

THE ANALYTICAL PROPERTY FOR $\zeta(s)$

WU SHENG-PING

ABSTRACT. In this article it's discussed that the analytic property of $\zeta(s)$.
The popular opinion is denied.

1. INTRODUCTION

$\zeta(s)$ [1] is defined (by Riemann) as:

$$2 \sin(is\pi)\Gamma(s)\zeta(s) = i \int_{C=C_1+C_2+C_3} (-t)^{s-1}/(e^t - 1)dt$$

$$C_1 = (-\infty, r]e^{2i\pi}, C_2 = re^{i\theta}, \theta = (2\pi, \pi], C_3 = (r, \infty), 0 < r < 2\pi$$

Most of people thinks this function is analytic except $s = 1$ [1]. There still another series for $\zeta(s)$ that 's called the second definition in this article.

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-s}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} n^{-s}, R(s) > 0$$

This expression is analytic except $s = 1$, If the Riemann's definition is also analytic they should be identical. In this article the analytic property in $R(s) = 1$ is discussed.

2. DISCUSSION

Theorem 2.1. *The second definition of $\zeta(s)$ has divergent derivation at the place near $s = 0$.*

Proof.

$$F_m(s) := \sum_{n=1}^m (-1)^{n+1} n^{-s}, R(s) > 0$$

Set $s \in (0, 1)$.

$$F'_m(s) = \sum_{n>0, 2|n+1}^{\infty} \ln(n)(sn^{-s-1} - n^{-s-2}s(s+1)\theta/2), 0 < \theta < 1$$

$$F'_m(s) > \frac{1}{2} \int_{n=3}^{m-2} \ln(n)n^{-s-1}sdn - \sum_{n>0, 2|n+1}^{\infty} \ln(n)n^{-s-2}s(s+1)\theta/2$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F'_m(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_3^{\infty} s \ln(x)x^{-s-1}dx - C$$

Date: May 20, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M06.

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta function, analytic, continuation.

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F'_m(s) > \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ln(3)}^{\infty} sxe^{-sx} dx - C$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F'_m(s) > \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ln(3)}^{\infty} \frac{sxe^{-sx} d(sx)}{s} - C$$

It's easy to find when $s \rightarrow 0$ this term approaches to infinity. \square

There is coming up sharp controversy, as is commonly known the $\zeta(s)$ doesn't has infinity derivation in near $s = 0$. But in this article the opinion inclines to find the fault of the Riemann's definition.

Definition 2.2.

$$g_t(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^{s-1}}{te^x - 1} dx, t \geq 1$$

$$G_t(s) = \int_C \frac{(-x)^{s-1}}{te^x - 1} dx, t \geq 1$$

C is defined in the section of Introduction.

Theorem 2.3.

$$g_t(s) = (-1)^{s-1} \Gamma(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{-n} n^{-s}$$

$$1 < R(s) < 2, s \neq 1, t \geq 1$$

$$g_t(s : R(s) = 1) := \lim_{s' \rightarrow s} g_t(s')$$

$g_t(s)$ is continuous at $1 \leq R(s) < 2, t \geq 1, s \neq 1$ if $g_1(s)$ continuous at $1 \leq R(s) < 2, s \neq 1$.

Proof. If not, the exist infinite point (s_i, t_i) in any neighboring set of $P = (s, t) = (1 + ai, 1), a \in \mathbf{R}$ meeting

$$\exists C > 0 \forall i (|g_{t_i}(s_i) - g_1(1 + ai)| > C)$$

but in some order of i

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|(s_i, t_i) - (s_i, 1)\| + \|(s_i, 1) - P\| \rightarrow 0$$

and $(s_i, t_i), (s_i, 1), P$ in the continuous domain. \square

Lemma 2.4. $\zeta(s), R(s) \leq 1$ is not the continuation of $\zeta(s), R(s) > 1$ at $R(s) = 1$ for Riemann's definition.

Proof.

$$1 < R(s) < 2, s \neq 1, t \in [1, 2)$$

$$s = 1 + \delta + ai, a \in \mathbf{R}$$

$$\lim_{t=e^{\delta} \rightarrow 1} (G_t(s) - 2 \sin(is\pi)g_t(s)/i)$$

$$= \lim_{t=e^{\delta} \rightarrow 1} \int_{C', r' \rightarrow \ln(t)} \frac{(-x)^{s-1}}{te^x - 1} dx$$

C' is circle with center in $-\ln(t)$ and radius r' except a gap between the intersections with $\arg(x) = 0, 2\pi$.

$$\lim_{t=e^{\delta} \rightarrow 1} |(G_t(s) - 2 \sin(is\pi)g_t(s)/i)| = 2 |\sinh(a\pi)/a|$$

If the Riemann's continuation is analytic at $R(s) = 1$, because $g_t(s)$ continuous at $1 \leq R(s) < 2, t \geq 1, s \neq 1$ if $g_1(s)$ continuous at $1 \leq R(s) < 2, s \neq 1$. And $G_t(s)$ is continuous at $0 < s < 2, t \geq 1$. It can be found easily:

$$\lim_{t=e^\delta \rightarrow 1} (G_t(s) - 2 \sin(is\pi)g_t(s)/i) = 0$$

That's not real obviously. \square

This opposes the classical calculation. The classical calculation try to analyse:

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta(\delta + ai) \\ \leftrightarrow & \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta' \rightarrow \delta} \int_C (-t)^{\delta' + ai} / (e^t - 1) dt, \delta' > 0, a \in \mathbf{R} \\ \leftrightarrow & \lim_{\delta' \rightarrow \delta} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{C_1 + C_3} (-t)^{\delta' + ai} / (e^t - 1) dt, \delta' > 0, a \in \mathbf{R} \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\int_r^\infty (-t)^{\delta' + ai} / (e^t - 1) dt$$

uniformly continuous at $1 < R(s) < 2, 0 < r < 1$, the difference of the two terms is

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta' \rightarrow \delta} \int_{C_2} (-t)^{\delta' + ai} / (e^t - 1) dt$$

As a fact, from the calculation of the second definition of $\zeta(s)$ the function

$$\int_0^\infty (-x)^{s-1} / (e^x - 1) dx$$

is uniformly continuous at $1 < R(s) < 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.C. Titchmarsh, The theory of the Riemann zeta function, Oxford University Press, 2nd ed, 1986.

STATE KEY LABORATORY OF SOFTWARE ENGINEERING, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, CHINA. POST-CODE: 430074

E-mail address: hiyaho@126.com