2^{2i}}$. Let us denote the polynomial $(P_{(\mu, a)}(x))^{2^{2i}}$ by $L_{(\mu, a)}(x)$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{(\mu,a)}(x) &= (x^{2^{2i}} a^{2^i} \mu + x^{2^i} (a^{2^{2i}} \mu + a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}) \\
&\quad + x^{2^{-i}} (a^{2^i} \mu^{2^{-i}} + a^{2^{-2i}} \mu^{2^{-2i}}) + x^{2^{-2i}} a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-2i}})^{2^{2i}} \\
&= x^{2^{4i}} a^{2^{3i}} \mu^{2^{2i}} + x^{2^{3i}} (a^{2^{4i}} \mu^{2^{2i}} + a^{2^i} \mu^{2^i}) \\
&\quad + x^{2^i} (a^{2^{3i}} \mu^{2^i} + a\mu) + xa^{2^i} \mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly the degree of $L_{(\mu,a)}(x)$ considered as a linearized polynomial in x is at most 2^{4i} . By Lemma 4 we get that $L_{(\mu,a)}(x)$ can have at most 2^4 zeroes. So $k \leq 4$ for even n and $k \leq 3$ for odd n . Thus, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

$$W_{D_{ag\mu}}(\lambda) \leq \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{n+4}{2}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 2^{\frac{n+3}{2}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$nl(D_{ag\mu}) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} |W_{D_{ag\mu}}(\lambda)|,$$

We obtain,

$$nl(D_{ag\mu}) \geq \begin{cases} 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} 2^{\frac{n+4}{2}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} 2^{\frac{n+3}{2}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Comparing the inequality (4) and Corollary 1, we get

$$\begin{cases} M = 1 \text{ and } m = \frac{n+2}{2}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ M = 1 \text{ and } m = \frac{n+1}{2}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

So Corollary 1 gives,

- For even n

$$\begin{aligned}
nl_2(g_\mu) &\geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) 2^{\frac{n+4}{2}} + 2^n} \\
&\approx 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{3n}{4}}.
\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

- For odd n

$$\begin{aligned}
nl_2(g_\mu) &\geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) 2^{\frac{n+3}{2}} + 2^n} \\
&\approx 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{3n-1}{4}}.
\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

■

4 Comparisons

- It is proved in [7] that, in general, the second order nonlinearities of n -variable cubic Boolean functions which do not have any affine derivatives is bounded below by $2^{n-1} - 2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}$. Subtracting this bound from those deduced in inequalities (2) and (3) respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} 2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}(1 - 2^{\frac{-n+2i+2}{4}}) > 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n > 2i + 2, \\ 2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}(1 - 2^{\frac{-n+2i+1}{4}}) > 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n > 2i + 1. \end{cases}$$

- Again subtracting the general lower bound $2^{n-1} - 2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}$ given in [7] from the lower bounds obtained in inequalities (5) and (6) respectively, we get

$$\begin{cases} 2^{\frac{3n}{4}}(2^{\frac{n-6}{4}} - 1) > 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n > 6, \\ 2^{\frac{3n}{4}}(2^{\frac{n-5}{4}} - 1) > 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n > 5. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the bounds deduced in this paper are larger than those obtained in [7] when n is not too small.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have derived lower bounds of the second order nonlinearity of general class of cubic monomial Boolean functions. We also demonstrated that our bound is better than previously known general bound when n is not too small.

References

- [1] A. Canteaut and P. Charpin, Decomposing bent functions, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 49 (8) (2003) 2004-2019.
- [2] A. Canteaut, P. Charpin and G. M. Kyureghyan, A new class of monomial bent functions, Finite Fields and their Applications 14 (2008) 221-241.
- [3] C. Carlet, The complexity of Boolean functions from cryptographic viewpoint, in: Dagstuhl Seminar Complexity of Boolean Functions, 2006, 15 pp.
- [4] C. Carlet, Boolean Functions for Cryptography and Error Correcting Codes, in Boolean Methods and Models, Y. Crama and P. Hammer, Eds. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press [Online]. Available: <http://www-rocq.inria.fr/codes/Claude.Carlet/pubs.html> 1, to be published.

- [5] C. Carlet, Vectorial (Multi-Output) Boolean Functions for Cryptography, in Boolean Methods and Models, Y. Crama and P. Hammer, Eds. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press [Online]. Available: <http://www-rocq.inria.fr/codes/Claude.Carlet/pubs.htm>, to be published.
- [6] C. Carlet and S. Mesnager, Improving the upper bounds on the covering radii of binary Reed-Muller codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 53 (1) (2007) 162-173.
- [7] C. Carlet, Recursive lower bounds on the nonlinearity profile of Boolean functions and their applications, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 54 (3) (2008) 1262-1272.
- [8] G. Cohen, I. Honkala, S. Litsyn and A. Lobstein, *Covering Codes*, North-Holland, 1997.
- [9] E. R. Berlekamp and L. R. Welch, Weight distributions of the cosets of the (32, 6) Reed-Muller code, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 18 (1) (1972) 203-207.
- [10] C. Bracken, E. Byrne, N. Markin and Gary McGuire, Determining the Nonlinearity of a New Family of APN Functions, *Proc. AAECC, Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 4851, Springer, Bangalore, India, 2007, pp. 72–79.
- [11] N. Courtois, Higher order correlation attacks, XL algorithm and cryptanalysis of Toyocrypt, in: *Proceedings of the ICISC'02, LNCS*, vol. 2587, Springer, 2002, pp. 182-199.
- [12] J. F. Dillon, H. Dobbertin, New Cyclic Difference Sets with Singer Parameters, *Finite Fields And Applications* (2004) 342-389.
- [13] H. Dobbertin, Another proof of Kasami's Theorem, *Des. Codes Cryptography* 17 (1999) 177-180.
- [14] H. Dobbertin, Almost Perfect Nonlinear Power Functions on $GF(2)^n$: the Niho case, *Inform. Comput.* 151 (1999) 57-72.
- [15] I. Dumer, G. Kabatiansky and C. Tavernier, List decoding of second order Reed-Muller codes up to the Johnson bound with almost linear complexity, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, Seattle, WA, July 2006, pp. 138-142.
- [16] R. Fourquet and C. Tavernier, An improved list decoding algorithm for the second order ReedMuller codes and its applications, *Designs Codes and Cryptography* 49 (2008) 323-340.
- [17] S.Gangopadhyaya, S.Sarkar and R.Telang, On the lower bounds of the second order nonlinearity of some Boolean functions, Available at <http://eprint.iacr.org/2009/094.pdf>.

- [18] J. Golic, Fast low order approximation of cryptographic functions, in: Proceedings of the EUROCRYPT'96, LNCS, vol. 1996, Springer, 1996, pp. 268-282.
- [19] T. Kasami, The Weight Enumerators for Several Classes of subcodes of the second order Binary Reed Muller codes, Information and Control 18 (1971) 369-394.
- [20] T. Iwata and K. Kurosawa, Probabilistic higher order differential attack and higher order bent functions, in: Proceedings of the ASIACRYPT'99, LNCS, vol. 1716, Springer, 1999, pp. 62-74.
- [21] G. Kabatiansky and C. Tavernier, List decoding of second order Reed-Muller codes, in: Proceedings of the eighth International Symposium of Communication Theory and Applications, Ambleside, UK, July 2005.
- [22] S. Kavut, S. Maitra, S. Sarkar and M. D. Yücel, Enumeration of 9-variable rotation symmetric Boolean functions having nonlinearity > 240 , in: Proceedings of the INDOCRYPT'06, LNCS, vol. 4329, Springer, 2006, pp. 266-279.
- [23] S. Kavut and M. D. Yücel, Generalized rotation symmetric and dihedral symmetric Boolean functions - 9 variable Boolean functions with nonlinearity 242, in: Proceedings of the AAECC'07, LNCS, vol. 4851, Springer, 2007, pp. 266-279.
- [24] L. R. Knudsen and M. J. B. Robshaw, Non-linear approximations in linear cryptanalysis, in: Proceedings of the EUROCRYPT'96, LNCS, vol. 1070, Springer, 1996, pp. 224-236.
- [25] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, Introduction to finite fields and their applications, Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- [26] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, The theory of error correcting codes, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [27] U. M. Maurer, New approaches to the design of self-synchronizing stream ciphers, in: Proceedings of the EUROCRYPT'91, LNCS, vol. 547, 1991, pp. 458-471.
- [28] M. Matsui, Linear cryptanalysis method for DES cipher, in: Proceedings of the EUROCRYPT93, LNCS, vol. 765, 1994, pp. 386-397.
- [29] W. Millan, Low order approximation of cipher functions, in: Cryptographic policy and algorithms, LNCS, vol. 1029, 1996, pp. 144-155.
- [30] J. J. Mykkeltveit, The covering radius of the $(128, 8)$ Reed-Muller code is 56, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 26 (3) (1980) 359-362.
- [31] N. J. Patterson and D. H. Wiedemann, The covering radius of the $(2^{15}, 16)$ Reed-Muller code is at least 16276, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 29 (3) (1983) 354-356.

- [32] N. Kolokotronis, K. Limniotis and N. Kalouptsidis, Efficient Computation Of the Best Quadratic Approximation of Cubic Boolean Functions, in: Cryptography and Coding 2007, LNCS 4887, pp. 73-91, 2007.
- [33] O. S. Rothaus, On bent functions, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A 20 (1976) 300-305.
- [34] P. Sarkar and S. Maitra, Construction of nonlinear Boolean functions with important cryptographic properties, in: Proceedings of the EUROCRYPT 2000, LNCS, vol. 1870, 2000, pp. 485-506.
- [35] G. Sun and C. Wu, The lower bounds on the second order nonlinearity of three classes of Boolean functions with high nonlinearity, Information Sciences 179 (3) (2009) 267-278.