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#### Abstract

The paper provides a cryptanalysis the $A A_{\beta}$-cryptosystem recently proposed by Ariffin and Abu. The scheme is in essence a key agreement scheme whose security is based on a discrete logarithm problem in the infinite (additive) group $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ (the reals modulo 1 ). The paper breaks the $A A_{\beta}$-cryptosystem (in a passive adversary model) by showing that this discrete logarithm problem can be efficiently solved in practice.


## 1 Introduction

Let $x, y \in[0,1)$ be real numbers with the property that the fractional part of $n x$ is equal to $y$ for some integer $n$. We write $n x=y \bmod 1$ to express this relationship. The discrete logarithm problem modulo 1 is defined to be the problem of finding $n$ when $x$ and $y$ are given. (So the discrete logarithm problem modulo 1 is just the standard discrete logarithm problem in the additive group $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$.)

Ariffin and Abu [1] have recently described a 'chaos-based' public key cryptosystem which they call the $A A_{\beta}$ cryptosystem. It turns out that the
security of their scheme is based on the difficulty of the discrete logarithm problem modulo 1. This short note shows how to solve this discrete logarithm problem efficiently in practice, and so the Ariffin-Abu cryptosystem is insecure.

We provide a description of the Ariffin-Abu cryptosystem (which is in essence a key agreement scheme) in Section 2. Our description is rather different from the description in [1], but our approach makes it clear that the scheme's security depends on the discrete logarithm problem modulo 1. In Section 3 we show how to solve this discrete logarithm problem, thus breaking the scheme. (We derive the shared key in polynomial time, even in a passive adversary model.) We provide a short conclusion in Section 4.

We will use some standard material from cryptography and number theory without comment. In particular, we assume knowledge of Diffie-Hellmann key agreement (see, for example, Stinson [3]), and we assume some standard material on convergents and congruences (see, for example, Hardy and Wright [2]).

## 2 The $A A_{\beta}$-cryptosystem

This section contains a brief description of the $A A_{\beta}$-Cryptosystem. Just as in Diffie-Hellman key agreement, two parties, $A$ and $B$, aim to interact to produce a common shared key. This shared key can then be used with any symmetric cryptosystem to encrypt and decrypt messages between the two parties.

Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be public integers. Let $x \in[0,1)$ be a public real number. Let integer matrices $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ be defined by

$$
A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \alpha \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { and } A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\beta & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Stage 1: $A$ calculates a private integer $n_{A}$, as follows. She chooses a random $k$ bit binary string $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{k}$. She then calculates the integer matrix $A_{b_{k}} A_{b_{k-1}} \cdots A_{b_{1}}$, and sets $n_{A}$ to be the top left entry of the resulting matrix.
$B$ calculates an integer $n_{B}$ in exactly the same way, by choosing his own $k$-bit binary string.

Stage 2: Recall that $x \in[0,1)$ is a public real number. $A$ calculates $r_{A}=$ $n_{A} x \bmod 1$, and sends $r_{A}$ to $B$. Similarly $B$ sends $r_{B}=n_{B} x \bmod 1$ to $A$.

Stage 3: The shared key is $n_{A} n_{B} x \bmod 1$. Party $A$ derives the shared key by calculating $n_{A} r_{B} \bmod 1$, and Party B derives the same key by calculating $n_{B} r_{A} \bmod 1$. The shared key can then be used with any symmetric cryptosystem to send encrypted messages between $A$ and $B$.

A numerical example taken from [1] is as follows. Let $\alpha=2, \beta=3$ and $x=0.78217087686061859 \ldots$. Party $A$ chooses the binary string 10110011, and calculates the matrix product

$$
A_{1} A_{1} A_{0} A_{0} A_{1} A_{1} A_{0} A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2415 & 533 \\
734 & 162
\end{array}\right)
$$

So $n_{A}=2415$. Party $A$ calculates $r_{A}=0.94266761839389801 \ldots$ and sends this value to $B$.

Similarly, Party $B$ chooses the binary string 10110111. $B$ then calculates $n_{B}=3725$ and $r_{B}=0.58651630580425262 \ldots$, and sends $r_{B}$ to $A$.

The shared key $n_{A} n_{B} x \bmod 1$ is $0.436878317270082 \ldots$..
We end this section with some comments.

- The Ariffin-Abu scheme is essentially a Diffie-Hellman key agreement scheme, but with exponentiation in a discrete group replaced by a multiplication operation in the reals modulo 1.
- In practice (as Ariffin and Abu point out) since real numbers cannot be represented on a computer we would work with approximations in some way (but the approximation method is immaterial to our analysis).
- If we can efficiently solve the discrete logarithm problem modulo 1 , the scheme is clearly insecure. For an eavesdropper who sees $r_{A}$ and $r_{B}$ can solve the discrete logarithm problem $n_{A} x=r_{A} \bmod 1$ to find $n_{A}$, and can then calculate the shared key $n_{A} r_{B} \bmod 1$.


## 3 Solving the discrete logarithm problem

This section shows how to solve the discrete logarithm problem modulo 1. For the remainder of this section, we write DLP for the discrete logarithm problem modulo 1. So there exist real numbers $x, y \in[0,1)$ and an integer $n$ with $n x=y \bmod 1$. We are given $x$ and $y$, and the DLP asks us to calculate $n$
(or any other integer $\bar{n}$ with $\bar{n} x=y \bmod 1$ ). Suppose $n$ is a $\kappa$-bit integer. We aim to give an algorithm for the DLP that is polynomial in $\kappa$ (of low degree).

When $y=0$ we may choose $n=0$ as our solution, so we may assume that $y \neq 0$. In particular, this assumption implies that $x$ cannot be written in the form $p / n$ for some integer $p$ (for then $n x=0 \bmod 1$ and so $y=0$ ).

We may assume that $n$ is positive. To see this note that when $n$ is negative the equation $-n x=(1-y)$ mod 1 may be solved to recover $-n$, provided we can solve the DLP for positive integers.

Our algorithm for the DLP for $n$ positive is as follows:

Input: real numbers $x, y$.
If $y=0$, output $n=0$ and halt. Compute convergents to $x$, using a continued fraction algorithm.
For each convergent $a / b$ :
Compute $a^{\prime}$, the nearest integer to by.
Compute the unique integer $n^{\prime}$ with $n^{\prime}=a^{\prime} a^{-1} \bmod b$ and $0 \leq n^{\prime}<b$.
If $n^{\prime} x=y \bmod 1$, output $n=n^{\prime}$ and halt.
Otherwise try the next convergent.

Consider, for example, the DLP $y=r_{A}=n_{A} x \bmod 1$ from the previous section. We compute the convergents to $x=0.78217087686061859 \ldots$ as:

$$
0,1, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{7}{9}, \frac{18}{23}, \frac{61}{78}, \frac{79}{101}, \frac{1009}{1290}, \frac{1088}{1391}, \frac{2097}{2681}, \frac{5282}{6753}, \ldots .
$$

Once we have computed the convergent $a / b$ with $a=2097$ and $b=2681$, we can recover $n=n_{A}$ : by rounding $b y=b \cdot 0.94266761839389801 \ldots$ to the nearest integer, we find that $a^{\prime}=2527$; we then solve $n^{\prime}=2527 \cdot 2097^{-1} \bmod$ 2681, and find that $n^{\prime}=2415=n_{A}$, as required. (Similarly, we can recover $n_{B}=3725$ by using the convergent $5282 / 6753$ for $x$, the value $y=r_{B}$ and calculating $a^{\prime}=3961$.)

We now justify why our algorithm is polynomial time.
Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ given by $f(s)=n s \bmod 1$. The map $f$ is piecewise linear, with points of discontinuity at points $s=p / n$ for integers $p$. When
$I \subseteq[0,1)$ is any interval not containing a point of discontinuity, there exists a fixed integer $m$ such that $f(s)=n s-m$ for all $s \in I$.

We first observe that after generating $O(\kappa)$ convergents, we have computed a rational approximation $a / b$ to $x$ such that $b>n$, such that $\mid x-$ $(a / b) \mid<1 /\left(2 n^{2}\right)$, and such that $x$ and $a / b$ are not separated by a point of discontinuity of $f$. To see this, first note that convergents $a / b$ to $x$ have the property that $|x-(a / b)|<1 / b^{2}$. Since the denominators in the convergents $a / b$ for any real number grow exponentially, after generating $O(\kappa)$ convergents we find one such that $b>n$ and $|x-(a / b)|<1 /\left(2 n^{2}\right)$. It is possible (but rather unlikely) that $x$ and $a / b$ lie on opposite sides of a point of discontinuity. However, in this case the next convergent will have all the properties we need since the sign of $x-(a / b)$ alternates as we generate convergents, and since the points of discontinuity are at distance $1 / n$. Since each convergent can be generated in polynomial time, we generate a convergent with the properties we need in polynomial time.

We next show that $f(a / b)=a^{\prime} / b$. We write $a / b=x+\epsilon$, where $|\epsilon|<$ $1 /\left(2 n^{2}\right)$. Since $a / b$ and $x$ are not separated by a point of discontinuity, there exists an integer $m$ such that $f(x)=n x-m$ and $f(a / b)=(n a / b)-m$. But now

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a / b) & =(n a / b)-m \\
& =n(x+\epsilon)-m \\
& =n x-m+n \epsilon \\
& =f(x)+n \epsilon \\
& =y+n \epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
|b f(a / b)-b y| \leq b n|\epsilon|<b n /\left(2 b^{2}\right)<1 / 2 .
$$

Since $f(a / b)$ can be written as a rational number with denominator equal to $b$, we have that $b f(a / b)$ is an integer, and so the inequality above shows that $b f(a / b)$ is the nearest integer $a^{\prime}$ to by. Thus $f(a / b)=a^{\prime} / b$.

The equality $f(a / b)=a^{\prime} / b$ can be written as $n(a / b)-m=a^{\prime} / b$ (where $m$ is the integer defined above). Hence $n a=b m+a^{\prime}$ and so $n a=a^{\prime} \bmod b$. Since $a / b$ is a convergent $a$ and $b$ are coprime, and so we may divide by $a$ to produce the equation $n=a^{\prime} a^{-1} \bmod b$. The integer $n^{\prime}$ computed by our algorithm therefore satisfies $n=n^{\prime} \bmod b$. But since $n<b$ and $n^{\prime}<b$, no modular reduction has taken place and $n=n^{\prime}$, as required.

We have now shown our algorithm is polynomial time. We end this section with a few comments on possible modifications of the algorithm.

- In the cryptanalysis in the section above, we know a realistic upper bound $N$ on $n$. So $0<n \leq N$, where $N$ is known. In this application, we could modify our algorithm to first compute the convergents $a / b$ to $x$ off-line, storing the first two convergents $a / b$ such that $b>\sqrt{2} N$. Once we receive $y$, we only need to consider these two convergents: one of them will return the correct value for $n$.
- There are other possibilities for constructing sequences of rational approximations $a / b$ to $x$, that might be useful instead of convergents in some circumstances. For example, we could construct the $i$ th such approximation by setting $b=2^{i}$ and then setting $a=\lfloor x b\rfloor$ when $i$ is odd, and $a=\lceil x b\rceil$ when $i$ is even. (Note that our condition $|x-(a / b)|<1 / 2 n^{2}$ for correctly deriving $n$ means that the denominators of our rational approximations would in general become much larger than when using convergents.) In practical situations, it is quite possible that $x$ is represented on a computer by some rational approximation: in this case, it makes sense to use this rational approximation rather than computing convergents!


## 4 Conclusion

We have shown that a cryptosystem due to Ariffin and Abu [1] is insecure, by solving the discrete logarithm problem modulo 1 . This cryptanalysis is a good illustration of the author's belief that using chaotic systems for cryptography is a bad idea: chaos implies some notion of points being 'close' or not, and this notion of distance can be exploited by the cryptanalyst.
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