

THE ANALYTIC PROPERTY FOR RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

WU SHENG-PING

ABSTRACT. This article discusses the analytic property of Riemann zeta function. The popular opinion is denied.

1. INTRODUCTION

$\zeta(s)$ is originally

$$\zeta^*(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}, \Re(s) > 1$$

It is continued by Riemann as:

$$(1.1) \quad (1 - e^{i2\pi(s-1)})\Gamma(s)\zeta(s) = \int_{C=C_1+C_2+C_3} t^{s-1}/(e^t - 1)dt$$

$$C_1 = (-\infty, r]e^{2i\pi}, C_2 = re^{i\theta}, \theta = (2\pi, \pi], C_3 = (r, \infty), 0 < r < 2\pi$$

Most of people think this function is analytic except $s = 1$ [1]. There is still another series for $\zeta(s)$ that's called the second definition in this article.

$$(1.2) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-s}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} n^{-s}, \Re(s) > 0$$

This is a continuation of the original $\zeta(s)$. Someone deduced that

$$(1.3) \quad (1 - e^{i2\pi(s-1)})\Gamma(s)\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-s}} \int_C t^{s-1}/(e^t + 1)dt$$

This expression is thought identical to Riemann's definition. In this article the analytic property is discussed.

2. DISCUSSION

The opinion of this article inclines to find the fault of the popular theory of complex variables.

The first probe is on that the proposition for analytic function $f(x)$, the similarity of the middle value theorem, like

$$\exists z' \forall z (f(z) - f(0) = f'(z')z), |z'| \leq |z|, |z| < r$$

is invalid. Here is a counterexample

$$f(x) = (1 + x)^a, a \in \mathbf{R}$$

Date: Mar 29, 2013.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M06, Secondary 30B10 30B40.

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta function, analytic continuation, Cauchy integral formula.

If a is great it can be found a little $x_1, r : |x_1| < r$

$$\ln(f(x_1)) - \ln(f(\bar{x}_1)) = 2\pi i, f(x_1) = f(\bar{x}_1)$$

but the derivative zero is at

$$a(1+x)^{a-1} = 0$$

It's impossible on $|x| \leq 3r$.

The second probe is between the analytic property (Taylor expandable) and smooth property, the two properties are equivalent, instead of the equivalence between analytic and Cauchy-Riemann condition. The Cauchy's integral formula is sound.

Now it is critical to define the conception of Analytic as expandable to power series.

After the *analytic function* is defined as power series locally expandable, the Cauchy Integral Formula for *analytic function* is all right, hence the endpoints of the biggest analytic convergent radius stops at the non-analytic point.

3. CONCLUSION

The classical opinion thought Cauchy integral formula implies that first order complex derivable function is analytic. Its counterexample can be found through the solution of this second order differential equation

$$\Delta f(x, y) = 0$$

$f(x, y)$ is unnecessary to be smooth, and by Cauchy-Riemann formula, $f_y(x, y) + if_x(x, y)$ is obtained as a function derivable complexly (ie. Cauchy's analytic).

We will look into the zeta function, for the conservative definition of analytic the analytic convergent circle ends in singularity or infinity. we check the expansion of Cauchy's analytic function extending to the whole plane

$$\Gamma(s+2)\zeta(s+2) - 1/(s+1) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s+1}}{e^x - 1} dx - 1/(s+1), \Re(s) > -1$$

Considering the expansion in $s = 0$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\ln^i x x}{e^x - 1} dx - (-1)^i i! = \int_0^1 dx (\ln^i x + B_1 x \ln^i x + a B_2 x^2 \ln^i x / 2) - (-1)^i i!, |a| < C'$$

B_n is Bernoulli number

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^1 dx (B_1 x \ln^i x + c B_2 x^2 \ln^i x / 2) \\ &| \int_0^1 dx (B_1 x \ln^i x + c B_2 x^2 \ln^i x / 2) | \end{aligned}$$

As i is great enough

$$> C i! 2^{-i-1}$$

So that it has convergent radius less than 2, but in popular knowledge the radius should be infinity. More fatal is of this is the derivative near $a \pm i\delta$ is unusual

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-1} \Re \left(\int_1^\infty (x^{a \pm i\delta} - x^a) / (1 + e^x) dx \right) \\ &= - \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-1} \int_1^\infty 2 \sin^2(\pm \delta \ln x / 2) x^a / (1 + e^x) dx \end{aligned}$$

When δ is very little it is finite and not zero. The question is that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^x \frac{f(x, \delta) - f(x, 0)}{\delta} dx \neq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_1^x \frac{f(x, \delta) - f(x, 0)}{\delta} dx$$

for always. In fact the derivative function is not uniformly continuous.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.C. Titchmarsh, The theory of the Riemann zeta function, Oxford University Press, 2nd ed, 1986.
- [2] M. A. Lavrentieff, B. V. Shabat, Methods of functions of a complex variable, Russia, 2002

WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, CHINA.
E-mail address: hiyaho@126.com