

# How to Read a Signature?

Vanessa Gratzner<sup>1</sup> and David Naccache<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université Paris II Panthéon-Assas  
12 place du Panthéon  
F-75231 Paris CEDEX 05, France  
`vanessa@gratzer.fr`

<sup>2</sup> École normale supérieure  
Département d'informatique, Groupe de cryptographie  
45, rue d'Ulm, F-75230 Paris CEDEX 05, France  
`david.naccache@ens.fr`

**Abstract.** In this note we describe a cryptographic curiosity: readable messages that carry their own digital signature.

## 1 Introduction

The his *Polygraphiæ libri sex* [1], Abbot Johannes Trithemius<sup>3</sup> describes a message encryption method called the *Ave Maria* cipher.

The cipher is a table of 384 parallel columns of Latin words. By taking words representing plaintext letters it is possible to construct ciphertexts that look like innocent religious litanies. For instance (*cf.* Fig. 1) the plaintext IACR will encrypted as *Judex clemens conditor incompræhensibilis*.

In this work we apply Trithemius' idea to digital signatures.

Given a natural language message  $m$ , we describe a process  $\mathcal{L}$ , called *iteration*, transforming  $m$  into an intelligible message  $m' = \mathcal{L}(m)$  having the same meaning as  $m$  and containing a digital signature on  $m$ .

When message and language redundancy allow,  $m$  might also be embedded in  $m'$ .

## 2 Signature Reliteration

Given a security parameter  $k$ , a signature scheme is classically defined as a set of three algorithms  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ :

- A probabilistic *key generation algorithm*  $\mathcal{K}$ , which, on input  $1^k$ , outputs a pair  $(pk, sk)$  of matching public and private keys.
- A (generally probabilistic) *signing algorithm*  $\mathcal{S}$ , which receives a message  $m$  and  $sk$ , and outputs a signature  $\sigma = \mathcal{S}_{sk}(m)$ .

---

<sup>3</sup> February 1, 1462 - December 13, 1516

<b>A</b> Deus	<b>A</b> clemens
<b>B</b> Creator	<b>B</b> clementissimus
<b>C</b> Conditor	<b>C</b> pius
<b>D</b> Opifex	<b>D</b> piissimus
<b>E</b> Dominus	<b>E</b> magnus
<b>F</b> Dominator	<b>F</b> excelsus
<b>G</b> Consolator	<b>G</b> maximus
<b>H</b> Arbitrator	<b>H</b> optimus
<b>I</b> Iudex	<b>I</b> sapientissimus
<b>K</b> Illuminator	<b>K</b> inuisibilis
<b>L</b> Illustrator	<b>L</b> immortalis
<b>M</b> Rector	<b>M</b> æternus
<b>N</b> Rex	<b>N</b> sempiternus
<b>O</b> Imperator	<b>O</b> gloriosus
<b>P</b> Gubernator	<b>P</b> fortissimus
<b>Q</b> Factor	<b>Q</b> sanctissimus
<b>R</b> Fabricator	<b>R</b> incomprehensibilis
<b>S</b> Conseruator	<b>S</b> omnipotens
<b>T</b> Redemptor	<b>T</b> pacificus
<b>V</b> Auctor	<b>V</b> misericors
<b>X</b> Princeps	<b>X</b> misericordissimus
<b>Y</b> Pastor	<b>Y</b> cunctipotens
<b>Z</b> Moderator	<b>Z</b> magnificus
<b>W</b> Saluator	<b>W</b> excellentissimus
	<b>A</b>

Fig. 1. The *Polygraphiæ libri sex* page describing the Ave Maria cipher

- A (generally deterministic) *verification algorithm*  $\mathcal{V}$ , which receives a candidate signature  $\sigma$ , a message  $m$  and a public key  $pk$  and returns a bit  $\mathcal{V}_{pk}(m, \sigma)$  representing the validity of  $\sigma$  as a signature of  $m$  with respect to  $pk$  i.e.:

$$\sigma = \mathcal{S}_{sk}(m) \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{pk}(m, \sigma) = \text{true}$$

In many, if not most, cases  $m$  is a natural language text formed of words  $m_0, \dots, m_{\ell-1}$  separated by blanks and punctuation marks.

Each word  $m_i$  belongs to a set  $C_i = \{c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,t_i}\}$  of  $t_i$  synonyms<sup>4</sup>.  $C_i$  is a singleton if the word  $m_i$  has no synonyms. We assume, for the sake of simplicity, that:

$$i \neq j \Rightarrow C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$$

It is assumed that when a word  $m_i$  is replaced by a synonym  $m'_i \in C_i$  the global meaning of  $m$  (for a human reader) remains unmodified.

<sup>4</sup> For instance, Almighty, Creator, God and Lord all stand for the same concept.

Given a message  $m$ , we describe a process  $\mathcal{L}$ , called *literation*, transforming  $m$  into an intelligible message  $m' = \mathcal{L}(m)$  having the same meaning as  $m$  and containing a digital signature on  $m$ .

The advantage of such a format is that the document can be read and understood by a human (e.g. dictated over the phone) while remaining verifiable by a machine.

To produce  $m'$ , algorithm  $\mathcal{L}$  proceeds as follows:

- Construct the ordered set  $\text{meaning}(m) = \{C_0, \dots, C_{\ell-1}\}$ .
- Encode the signature  $\sigma = \mathcal{S}_{sk}(\text{meaning}(m))$  as a string  $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}$  where  $1 \leq \sigma_i \leq t_i$ .
- Output  $m' = c_{0,\sigma_0}, \dots, c_{\ell-1,\sigma_{\ell-1}}$ . Note that  $\text{meaning}(m) = \text{meaning}(m')$ .

For a human reader, the message  $m'$  has the same meaning as  $m$ . Signature verification is trivial: extract  $C_0, \dots, C_{\ell-1}$  from  $m'$ , infer  $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}$ , reconstruct  $\sigma$  and verify it.

### 3 Extensions

#### 3.1 Message Recovery

If synonyms do not appear with equal probability and if  $m$  is large enough,  $m$  might be embedded in  $m'$  as well. Let  $\mu_i$  denote the index of  $m_i$  in the set  $C_i = \{c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,t_i}\}$ . In other words:

$$m = c_{0,\mu_0}, \dots, c_{\ell-1,\mu_{\ell-1}}$$

We refer to the string of integers  $\text{style}(m) = \mu_0, \dots, \mu_{\ell-1}$  as the *style* of  $m$ .

The  $\{\text{style}(m), \text{meaning}(m)\}$  is hence an alternative encoding of  $m$ .

Apply any compression algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\text{style}(m)$ , define  $d = \mathcal{A}(\text{style}(m))|\sigma$  and iterate  $d$  over  $m$  as described in section 2.

To recover  $m$  and verify  $\sigma$  proceed as follows: infer  $d$ , split  $d$  into two parts, verify  $\sigma$  as explained in section 2 and decompress the leftmost part  $\mathcal{A}(\text{style}(m))$ . Given  $\text{style}(m)$  and  $\text{meaning}(m') = \text{meaning}(m)$ , infer  $m$ .

Message recovery will be possible only if the  $lm$  is long enough and if the distribution of synonyms presents important biases.

#### 3.2 Application to html

The embedding of digital signatures in `html` file is possible as well given that in `html` the effect of many operators and attributes commutes. e.g.:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meaning}(\langle i \rangle \langle b \rangle \text{word} \langle /b \rangle \langle /i \rangle) &= \\ \text{meaning}(\langle i \rangle \langle b \rangle \text{word} \langle /b \rangle \langle /i \rangle) &= \\ \text{meaning}(\langle i \rangle \langle b \rangle \text{wo} \langle /b \rangle \langle /i \rangle \langle i \rangle \langle b \rangle \text{rd} \langle /b \rangle \langle /i \rangle) & \end{aligned}$$

## References

1. J. Trithemius, *Polygraphiæ libri sex, Ioannis Trithemii abbatis Peapolitani, quondam Spanheimensis, ad Maximilianum Cæsarem* (Polygraphy in six books, by Johannes Trithemius, abbot of Würzburg, previously at Spanheim, dedecated to Emperor Maximilien), Printed in July 1518 by Johannes Haselberg.