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#### Abstract

A function $F$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself is planar if for any $a \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$ the function $F(x+a)-F(x)$ is a permutation. CCZ-equivalence is the most general known equivalence relation of functions preserving planar property. This paper considers two possible extensions of CCZ-equivalence for functions over fields of odd characteristics, one proposed by Coulter and Henderson and the other by Budaghyan and Carlet, and we show that they in fact coincide with CCZ-equivalence. We prove that, two finite commutative presemifields of odd order are isotopic if and only if they are strongly isotopic. This result implies that two isotopic commutative presemifields always define CCZ-equivalent planar functions (this was unknown for the general case). Further we prove that, for any odd prime $p$ and any positive integers $n$ and $m$, the indicators of the graphs of functions $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ are CCZ-equivalent if and only if $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are CCZ-equivalent. We also prove that, for any odd prime $p$, CCZ-equivalence of functions from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$, is strictly more general than EA-equivalence when $n \geq 3$ and $m$ is greater or equal to the smallest positive divisor of $n$ different from 1 .
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## 1 PN and APN functions

Let $p$ be any prime number and $n$ any positive integer. A function $F$ from the field $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself is called planar if all the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x+a)-F(x)=b, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}, a \neq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

have exactly one solution, that is, if for any non-zero element $a$ of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ the function $D_{a} F(x)=F(x+a)-F(x)$, called the derivative of $F$ in the direction of $a$, is a permutation. Planar functions were introduced in 1968 by Dembowski and Ostrom [18] in context of finite geometry to describe projective planes with specific properties. Since 1991 planar functions have attracted interest also from
cryptography as functions with optimal resistance to differential cryptanalysis. In this context they were first considered in the work of Nyberg [33] where they were given a new name "perfect nonlinear" (PN) which described their important cryptographic property of being as far as possible from being linear (in certain sense). However, it is obvious that planar or PN functions exist only for $p$ odd since if $p$ is even and $x_{0}$ is a solution of (1) then $x_{0}+a$ is a solution too, and the functions, whose derivatives $D_{a} F, a \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$, are 2-to- 1 mappings, possess the best possible resistance to differential cryptanalysis and are called almost perfect nonlinear (APN).

There are several equivalence relations of functions for which PN and APN properties are invariant. Due to these equivalence relations, having only one PN (or APN) function one can generate a huge class of PN (resp. APN) functions. The terminology for these equivalence relations was introduced in 2005 in [10] while the ideas behind this terminology go back to the works of Nyberg [34] and Carlet, Charpin and Zinoviev [13]. To continue we need first to recall the following definitions:

Definition 1. A function $F$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself is called

- linear if

$$
F(x)=\sum_{0 \leq i<n} a_{i} x^{p^{i}}, \quad a_{i} \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}
$$

- affine if $F$ is a sum of a linear function and a constant;
- Dembowski-Ostrom polynomial (DO polynomial) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq j<n} a_{k j} x^{p^{k}+p^{j}}, \quad a_{i j} \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- quadratic if it is a sum of a DO polynomial and an affine function.

Definitions for equivalences below are given for functions from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself. However they can be naturally extended to functions from $A$ to $B$ where $A$ and $B$ are arbitrary groups [10].
Definition 2. Two functions $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself are called

- affine equivalent (or linear equivalent) if $F^{\prime}=A_{1} \circ F \circ A_{2}$, where the mappings $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are affine (resp. linear) permutations of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$;
- extended affine equivalent (EA-equivalent) if $F^{\prime}=A_{1} \circ F \circ A_{2}+A$, where the mappings $A, A_{1}, A_{2}$ are affine, and where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are permutations of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$;
- Carlet-Charpin-Zinoviev equivalent (CCZ-equivalent) if for some affine permutation $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}^{2}$ the image of the graph of $F$ is the graph of $F^{\prime}$, that is, $\mathcal{L}\left(G_{F}\right)=G_{F^{\prime}}$ where $G_{F}=\left\{(x, F(x)) \mid x \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}\right\}$ and $G_{F^{\prime}}=$ $\left\{\left(x, F^{\prime}(x)\right) \mid x \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}\right\}$.

Although different these equivalence relations are connected to each other. It is obvious that linear equivalence is a particular case of affine equivalence, and that affine equivalence is a particular case of EA-equivalence. As shown in [13] EA-equivalence is a particular case of CCZ-equivalence and every permutation is CCZ-equivalent to its inverse. For quite a long time it was believed that CCZequivalence class of an arbitrary function $F$ can be completely described by means of EA-equivalence and of the inverses of permutations EA-equivalent to $F$. In $[6,10]$, it is proven to be false: CCZ-equivalence is much more general. As proven in [7], CCZ-equivalence is strictly more general than EA-equivalence for functions from $\mathbf{F}_{2^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{2^{m}}$ when $n \geq 5$ and $m$ is greater or equal to the smallest positive divisor of $n$ different from 1. In Section 6 of the present paper we prove a similar result for any odd prime $p$ : CCZ-equivalence of functions from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$, is strictly more general than EA-equivalence when $n \geq 3$ and $m$ is greater or equal to the smallest positive divisor of $n$ different from 1 .
However, there are particular cases of functions for which CCZ-equivalence can be reduced to EA-equivalence. For instance, CCZ-equivalence coincides with

- EA-equivalence for planar functions [11, 28];
- linear equivalence for DO planar functions [11];
- EA-equivalence for all functions whose derivatives are surjective [12];
- EA-equivalence for all Boolean functions [7];
- EA-equivalence for all vectorial bent functions with $p$ even [8].

It is useful to know cases where CCZ- and EA-equivalences coincide because in general it is very difficult to determine whether two functions are CCZ-equivalent or not while EA-equivalence is much simpler and has a nice invariant, algebraic degree of a function.

Nowadays, CCZ-equivalence is the most general known equivalence relation of functions preserving PN and APN properties and it is appealing to find a more general equivalence for which PN and APN properties are invariants. The most intriguing potential possibility for such generalization is connected with isotopisms of commutative presemifields and is discussed in Sections 2 and 4 of the present paper. Other attempts in this direction were made in [7, 23]. In [7] the first author and Carlet consider two functions $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ equivalent if the indicators of the graphs of $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are CCZ-equivalent. Recall that for a given function $F$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ the indicator $1_{G_{F}}$ of its graph $G_{F}$ is

$$
1_{G_{F}}(x, y)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \quad y=F(x) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

However, as proven in [7], for $p$ even that equivalence coincides with original CCZ-equivalence of functions, and we prove in Section 5 of this paper that it coincides with CCZ-equivalence for $p$ odd as well. In [23] Edel and Pott present
so-called "switching construction" which is proven to be an appropriate method for constructing APN functions. This approach can be used potentially for planar functions as well but it is not developed yet for this case. Basing on this construction they define an equivalence relation, called switching equivalence, over APN functions. But when considered over all functions switching equivalence does not preserve APN property, that is, if two functions are switching equivalent and one of them is APN the second is not necessarily APN.

## 2 Commutative presemifields and semifields

As shown in $[18,16]$ quadratic planar functions have important connection with commutative semifields. A ring with left and right distributivity and with no zero divisors is called a presemifield. A presemifield with a multiplicative identity is called a semifield. Any finite presemifield can be represented by $\mathbf{S}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \star\right)$, where $p$ is a prime, $n$ is a positive integer, $\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+\right)$ is the additive group of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ and $x \star y=\phi(x, y)$ with $\phi$ a function from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}^{2}$ onto $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$, see $[16,27]$. The prime $p$ is called the characteristic of $\mathbf{S}$. Any finite field is a semifield. A semifield which is not a field is called proper. The investigation of commutative semifields was launched by Dickson $[19,20]$ in 1906, shortly after the classification of finite fields, and the first family of proper commutative semifields was constructed by him in 1935.

Let $\mathbf{S}_{1}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \circ\right)$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \star\right)$ be two presemifields. They are called isotopic if there exist three linear permutations $L, M, N$ over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ such that

$$
L(x \circ y)=M(x) \star N(y),
$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$. The triple $(M, N, L)$ is called the isotopism between $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$. If $M=N$ then $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are called strongly isotopic.

Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a finite semifield. The subsets

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{l}(\mathbf{S}) & =\{\alpha \in \mathbf{S}:(\alpha \star x) \star y=\alpha \star(x \star y) \text { for all } x, y \in \mathbf{S}\}, \\
N_{m}(\mathbf{S}) & =\{\alpha \in \mathbf{S}:(x \star \alpha) \star y=x \star(\alpha \star y) \text { for all } x, y \in \mathbf{S}\}, \\
N_{r}(\mathbf{S}) & =\{\alpha \in \mathbf{S}:(x \star y) \star \alpha=x \star(y \star \alpha) \text { for all } x, y \in \mathbf{S}\},
\end{aligned}
$$

are called the left, middle and right nucleus of $\mathbf{S}$, respectively, and the set $N(\mathbf{S})=N_{l}(\mathbf{S}) \cap N_{m}(\mathbf{S}) \cap N_{r}(\mathbf{S})$ is called the nucleus. These sets are finite fields and if $\mathbf{S}$ is commutative then $N_{l}(\mathbf{S})=N_{r}(\mathbf{S}) \subseteq N_{m}(\mathbf{S})$. The nuclei measure how far $\mathbf{S}$ is from being associative. The orders of the respective nuclei are invariant under isotopism [16].

Every commutative presemifield can be transformed into a commutative semifield. Indeed, let $\mathbf{S}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \star\right)$ be a commutative presemifield which does not contain an identity. To create a semifield from $\mathbf{S}$ choose any $a \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$ and define a new multiplication $\circ$ by

$$
(x \star a) \circ(a \star y)=x \star y
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$. Then $\mathbf{S}^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \circ\right)$ is a commutative semifield isotopic to $\mathbf{S}$ with identity $a \star a$. We say $\mathbf{S}^{\prime}$ is a commutative semifield corresponding to the commutative presemifield $\mathbf{S}$. An isotopism between $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{\prime}$ is a strong isotopism $\left(L_{a}(x), L_{a}(x), x\right)$ with a linear permutation $L_{a}(x)=a \star x$, see [16].

Every commutative presemifield defines a planar DO polynomial and vice versa [16]. Let $F$ be a quadratic PN function over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$. Then $\mathbf{S}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \star\right)$, with

$$
x \star y=F(x+y)-F(x)-F(y)
$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$, is a commutative presemifield. We denote by $\mathbf{S}_{F}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \circ\right)$ the commutative semifield corresponding to the commutative presemifield $\mathbf{S}$ with isotopism $\left(L_{1}(x), L_{1}(x), x\right)$ and we call $\mathbf{S}_{F}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \circ\right)$ the commutative semifield defined by the quadratic $\mathbf{P N}$ function $F$. Conversely, given a commutative presemifield $\mathbf{S}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \star\right)$ of odd order, the function given by

$$
F(x)=\frac{1}{2}(x \star x)
$$

is a planar DO polynomial [16].
We have the following known facts on connection between CCZ-equivalence, isotopisms and strong isotopisms:

- two planar DO polynomials $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are CCZ-equivalent if and only if the corresponding commutative semifields $\mathbf{S}_{F}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{F^{\prime}}$ are strongly isotopic [11];
- two commutative presemifields of order $p^{n}$ with $n$ odd are isotopic if and only if they are strongly isotopic [16];
- any commutative presemifield can generate at most two equivalence classes of planar DO polynomials [16];
- if $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are isotopic commutative semifields of characteristic $p$ with the order of the middle nuclei and nuclei $p^{m}$ and $p^{k}$, respectively, then one of the following statements must hold
(a) $m / k$ is odd and $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are strongly isotopic,
(b) $m / k$ is even and either $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are strongly isotopic or the only isotopisms between $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are of the form $(\alpha \star N, N, L)$ where $\alpha$ is a non-square element of $N_{m}\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$.

Thus, in the case $n$ even it has been potentially possible that isotopic commutative presemifields define CCZ-inequivalent quadratic PN functions. However, in Section 4 of the present paper we prove that, for any $n$, commutative presemifields are isotopic if and only if they are strongly isotopic, and by this we exclude the potential possibility mentioned above. This result implies that all invariants for isotopisms of commutative presemifields can be used as invariants for equivalence of quadratic planar functions.

## 3 Known cases of planar functions and commutative semifields

Almost all known planar functions are DO polynomials. The only known nonquadratic PN functions are the power functions

$$
x^{\frac{3^{t}+1}{2}}
$$

over $\mathbf{F}_{3^{n}}$, where $t$ is odd and $\operatorname{gcd}(t, n)=1[15,26]$. Although commutative semifields have been intensively studied for more than a hundred years there are only a few cases of commutative semifields of odd order known (see $[11,16]$ ):
(i)
over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ which corresponds to the finite field $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$;
(ii)

$$
x^{p^{t}+1}
$$

over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$, with $n / \operatorname{gcd}(t, n)$ odd, which correspond to Albert's commutative twisted fields [1, 18, 25];
(iii) the functions over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{2 k}}$, which correspond to the Dickson semifields [20];
(iv) the functions over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{2 k}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (a x)^{p^{s}+1}-(a x)^{p^{k}\left(p^{s}+1\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_{i} x^{p^{i}\left(p^{k}+1\right)}  \tag{3}\\
& b x^{p^{s}+1}+\left(b x^{p^{s}+1}\right)^{p^{k}}+c x^{p^{k}+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{i} x^{p^{k+i}+p^{i}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a, b \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{2 k}}^{*}, b$ is not a square, $c \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{2 k}} \backslash \mathbf{F}_{p^{k}}, r_{i} \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{k}}, 0 \leq i<k$, $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_{i} x^{p^{i}}$ is a permutation of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{k}}$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{F}_{p^{k}}, \operatorname{gcd}(k+s, 2 k)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}(k+s, k)$, and for (3) also $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{s}+1, p^{k}+1\right) \neq \operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{s}+1,\left(p^{k}+1\right) / 2\right)$ (see [11, 12]);
(v)

$$
x^{p^{s}+1}-a^{p^{t}-1} x^{p^{t}+p^{2 t+s}}
$$

over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{3 t}}$, where $a$ is primitive in $\mathbf{F}_{p^{3 t}}, \operatorname{gcd}(3, t)=1, t-s=0 \bmod 3$, $3 t / \operatorname{gcd}(s, 3 t)$ is odd (see [37]);
(vi)

$$
x^{p^{s}+1}-a^{p^{t}-1} x^{p^{3 t}+p^{t+s}}
$$

over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{4 t}}$, where $a$ is primitive in $\mathbf{F}_{p^{4 t}}, p^{s} \equiv p^{t} \equiv 1 \bmod 4,2 t / \operatorname{gcd}(s, 2 t)$ is odd (see [3]);
(vii)

$$
x^{10} \pm x^{6}-x^{2}
$$

over $\mathbf{F}_{3^{n}}$, with $n$ odd, corresponding to the Coulter-Matthews and DingYuan semifields [15, 22];
(viii) the function over $\mathbf{F}_{3^{2 k}}$, with $k$ odd, corresponding to the Ganley semifield [24];
(ix) the function over $\mathbf{F}_{32 k}$ corresponding to the Cohen-Ganley semifield [14];
(x) the function over $\mathbf{F}_{3^{10}}$ corresponding to the Penttila-Williams semifield [35];
(xi) the function over $\mathbf{F}_{3^{8}}$ corresponding to the Coulter-Henderson-Kosick semifield [17];
(xii)
over $\mathbf{F}_{3^{5}}($ see [36] $)$.
The first six cases above are defined for any odd prime $p$ while the last six are defined only for $p=3$. The polynomial representations of functions (iii), (viii)(x) can be found in [30]. Note that PN functions (4) of family (iv) and families
(v) and (vi) were constructed by following patterns of some known families of APN functions over fields of even characteristic, see [5, 9]. Further we have the following results on classification of commutative presemifields:

- any semifield of order $p^{2}$ is a finite field [27];
- any semifield of order $p^{3}$ is either a finite field or Albert's commutative twisted field [29];
- a commutative presemifield which is three dimensional over its middle nucleus is necessarily isotopic to Albert's commutative twisted field [29];
- Albert's commutative twisted fields have left and middle nuclei of order $p^{\operatorname{gcd}(t, n)}$ [2];
- Dickson semifields have middle nuclei of order $p^{k}$ [21];
- for $a \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{k}}$ the commutative semifields corresponding to the functions (3) of the family (iv) have middle nuclei of order $p^{d}$ where $d$ is even and divisible by $\operatorname{gcd}(s, k)[8] ;$
- a DO polynomial (2) is CCZ-inequivalent to the planar function $x^{2}$ if $a_{j j}=0$ for all $j$ [11];
- a DO polynomial (2) is CCZ-inequivalent to the planar function $x^{p^{t}+1}$, with $n / \operatorname{gcd}(t, n)$ odd, if $a_{k j}=0$ for all $k$ and $j=k \pm t \bmod n[11]$.


## 4 On isotopisms of commutative presemifields

As mentioned in Section 2, under some condition on $n$, Coulter and Henderson proved in [16] that commutative presemifields of order $p^{n}$ are isotopic if and only if they are strongly isotopic. However, the general case has remained open and it has been potentially possible that isotopic commutative presemifields define CCZ-inequivalent quadratic PN functions. Below we exclude this possibility by completely resolving the problem.

Theorem 1. Let $n$ be any positive integer, $p$ any odd prime, and $\mathbf{S}_{1}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \star\right)$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \circ\right)$ be two commutative semifields. Then $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are isotopic if and only if they are strongly isotopic.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.3 of [16], if $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are isotopic then they are either strongly isotopic or the only isotopisms between $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are of the form $(\alpha \star N, N, L)$ where $N$ and $L$ are linear permutations of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ and $\alpha$ is a non-square element of $N_{m}\left(\mathbf{S}_{1}\right)$. We have to consider the second case, that is, the case when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \star N(x) \star N(y)=L(x \circ y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$. We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =\frac{1}{2}(x \star x), \\
F^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{1}{2}(x \circ x),
\end{aligned}
$$

the corresponding planar DO polynomials. Since $N$ is a permutation then from (5)

$$
\alpha \star x \star y=L\left(N^{-1}(x) \circ N^{-1}(y)\right)
$$

and taking $x=y$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \star F(x)=L\left(F^{\prime}\left(N^{-1}(x)\right)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\alpha \star F(x)=F(\alpha+F(x))-F(F(x))-F(\alpha)$. Since $F$ is a DO polynomial then

$$
F(x)=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq j<n} a_{k j} x^{p^{k}+p^{j}}, \quad a_{i j} \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha \star F(x) & =F(\alpha+F(x))-F(F(x))-F(\alpha) \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq k \leq j<n} a_{k j}\left(\alpha^{p^{k}} F(x)^{p^{j}}+\alpha^{p^{j}} F(x)^{p^{k}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \star F(x)=M(F(x)), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M(x)=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq j<n} a_{k j}\left(\alpha^{p^{k}} x^{p^{j}}+\alpha^{p^{j}} x^{p^{k}}\right)
$$

is a linear function. By (6) and (7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(F(x))=L\left(F^{\prime}\left(N^{-1}(x)\right)\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to show that $M$ is a permutation. Indeed, on the right side of (8) we have a planar function, then $M(F(x))$ is planar too. Therefore, for any $a \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$ the function

$$
M(F(x+a))-M(F(x))-M(F(a))=M(F(x+a)-F(x)-F(a))
$$

is a permutation. But $F(x+a)-F(x)-F(a)$ is a permutation too because $F$ is planar. Hence the linear function $M$ must be a permutation, and then $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are linear equivalent which implies that the corresponding semifields $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are strongly isotopic.

Since any commutative presemifield is strongly isotopic to some commutative semifield then we easily conclude

Corollary 1. Let $n$ be any positive integer, $p$ any odd prime, and $\mathbf{S}_{1}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \star\right)$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}},+, \circ\right)$ be two commutative presemifields. Then $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ are isotopic if and only if they are strongly isotopic.

## 5 On CCZ-equivalence of the indicators of the graphs of functions of odd characteristics

The following natural generalization of CCZ-equivalence of functions was considered in [7]. Let $n$ and $m$ be any positive integers, $p$ any prime. Two functions $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ are considered equivalent if their graphs $1_{G_{F}}$ and $1_{G_{F}^{\prime}}$ are CCZ-equivalent. However, as proven in [7], for $p$ even this equivalence coincides with original CCZ-equivalence of functions. Below we prove that it coincides with CCZ-equivalence for $p$ odd as well. First we need some auxiliary results.

Lemma 1. Let $p$ be an odd prime, $n$ a positive integer, $a \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ and $f$ any function from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself with the image set $\{0, a\}$. If the function $F(x)=$ $x+f(x)$ is a permutation of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ then $x-f(x)$ is its inverse.

Proof. Denoting $F^{\prime}(x)=x-f(x)$ we get

$$
F^{\prime} \circ F(x)=x+f(x)-f(x+f(x)) .
$$

If $f(x)=0$ then obviously $F^{\prime} \circ F(x)=x$.
If $f(x)=a$ then $F^{\prime} \circ F(x)=x+a-f(x+a)$. Moreover, we have $f(x+a)=a$ since otherwise $F(x+a)=F(x)$ which contradicts $F$ being a permutation. Hence, when $f(x)=a$, we have also $F^{\prime} \circ F(x)=x$. Therefore, $F^{-1}=F^{\prime}$.

As mentioned in [10], CCZ-equivalence can be considered not only for functions from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself but also for functions between arbitrary groups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. In the following proposition we consider CCZ-equivalence of functions from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{2}$.

Proposition 1. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $n$ a positive integer. Two functions $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ are $C C Z$-equivalent if and only if $f^{\prime}=f \circ A$ for some affine permutation $A$ of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$.
Proof. Let the functions $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ be CCZ-equivalent. Then there exists an affine permutation $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbf{F}_{2}$ such that $\mathcal{L}\left(G_{f}\right)=G_{f^{\prime}}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is linear. Then there exist linear functions $L: \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$, $\phi: \mathbf{F}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}, l: \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{2}$ and an element $a \in \mathbf{F}_{2}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{L}(x, y)=(L(x)+\phi(y), l(x)+a y)
$$

and for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}(x)=L(x)+\phi \circ f(x), \\
& F_{2}(x)=l(x)+a f(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

$F_{1}$ is a permutation of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ and

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=F_{2} \circ F_{1}^{-1}(x)
$$

Note that any linear function $l$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p}^{n}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ must be 0 since otherwise it is balanced which is impossible since $p^{n}$ is an odd number. Hence, we have $l(x)=0$ and, since $\mathcal{L}$ is a permutation, $a=1$, that is, $F_{2}(x)=f(x)$. Besides, if $\phi \circ f=0$ then obviously $L$ is a permutation and $f^{\prime}=f \circ L^{-1}$ and we can take $A=L^{-1}$. Hence we assume that $\phi$ has the image set $\{0, b\}$ where $b \neq 0$ and $\phi \circ f$ is not a zero function.
Since $F_{1}$ is a permutation and the image of $\phi \circ f$ consists of 2 elements then the function $L$ must have at most 2 zeros, and, since $p \geq 3$ and $L$ is a linear function from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself then it has exactly one zero, that is, $L$ is a permutation. Hence,

$$
F_{1}(x)=L\left(x+L^{-1} \circ \phi \circ f(x)\right)
$$

where the function $F_{1}^{*}(x)=x+L^{-1} \circ \phi \circ f(x)$ is a permutation too, and therefore, by Lemma 1 its inverse is $F_{1}^{*-1}(x)=x-L^{-1} \circ \phi \circ f(x)$. We get

$$
F_{1}^{-1}(x)=F_{1}^{*-1} \circ L^{-1}(x)
$$

and then

$$
f^{\prime} \circ L(x)=F_{2} \circ F_{1}^{*-1}(x)=f\left(x-L^{-1} \circ \phi \circ f(x)\right) .
$$

If $f(x)=0$ then $f^{\prime} \circ L(x)=0=f(x)$.
If $f(x)=1$ then we have $f\left(x-L^{-1}(b)\right)=1=f(x)$. Indeed, if $f(x)=1$ and $f\left(x-L^{-1}(b)\right)=0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{*-1}\left(x-L^{-1}(b)\right) & =x-L^{-1}(b)-L^{-1} \circ \phi \circ f\left(x-L^{-1}(b)\right)=x-L^{-1}(b), \\
F^{*-1}(x) & =x-L^{-1} \circ \phi \circ f(x)=x-L^{-1}(b),
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradict $F^{*-1}$ being a permutation. Hence, $f^{\prime} \circ L(x)=f(x)$ and we can take $A=L^{-1}$.

Now we can prove the main result of this section:
Theorem 2. Let $n$ and $m$ be any positive integers, $p$ any prime, and $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ any functions from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$. Then $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are $C C Z$-equivalent if and only if the indicators of their graphs $1_{G_{F}}$ and $1_{G_{F^{\prime}}}$ are $C C Z$-equivalent.

Proof. For the case $p$ even this theorem states Corollary 1 of [7]. Let $p$ be odd. Since $1_{G_{F}}$ and $1_{G_{F^{\prime}}}$ are functions from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ then according to Proposition 1 they are CCZ-equivalent if and only if there exists an affine permutation $A$ of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ that $1_{G_{F^{\prime}}}=1_{G_{F}} \circ A$, that is, if and only if $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are CCZequivalent.

## 6 Relation between CCZ-equivalence and EA-equivalence for functions of odd characteristics

Let $p$ be any prime and $n$ any positive integer. Any function $F: \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ is uniquely represented as a univariate polynomial over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ of degree smaller than $p^{n}$

$$
F(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{n}-1} c_{i} x^{i}, \quad c_{i} \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}
$$

If $m$ is a divisor of $n$ then a function $F$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ can be viewed as a function from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself and, therefore, it admits a univariate polynomial representation. More precisely, if $\operatorname{tr}_{n}(x)$ denotes the trace function from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ into $\mathbf{F}_{p}$, and $\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}(x)$ denotes the trace function from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ into $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}_{n}(x) & =x+x^{p}+x^{p^{2}}+\ldots+x^{p^{n-1}} \\
\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}(x) & =x+x^{p^{m}}+x^{p^{2 m}}+\ldots+x^{p^{(n / m-1) m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $F$ can be represented in the form $\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p^{n}-1} c_{i} x^{i}\right)$ (and in the form $\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p^{n}-1} c_{i} x^{i}\right)$ for $\left.m=1\right)$. Indeed, there exists a function $G$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself (for example $G(x)=a F(x)$, where $a \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}(a)=1$ ) such that $F$ equals $\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}(G(x))$.

Let $k$ be an integer such that $0 \leq k<p^{n}$. Then $k=\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} p^{s} k_{s}$ for some $0 \leq k_{s}<p$. We call the integer $w_{p}(k)=\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} k_{s}$ th $p$-weight of $k$. The algebraic degree of a function $F: \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ is equal to the maximum p-weight of the exponents $i$ of the polynomial $F(x)$ such that $c_{i} \neq 0$, that is,

$$
d^{\circ}(F)=\max _{0 \leq i<p^{n}, c_{i} \neq 0} w_{p}(i) .
$$

The algebraic degree of a function (if it is not linear) is invariant under EAequivalence but it is not preserved by CCZ-equivalence.

For functions of even characteristics the following theorem is proven in [7]:

Theorem 3. [7] Let $n \geq 5$ and $k>1$ be the smallest divisor of $n$. Then for any $m \geq k C C Z$-equivalence for functions from $\mathbf{F}_{2^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{2^{m}}$ is strictly more general than EA-equivalence.

We are going to obtain an analogue of this theorem for functions of odd characteristics.

Proposition 2. Let $p$ be an odd prime, $n \geq 3$, and $m>1$ be a divisor of $n$. Then there exist functions from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ for which CCZ-equivalence is strictly more general than EA-equivalence.
Proof. The linear permutation of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$

$$
\mathcal{L}(x, y)=\left(x+\operatorname{tr}_{m}(y), y\right)
$$

maps the graph of a quadratic function $F: \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$

$$
F(x)=\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)
$$

to the graph of a cubic function

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)+\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right) \operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}\left(x^{p}-x\right)
$$

That is, the functions $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are CCZ-equivalent but EA-inequivalent.
Indeed, $\mathcal{L}$ is obviously a permutation since $(0,0)$ is the only solution of the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x+\operatorname{tr}_{m}(y) & =0, \\
y & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The function

$$
F_{1}(x)=x+\operatorname{tr}_{m}(F(x))=x+\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)
$$

is a permutation of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ since for any $a \in \mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x+a)-F(x)= & x+a+\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}+2 a x+a^{2}-x^{p+1}-a x^{p}-a^{p} x-a^{p+1}\right) \\
& -x-\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right) \\
= & a+\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(a^{2}-a^{p+1}\right)-\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x\left(a^{p}+a^{p^{n-1}}-2 a\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the equality $F(x+a)=F(x)$ would imply $a+\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(a^{2}-a^{p+1}\right)=\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x\left(a^{p}+\right.\right.$ $\left.a^{p^{n-1}}-2 a\right)$ ), that is, $a \in \mathbf{F}_{p}^{*}$, that is, $a=0$, a contradiction. Note further that the inverse of the function $F_{1}$ is

$$
F_{1}^{-1}(x)=x-\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}^{-1} \circ F_{1}(x)= & x+\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)-\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}+2 x \operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)\right. \\
& +\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)^{2}-x^{p+1}-x^{p} \operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right) \\
& \left.-x \operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)^{p}-\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)^{p+1}\right) \\
= & x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for $F_{2}(x)=F(x)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{2} \circ F_{1}^{-1}(x) & =\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}\left(\left(x-\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(x-\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)\right)^{p+1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)+\operatorname{tr}_{n}\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right) \operatorname{tr}_{n}^{m}\left(x^{p}-x\right)=F^{\prime}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that for $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ the term $x^{2 p+1}$ has coefficient -2 in the polynomial representation of $F^{\prime}$. Hence, $F^{\prime}$ has algebraic degree 3. By construction $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are CCZ-equivalent but they are EA-inequivalent because of the difference of their algebraic degrees.

Next proposition was proven in [7] for $p$ even case but the proof works for any prime $p$.
Proposition 3. [7] Let $p$ be a prime, $n$ and $m$ any positive integers. If there exist $C C Z$-equivalent functions $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ which are EA-inequivalent then for any positive integer $k$ the functions $H(x)=(F(x), 0)$ and $H^{\prime}(x)=$ $\left(F^{\prime}(x), 0\right)$ from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m+k}}$ are also CCZ-equivalent and EA-inequivalent.

Proposition 2 and Proposition 3 give
Theorem 4. Let $p$ be an odd prime, $n \geq 3$ and $k>1$ the smallest divisor of $n$. Then for any $m \geq k$, CCZ-equivalence of functions from $\mathbf{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{m}}$ is strictly more general than their EA-equivalence.
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