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#### Abstract

We study cubic monomial Boolean functions of the form $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right)$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. We prove that the functions of this form do not have any affine derivative if $n \neq i+j$ or $n \neq 2 i-j$. Lower bounds on the second order nonlinearities of these functions are derived.
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## 1 Introduction

Suppose $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ is the extension field of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, the prime field of characteristic 2 . Any function from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ is said to be a Boolean function on $n$ variables. The set of all $n$-variable Boolean functions is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{n}$. Suppose $B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Then any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ can be written as

$$
x=x_{1} b_{1}+\ldots+x_{n} b_{n} \text { where } x_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}, \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, n \text {. }
$$

The $n$-tuple $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is said to be the coordinates of $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ with respect to the basis $B$. Once a basis $B$ of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ is fixed, any function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ can be written as a polynomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, said to be the algebraic normal form (ANF)

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}} \mu_{a}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}}\right), \text { where } \mu_{a} \in \mathbb{F}_{2} .
$$

Given the ANF of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$, the value of $f$ at a point $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ is obtained by substituting in the ANF of $f$ the coordinates of $x$ with respect to $B$ and evaluating the resulting summation modulo 2. The Hamming weight, or weight, of an $n$-tuple $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, denoted by $w t(x)$, is defined as $w t(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$, where the sum is over $\mathbb{Z}$, the ring of integers. Let $m$ be a positive integer with binary representation $m=\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} m_{i} 2^{i}$ where $m_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for all $i=0, \ldots, l-1$. The weight of $m, w t(m):=\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} m_{i}$, where the sum is taken over the ring of integers. The algebraic degree of $f, \operatorname{deg}(f):=\max \left\{w t(a): \mu_{a} \neq 0, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\}$. For any two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_{n}, d(f, g)=\left|\left\{x: f(x) \neq g(x), x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\}\right|$ is said to be the Hamming distance between $f$ and $g$. The trace function $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(x)=x+x^{2}+x^{2^{2}}+\ldots+x^{2^{n-1}}, \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}
$$

Given any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(x y)$ is an inner product of $x$ and $y$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, \phi_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ denotes the linear function defined by $\phi_{\lambda}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\lambda x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. A Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is said to be a monomial Boolean function if there exits $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and a positive integer $d$ such that $f(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\lambda x^{d}\right)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. The positive integer $d$ is said to be the exponent defining the function $f$, whereas $\operatorname{deg}(f)=w t(d)$. The Walsh transform of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ at $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ is defined by

$$
W_{f}(\lambda)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}(-1)^{f(x)+T r_{1}^{n}(\lambda x)}
$$

The multiset $\left[W_{f}(\lambda): \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right]$ is said to be the Walsh spectrum of $f$. Two Boolean functions $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ are said to be affine equivalent if there exists $A \in G L\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$, that is the group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{2}, b, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ such that

$$
g(x)=f(A x+b)+\phi_{\lambda}(x)+\epsilon, \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}
$$

The set of all Boolean functions of $n$ variables of degree at most $r$ is said to be the ReedMuller code, $R M(r, n)$, of length $2^{n}$ and order $r$.

Definition 1 Suppose $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$. For every integer $r, 0<r \leq n$, the minimum of the Hamming distances of $f$ from all the functions belonging to $R M(r, n)$ is said to be the rthorder nonlinearity of the Boolean function $f$. The sequence of values $n l_{r}(f)$, for $r$ ranging from 1 to $n-1$, is said to be the nonlinearity profile of $f$.

The idea of first-order nonlinearity, usually referred to as nonlinearity, was introduced by Rothaus [33]. The relationship between nonlinearity and explicit attack on symmetric ciphers was discovered by Matsui [29]. For results on constructions of Boolean functions with high nonlinearity we refer to $[6,7,1,22,23,31,32,33,34]$. Following is the relationship between Nonlinearity and Walsh spectrum of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ :

$$
n l(f)=2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \max _{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}\left|W_{f}(\lambda)\right| .
$$

By Parseval's identity

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} W_{f}(\lambda)^{2}=2^{2 n}
$$

it can be shown that $\left|W_{f}(\lambda)\right| \geq 2^{n / 2}$ which implies that $n l(f) \leq 2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$. Thus, the nonlinearity of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is bounded above by $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$.

Definition 2 Suppose $n$ is an even integer. A function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is said to be a bent function if and only if $n l(f)=2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ (i.e., $W_{f}(\lambda) \in\left\{2^{\frac{n}{2}},-2^{\frac{n}{2}}\right\}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ ).

For odd $n \geq 9$, the tight upper bound of nonlinearities of Boolean functions in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is not known.

The idea of higher order nonlinearity has been used in cryptanalysis by Courtois, Golic, Iwata-Kurosawa, Knudsen-Robshaw, Maurer, and Millan [11, 18, 19, 24, 28, 30]. Thus there is a need to construct Boolean functions with controlled nonlinearity profile. Algorithms to compute higher order nonlinearities of Boolean functions are found in [15, 16, 20]. However these algorithms can compute second order nonlinearities for $n \leq 11$ and for $n \leq 13$ for some special cases. Thus there is a need to find out lower bounds of the second order nonlinearity of Boolean functions and in general lower bounds for $r$-th order nonlinearity of Boolean functions (for $r \geq 1$ ) which is satisfied for all values of $n$. A systematic study of higher order nonlinearity and nonlinearity profile of a Boolean functions along with development of techniques to obtain bounds of these characteristics for several classes of Boolean functions is initiated by Carlet [5, 9]. We also refer to results due to CarletMesnager [8], and Sun-Wu [35]. The best known asymptotic upper bound on $n l_{r}(f)$ is obtained by Carlet and Mesnager [8], which is

$$
n l_{r}(f)=2^{n-1}-\frac{\sqrt{15}}{2} \cdot(1+\sqrt{2})^{r-2} \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{2}}+O\left(n^{r-2}\right)
$$

In [9], Carlet deduced the lower bounds of the second order nonlinearity of several classes of monomial Boolean functions, such as the Welch function $f(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(x^{2^{t}+3}\right)$, when $t=n-1$ and $n$ odd, or when $t=n+1$ and $n$ odd, and the inverse function $f(x)=$ $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(x^{2^{n}-2}\right)$. Sun and Wu [35] and Gangopadhyay, Sarkar and Telang [17] recently have obtained the lower bounds of the second order nonlinearity of several classes of cubic monomial Boolean functions. In this paper we study cubic monomial Boolean functions of the form $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right)$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. We prove that the functions of this form do not have any affine derivative if $n \neq i+j$ or $n \neq 2 i-j$. Lower bounds on the second order nonlinearities of these functions are derived.

## 2 Preliminary results

### 2.1 Recursive lower bounds of higher-order nonlinearities

Following are some of the results proved by Carlet [9].

Definition 3 The derivative of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, denoted by $D_{a} f$, is defined as $D_{a} f(x)=f(x)+f(x+a)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

The higher-order derivatives are defined as follows.
Definition 4 Let $V$ be an m-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ generated by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$, i.e., $V=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle$. The $m$ th-order derivative of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ with respect to $V$, denoted by $D_{V} f$ or $D_{a_{1}} \ldots D_{a_{m}} f$, is defined by

$$
D_{V} f(x)=D_{a_{1}} \ldots D_{a_{m}} f(x) \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}
$$

It is to be noted that the $m$ th-order derivative of $f$ depends only on the choice of the $m$-dimensional subspace $V$ and independent of the choice of the basis of $V$.

Proposition 1 ([9], Proposition 2) Let $f(x)$ be any n-variable Boolean function and $r$ a positive integer smaller than $n$, $i$ a non-negative integer smaller than $r$. Then

$$
n l_{r}(f) \geq \frac{1}{2^{i}} \max _{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} n l_{r-i}\left(D_{a_{1}} D_{a_{2}} \ldots D_{a_{i}} f\right) .
$$

In particular, for $r=2$,

$$
n l_{2}(f) \geq \frac{1}{2} \max _{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} n l\left(D_{a} f\right)
$$

If some lower bound on $n l\left(D_{a} f\right)$ is known for all $a$, then we have the following corollary.
Corollary 1 ([9], Corollary 2) Let $f$ be any n-variable function and $r$ a positive integer smaller than $n$. Assume that, for some nonnegative integers $M$ and $m$, we have $n l_{r-1}\left(D_{a} f\right) \geq 2^{n-1}-M 2^{m}$ for every nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
n l_{r}(f) & \geq 2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(2^{n}-1\right) M 2^{m+1}+2^{n}} \\
& \approx 2^{n-1}-\sqrt{M} 2^{\frac{n+m-1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Propositions 1 and Corollary 1 are applicable for computation of the lower bounds of the second order nonlinearities of cubic Boolean functions. This is due to the fact that any first derivative of a cubic Boolean function has algebraic degree at most 2 and the Walsh spectrum of a quadratic Boolean function (degree 2 Boolean function) is completely characterized by the dimension of the kernel of the bilinear form associated with it.

### 2.2 Quadratic Boolean functions

Suppose $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is a quadratic function. The bilinear form associated with $f$ is defined by $B(x, y)=f(0)+f(x)+f(y)+f(x+y)$. The kernel $[4,27]$ of $B(x, y)$ is the subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{f}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}: B(x, y)=0 \text { for all } y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 1 ([4], Proposition 1) Let $V$ be a vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of characteristic 2 and $Q: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a quadratic form. Then the dimension of $V$ and the dimension of the kernel of $Q$ have the same parity.

Lemma 2 ([4], Lemma 1) Let $f$ be any quadratic Boolean function. The kernel, $\mathcal{E}_{f}$, is the subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ consisting of those a such that the derivative $D_{a} f$ is constant. That is,

$$
\mathcal{E}_{f}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}: D_{a} f=\text { constant }\right\} .
$$

The Walsh spectrum of any quadratic function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is given below.
Lemma $3([4,27])$ If $f: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$ is a quadratic Boolean function and $B(x, y)$ is the quadratic form associated with it, then the Walsh Spectrum of $f$ depends only on the dimension, $k$, of the kernel, $\mathcal{E}_{f}$, of $B(x, y)$. The weight distribution of the Walsh spectrum of $f$ is:

| $W_{f}(\alpha)$ | number of $\alpha$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $2^{n}-2^{n-k}$ |
| $2^{(n+k) / 2}$ | $2^{n-k-1}+(-1)^{f(0)} 2^{(n-k-2) / 2}$ |
| $-2^{(n+k) / 2}$ | $2^{n-k-1}-(-1)^{f(0)} 2^{(n-k-2) / 2}$ |

### 2.3 Linearized polynomials

Suppose $q$ denotes a prime power.
Definition 5 ([26]) A polynomial of the form

$$
L(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_{i} x^{q^{i}}
$$

with the coefficients in an extension field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is said to be a linearized polynomial (q-polynomial) over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$.

Below we list some properties of linearized polynomials and its zeroes [26].

1. The zeroes of $L(x)$ lie in some extension field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{s}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ for some $s \geq m$. The zeroes form a $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{s}}$.
2. Each zero of $L(x)$ has the same multicipility which is either 1 or a power of $q$.
3. Suppose $L(x)$ be a linearized polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ and $F=\mathbb{F}_{q^{s}}$ is an extension field of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$. The map $L: \beta \in F \mapsto L(\beta) \in F$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-linear operator on $F$.

The following lemma is useful in our proofs.
Lemma $4([2])$ Let $g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{v} r_{i} x^{2^{s i}}$ be a linearized polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(n, s)=$ 1. Then the equation $g(x)=0$ has at most $2^{v}$ solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

## 3 Cubic monomial Boolean functions

The function $f_{\mu} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ given by

$$
f_{\mu}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right)
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $i, j$ are positive integers such that $i>j$, is a cubic monomial Boolean function.

Theorem 1 Suppose $\phi_{\mu} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ defined as $\phi_{\mu}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{r}+2^{s}+2^{t}}\right)$, where $r, s, t$ are integers such that $r>s>t \geq 0$ and $r-t=i, s-t=j$. Then $\phi_{\mu}$ and $f_{\mu}$ are affinely equivalent Boolean functions.

Proof :The functions $\phi_{\mu}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{r}+2^{s}+2^{t}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{t}\left(2^{r-t}+2^{s-t}+1\right)}\right)$. Since the mapping $T: x \rightarrow x^{2^{n-t}}$ is a linear transformation from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ to itself. It follows that $\phi_{\mu}(x)$ and $\phi_{\mu}(T(x))=\phi_{\mu}\left(x^{2^{n-t}}\right)$ are affinely equivalent functions. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mu}(T(x)) & =\phi_{\mu}\left(x^{2^{n-t}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{n-t}}\right)^{2^{t}\left(2^{r-t}+2^{s-t}+1\right)}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{n-t+t}\left(2^{r-t}+2^{s-t}+1\right)}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{r-t}+2^{s-t}+1}\right) \\
& =f_{\mu}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $f_{\mu}$ and $\phi_{\mu}$ are affinely equivalent Boolean functions.
Theorem 2 The function $f_{\mu}$ posses no affine derivative if $n \neq i+j$ or $n \neq 2 i-j$, where $i>j$.

Proof :Derivative, $D_{a} f_{\mu}$, of $f_{\mu}$ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{a} f_{\mu}(x)= & f_{\mu}(x+a)+f_{\mu}(x) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu(x+a)^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu \left(x^{2^{i}+2^{j}} a+x^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}+1} a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{j}+1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+x^{2^{j}} a^{2^{i}+1}+x a^{2^{i}+2^{j}}+a^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The degree two part of the above equation is $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu a x^{2^{i}+2^{j}}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu a^{2 j} x^{1+2^{i}}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu a^{2^{i}} x^{1+2^{j}}\right)$. If $n \neq 2 i-j$ then $2^{i}+2^{j}$ and $2^{i}+1$ are not in the same cyclotomic coset. If $n \neq i+j$ then $2^{i}+1$ and $2^{j}+1$ are not in the same cyclotomic coset. Therefore if either of the above two conditions are satisfied then the derivative $D_{a} f_{\mu}$ is not affine for any value of $a \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$.

Theorem 3 The lower bound of the second order nonlinearity of $f_{\mu}$ for $n>2 i$ is given as

$$
n l_{2}\left(f_{\mu}\right) \geq \begin{cases}2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{3 n+2 i-4}{}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2, \\ 2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{3 n+2 i-5}{4}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof :Derivative, $D_{a} f_{\mu}$, of $f_{\mu}$ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{a} f_{\mu}(x)= & f_{\mu}(x+a)+f_{\mu}(x) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu(x+a)^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu \left(x^{2^{i}+2^{j}} a+x^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}+1} a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{j}+1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+x^{2^{j}} a^{2^{i}+1}+x a^{2^{i}+2^{j}}+a^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is known from Theorem 2 that $D_{a} f_{\mu}$ is quadratic for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ as $n>2 i$. Walsh spectrum of $D_{a} f_{\mu}$ is equivalent to the Walsh spectrum of following function

$$
h_{\mu}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{i}+2^{j}} a+x^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}+1} a^{2^{i}}\right)\right) .
$$

Let $B(x, y)$ be the bilinear form associated with $h_{\mu}, \mathcal{E}_{f}$ the kernel of $B(x, y)$, defined as

$$
\mathcal{E}_{f}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}: B(x, y)=0 \text { for all } y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(x, y)=h_{\mu}(0)+h_{\mu}(x)+h_{\mu}(y)+h_{\mu}(x+y) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{i}+2^{j}} a+x^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}+1} a^{2^{i}}\right)\right) \\
& +r_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(y^{2^{i}+2^{j}} a+y^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{j}}+y^{2^{j}+1} a^{2^{i}}\right)\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left((x+y)^{2^{i}+2^{j}} a+(x+y)^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{j}}+(x+y)^{2^{j}+1} a^{2^{i}}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(\left(x a^{2^{j}}+x^{2 j} a\right) y^{2^{i}}+\left(x a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} a\right) y^{2 j}+\left(x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}} a^{2^{i}}\right) y\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}} a\right) y^{2^{i}}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} a\right) y^{2^{j}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}} a^{2^{i}}\right) y\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}} a\right) y^{2^{i}}\right)^{2^{n-i}}+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} a\right) y^{2^{j}}\right)^{2^{n-j}} \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}} a^{2^{i}}\right) y\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu^{2^{n-i}}\left(x^{2^{n-i}} a^{2^{n-i+j}}+x^{2^{n-i+j}} a^{2^{n-i}}\right) y^{2^{n}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu^{2^{n-j}}\left(x^{2^{n-j}} a^{2^{n+i-j}}+x^{2^{n+i-j}} a^{2^{n-j}}\right) y^{2^{n}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{j}}+x^{2^{j}} a^{2^{i}}\right) y\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(y \left(x^{2^{i}} a^{2 j} \mu+x^{2^{j}} a^{2^{i}} \mu+x^{2^{i-j}} a^{2^{-j}} \mu^{2^{-j}}+x^{2^{-j}} a^{2^{i-j}} \mu^{2^{-j}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+x^{2^{-i}} a^{2^{j-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}+x^{2^{j-i}} a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(y P_{(\mu, a)}(x)\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{E}_{f}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}: P_{(\mu, a)}(x)=0\right\} .
$$

The number of elements in the kernel $\mathcal{E}_{f}$ is equal to the number of zeroes of $P_{(\mu, a)}(x)$, or equivalently to the number of zeroes of $\left(P_{(\mu, a)}(x)\right)^{2^{i}}$. Let $\left(P_{(\mu, a)}(x)\right)^{2^{i}}=L_{(\mu, a)}(x)$.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{(\mu, a)}(x)= & \left(x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{j}} \mu+x^{2^{j}} a^{2^{i}} \mu+x^{2^{i-j}} a^{2^{-j}} \mu^{2^{-j}}\right. \\
& \left.x^{2^{-j}} a^{2^{2-j}} \mu^{2^{-j}}+x^{2 j^{j-i}} a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}+x^{2^{-i}} a^{2^{j-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}\right)^{2^{i}} \\
= & x^{2^{2 i}} a^{2^{i+j}} \mu^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i+j}} a^{2^{i}} \mu^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{2-j}} a^{2^{i-j}} \mu^{2^{i-j}} \\
& +x^{2^{-j}} a^{2^{2 i-j}} \mu^{2^{i-j}}+x^{2^{j}} a \mu+x a^{2^{j}} \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

$L_{(\mu, a)}(x)$ is a linearized polynomial in $x$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(L_{(\mu, a)}(x)\right) \leq 2^{2 i}$.
Let $k$ the dimension of $\mathcal{E}_{g}$. By Lemma $1, k \leq 2 i$ for $n$ even and $k \leq 2 i-1$ for $n$ odd. These upper bounds of $k$ are non-trivial as $n>2 i$. Thus, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$

$$
W_{D_{a} f_{\mu}}(\lambda) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2^{\frac{n+2 i}{2}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 & \bmod 2, \\
2^{\frac{n+2 i-1}{2}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since

$$
n l\left(D_{a} f_{\mu}\right)=2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \max _{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}\left|W_{D_{a} f_{\mu}}(\lambda)\right|,
$$

We obtain

$$
n l\left(D_{a} f_{\mu}\right) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} 2^{\frac{n+2 i}{2}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 & \bmod 2  \tag{1}\\
2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} 2^{\frac{n+2 i-1}{2}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 & \bmod 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$.
Comparing the inequality (1) and Corollary 1 , we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
M=1 \text { and } m=\frac{n+2 i-2}{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 & \bmod 2, \\
M=1 \text { and } m=\frac{n+2 i-3}{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 & \bmod 2 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

So Corollary 1 gives,

- For even $n$

$$
\begin{align*}
n l_{2}\left(f_{\mu}\right) \geq & 2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(2^{n}-1\right) 2^{\frac{n+2 i}{2}}+2^{n}} \\
& \approx 2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{3 n+2 i-4}{4}} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

- For odd $n$

$$
\begin{align*}
n l_{2}\left(f_{\mu}\right) \geq & 2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(2^{n}-1\right) 2^{\frac{n+2 i-1}{2}}+2^{n}} \\
& \approx 2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{3 n+2 i-5}{4}} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 4 Suppose $g_{\mu}$ be defined as

$$
g_{\mu}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{2 i}+2^{i}+1}\right)
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $i$ a positive integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}(n, i)=1$. Then for $n>4$

$$
n l_{2}\left(g_{\mu}\right) \geq \begin{cases}2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{3 n}{4}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2, \\ 2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{3 n-1}{4}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2\end{cases}
$$

Proof :Derivative, $D_{a} g_{\mu}$, of $g_{\mu}$ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{a} g_{\mu}(x)= & g_{\mu}(x+a)+g_{\mu}(x) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu(x+a)^{2^{2 i}+2^{i}+1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{2 i}+2^{i}+1}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu \left(x^{2^{2 i}+2^{i}} a+x^{2 i}+1\right.\right. \\
2^{2} & +x^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{2 i}}+x^{2^{2 i}} a^{2^{i}+1} \\
& \left.\left.+x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{2 i}+1}+x a^{2 i}+2^{i}+a^{2^{2 i}+2^{i}+1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$D_{a} g_{\mu}$ is quadratic for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ if $n \neq 3 i$, from the conditions stated in this Theorem we observe that $D_{a} g_{\mu}$ is always quadratic.
Walsh spectrum of $D_{a} g_{\mu}$ is equivalent to the Walsh spectrum of following function

$$
h_{\mu}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{2 i}+2^{i}} a+x^{2^{2 i}+1} a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{2 i}}\right)\right) .
$$

Let $B(x, y)$ be the bilinear form associated with $h_{\mu}, \mathcal{E}_{g}$ the kernel of $B(x, y)$ and $k$ the dimension of $\mathcal{E}_{g}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_{g}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}: B(x, y)=0 \text { for all } y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\} . \\
B(x, y)= & h_{\mu}(0)+h_{\mu}(x)+h_{\mu}(y)+h_{\mu}(x+y) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{2 i}+2^{i}} a+x^{2^{2 i}+1} a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{2 i}}\right)\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(y^{2^{2 i}+2^{i}} a+y^{2^{2 i}+1} a^{2^{i}}+y^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{2 i}}\right)\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left((x+y)^{2^{2 i}+2^{i}} a+(x+y)^{2^{2 i}+1} a^{2^{i}}+(x+y)^{2^{i}+1} a^{2^{2 i}}\right)\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu \left(\left(x a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} a\right) y^{2^{2 i}}+\left(x a^{2^{2 i}}+x^{2^{2 i}} a\right) y^{2^{i}}\right.\right. \\
& +\left(x^{\left.\left.\left.2^{2 i} a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{2 i}}\right) y\right)\right)}=\right. \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} a\right) y^{2^{2 i}} 2^{2^{n-2 i}}+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x a^{2^{2 i}}+x^{2^{2 i}} a\right) y^{2^{i}}\right)^{2^{n-i}}\right. \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{2 i}} a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} a^{2^{2 i}}\right) y\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu^{2^{n-2 i}}\left(x^{2^{n-2 i}} a^{2^{n-i}}+x^{2^{n-i}} a^{2^{n-2 i}}\right) y^{2^{n}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu^{2^{n-i}}\left(x^{2^{n-i}} a^{2^{n+i}}+x^{2^{n+i}} a^{2^{n-i}}\right) y^{2^{n}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu\left(x^{2^{2 i}} a^{2^{i}}+x^{2^{i}} 2^{2^{2 i}}\right) y\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(y \left(x^{2^{2 i}} a^{2^{i}} \mu+x^{2^{i}}\left(a^{2^{2 i}} \mu+a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+x^{2^{-i}}\left(a^{2^{i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}+a^{2^{-2 i}} \mu^{2^{-2 i}}\right)+x^{2^{-2 i}} a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-2 i}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}: P_{(\mu, a)}(x)=0\right\} .
$$

The number of elements in the kernel $\mathcal{E}_{g}$ is equal to the number of zeroes of $\left(P_{(\mu, a)}(x)\right)$ or we can say to the number of zeroes of $\left(P_{(\mu, a)}(x)\right)^{2^{2 i}}$. Let us denote $\left(P_{(\mu, a)}(x)\right)^{2^{2 i}}$ by $L_{(\mu, a)}(x)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{(\mu, a)}(x)= & \left(x^{2^{2 i}} a^{2^{i}} \mu+x^{2^{i}}\left(a^{2^{2 i}} \mu+a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+x^{2^{-i}}\left(a^{2^{i}} \mu^{2^{-i}}+a^{2^{-2 i}} \mu^{2^{-2 i}}\right)+x^{2^{-2 i}} a^{2^{-i}} \mu^{2^{-2 i}}\right)^{2^{2 i}} \\
= & x^{4^{4 i}} a^{2^{3 i}} \mu^{2^{2 i}}+x^{2^{3 i}}\left(a^{2^{4 i}} \mu^{2^{2 i}}+a^{2^{i}} \mu^{2^{i}}\right) \\
& +x^{2^{i}}\left(a^{3^{3 i}} \mu^{2^{i}}+a \mu\right)+x a^{2^{i}} \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly the maximum degree of $L_{(\mu, a)}(x)$ considered as a linearized polynomial in $x$ is $2^{4 i}$. By Lemma 4 we get that $L_{(\mu, a)}(x)$ can have at most $2^{4}$ zeroes in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. So $k \leq 4$ for even $n$ and $k \leq 3$ for odd $n$. Thus, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$

$$
W_{D_{a} g_{\mu}}(\lambda) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2^{\frac{n+4}{2}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 & \bmod 2, \\
2^{\frac{n+3}{2}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

So for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$

$$
n l\left(D_{a} g_{\mu}\right) \geq \begin{cases}2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} 2^{\frac{n+4}{2}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0  \tag{4}\\ 2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} 2^{\frac{n+3}{2}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2\end{cases}
$$

Comparing the inequality (4) and Corollary 1 , we obtain

$$
\begin{cases}M=1 \text { and } m=\frac{n+2}{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \\ M=1 \text { and } m=\frac{n+1}{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2 .\end{cases}
$$

By Corollary 1,

- For even $n$

$$
\begin{align*}
n l_{2}\left(g_{\mu}\right) \geq & 2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(2^{n}-1\right) 2^{\frac{n+4}{2}}+2^{n}} \\
& \approx 2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{3 n}{4}} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

- For odd $n$

$$
\begin{align*}
n l_{2}\left(g_{\mu}\right) \geq & 2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(2^{n}-1\right) 2^{\frac{n+3}{2}}+2^{n}} \\
& \approx 2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{3 n-1}{4}} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Comparisons

- It is proved in [9] that, in general, the second order nonlinearities of $n$-variable cubic Boolean functions which do not have any affine derivative is bounded below by $2^{n-1}-2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}$. Substracting this bound from those deduced in inequalities (2) and (3) respectively, we obtain

$$
\begin{cases}2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}\left(1-2^{\frac{-n+2 i+2}{4}}\right)>0, & \text { if } n \text { is even and } n>2 i+2, \\ 2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}\left(1-2^{\frac{-n+2 i+1}{4}}\right)>0, & \text { if } n \text { is odd and } n>2 i+1 .\end{cases}
$$

- Again substracting the general lower bound $2^{n-1}-2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}$ given in [9] from the lower bounds obtained in inequalities (5) and (6) respectively, we get

$$
\begin{cases}2^{\frac{3 n}{4}}\left(2^{\frac{n-6}{4}}-1\right)>0, & \text { if } n \text { is even and } n>6, \\ 2^{\frac{3 n}{4}}\left(2^{\frac{n-5}{4}}-1\right)>0, & \text { if } n \text { is odd and } n>5 .\end{cases}
$$

Therefore the bounds deduced in this paper are larger than those obtained in [9] when $n$ is not too small.

In the following tables we indicate for $5 \leq n \leq 20$ the values of lower bounds given by Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, compared with the values of general bound obtained in [9] for cubic functions which have no affine derivative.

For $n$ odd
The values of the lower bound by Theorem 3

|  | $n=5$ | $n=7$ | $n=9$ | $n=11$ | $n=13$ | $n=15$ | $n=17$ | $n=19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i=2$ | 5 | 32 | 166 | 768 | 3372 | 14336 | 59744 | 245760 |
| $i=3$ | 0 | 19 | 128 | 662 | 3072 | 13488 | 57344 | 238974 |
| $i=4$ | - | 0 | 75 | 512 | 2648 | 12288 | 53951 | 229376 |
| $i=5$ | - | - | 0 | 300 | 2048 | 10592 | 49152 | 215803 |
| $i=6$ | - | - | - | 0 | 1200 | 8192 | 42366 | 196608 |
| $i=7$ | - | - | - | - | 0 | 4799 | 32768 | 169462 |
| $i=8$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 19195 | 131072 |
| $i=9$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 76781 |


|  | $n=5$ | $n=7$ | $n=9$ | $n=11$ | $n=13$ | $n=15$ | $n=17$ | $n=19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theorem 4 | 5 | 32 | 166 | 768 | 3372 | 14336 | 59744 | 245760 |
| Carlet [9] | 5 | 19 | 75 | 300 | 1200 | 4799 | 19195 | 76781 |

For $n$ even
The values of the lower bound by Theorem 3

|  | $n=6$ | $n=8$ | $n=10$ | $n=12$ | $n=14$ | $n=16$ | $n=18$ | $n=20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i=2$ | 10 | 64 | 331 | 1536 | 6744 | 28672 | 119487 | 491520 |
| $i=3$ | 0 | 38 | 256 | 1324 | 6144 | 26976 | 114688 | 477947 |
| $i=4$ | - | 0 | 150 | 1024 | 5296 | 24576 | 107902 | 458752 |
| $i=5$ | - | - | 0 | 600 | 4096 | 21183 | 98304 | 431606 |
| $i=6$ | - | - | - | 0 | 2400 | 16384 | 84731 | 393216 |
| $i=7$ | - | - | - | - | 0 | 9598 | 65536 | 338925 |
| $i=8$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 38390 | 262144 |
| $i=9$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 153560 |


|  | $n=6$ | $n=8$ | $n=10$ | $n=12$ | $n=14$ | $n=16$ | $n=18$ | $n=20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theorem 4 | 10 | 64 | 331 | 1536 | 6744 | 28672 | 119487 | 491520 |
| Carlet [9] | 10 | 38 | 150 | 600 | 2400 | 9598 | 38390 | 153560 |

It is to be noted that for values of $n$ smaller than $2 i$ the bound of Theorem 3 gives negative numbers.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper we deduced lower bounds on the second order nonlinearity of a subclass of cubic monomial Boolean functions which have no affine derivative. Our bounds are better than previously known general bound when $n$ is not too small. Our results give the information about the choice of $i$ such that the functions of form $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\mu x^{2^{i}+2^{j}+1}\right)$ show good behaviour with respect to second order nonlinearity. We expect that these results will be useful in chosing cryptographically significant Boolean functions. It leaves an open problem to identify more classes of Boolean functions and investigate their second order nonlinearities.
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