A Cryptanalysis of HummingBird-2: The Differential Sequence Analysis

Qi Chai and Guang Gong

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada {q3chai, ggong}@uwaterloo.ca

Abstract. Hummingbird-2 is one recent design of lightweight block ciphers targeting constraint devices, which not only enables a compact hardware implementation and ultra-low power consumption but also meets the stringent response time as specified in ISO18000-6C.

In this paper, we present the first cryptanalytic result on the full version of this cipher using two pairs of related keys. We discover that the differential sequences for the last invocation of the round function can be computed by running the full cipher, due to which the search space for the key can be reduced. Base upon this observation, we propose a probabilistic attack encompassing two phases, preparation phase and key recovery phase. The preparation phase, requiring 2^{80} effort in time, aims to reach an internal state, with 0.5 success probability, that satisfies particular conditions. In the key recovery phase, by attacking the last invocation of the round function of the encryption (decryption resp.) using the proposed differential sequence analysis (DSA), we are able to recover 36 bits (another 44 bits resp.) of the 128-bit key. In addition, the rest 48 bits of the key can be exhaustively searched and the overall time complexity of the key recovery phase is $2^{48.14}$.

Note that the proposed attack, though exhibiting an interesting tradeoff between the success probability and time complexity, is only of a theoretical interest at the moment and does not affect the security of the Hummingbird-2 in practice.

Keywords: lightweight cryptography, differential cryptanalysis, Hummingbird encryption

1 Introduction

Passive RFID tags and other constraint computing devices are usually characterized by extremely tight cost and power consumption requirements. The needs of cryptographic primitives on such devices have been increasing with the growing pervasiveness and mass deployment of these devices. To this end, considerable lightweight stream/block ciphers are proposed in recent years, targeting very small hardware footprint and reduced power consumption. Typical examples are listed in Table 1. Meanwhile, cryptanalysis of these lightweight primitives has received considerable attention due to a widely-accept concern – the pursue of efficiency at the cost of reducing the security margin or applying innovative but less well understood technologies lead lightweight candidates to be less durable relative to regular symmetric ciphers. This concern has been further confirmed by the successful cases of attacking KeeLoq [33], Crypto-I [32], Atmel Cipher [22, 9], PRESENT [13, 11], KTANTAN [7, 3], PRINTCipher [2, 29], reduced KLEIN [1], A2U2 [12] and so on.

		Key size[bits]	Block size[bits]	$\operatorname{Area}[\operatorname{GE}]$	$\rm Throughput [Kb/s]$	Logic process $[\mu m]$
PRINTCipher-48	[26]	80	48	402	6.25	0.18
KTANTAN32	[14]	80	32	462	12.5	0.13
PRINTCipher-48	[26]	80	48	503	100	0.18
KTANTAN48	[14]	80	48	571	9.4	0.13
GOST	[34]	256	64	651	24.24	0.18
Piccolo-80	[38]	80	64	683	14.8	0.13
KTANTAN64	[14]	80	64	684	8.4	0.13
LED-64	[21]	64	64	688	5.1	0.18
LED-128	[21]	128	64	700	3.4	0.18
PRINTCipher-96	[26]	160	96	726	3.13	0.18
Piccolo-128	[38]	128	64	758	12.1	0.13
KATAN32	[14]	80	32	802	12.5	0.13
KATAN48	[14]	80	48	916	9.4	0.13
PRINTCipher-96	[26]	160	96	967	100	0.18
KATAN64	[14]	80	64	1,027	8.4	0.13
PRESENT	[35]	80	64	1,075	11.4	0.18
KLEIN-64	[20]	64	64	1,981	N/A	0.18
KLEIN-80	[20]	80	64	2,097	N/A	0.18
HummingBird-2	[17]	128	16	$2,\!159$	\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}	0.13
KLEIN-96	[20]	96	64	2,213	N/A	0.18
AES	[19]	128	128	3,400	12.4	0.35

Table 1. Recent Design/Implementation of Lightweight Ciphers (ordered by gate equivalent (GE))

A Brief History of Hummingbird Cipher: Motivated by the design of the well-known Enigma machine, the first generation of Hummingbird (call it HB-1) was proposed by the engineers in Revere Security and was further analyzed and published in [16] as an ultra-lightweight cryptographic algorithm targeting low-cost RFID tags, smart cards, and wireless sensor nodes to meet the stringent response time and power consumption requirements. Although HB-1, with an innovative hybrid structure of block cipher and stream cipher, was designed to provide 256-bit security, Saarinen, in FSE'11, showed a chosen-IV and chosen-message attack [36] that can recover the full secret key with at most 2⁶⁴ off-line computational effort under two related IVs. Recently, Revere Security published the second generation of Hummingbird (call it Hummingbird-2 or HB-2) in [17], which inherits the design philosophy from HB-1, e.g., it has a small block size of 16-bit to adapt the needs of encrypting short messages in RFID applications and it retains the hybrid structure as a security compensation for the small block size. High level differences between HB-1 and HB-2 are: (1) key size has been reduced to 128 bits to satisfy the actual need for constrained devices; (2) size of the internal state has been increased from 80 bits to 128 bits; (3) the nonlinear keyed transformation in HB-2 has four invocations of the S-boxes, compared to five in HB-1, to further increase the throughput.

In addition, it is claimed in the same paper that HB-2 can withstand differential, linear and algebraic attacks and the four 4-bit S-Boxes in HB-2 belong to the optimal classes as discussed in [31]. Its resistance to the side-channel cube attack is recently investigated in [18], where the author applied cube attack to recover 48 bits of the secret key providing the attacker could access the internal states of HB-2 during an early stage in the initialization. However, this attack is marginal since it only threats HB-2 before the finishing of its initialization.

Our Contribution: By refining/improving our preliminary results in [10], we present, in this paper, the first cryptanalytic result on the full version of this cipher using two pairs of related keys. Our attack makes use of the internal state of such a cipher and our philosophy is general: (1) the outputs of the encryption/decryption may leak information of the subkeys (under the differential cryptanalysis) as long as the internal states of the cipher satisfy particular conditions; (2) due to the birthday paradox, such a condition always happens with 1/2 probability providing $2^{L/2}$ attempts are made, where L (in bit) is the size of the internal state. To be specific, we propose the following attack encompassing two phases, a probabilistic preparation phase and a key recovery phase.

- To realize the two particular conditions regarding the internal states, the preparation phase spends 2^{80} effort in time to achieve the succeed probability 0.5 (due to the birthday paradox). If succeeds, one could proceed to the key recovery phase.
- The key recovery phase is basically an instance of a novel cryptanalytic technique we call it differential sequence analysis (DSA) – which can be seen as a hybrid of the conventional differential cryptanalysis and saturation attack. After exhibiting DSA's definitions and properties, we present its applications in the attacking scenarios, i.e.,
 - by using the encryption of HB-2, DSA recovers 36-bit (out of 128-bit) of the key, if condition
 (A) (regarding HB-2's secret key, input and internal state) holds.
 - by using the decryption of HB-2, DSA recovers another recovers another 44-bit of the key, if condition (B) (regarding HB-2's secret key, input and internal state) holds.
 - the rest 48-bit of the key can be exhaustively searched and the overall time complexity is $2^{48.14}$.



Fig. 1. Tradeoff between Success Probability and Time Complexity when attacking HB-2 (In fact, since one encryption only provides 16-bit entropy of the key, the exhaustive search needs a bit more than 2^{128} calls of the encryption function following the "key testing" procedure as desired in [4], i.e., $2^{128} + 2^{112} + 2^{96} + 2^{80} + 2^{64} + 2^{48} + 2^{32} + 2^{16} \approx 2^{128.000022}$ and 8 plaintext-ciphertext pairs to uniquely determine the key with probability 1.)

Note that our results in this paper exhibit an interesting tradeoff between the success probability and time complexity for HB-2, as shown in Fig. 1, which is analog to the collision attack in the hash function due to the birthday paradox. Stated in another way, to be successful with probability 0.5, our attack is faster than the exhaustive search (which is the best known) by a factor of 2^{50} . Unfortunately, to succeed with probability 1, our preparation phase requiring more effort in time than the exhaustive search, which makes the proposed method only of theoretical interests at the moment, i.e., the attack presented in this paper does not affect the security of the Hummingbird-2 in practice.

Organization: In Section 2, the specification of HB-2 is presented. Section 3 describes the principle of our attack at a high level. In Section 4, we devise the DSA technique, discuss its properties and how to use it to attack parts of HB-2. In Section 5, we show how to achieve the desired conditions. We conclude the paper in Section 6.

Notations: Throughout the rest of this paper, we make use of the following notation for illustration.

- An hexadecimal number is indicated by a prefix "0x", e.g., 0x10 = 16.
- Unless otherwise stated, "+" denotes the addition in \mathbb{F}_2 , which can also be vector-wise, e.g., (a,b) + (c,d) = (a+c,b+d), where $a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$.
- " \boxplus " or " \boxminus " operator denote addition or subtraction modulo 2^{16} .
- The high-bit XOR differential is defined as H = 0x8000, a nice property of which is, given $x, x', y \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ and x + x' = H, the following holds with probability 1,

$$(x \boxplus y) + (x' \boxplus y) = H, \quad (x \boxminus y) + (x' \boxminus y) = H, \quad (y \boxminus x) + (y \boxminus x') = H.$$

That is to say, as pointed out in [36], the differential H behaves the same under "+" and " \boxplus/\exists ".

2 Specification of Hummingbird-2

Hummingbird-2 is a 16-bit block cipher with a 128-bit secret key $K = (K_1, ..., K_8) \in (\mathbb{F}_2^{16}, ..., \mathbb{F}_2^{16}) = \mathbb{F}_2^{128}$ and a 64-bit public initialization vector $IV = (IV_1, ..., IV_4) \in (\mathbb{F}_2^{16}, ..., \mathbb{F}_2^{16}) = \mathbb{F}_2^{64}$. As opposed to conventional block ciphers, it has an 128-bit internal state $R = (R_1, ..., R_8) \in (\mathbb{F}_2^{16}, ..., \mathbb{F}_2^{16}) = \mathbb{F}_2^{128}$, which participates in each encryption/decryption and is updated after that.

Building Block: $WD16 : \{0,1\}^{16} \mapsto \{0,1\}^{16}$ is the fundamental block or round function of HB-2 encryption, which is defined as

$$WD16(x, K_a, K_b, K_c, K_d) = f(f(f(x + K_a) + K_b) + K_c) + K_d),$$

where x is the varying input, e.g., plaintext, intermediate state, K_a, K_b, K_c, K_d are four 16-bit secret keys and the nonlinear function f is specified as

$$\begin{split} S(x) &= S_1(x_1) ||S_2(x_2)||S_3(x_3)||S_4(x_4), x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ L(x) &= x + (x <<<6) + (x <<<10) \\ f(x) &= L(S(x)). \end{split}$$

Note that the four S-boxes, i.e., $S_1(x_i)$ to $S_4(x_i)$, are given in Table 2.

Besides, the inverse of WD16 is employed in the decryption, which is defined as

$$WD16^{-1}(y, K_d, K_c, K_b, K_a) = f^{-1}(f^{-1}(f^{-1}(y) + K_d) + K_c) + K_b) + K_a,$$

IV

x	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	x	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$S_1(x)$	7	12	14	9	2	1	5	15	11	6	13	0	4	8	10	3	$S_1^{-1}(x)$	11	5	4	15	12	6	9	0	13	3	14	8	1	10	2	7
$S_2(x)$	4	10	1	6	8	15	7	12	3	0	14	13	5	9	11	2	$S_2^{-1}(x)$	9	2	15	8	0	12	3	6	4	13	1	14	7	11	10	5
$S_3(x)$	2	15	12	1	5	6	10	13	14	8	3	4	0	11	9	7	$S_3^{-1}(x)$	12	3	0	10	11	4	5	15	9	14	6	13	2	7	8	1
$S_4(x)$	15	4	5	8	9	7	2	1	10	3	0	14	6	12	13	1	$S_4^{-1}(x)$	10	7	6	9	1	2	12	5	3	4	8	15	13	14	11	0

where $y = WD16(x, K_a, K_b, K_c, K_d)$ and f^{-1} is the inverse of f. The four S-boxes used in f^{-1} are also listed in Table 2.

Initialization: Hummingbird-2 is initialized before use. Let $(R_1^{(r)}, ..., R_8^{(r)}) \in \{0, 1\}^{128}$ denote the internal state at the *r*th iteration in the initialization. The initialization can thus be formulated as, for r = 0, 1, 2, 3,

$$t_1 = WD16(R_1^{(r)} \boxplus \langle r \rangle, K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4)$$
(1)

- $t_1 = WD10(R_1^{(r)} \boxplus \langle r \rangle, K_1, K_2, K_3, I)$ $t_2 = WD16(R_2^{(r)} \boxplus t_1, K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8)$ (2)
- $t_3 = WD16(R_3^{(r)} \boxplus t_2, K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4)$ (3)
- $t_4 = WD16(R_4^{(r)} \boxplus t_3, K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8)$ (4)

$$R_1^{(r+1)} = (R_1^{(r)} \boxplus t_4) \lll 3$$
(5)

$$R_2^{(r+1)} = (R_2^{(r)} \boxplus t_1) \ll 1$$

$$P^{(r+1)} = (P^{(r)} \boxplus t_1) \ll 8$$
(6)
(7)

$$R_3 = (R_3^r \pm t_2) \ll 8 \tag{1}$$

$$R^{(r+1)} - (R^{(r)} \pm t_2) \ll 1 \tag{8}$$

$$R_4^{(r+1)} = R_4^{(r)} + R_4^{(r+1)}$$
(9)

$$R_{e}^{(r+1)} = R_{e}^{(r)} + R_{2}^{(r+1)}$$
(0)
(10)

$$B_{\pi}^{(r+1)} = B_{\pi}^{(r)} + B_{\pi}^{(r+1)}$$
(10)

$$p_{1}^{(r+1)} = p_{1}^{(r)} + p_{2}^{(r+1)}$$
(11)

$$R_8^{(+)} = R_8^{(+)} + R_4^{(+)}, \tag{12}$$

where $\langle r \rangle$ represents a counter and $(R_1^{(0)}, ..., R_8^{(0)}) = (IV_1, IV_2, IV_3, IV_4, IV_1, IV_2, IV_3, IV_4).$

Note that R_5 , R_6 , R_7 , R_8 do not participate in the randomization, i.e., Eq. (6)-(9), but simply XOR the historical statuses of R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 respectively (behaving like XOR-MAC). This fact may nullify their contribution to the overall cryptanalytic strength of HB-2 under a side-channel injection attack – 64 injections and 64 invocations of HB-2 encryption are needed to recover (R_5, R_6, R_7, R_8) . Details are provided in Appendix A.

Encryption: After the initialization, each encryption, by invoking the round function for four times, transforms a single plaintext word $P_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$, i = 1, 2, ..., to a corresponding ciphertext word C_i , i.e.,

$$t_1 = WD16(R_1^{(i)} \boxplus P_i, K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4)$$
(13)

$$t_2 = WD16(R_2^{(i)} \boxplus t_1, K_5 + R_5^{(i)}, K_6 + R_6^{(i)}, K_7 + R_7^{(i)}, K_8 + R_8^{(i)})$$
(14)

$$t_3 = WD16(R_3^{(i)} \boxplus t_2, K_1 + R_5^{(i)}, K_2 + R_6^{(i)}, K_3 + R_7^{(i)}, K_4 + R_8^{(i)})$$
(15)

$$C_i = WD16(R_4^{(i)} \boxplus t_3, K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8) \boxplus R_1^{(i)},$$
(16)

where $(R_1^{(i)}, ..., R_8^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{128}$ is the internal state during the *i*th encryption and it is updated, at the end of the encryption, as follows:

$$R_1^{(i+1)} = R_1^{(i)} \boxplus t_3 \tag{17}$$

$$R_2^{(i+1)} = R_2^{(i)} \boxplus t_1 \tag{18}$$

$$R_3^{(i+1)} = R_3^{(i)} \boxplus t_2 \tag{19}$$

$$R_4^{(i+1)} = R_4^{(i)} \boxplus t_1 \boxplus R_1^{(i+1)}$$
(20)

$$R_5^{(i+1)} = R_5^{(i)} + R_1^{(i+1)} \tag{21}$$

$$R_6^{(i+1)} = R_6^{(i)} + R_2^{(i+1)} \tag{22}$$

$$R_7^{(i+1)} = R_7^{(i)} + R_3^{(i+1)}$$
(23)

$$R_8^{(i+1)} = R_8^{(i)} + R_4^{(i+1)} \tag{24}$$

A shorthand of Eq. (13)-(24) is $C_i = E(P_i, K) = E(P_i, (K_1, ..., K_8)).$

Decryption: Decryption of a single word $C_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}, i = 1, 2, ...$, followed by the same initialization, is

$$u_3 = WD16^{-1}(C_i \boxminus R_1^{(i)}, K_8, K_7, K_6, K_5)$$
(25)

$$u_2 = WD16^{-1}(u_3 \boxminus R_4^{(i)}, K_4 + R_8^{(i)}, K_3 + R_7^{(i)}, K_2 + R_6^{(i)}, K_1 + R_5^{(i)})$$
(26)

$$u_1 = WD16^{-1}(u_2 \boxminus R_3^{(i)}, K_8 + R_8^{(i)}, K_7 + R_7^{(i)}, K_6 + R_6^{(i)}, K_5 + R_5^{(i)})$$
(27)

$$P_i = WD16^{-1}(u_1 \boxminus R_2^{(i)}, K_4, K_3, K_2, K_1) \boxminus R_1^{(i)}.$$
(28)

After this, the internal states are updated as in the encryption, i.e., using Eq. (17)-(24), where $t_3 = u_3 \boxminus R_4^{(i)}$, $t_2 = u_2 \boxminus R_3^{(i)}$ and $t_1 = u_1 \boxminus R_2^{(i)}$.

3 Overview of Our Cryptanalytic Method on the Full HB-2

Adversary Model: We consider a scenario that two paralleled executions of encryptions are $C_i = E(P_i, K)$ and $C'_{i'} = E(P'_{i'}, K')$, where the internal states are $(R_1^{(i)}, ..., R_8^{(i)})$ and $(R'_1^{(i')}, ..., R'_8^{(i')})$ respectively, the intermediate values are (t_1, t_2, t_3) and (t'_1, t'_2, t'_3) respectively, and K and K' are related. (Similar for the decryption). The attacker follows the chosen plaintext/ciphertext model such that the

attacker is free to choose plaintext $P_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ and $P'_{i'} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$, launch encryption without knowing the related keys, and observe the corresponding $C_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ and $C'_{i'} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$; or chooses $C_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ and $C'_{i'} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$, launches decryption without knowing the related keys, and observes the corresponding $P_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ and $P'_{i'} \in F_2^{16}$.

Attack In A Nutshell: Block ciphers are usually based on iterating a cryptographically weak function sufficient number of times without disturbing, e.g., modifying, the outputs of intermediate rounds except whitening them with round-keys. Our attack on the full HB-2 exploits the fact that the internal states, which, instead of enhancing the overall cryptanalytic strength, give the attacker an opportunity to create an input differential for the last invocation of WD16 ($WD16^{-1}$ resp.) in the encryption (decryption resp.) and to retrieve the corresponding distribution of the output differences (call the collection of them a *differential sequence*) caused by the last invocation of the round function, which is information-rich in (a subset of) ($K_5, ..., K_8$) (($K_1, ..., K_4$) resp.). Henceforth, after obtaining such a *template sequence*, the attacker, in an off-line environment, could search for the key bits associated, which usually constitute a subset of entire key bits. In all, our full attack can be divided into two phases: **preparation phase** as described in Section 5 and **key recovery phase** as described in Section 4.

Key Recovery Phase: In the key recovery phase, to remove the undesired interference introduced by the varying internal states when consecutive words are encrypted/decrypted, our attack here targets a specific encryption/decryption after the *preparation*, i.e., *i*th encryption/decryption for one HB-2 instance and *i*'th encryption/decryption for the other one. This is because given the key, IV, and the plaintext chain fed are fixed, the *i*th internal state and the *i*'th internal state are fixed as well. Henceforth, we omit the superscript/subscript *i* and *i*' of HB-2 variables for convenience when describing operations in the key recovery phase.

Providing the preparation phase succeeds, the attacker accomplishes the following utilizing the properties of the differential sequence analysis:

- Step 1. 36 bits of $(K_5, ..., K_8) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{64}$ are recovered using the differential sequence obtained from the last invocation of WD16 in the encryption if a particular condition meets, as shown in Fig. 2.
- Step 2. 28 bits of $(K_4, ..., K_1) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{64}$ are recovered using the differential sequence obtained from the last invocation of WD16 in the decryption if another particular condition meets.
- Step 3. the rest 64-bit key are exhaustively searched using either encryption or decryption.

To be specific, the condition needed to launch Step 1 in key recovery phase is:

Condition (A):

$$\Delta K = (K_1, ..., K_8) + (K'_1, ..., K'_8) = (H, 0, 0, 0, H, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$\Delta P = P + P' = H$$

$$\Delta R = (R_1, ..., R_8) + (R'_1, ..., R'_8) = (0, 0, 0, 0, H, 0, 0, 0).$$

The condition needed to launch Step 2 in key recovery phase is:

Condition (B):

$$\Delta K = (K_1, ..., K_8) + (K'_1, ..., K'_8) = (0, 0, 0, H, 0, 0, 0, H)$$

$$\Delta C = C + C' = H$$

$$\Delta R = (R_1, ..., R_8) + (R'_1, ..., R'_8) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, H).$$



Fig. 2. Constructing Differential Sequence from Encryption with Condition (A)

To reach ΔP or ΔC in the two conditions above, the adversary model already allows the plaintext/ciphertext to be freely chosen; to reach ΔK , two pair of related-keys have to be used in our attack; and to reach ΔR , an extra phase, called preparation phase, has to be introduced.

Preparation Phase: As one may expected, preparation phase of our attack copes with the realization of ΔR s one at a time. To this end, one obvious way is to mount side-channel injection attack as shown in Appendix D, which gives the attacker no time/memory penalty, i.e., the overall time/memory complexity of the attack is dominated by that of the key recovery phase.

However, side-channel injection attack is not considered much in this work. Instead, we realize both conditions in a probabilistic manner, i.e.,

- $-(R_1^{(i)},...,R_8^{(i)})$ and $(R_1^{\prime(i')},...,R_8^{\prime(i')})$ can be "randomized" by feeding both HB-2 instances with either different IVs and/or chains of random plaintext words. According to the birthday paradox, there is at least 0.5 chance that the randomized $(R_1^{(i)}, ..., R_8^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{128}$ and the randomized $(R_1^{\prime(i')}, ..., R_8^{\prime(i')}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{128}$ satisfies ΔR in condition (A) (condition (B) resp.) providing 2⁶⁴ attempts are made.
- Note that, in the previous step, even if ΔR happens, the attacker is usually unaware. To determine, we improve the mechanism above in light of another characteristic of HB-2, i.e., if condition (A) (condition (B) resp.) holds at the current round, it also holds for the next round. Hence, the differential sequences produced at the current round by $((R_1^{(i)}, ..., R_8^{(i)}), (R_1^{\prime(i')}, ..., R_8^{\prime(i')}))$ is exactly the same as that produced at the next round by $((R_1^{(i+1)}, ..., R_8^{(i+1)}), (R_1^{\prime(i'+1)}, ..., R_8^{\prime(i'+1)}))$. If the above step succeeds, the attacker proceeds to the key recovery phase to attack.
- _

In what follows, we detail each of the above phases and steps.

Differentials Sequence Analysis (DSA) 4

In this section, we present a novel technique called differential sequence analysis (DSA) rooted in the differential cryptanalysis and the saturation attack. To be specific, we exhibiting its definitions, properties, and applications to attack one round of the HB-2, that constitutes the key recovery phase in our whole attack.

4.1 Differential Cryptanalysis and Saturation Attack

Differential cryptanalysis is a method analyzing the effect of particular differences in plaintext pairs on the differences of the resultant ciphertext pairs, which is based on a crucial observation that for any particular input differential, not all the output differential are possible, and the possible ones may not appear uniformly. In the original version of differential cryptanalysis [37], a unique differential is exploited to recover the subkey used in the last round of a block cipher. This idea has been extended in several ways: Biham and Shamir themselves further considered in [37] to use a trail of differentials to attack; Lai in [27] connected differential cryptanalysis with derivative of polynomials and presented a fine definition of higher order differentials; Knudsen [25] considered to use part of the input and output that have differential characteristics for the analysis; Biham, Biryukov and Shamir proposed in [5] to use differentials that happens with probability 0 as distinguishers; and recently, Blondeau and Gérard demonstrated the multiple differential cryptanalysis in [6], where a set of input/output differentials are considered together.

Saturation attack [23, 28, 8] exploits the fact that the output set is saturated, i.e., the outputs forms the whole space of \mathbb{F}_2^m , if the input set for the *m*-bit core injective function is saturated. Since the saturation of the outputs is observable, this technique usually serves as a distinguisher for the attacker.

At a high level, our differential sequence analysis in this paper can be understood as a hybrid of the conventional differential cryptanalysis and saturation attack, i.e., the set of the output differentials (instead of the outputs themselves) with respect to a particular/fixed input differential and a saturated set of inputs is considered. From another angle, due to the use of output differentials caused by a saturated set of inputs, our attack is also a special case of multiple differential cryptanalysis [6].

4.2 (First-order) Differential Sequence

Assume we have a keyed permutation h(w, K) mapping $w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ to $h(w, K) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ with respect to the secret key K, where m is a positive integer. Given a fixed $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$, the first-order differential is known as

$$\Delta_{\theta,K}(w) = h(w,K) + h(w+\theta,K)$$

The *(first-order) differentials sequence* of h at θ is basically one row in the differential distribution table of h with respect to the input differential θ . To discuss its properties, we define it in a more formal way.

Definition 1. The first-order differential sequence (DS) of h at θ is a non-binary sequence of 2^m entries, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{\theta,K} = (z_0, z_1, ..., z_{2^m - 1}),$$

where z_i denotes the multiplicity (that is, number of occurrences) of i in the set $\{w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m | \Delta_{\theta,K}(w)\}$, *i.e.*,

$$z_i = |\{w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m | \ \Delta_{\theta,K}(w) = i\}|.$$

Note that this definition can be extended to higher orders. In this paper, we constrained ourself to the first-order case.

For example, the differential sequence is $\{0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 4, 2, 0, 4, 0, 0, 2\}$ providing $\{w = \mathbb{F}_2^4 | \Delta_{\theta,K}(w), \} = \{12, 10, 3, 9, 6, 9, 15, 12, 10, 3, 9, 6, 9, 15, 12\}$ and $\theta = 0x08$. The length of the differential sequence is the sum of all its multiplicities (16 in this example).

4.3 Properties of the Differential Sequence

The saturated set of inputs brings quite a lot interesting properties to the conventional differential cryptanalysis. We list the core properties to attack HB-2 here.

Property 1. For a fixed $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$, $\Delta_{\theta,K}$ is constructed by evaluating and counting $(h(w, K) + h(w + \theta, K))$ for every w in \mathbb{F}_2^m regardless of the order of $w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ been accessed.

This property follows immediately from Definition 1 and is useful in the sense that even though h(w, K) is an intermediate round in a cipher (thus, w is an intermediate value), we are able to capture $\Delta_{\theta,K}$ given that θ can be fixed in a particular way and w traverses the whole space of \mathbb{F}_2^m . Stated in another way, we have the property below.

Property 2. Let perm(w) be a permutation of w in \mathbb{F}_2^m , i.e., $perm(w) : \mathbb{F}_2^m \to \mathbb{F}_2^m$. For a fixed $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ and every $w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$, $\Delta_{\theta,K}$ can be obtained either by evaluating and counting $(h(w,K) + h(w + \theta,K))$, or by evaluating and counting $(h(perm(w), K) + h(perm(w) + \theta, K))$.

In what follows, we use

$$[h(w,K) + h(w + \theta, K)]w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m] = [h(perm(w), K) + h(perm(w) + \theta, K)]w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m]$$

as a symbolic expression for Property 2, where [...] actually defines a multiset and θ is always a fixed value in \mathbb{F}_2^m for the rest of the paper. Henceforth, a straightforward extension of Property 2 can be derived below.

Property 3. Let $perm_i$, i = 1, ..., n, be permutations in \mathbb{F}_2^m . We have

$$[h(w, K) + h(w + \theta, K)|w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m]$$

=
$$[h(perm_n(...(perm_1(w))), K) + h(perm_n(...(perm_1(w))) + \theta, K)|w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m]$$

Proof. $perm_n(...(perm_1(w)))$ can be written as perm(w) in \mathbb{F}_2^m .

As aforementioned, the obtained differential sequence is primarily used to search for the key bits associated. Henceforth, we are especially interested in the correspondences between the differential sequence and the K in the underlying function h(w, K), e.g., is the mapping from K to the differential sequence injective or not? To this end, we start with a special case of Property 2.

Property 4. Providing $K = K_a \bigcup K_b$, $K_a \bigcap K_b = \emptyset$ and $h(w, K) = h(w + K_a, K_b)$, we have

$$[h(w, K) + h(w + \theta, K)|w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m] = [h((w + K_a), K_b) + h((w + K_a) + \theta, K_b)|w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m].$$

Proof. By applying Property 2 and set $perm(w) = w + K_a$, this property follows immediately.

From the property above, it is clear that all $K_a \in \mathbb{F}_2^{|K_a|}$ produces the same sequence while different K_b s may produce different sequences. Therefore, this property in fact implies that the obtained differential sequence of h at θ can be used to search for (a subset of) the key nonlinearly associated. Besides, there exists a more complicated correspondence between the key and the differential sequence. To discuss, we need to investigate the properties of sub-differential sequences. Property 5. Let Γ be a subset of \mathbb{F}_2^m and perm is a permutation in Γ , we have

$$[h(w,K) + h(w + \theta, K)|w \in \Gamma] = [h(perm(w), K) + h(perm(w) + \theta, K)|w \in \Gamma].$$

Proof. This property follows from Definition 1, if and only if perm is a permutation in Γ , i.e., perm(w): $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma$. We call $[h(w, K) + h(w + \theta, K)|w \in \Gamma]$ or $[h(perm(w), K) + h(perm(w) + \theta, K)|w \in \Gamma]$ a sub-differential sequence of $\Delta_{\theta,K}$.

Due to this, we can actually view a differential sequence obtained in \mathbb{F}_2^m as a summation of several sub-differential sequences obtained in the disjoint subspaces of \mathbb{F}_2^m . This intuition can be written as below.

Property 6. Let Γ_i , i = 1, ..., q, be q disjoint partitions of \mathbb{F}_2^m , i.e.,

$$\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j = \emptyset, \quad 1 \le i \ne j \le q$$
(29)

$$\cup_{i=1}^{q} \Gamma_i = \mathbb{F}_2^m \tag{30}$$

and let the differential sequence obtained by $[h(w, K) + h(w + \theta, K)|w \in \Gamma_i]$ be $\Delta_{\theta, K}^{\{\Gamma_i\}}$, we thus have,

$$\Delta_{\theta,K} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \Delta_{\theta,K}^{\{\Gamma_i\}}.$$

Following this reasoning, Property 4 can also be extended as below, which tells us that a differential sequence in Γ only corresponds to the key nonlinearly (in Γ) associated.

Property 7. Providing $K = K_a \bigcup K_b$, $K_a \bigcap K_b = \emptyset$ and $h(w, K) = h(w + K_a, K_b)$, we have, if Γ is a subset of \mathbb{F}_2^m and $(w + K_a)$ is a permutation in Γ with respect to K_a ,

$$[h(w,K) + h(w+\theta,K)|w \in \Gamma] = [h((w+K_a),K_b) + h((w+K_a)+\theta,K_b)|w \in \Gamma].$$

Therefore, if each of the sub-differential sequence stays the same with respect to the keys belonging to a particular set, denoted as Φ_0 , the overall differential sequence remain the same under Φ_0 . We formalize this correspondence as below.

Property 8. Let $\Phi_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^q \{k | w + k : \Gamma_i \mapsto \Gamma_i, k, w \in \mathbb{F}_2^m\}$, $K = K_a \bigcup K_b, K_a \bigcap K_b = \emptyset$ and $h(w, K) = h(w + K_a, K_b)$, we have

$$\Delta_{\theta,K} = \Delta_{\theta,\kappa},\tag{31}$$

where $\kappa = \kappa_a \bigcup \kappa_b$, $\kappa_a \bigcap \kappa_b = \emptyset$, $K_a, \kappa_a \in \Phi_0$ and $\kappa_b = K_b$.

Proof. Let $\Delta_{\theta,K}^{\{\Gamma_i\}}$ be the sub-differential sequence obtained by Property 7. Thanks to Property 6, we have $\Delta_{\theta,K} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \Delta_{\theta,K}^{\{\Gamma_i\}}$ and $\Delta_{\theta,\kappa} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \Delta_{\theta,\kappa}^{\{\Gamma_i\}}$. Thanks to Property 7, for each i, $\Delta_{\theta,K}^{\{\Gamma_i\}} = \Delta_{\theta,\kappa}^{\{\Gamma_i\}}$ providing $K_a, \kappa_a \in \Phi_0$ and $\kappa_b = K_b$.

As opposed, providing $\kappa_b \neq K_b$ while $K_a, \kappa_a \in \Phi_0$, it is quite likely that $\Delta_{\theta,K} \neq \Delta_{\theta,\kappa}$ since $\Delta_{\theta,K}^{\{\Gamma_i\}} \neq \Delta_{\theta,\kappa}^{\{\Gamma_i\}}$ for each *i*.

4.4 Differential Sequence Analysis against HB-2

In this subsection, we attack the last invocation of WD16 ($WD16^{-1}$ resp.) in the encryption (decryption resp.) of HB-2 by exploiting the DSA as presented. To be specific, our Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 give answers to the question "how to obtain the differential sequences" while our Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 exhibit "how to use the differentials sequences". Since the HB-2 has a 16-bit block size, we have m = 16 for the rest.

Attacking WD16 in Encryption: To show our idea in a concise way, we assume that R_1 and R'_1 are known (in fact, as they are identified by our algorithms in the preparation phase). In addition, let h in Definition 1 be the last invocation of WD16, i.e., Eq. (16), in the encryption. We thus have the following theorems.

Theorem 1. When condition (A) meets, the differential sequence of the last WD16 in the encryption at $\theta = H$ can be extracted from executing the entire encryption.

Proof: First of all, when condition (A) holds, we have,

$$\begin{split} t_1' &= WD16(R_1' \boxplus P', K_1', K_2', K_3', K_4') \\ &= WD16(R_1 \boxplus (P+H), (K_1+H), K_2, K_3, K_4) = t_1 \\ t_2' &= WD16(R_2' \boxplus t_1', K_5' + R_5', K_6' + R_6', K_7' + R_7', K_8' + R_8') \\ &= WD16(R_2 \boxplus t_1, (K_5 + H) + (R_5 + H), K_6 + R_6, K_7 + R_7, \\ K_8 + R_8) = t_2 \\ t_3' &= WD16(R_3' \boxplus t_2', K_1' + R_5', K_2' + R_6', K_3' + R_7', K_4' + R_8') \\ &= WD16(R_3 \boxplus t_2, (K_1 + H) + (R_5 + H), K_2 + R_6, K_3 + R_7, \\ K_4 + R_8) = t_3 \end{split}$$

Next, $\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)} = [z_0, z_1, ..., z_{2^{16}-1}]$ can be extracted, where

$$\begin{aligned} z_i &= |\{t_3 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}| \; (WD16(R_4 \boxplus t_3, K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8) + WD16(R'_4 \boxplus t'_3, K'_5, K'_6, K'_7, K'_8)) = i\}| \\ &= |\{t_3 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}| \; (WD16(R_4 \boxplus t_3, K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8) + WD16(R_4 \boxplus t_3, (K_5 + H), K_6, K_7, K_8)) = i\}| \\ &= |\{t_3 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}| \; (WD16(R_4 \boxplus t_3, K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8) + WD16((R_4 + H) \boxplus t_3, K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8)) = i\}| \\ &= |\{P \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}, P' = P + H| \; (C \boxminus R_1) + (C' \boxminus R_1) = i\}|. \end{aligned}$$

The second last equality comes from the fact

$$(R_4 \boxplus t_3) + (K_5 + H) = ((R_4 + H) \boxplus t_3) + K_5,$$

which can be easily verified by the computer simulation.

Note that condition (A) is essentially a necessary condition for the following condition:

$$\Delta K = (K_1, ..., K_8) + (K'_1, ..., K'_8) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$\Delta P = P_1 + P'_{i'} = 0$$

$$\Delta R = (R_1, ..., R_8) + (R'_1, ..., R'_8) = (0, 0, 0, H, 0, 0, 0, 0),$$

such that both of them produce the same differential sequence of WD16. However, we use condition (A) through the rest of the paper because it has an additional property that keeps the attacker informed once ΔR happens (see Section 5.2).

This theorem suggests that, after querying the encryption with every $P \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ and obtaining the resultant output differentials, the attacker could have a *template sequence* $\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)}$ to search for parts of (K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8) . The next theorem discloses the correspondence between $\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)}$ and (K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8) .

Theorem 2. Let $\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)}$ be obtained from Theorem 1. For $\kappa_5 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ and $\kappa_6 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$, we have

$$\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)} = \Delta_{H,(\kappa_5,\kappa_6,K_7,K_8)},$$

where K_6 and κ_6 belong to the same set $\Phi_i = \Phi_0 + i$, $0 \le i \le 15$, and Φ_0 , of cardinality 2^{12} , is tabulated in Appendix C.

Proof: To prove, we discuss the correspondence between K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8 and the template sequence in a respective way.

Correspondence Between K_5 and **DS**: For the time being, let us consider $h(w, K) = f(f(w+K_5) + K_6)$ (a simplified WD16), where $f : \mathbb{F}_2^{16} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ (as described in Section 2) is an injective function, we thus have, by letting $w = R_4 \boxplus t_3$ and $\theta = H$,

$$[h(w, K) + h(w + \theta, K)|w \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}] = [f(f(w + K_5) + K_6) + f(f(w + K_5 + \theta) + K_6)|w \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}] = [f(f(perm_1(w)) + K_6) + f(f(perm_1(w) + \theta) + K_6)|w \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}]$$

It is clear from the context that $perm_1(w) = w + K_5$ is a permutation in \mathbb{F}_2^m , and, due to Property 4, $\Delta_{H_1(K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8)}$ does not dependent on K_5 .

Correspondence Between K_6 and **DS**: First of all, we define the following auxiliary variables for convenience:

- $-\lambda_i, i = 1, ..., q$, are q possible output differences of f, given the input difference is θ .
- $\Gamma_i = \{f(w)|f(w) + f(w+\theta) = \lambda_i, w \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}\}, i = 1, ..., q$, are q disjoint partitions of \mathbb{F}_2^{16} such that: (1) Eq. (29) holds, otherwise there is a $w \in (\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j), 1 \leq i \neq j \leq q$, such that $f(w) + f(w+\theta)$ produces output differences λ_i and $\lambda_j, \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, which is impossible; (2) Eq. (30) holds, otherwise there is a $w \in (\mathbb{F}_2^{16} - \bigcup_{i=1}^q \Gamma_i)$, that produces an output difference $\notin \{\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_q\}$, which contradicts our definition.
- $-\Phi_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^q \{k | f(w) + k : \Gamma_i \mapsto \Gamma_i, k \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}\}$. Intuitively, Φ_0 encompasses all possible keys, which make f(w) + k a permutation in Γ_i , i = 1, ..., q.

Furthermore, let us consider two cases: (1) $K_6 \in \Phi_0$; and (2) $K_6 \in a$ coset of Φ_0 .

For case (1), i.e., $K_6 \in \Phi_0$, the above equations can be further written as, by setting $perm_2(w) = f(perm_1(w)) + K_6$,

$$\begin{split} & [f(f(perm_1(w)) + K_6) + f(f(perm_1(w) + \theta) + K_6)|w \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}] \\ & = [f(f(perm_1(w)) + K_6) + f(f(perm_1(w)) + \lambda_i + K_6)|w \in \Gamma_i] & \text{for } i = 1, ..., q \\ & = [f(f(perm_1(w)) + K_6) + f(f(perm_1(w)) + K_6 + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i] & \text{for } i = 1, ..., q \\ & = [f(perm_2(w)) + f(perm_2(w) + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i] & \text{for } i = 1, ..., q \\ & = [f(w) + f(w + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i] & \text{for } i = 1, ..., q \end{split}$$

The above equation holds because of Property 8, e.g., for $K_6 \in \Phi_0$, every $[f(w) + f(w + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i]$ produces the same sub-differential sequence. Therefore, the overall differential sequence stays the same for every $K_6 \in \Phi_0$. Stating in another way, providing K_6 and κ_6 are both in Φ_0 , $\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6)} = \Delta_{H,(\kappa_5,\kappa_6)}$.

The above derivation is further confirmed through extensive experiments, where we found

 $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_6) = (0x30cc, 0x6198, 0x9264, 0xa2a8, 0xc330, 0xf3fc),$

 $(\Gamma_1, ..., \Gamma_6)$, and Φ_0 as tabulated in Appendix C, which is of cardinality 2^{12} .

In what follows, we prove case (2), i.e., the above equations are true for $K_6 \in \Phi_i = \Phi_0 + i$. This is because, by letting $K_6 = \triangleright K_6 + \triangleleft K_6$ such that $\triangleright K_6 \in \Phi_0$,

$$\begin{split} & [f(f(perm_1(w)) + K_6) + f(f(perm_1(w)) + K_6 + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i] & \text{for } i = 1, ..., q \\ & = [f(f(perm_1(w)) + \triangleright K_6 + \triangleleft K_6) + f(f(perm_1(w)) + \triangleright K_6 + \triangleleft K_6 + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i] & \text{for } i = 1, ..., q \\ & = [f(perm_3(w) + \triangleleft K_6) + f(perm_3(w) + \triangleleft K_6 + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i] & \text{for } i = 1, ..., q \\ & = [f(w + \triangleleft K_6) + f(w + \triangleleft K_6 + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i] & \text{for } i = 1, ..., q \end{split}$$

The second last equation holds because of our proof of case (1) (by letting $perm_3(w) = f(perm_1(w)) + \triangleright K_6$).

In addition, it is clear that:

- the sub-differential sequence $[f(w + \triangleleft K_6) + f(w + \triangleleft K_6 + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i]$, is different from $[f(w + f(w + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i]$ as long as $\triangleleft K_6 \neq 0$. So is the overall differential sequence with overwhelming probability.
- for $K_6 = \triangleright K_6 + \triangleleft K_6$, $\kappa_6 = \triangleright \kappa_6 + \triangleleft \kappa_6$, $K_6 \neq \kappa_6$, the sub-differential sequence $[f(w + \triangleleft K_6) + f(w + \triangleleft K_6 + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i]$, is the same as $[f(w + \triangleleft \kappa_6) + f(w + \triangleleft \kappa_6 + \lambda_i)|w \in \Gamma_i]$ as long as $\triangleleft K_6 = \triangleleft \kappa_6$. This is due to the possibility that $\triangleright K_6, \triangleright \kappa_6 \in \Phi_0$, $\triangleright K_6 \neq \triangleright \kappa_6$ could yield $\triangleleft K_6 = \triangleleft \kappa_6$.

From the accusation above and our extensive experiments, it can be concluded that the key space of $K_6 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ has been divided into 16 cosets, i.e., $\Phi_0, ..., \Phi_{15}$, and each is of cardinality 2^{12} .

Correspondence Between (K_7, K_8) and **DS**: We carry on all the notations above for K_7 except setting $h(w, K) = f(f(f(w + K_5) + K_6) + K_7) + K_8)$. We found that, for K_7 , Φ_0 is always a empty set because too many λ_i divides \mathbb{F}_2^{16} into numerous tiny subspaces Γ_i , for which there is no K_7 could make $f(w) + K_7$ a permutation in every Γ_i , i = 1, ..., q. Same phenomenon happens to K_8 . In all, each choice of (K_7, K_8) produces a different differential sequence, which is further confirmed empirically.

Attacking $WD16^{-1}$ in Decryption: Similar attack can be performed against the decryption. By assuming R_1 and R'_1 are known and letting h in Definition 1 be the last invocation of $WD16^{-1}$, i.e., Eq. (28), we have the following results for our attack.

Theorem 3. With the condition (B), the differential sequence of the last $WD16^{-1}$ in the decryption at $\theta = H$ can be extracted from executing the entire decryption.

Proof: First of all, when condition (B) holds, we have,

$$\begin{split} &u_3 = WD16^{-1}(C \boxminus R_1, K_8, K_7, K_6, K_5) \\ &= WD16^{-1}((C+H) \boxminus R_1', (K_8+H), K_7', K_6', K_5') = u_3' \\ &u_2 = WD16^{-1}(u_3 \boxminus R_4, K_4 + R_8, K_3 + R_7, K_2 + R_6, K_1 + R_5) \\ &= WD16^{-1}(u_3' \boxminus R_4', (K_4 + H) + (R_8 + H), K_3' + R_7', K_2' + R_6', K_1' + R_5') = u_2' \\ &u_1 = WD16^{-1}(u_2 \boxminus R_3, K_8 + R_8, K_7 + R_7, K_6 + R_6, K_5 + R_5) \\ &= WD16^{-1}(u_2' \boxminus R_3', (K_8 + H) + (R_8 + H), K_7' + R_7', K_6' + R_6', K_5' + R_5') = u_1' \end{split}$$

Next, $\Delta_{H,(K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1)} = [z_0, z_1, ..., z_{2^{16}-1}]$ can be extracted, where,

$$\begin{split} z_i &= |\{u_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}| \; (WD16^{-1}(u_1 \boxminus R_2, K_4, K_3, K_2, K_1) + WD16^{-1}(u_1' \boxminus R_2', K_4', K_3', K_2', K_1')) = i\}| \\ &= |\{u_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}| \; (WD16^{-1}(u_1 \boxminus R_2, K_4, K_3, K_2, K_1) + WD16^{-1}(u_1' \boxminus R_2', K_4 + H, K_3, K_2, K_1)) = i\}| \\ &= |\{C \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}, C' = C + H| \; (P \boxplus R_1) + (P' \boxplus R_1) = i\}|. \end{split}$$

A similar theorem describes the correspondence between $\Delta_{H,(K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1)}$ and (K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1) .

Theorem 4. Let $\Delta_{H,(K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1)}$ be obtained from Theorem 3. For $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ and $\kappa_4 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$,

$$\Delta_{H,(K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1)} = \Delta_{H,(\kappa_4,K_3,K_2,\kappa_1)},$$

where K_4 and κ_4 belong to the same set $\Phi_i = \Phi_0 + i$, $0 \le i \le 2^{12} - 1$, and $\Phi_0 = \{0x0000, 0x0010, ..., 0x00f0\}$.

Proof: Similar as Theorem 2, except that we could easily observe from the experimental data that $\Phi_0 = \{0x0000, 0x0010, 0x0020, ..., 0x00f0\}$.

Visualization of Differential Sequences From HB-2: Here we provide several examples of the differential sequences used in our experiments. Fig. 4 to Fig. 6 in Appendix B are the ones obtained from the last invocation of WD16 in the encryption with IV = (0, 0, 0, 0) and different keys randomly selected. Fig. 7 to Fig. 9 in Appendix B are the ones obtained from the last invocation of $WD16^{-1}$ in the decryption with IV = (0, 0, 0, 0) and different keys randomly selected. All of the sequences are substantially different from each other, which exhibits their correlations to the underlying keys in an intuitive way.

4.5 Local Search in DSA

After the template sequence is captured, the attacker could, in an off-line environment, launches h(w, K) = WD16(.) ($h(w, K) = WD16^{-1}(.)$ resp.) to search for parts of (K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8) ((K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4 resp.), which is called the *local search* in DSA. Through the local search, the attacker recovers 36-bit (44-bit resp.) information regarding the key.

A naive way to search locally is to produce a complete local differential sequence from $[h(w, K) + h(w+H, K)|w \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}]$ with a random K at first, comparing each entry of which with the corresponding entry of the template sequence. The cost per key trial is 2^{16} executions of h(w, K)s and 2^{16} comparisons.

The efficiency of this method can be substantially improved if the early-abort strategy [30] is adapted, i.e., given the *i*th entry in the local differential sequence is greater than the *i*th entry in the template sequence, one could assert that the trial key is incorrect and terminate the search in advance. We present this improved local search algorithm below.

```
1: let TDS be the template sequence obtained
```

2: initiate the local differential sequence LDS as a list of 2^{16} "0"s

3: for w from 0 to $2^{16} - 1$ do

randomly choose K4: 5: $diff \leftarrow h(w+K) + h(w+H+K)$ $LDS[diff] \leftarrow LDS[diff] + 1$ 6: 7: if LDS[diff] > TDS[diff] then return NULL 8: 9: end if 10: end for 11: if LDS[w] = TDS[w] for $w = 0, 1, ..., 2^{16} - 1$ then 12:return K13: end if

The theoretical derivation of the time complexity of the above algorithm could be quite cumbersome. Instead, we recorded the number of the for-loops that are actually executed, denoted as l, during the search. Through repeated testings, we found that, in average, 1.640 < l < 1.660 for-loops are spent per key trial for both local searches using WD16(.) and $WD16^{-1}(.)$. Thus, we conclude the cost per key trial of our local search algorithm is 1.65 executions of (a pair of) h(w, K)s.

4.6 Differential Sequence Analysis (DSA) Against HB-2 and Its Time Complexity

We are ready to list out the steps performed by the attacker during the key recovery phase, as below.

- 1. When condition (A) holds, the attacker extracts the template sequence $\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)}$ using $((C \boxminus R_1) + (C' \boxminus R_1))$, where C and C' can be obtained by querying the encryption with P and P' = P + H, and R_1 and R'_1 are obtained in the preparation phase. Then, the attacker locally searches 36-bit of (K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8) using the proposed local search algorithm.
- 2. Similarly, utilizing the decryption, when condition (B) holds, the attacker extracts another template sequence $\Delta_{H,(K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1)}$ using $(P \boxplus R_1) + (P' \boxplus R_1)$, and guesses to determine 44-bit of (K_4, K_3, K_2, K_1) using the proposed local search algorithm.
- 3. After that, the attacker searches the remaining 48-bit of the key using 2^{48} trial encryptions¹.

The overall complexity of the above steps is

$$\underbrace{2^{36} \times 1.65}_{\text{determine 36-bit of } (K_5, ..., K_8)} + \underbrace{2^{44} \times 1.65}_{\text{determine 44-bit of } (K_1, ..., K_4)} + \underbrace{2^{48}}_{\text{determine the rest}} \approx 2^{48.14}$$

where negligible memory is required by each steps.

¹ In fact, $2^{48} + 2^{32} + 2^{16} = 2^{48.00002201}$ trial encryptions and three plaintext-ciphertext pairs are required.

XVI

5 A Probabilistic Realization of Conditions (A) and (B)

The attacks in the last section solely depends on the occurrences of conditions (A) and (B), to reach ΔRs in which sounds unpractical at the first glance as the initialization of HB-2 makes the internal states unpredictable. In this section, we show a probabilistic approach to realize these conditions – when the internal states of two HB-2 instances are respectively random, there is a certain chance that the attacker could get the desired differentials in the internal states. To this end, we study how to randomize the internal states of HB-2 at first, and, how to determine whether the desired ΔRs happen.

5.1 Randomize the Internal States

There are two ways for the adversary to affect the internal states of HB-2:

- Providing the key is fixed, it is suffice, from Eq. (1)-(12), that $(IV_1, ..., IV_4) \mapsto (R_1, ..., R_4)$ is an injective mapping and so is $(IV_1, ..., IV_4) \mapsto (R_5, ..., R_8)$. Therefore, the attacker could easily generate 2^{64} (out of 2^{128}) different internal states by choosing different IVs and launching the initialization.
- For a fixed key and a particular IV, the attacker could choose plaintext P_1 to feed HB-2 at first. If a state transition graph is drawn, we can see that the starting state, i.e., $R^{(1)}$, transits to 2^{16} neighboring states while each $P_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$ is encrypted. Next, if another encryption is performed, e.g., encrypting P_2 , each of these "neighboring states" again transits to another 2^{16} states providing P_2 takes every value in \mathbb{F}_2^{16} . By continuing this process, we would have all 2^{128} states covered in this graph. Therefore, to produce a set of random internal states, i.e., $\{R^{(1)}, R^{(2)}, \ldots\}$, we could, as shown in Fig. 3, feed the encryptions with a plaintext chain where P_i is selected uniformly at random in \mathbb{F}_2^{16} for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. Similarly, a ciphertext chain could be fed to the decryption oracle to generate a set of random internal states as well. Note that feeding HB-2 encryption with a chain of N random inputs is equivalent to perform an N-step 2^{16} -dimensional random walk in its state transition graph. Therefore, $|\{R^{(1)}, R^{(2)}, \ldots\}| \approx N$ if $N \ll 2^{128}$ [15].



Fig. 3. Feeding HB-2 Encryption with a Plaintext Chain

Therefore, the algorithm below provides, to the later steps, the randomized internal states of two running HB-2 instances through an effort-saving way – one instance initializes a random IV and encrypts one random plaintext, while the other one, besides initializes a random IV, encrypts N random plaintexts consecutively. Since $\{R^{(1)}, R^{(2)}, ..., R^{(N)}\}$ is a set of random variables as analyzed, $\{R^{(1)} + R'^{(1)}, R^{(2)} + R'^{(1)}, ..., R^{(N)} + R'^{(1)}\}$ must also be a set of random variables. XVIII

1: Let $R^{(i)} \leftarrow E(P_i, K)$ be the internal state $R^{(i)}$ after encrypting $P_1, ..., P_i$ 2: Randomly choose IV' and $P'_1, R'^{(1)} \leftarrow E(P'_1, K)$ 3: Randomly choose IV4: for *i* from 1 to *N* do 5: Randomly choose $P_i, R^{(i)} \leftarrow E(P_i, K)$ 6: if $R'^{(1)} + R^{(i)} = \Delta R$ then 7: return " ΔR happens" 8: end if 9: end for

Note that, currently, the given algorithm is only a skeleton for our attack, which is discussed in more detail in the next subsections and the full-fledged version is given at last. Nevertheless, we can already sense an interesting property from this skeleton algorithm.

Property 9. In the algorithm above, a certain ΔR happens with 0.5 probability when $N = 2^{64}$.

Proof. This property holds due to the birthday paradox.

5.2 Determine while Guessing

To inform the attacker during the attempting, as long as condition (A) (condition (B) resp.) happens, we use one unusual differential characteristic in the encryption (decryption resp.), as first pointed out by HB-2's designers, such that the differentials in the internal states, secret keys and the inputs can be maintained and entered into the next round, i.e., for a positive integer i,

$$(\Delta P_i, \Delta K, \Delta R^{(i)}) = (\Delta P_{i+1}, \Delta K, \Delta R^{(i+1)}).$$

Therefore, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 5. Let $\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)}^{(i')}$ $(\Delta_{H,(K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1)}^{(i')}$ resp.) be the differential sequence produced by the two encryption instances (two decryption instances resp.) with internal states $R^{(1)}$ and $R'^{(i')}$ and let $\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)}^{(i'+1)}$ $(\Delta_{H,(K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1)}^{(i'+1)}$ resp.) be the differential sequence produced by the two encryption instances (two decryption instances resp.) with internal states $R^{(2)}$ and $R'^{(i'+1)}$ (call $\Delta_{H,K}^{(i')}$ and $\Delta_{H,K}^{(i'+1)}$ neighboring template sequences). Therefore,

- If condition (A) happens during encryption, the adversary observes two identical neighboring template sequences, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)}^{(i')} = \Delta_{H,(K_5,K_6,K_7,K_8)}^{(i'+1)};$$

otherwise, the above equation holds with negligible probability.

- If condition (B) happens during decryption, the adversary observes two identical neighboring template sequences, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{H,(K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1)}^{(i')} = \Delta_{H,(K_4,K_3,K_2,K_1)}^{(i'+1)}$$

otherwise, the above equation hold with negligible probability.

Proof: It follows from Definition 1 and Property 1.

Therefore, the above theorem can serve as an algorithm to determine the occurrences of condition (A) or condition (B), i.e., it returns either (Success, $\Delta_{H,K}^{(i')}, R_1^{(1)}, R_1^{(2)})$ or (False, NULL, NULL, NULL) to the key recovery phase. Unfortunately, in this algorithm, the correct template sequences can only be extracted with the correct $R_1^{(1)}$ and $R_1^{(2)}$ due to Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. For instance, using the encryption, the two neighboring sequences are

$$\Delta^{(i')} = (z_0^{(i')}, z_1^{(i')}, \dots, z_{65535}^{(i')})$$
(32)

$$\Delta^{(i'+1)} = (z_0^{(i'+1)}, z_1^{(i'+1)}, \dots, z_{65535}^{(i'+1)})$$
(33)

where

$$z_{j}^{(i')} = |\{P_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{16}, P'_{i'} = P_{1} + H| \ (C_{1} \boxminus R_{1}^{(1)}) + (C'_{i'} \boxminus R'_{1}^{(i')}) = j\}|$$
and
$$z_{j}^{(i'+1)} = |\{P_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{16}, P'_{i'+1} = P_{2} + H| \ (C_{2} \boxminus R_{1}^{(2)}) + (C'_{i'+1} \boxminus R'_{1}^{(i'+1)}) = j\}|$$

Henceforth, it is true that by guessing $R_1^{(1)}$ and $R_1^{(2)}$, the theorem/algorithm above would cost 2^{32} encryptions/decryptions per execution.

To improve its efficiency, we make use of the following fact: as the modulo addition is only firstorder correlation-immune, the two identical neighboring sequences obfuscated by modulo additions of different R_1 s may have an apparent correlation, while two distinct neighboring sequences may not. This intuition is further verified by our extensive experiments. In parallel with Eq. (32) and Eq. (33), let us define the *raw neighboring sequences* as:

$$\underline{\Delta}^{(i')} = (\underline{z_0^{(i')}}, \underline{z_1^{(i')}}, \dots, \underline{z_{65535}^{(i')}})$$
$$\underline{\Delta}^{(i'+1)} = (\underline{z_0^{(i'+1)}}, \underline{z_1^{(i'+1)}}, \dots, \underline{z_{65535}^{(i'+1)}})$$

where

$$\frac{z_j^{(i')}}{z_j^{(i'+1)}} = |\{P_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}, P'_{i'} = P_1 + H| \ C_1 + C'_{i'} = j\}| \quad \text{and} \quad z_j^{(i'+1)} = |\{P_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}, P'_{i'+1} = P_2 + H| \ C_2 + C'_{i'+1} = j\}|.$$

We found that, for the identical neighboring sequences, the corresponding two raw neighboring sequences always have more than 30000 (out of 65536) identical entries, i.e.,

$$Corr(\underline{\Delta^{(i')}}, \underline{\Delta^{(i'+1)}}) = |\{\underline{z_j^{(i')}} = \underline{z_j^{(i'+1)}}, j = 0, 1, ..., 65535\}| > 30000, \text{ iff } \Delta^{(i')} = \Delta^{(i'+1)}, \dots, \Delta^{(i')} = \Delta^{(i')}, \dots, \Delta^{$$

where Corr(.,.) is the non-normalized correlation.

On the contrary, for the distinct neighboring sequences, the corresponding two raw neighboring sequences always have less than 19000 (out of 65536) identical entries, i.e.,

$$Corr(\underline{\Delta^{(i')}}, \underline{\Delta^{(i'+1)}}) = |\{\underline{z_j^{(i')}} = \underline{z_j^{(i'+1)}}, j = 0, 1, ..., 65535\}| < 19000, \text{ iff } \Delta^{(i')} \neq \Delta^{(i'+1)}.$$

By treating the correlation of the raw neighboring sequences as a criterion, Theorem 5 is now able to return whether $\Delta^{(i')}$ equals $\Delta^{(i'+1)}$ with 2¹⁶ time complexity. Once the identical neighboring sequences are identified, the adversary is able to guess to recover $R_1^{(1)}$ and $R_1^{(2)}$ with 2³² effort in time.

5.3 Preparation Phase and Its Time Complexity

We recap the whole process in the preparation phase for the encryption as shown below, which is an extension of the skeleton algorithm we shown before. Note that the preparation using the decryption is similar and omitted here.

1: randomly choose IV' and $P'_1, R'^{(1)} \leftarrow E(P'_1, K)$

2: randomly choose *IV* 3: randomly choose a constant P'_2 4: for *i* from 1 to $N = 2^{64}$ do randomly choose $P_i, \overline{R^{(i)}} \leftarrow E(P_i, K)$ generate $\Delta^{(i)}$ using $R'^{(1)}$ and $R^{(i)}$ 5: 6: $R'^{(2)} \Leftarrow E(P'_2, K)$ 7: $R^{(i+1)} \leftarrow E(P_{i+1}, K) \text{ where } P_{i+1} = P'_2 + H$ generate $\Delta^{(i+1)}$ using $R'^{(2)}$ and $R^{(i+1)}$ 8: 9: if $Corr(\Delta^{(i)}, \Delta^{(i+1)}) > 30000$ then 10: guess to determine $R_1^{(1)}$ and $R_1^{(2)}$ 11: recover $\Delta_{H,K}^{(i')}$ from the raw neighboring sequences 12:return (Success, $\Delta_{H,K}^{(i')}, R_1^{(1)}, R_1^{(2)}$), keep current states and enter the key recovery phase 13:14: end if decrypt using C'_2 and C_{i+1} to roll back HB-2's states to $R'^{(1)}$ and $R^{(i)}$ 15:16: end for

17: return (False, NULL, NULL, NULL)

Using the encryption (decryption resp.) only, the attacker has 0.5 probability to reach condition (A) (condition (B) resp.) with $2^{64} \times 2^{16} = 2^{80}$ time complexity. After that, he is able to guess to determine $R_1^{(1)}$ and $R_1^{(2)}$ with additional 2^{32} effort in time. In all, the time complexity of the preparation phase is

$$2^{64} \times 2^{16}$$
 + 2^{32} + 2^{16} $\approx 2^{80}$

test whether the condition happens guess to determine R_1 s recover the template seuquece

It is worthy to mention that to succeed with probability 1, the preparation phase requires $2^{128+16} = 2^{144}$ effort in time, which is slower than the exhaustive search.

6 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we present a novel cryptanalytic technique called differential sequence analysis (DSA), which is especially effective if the differential sequence reflecting parts of a cipher associated with parts of the key can be obtained. In addition, we demonstrate the application of this technique, that constitutes the key recovery of the lightweight block cipher Hummingbird-2 with $2^{48.14}$ time complexity, given particular conditions hold in its internal states, secret keys and the inputs. Furthermore, we investigate how to reach these conditions in our preparation phase with 0.5 chance and 2^{80} effort in time. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first cryptanalytic result of the full Hummingbird-2.

The attack presented against Hummingbird-2 is a special case of the general DSA, to build the theoretic framework of which is part of our future work. In addition, it will be evaluated in the recent future: (1) whether the generalized DSA provides even better results against Hummingbird-2 and other potentially vulnerable ciphers, especially the ones with small block size and with internal states, e.g., stateful block ciphers [24]; (2) the possibility that the generalized DSA can work with other cryptanalysis technologies, e.g., meet-in-the-middle.

References

- 1. J.P. Aumasson, M. Naya-Plasencia and M.J.O. Saarinen, Practical attack on 8 rounds of the lightweight block cipher KLEIN, to appear in Proceedings of *INDOCRYPT'11*, pp.1–13, 2011.
- M. Abdelraheem, G. Leander and E. Zenner, Differential cryptanalysis of round-reduced PRINTcipher: computing roots of permutations, *Fast Software Encryption*, *FSE'11*, LNCS 6733, pp. 1–17, 2011.
- M. Ågren, Some instant-and practical-time related-key attacks on KTANTAN32/48/64, to appear in Proceedings of Selected Areas in Cryptography, SAC'11, pp. 1–17, 2011.
- A. Bogdanov and C. Rechberger, A 3-subset meet-in-the-middle attack: cryptanalysis of the lightweight block cipher KTANTAN, Selected Areas in Cryptography, LNCS 6544, pp. 229–240, 2011.
- E. Biham, A. Biryukov and A. Shamir, Cryptanalysis of Skipjack reduced to 31 rounds using impossible differentials, *Journal Of Cryptology*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 291–311, 1999.
- C. Blondeau and B. Gérard, Multiple differential cryptanalysis: theory and practice, Fast Software Encryption, FSE'11, LNCS 6733, pp. 35-54, 2011.
- A. Bogdanov and C. Rechberger, A 3-subset meet-in-the-middle attack: cryptanalysis of the lightweight block cipher KTANTAN, Selected Areas in Cryptography, SAC'10, LNCS 6544, pp. 229–240, 2010.
- A. Biryukov and A. Shamir, Structural cryptanalysis of SASAS, *Journal of cryptology*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 505–518, 2010.
- A. Biryukov, I. Kizhvatov and B. Zhang, Cryptanalysis of the Atmel cipher in SecureMemory, CryptoMemory and CryptoRF, Applied Cryptography and Network Security, ACNS'11, LNCS 6715, pp. 91–109, 2011.
- Q. Chai, Design and analysis of security schemes for low-cost RFID systems, PhD Thesis, University of Waterloo, 2012.
- 11. J. Cho, Linear cryptanalysis of reduced-round PRESENT, The Cryptographers' Track at the RSA Conference, CT-RSA'10, LNCS 5985, pp. 302–317, 2010.
- Q. Chai, X. Fan and G.Gong, An ultra-efficient key recovery attack on the lightweight stream cipher A2U2, Cryptology ePrint Archive: Report 2011/247, pp. 1–4, 2011.
- B. Collard and F.X. Standaert, A statistical saturation attack against the block cipher PRESENT, The Cryptographers' Track at the RSA Conference, CT-RSA'09, LNCS 5473, pp. 195–210, 2009.
- C. De Canniere, O. Dunkelman and M. Knežević, KATAN and KTANTAN a family of small and efficient hardware-oriented block ciphers, *Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems*, *CHES'09*, LNCS 5747, pp. 272–288, 2009.
- 15. A. Dvoretzky and P. Erdos, Some problems on random walk in space, In Proceedings of Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, vol. 353, pp. 353–367, 1951.
- D. Engels, X. Fan, G. Gong, H. Hu and E. Smith, Hummingbird: ultra-lightweight cryptography for resource-constrained devices, *Financial Cryptography and Data Security*, FC'10, pp. 3–18, 2010.
- D. Engels, M.J.O. Saarinen and E. Smith, The Hummingbird-2 lightweight authenticated encryption algorithm, to appear in Proceedings of Workshop on RFID Security, RFIDSec'11, pp. 1-14, 2011.
- X. Fan and G. Gong, On the security of Hummingbird-2 against side-channel Cube attacks, Western European Workshop on Research in Cryptology, WEWoRC'11, pp. 100–104, 2011.
- M. Feldhofer, J. Wolkerstorfer and V. Rijmen, AES implementation on a grain of sand, *Information Security*, *IEE Proceedings*, vol. 152, no. 1, pp. 13-20, 2005.

- 20. Z. Gong, S. Nikova and Y.W. Law, Klein: a new family of lightweight block ciphers, to appear in Proceedings of Workshop on RFID Security, RFIDSec'11, pp.1–18, 2011.
- J. Guo, T. Peyrin, A. Poschmann and M.Robshaw, The LED block cipher, Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems, CHES'11, LNCS 6917, pp. 326–341, 2011.
- 22. F.D. Garcia, P. van Rossum, R. Verdult and R.W. Schreur, Dismantling SecureMemory, CryptoMemory and CryptoRF. In Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, CCS'10, pp. 250-259, 2010.
- K. Hwang, W. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Lim, Saturation attacks on reduced round Skipjack, Fast Software Encryption, FSE'02, pp. 15–23, 2002.
- A. Kiayias and M. Yung, cryptographic hardness based on the decoding of Reed-Solomon codes, Automata, Languages and Programming, pp. 783–783, 2002.
- L. Knudsen, Truncated and higher order differentials, Fast Software Encryption. FSE'95, LNCS 1008, pp. 196–211, 1995.
- L. Knudsen, G. Leander, A. Poschmann and M. Robshaw, PRINTcipher: a block cipher for IC-printing, Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems, CHES'10, LNCS 6225, pp.16–32, 2011.
- 27. X. Lai, Higher order derivatives and differential cryptanalysis, *Kluwer International Series in Engineering* and Computer Science, pp. 227–227, 1994.
- 28. S. Lucks, The saturation attack: a bait for Twofish, Fast Software Encryption, FSE'02, pp. 187-205, 2002.
- G. Leander, M.A. Abdelraheem, H. AlKhzaimi and E. Zenner, A cryptanalysis of PRINTcipher: the invariant subspace attack. Advances in Cryptology, CRYPTO'11, LNCS 6841, pp. 206-221, 2011.
- 30. J. Lu, J. Kim, N. Keller and O. Dunkelman, Improving the efficiency of impossible differential cryptanalysis of reduced Camellia and MISTY1, *The Cryptographers' Track at the RSA Conference*, CT-RSA'08, LNCS 4964, pp. 370–386, 2008.
- 31. G. Leander and A. Poschmann On the classification of 4 bit s-boxes, Arithmetic of Finite Fields, LNCS 4547, pp. 159-176, 2007.
- 32. K. Nohl, D. Evans, S. Starbug and H. Plötz, Reverse-engineering a cryptographic RFID tag, In Proceedings of the 17th conference on Security symposium, USENIX'08, pp. 185–193, 2008.
- N. Courtois, G. Bard and D. Wagner, Algebraic and slide attacks on KeeLoq, Fast Software Encryption, FSE'08, LNCS 5086, pp. 97-115, 2008.
- 34. A. Poschmann, S. Ling and H. Wang, 256 bit standardized crypto for 650 GE–GOST revisited, Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems, CHES'10, LNCS 6225, pp. 219–233, 2011.
- 35. C. Rolfes, A. Poschmann, G. Leander and C. Paar, Ultra-lightweight implementations for smart devices– security for 1000 gate equivalents. In Proceedings of the 8th IFIP WG 8.8/11.2 International Conference on Smart Card Research and Advanced Applications, CARDIS'08, LNCS 5189, pp. 89–103, 2008.
- M.J.O. Saarinen, Cryptanalysis of Hummingbird-1, Fast Software Encryption, FSE'11, LNCS 6733, pp. 328–341, 2011.
- A. Shamir and E. Biham, Differential cryptanalysis of DES-like cryptosystems, Advances in Cryptology, CRYPTO'90, LNCS 537, pp. 2-21, 1990.
- K. Shibutani, T. Isobe, H. Hiwatari, A. Mitsuda, T. Akishita and T. Shirai, Piccolo: an ultra-lightweight blockcipher, *Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems*, *CHES'11*, LNCS 6917, pp. 342–357, 2011.

A Side-channel Injection Attack to Recover (R_5, R_6, R_7, R_8)

As can be seen from Eq. (6)-(9), R_5 , R_6 , R_7 , R_8 do not participate in the randomization process but simply record (by Xoring) the historical statuses of R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 respectively. Therefore, following steps allow a side-channel attacker, who is able to inject "1" to a certain bit of the register storing R_j , $5 \le j \le 8$, to recover (R_5 , R_6 , R_7 , R_8):

- 1. The attacker encrypts with a known IV and the target key to get a plaintext/cipher pair (P, C), where $P \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}, C \in \mathbb{F}_2^{16}$;
- 2. He resets HB-2 and initializes HB-2 with the same IV and key. At any time during this initialization, he injects "1" to the *q*th bit, $0 \le q \le 15$, of the register which stores R_5 . He then encrypts *P* and gets *C'*. If C = C' (which implies the injection does not change the internal states of HB-2), the attacker in fact learns that the *q*th bit of R_5 is 1; otherwise it is 0. He repeats this step for every *q* in $\{0, 1, ..., 15\}$ to recover R_5 ;
- 3. Step (2) can be repeated to recover R_6 , R_7 and R_8 ;

The cost of this injection attack to recover (R_5, R_6, R_7, R_8) is 64 injections and 64 invocations of HB-2 encryption. In addition, since the attacker has a large time window to perform the injection to the *q*th bit of R_j (any time during the *r*th iteration of the initialization), this side-channel attack seems quite practical.

B Visualization of Differential Sequences

Fig. 4 to Fig. 6 are the ones obtained from the last invocation of WD16 in the encryption with IV = (0, 0, 0, 0) and different keys randomly selected. Fig. 7 to Fig. 9 are the ones obtained from the last invocation of $WD16^{-1}$ in the decryption with IV = (0, 0, 0, 0) and different keys randomly selected. All of the sequences looks substantially different from each other, which exhibits their correlations to the underlying keys in an intuitive way.



Fig. 6. DS from Enc. using $(K_5, K_6, K_7, K_8) = (0x6b03, 0xcf0c, 0x1ba2, 0xdc27)$



Fig. 9. DS from Dec. using $(K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4) = (0x28dc, 0xbde1, 0x6e3d, 0xa56d)$

C The Set Φ_0

0x0	0x10	0x20	0x30	0x40	0x50	0x60	0x70	0x80	0x90	$0 \times a 0$	$0 \times b 0$	$0 \times c 0$	$0 \times d0$	$0 \ge e 0$	$0 \mathbf{x} f 0$	0x105	0x115	0x125	0x135	0x145
0x155	0x165	0x175	0x185	0x195	0x1a5	0x1b5	0x1c5	0x1d5	0x1e5	0x1f5	0x20a	0x21a	0x22a	0x23a	0x24a	0x25a	0x26a	0x27a	0x28a	0x29a
0x2aa 0x3ff	0x20a 0x401	0x2ca 0x411	0x2aa 0x421	0x2ea 0x431	$0x_2j_a$ $0x_441$	0x30J 0x451	0x31j 0x461	0x32J 0x471	0x33J 0x481	0x341	0x33J 0x4a1	0x30j 0x4b1	0x37J 0x4c1	0x38J 0x4d1	0x39J 0x4e1	0x3aJ 0x4f1	0x501	0x5cj 0x514	0x524	0x524
0x544	0x554	0x564	0x421 0x574	0x584	0x594	0x5a4	0x5b4	0x5c4	0x5d4	0x5e4	0x5f4	0x60b	0x61b	0x401 0x62b	0x63b	$0 \times 64b$	0x65b	0x66b	0x67b	0x68b
0x69b	0x6ab	0x6bb	0x6cb	0x6db	0x6eb	0x6fb	0x70e	0x71e	0x72e	0x73e	0x74e	0x75e	0x76e	0x77e	0x78e	0x79e	0x7ae	0x7be	0x7ce	0x7de
0x7ee	$0 \times 7 fe$	0x802	0x812	0x822	0x832	0x842	0x852	0x862	0x872	0x882	0x892	0x8a2	0x8b2	0x8c2	$0 \ge 8d2$	0x8e2	0x8f2	0x907	0x917	0x927
0x937	0x947	0×957	0x967	0x977	0x987	0x997	0x9a7	0x9b7	0x9c7	$0 \ge 9d7$	$0 \ge 9e7$	$0 \ge 9 f 7$	$0 \ge a 0 8$	$0 \ge a 18$	$0 \ge a \ge 8$	$0 \ge a 38$	0xa48	$0 \ge a 58$	$0 \ge a 68$	$0 \ge a78$
$0 \times a 88$	$0 \times a 98$	0xaa8	0xab8	0xac8	$0 \times a d 8$	0xae8	$0 \ge a f 8$	$0 \times b0d$	$0 \ge b 1 d$	$0 \ge b \ge d$	$0 \times b 3 d$	0xb4d	$0 \times b5d$	$0 \times b6d$	$0 \times b7d$	$0 \times b8d$	$0 \times b9d$	0xbad	$0 \times b b d$	$0 \mathbf{x} b c d$
0xbdd	0xbed	0 x b f d	0xc03	$0 \times c13$	$0 \times c23$	$0 \times c33$	0xc43	$0 \times c53$	0xc63	0xc73	0xc83	0xc93	0xca3	0xcb3	0xcc3	0xcd3	0xce3	0xcf3	$0 \times d06$	$0 \times d16$
$0 \times d26$	0xd36	0xd46	0xd56	0xd66	$0 \times d76$	$0 \times d86$	$0 \times d96$	Oxda6	$0 \times db 6$	0xdc6 0xf1a	0xdd6	Oxde6 Oxf2a	0xdf6	$0 \times e09$	Oxe19 Oxf6a	0 x e 29 0 x f 7 c	Oxe39	0xe49	Oxe59 Oxfaa	Oxe69 Oxfba
Oxfcc	0xeos 0xfdc	Oxfec	0xffc	0x1001	0x1011	0x1021	0x223	0xej5 0x1041	0x1051	0x1061	0x1071	0x1081	0x1091	0x10a1	0x10b1	0x10c1	0x10d1	0x10e1	0x10f1	0x1104
0x1114	0x1124	0x1134	0x1144	0x1154	0x1164	0x1174	0x1184	0x1194	0x11a4	0x11b4	0x11c4	0x11d4	0x11e4	0x10d1	0x120b	0x121b	0x122b	0x123b	0x10f1 0x124b	0x125b
0x126b	0x127b	0x128b	0x129b	0x12ab	0x12bb	0x12cb	0x12db	0x12eb	0x12fb	0x130e	0x131e	0x132e	0x133e	0x134e	0x135e	$0 \ge 136e$	0x137e	0x138e	0x139e	0x13ae
0x13be	$0 \ge 13 ce$	$0 \ge 13 de$	$0 \ge 13 ee$	$0 \ge 13 f e$	0x1400	0x1410	0x1420	0x1430	0x1440	0x1450	0x1460	0x1470	0x1480	0x1490	0x14a0	0x14b0	0x14c0	0x14d0	0x14e0	0x14f0
0x1505	0x1515	0x1525	0x1535	0x1545	0x1555	0x1565	0x1575	0x1585	0x1595	0x15a5	0x15b5	0x15c5	0x15d5	0x15e5	0x15f5	0x160a	0x161a	0x162a	0x163a	0x164a
0x165a	0x166a	0x167a	0x168a 0x17df	0x169a 0x17of	0x16aa 0x17ff	0x16ba	0x16ca	0x16da	0x16ea	0x16fa	0x170f	0x171f	0x172f	0x173f	0x174f	0x175f	0x176f	0x177f	0x178f	0x179f
0x18f3	0x1906	0x1916	0x1926	0x1936	0x1946	0x1956	0x1966	0x1976	0x1986	0x1996	0x19a6	0x19b6	0x19c6	0x1000	0x19e6	0x19f6	0x1a09	0x1a19	0x1a29	0x1a39
0x1a49	0x1a59	0x1a69	0x1a79	0x1a89	0x1a99	0x1aa9	0x1ab9	0x1ac9	0x1ad9	0x1ae9	0x1af9	0x1b0c	0x1b1c	$0 \ge 1b2c$	0x1b3c	0x1b4c	0x1b5c	0x1b6c	0x1b7c	$0 \ge 1b8c$
0x1b9c	0x1bac	$0 \ge 1 b b c$	$0 \ge 1 b c c$	$0 \ge 1 b d c$	$0 \ge 1 bec$	$0 \ge 1 b f c$	$0 \ge 1 c 0 2$	$0 \ge 1c12$	$0 \ge 1 c 22$	$0 \ge 1c32$	0x1c42	$0 \ge 1c52$	$0 \ge 1 c 6 2$	$0 \ge 1c72$	$0 \ge 1c82$	$0 \ge 1c92$	0x1ca2	$0 \ge 1 cb 2$	0x1cc2	$0 \ge 1 c d 2$
0x1ce2	$0 \ge 1 cf 2$	$0 \ge 1 d07$	$0 \ge 1 d 17$	$0 \ge 1d27$	0x1d37	0x1d47	$0 \ge 1d57$	$0 \ge 1 d 6 7$	$0 \ge 1 d77$	$0 \ge 1d87$	0x1d97	0x1da7	0x1db7	0x1dc7	$0 \ge 1 d d 7$	0x1de7	0x1df7	$0 \ge 1e08$	$0 \ge 1e18$	$0 \ge 1e28$
0x1e38	0x1e48	0x1e58	0x1e68	0x1e78	0x1e88	0x1e98	0x1ea8	0x1eb8	0x1ec8	0x1ed8	0x1ee8	0x1ef8	0x1f0d	0x1f1d	0x1f2d	0x1f3d	0x1f4d	0x1f5d	0x1f6d	0x1f7d
0x1f8d	0x1f9d	0x1fad 0x20f2	0x1fbd 0x2107	0x1fcd 0x2117	0x1fdd 0x2127	0x1fed 0x2127	0x1ffd 0x2147	0x2002	0x2012 0x2167	0x2022	0x2032	0x2042	0x2052	0x2062	0x2072 0x21a7	0x2082	0x2092	0x20a2 0x21f7	0x20b2	0x20c2
0x20a2	0x20e2	0x20j2 0x2248	0x2258	0x2268	0x2127 0x2278	0x2137	0x2147 0x2298	0x22a8	0x22b8	0x22c8	0x2187 0x22d8	0x22e8	0x21d7 0x22f8	0x2107 0x230d	0x231d	0x232d	0x233d	0x2111 0x234d	0x2208 0x235d	0x2218 0x236d
0x237d	0x238d	0x239d	0x23ad	0x23bd	0x23cd	0x23dd	0x23ed	0x23 fd	0x2403	0x2413	0x2423	0x2433	0x2443	0x2453	0x2463	0x2473	0x2483	0x2493	0x24a3	0x24b3
0x24c3	0x24d3	0x24e3	0x24f3	0x2506	0x2516	0x2526	0x2536	0x2546	0x2556	0x2566	0x2576	0x2586	0x2596	0x25a6	0x25b6	$0 \ge 25c6$	0x25d6	0x25e6	$0 \ge 25 f 6$	0x2609
0x2619	0x2629	0x2639	0x2649	0x2659	0x2669	0x2679	0x2689	0x2699	0x26a9	0x26b9	$0 \ge 26 c 9$	$0 \mathbf{x} 26 d9$	0x26e9	$0 \ge 26 f 9$	$0 \mathbf{x} 270 c$	$0 \ge 271c$	$0 \mathbf{x} 272 c$	$0 \ge 273 c$	0x274c	$0 \ge 275c$
0x276c	0x277c	0x278c	0x279c	0x27ac	0x27bc	0x27cc	0x27dc	0x27ec	$0 \ge 27 fc$	0x2800	0x2810	0x2820	0x2830	0x2840	0x2850	0x2860	0x2870	0x2880	0x2890	0x28a0
0x28b0	0x28c0	0x28d0	0x28e0	0x28f0	0x2905	0x2915	0x2925	0x2935	0x2945	0x2955	0x2965	0x2975	0x2985	0x2995	0x29a5	0x29b5	0x29c5	0x29d5	0x29e5	0x29f5
0x2a0a 0x2b5f	0x2a1a 0x2b6f	0x2a2a 0x2b7f	0x2a3a 0x2b8f	0x2a4a 0x2b9f	0x2a∋a 0x2ba f	0x2a0a 0x2bbf	0x2a/a 0x2bcf	0x2a8a 0x2hdf	0x2a9a 0x2bef	0x2aaa 0x2bff	0x2aba 0x2c01	$0x_2aca$ $0x_2c11$	0x2aaa 0x2c21	0x2aea 0x2c31	0x2aJa 0x2c41	0x200f 0x2c51	0x201f 0x2c61	$0x_{2}o_{2}f$ $0x_{2}c_{7}f$	$0x_{2}c_{81}$	$0x_{2c}04f$ $0x_{2c}01$
0x2ca1	$0 \times 2 c b 1$	0x2cc1	$0 \times 2 c d 1$	$0 \times 2 ce1$	$0 \times 2cf1$	0x2d04	0x2d14	0x2d24	0x2d34	0x2d44	0x2d54	0x2d64	0x2d74	0x2d84	0x2d94	0x2da4	0x2db4	0x2dc4	0x2dd4	0x2de4
0x2df4	$0 \ge 2e0b$	$0 \ge 2e1b$	0x2e2b	0x2e3b	0x2e4b	0x2e5b	0x2e6b	$0 \ge 2e7b$	$0 \ge 2e8b$	0x2e9b	0x2eab	0x2ebb	$0 \ge 2 e c b$	0x2edb	0x2eeb	0x2efb	0x2f0e	$0 \ge 2f 1e$	0x2f2e	0x2f3e
0x2f4e	$0 \ge 2f5e$	$0 \ge 2f6e$	$0 \ge 2f7e$	$0 \ge 2f 8e$	$0 \ge 2f9e$	0x2fae	$0 \ge 2 f b e$	$0 \ge 2 fce$	$0 \mathbf{x} 2 f de$	$0 \ge 2 f e e$	$0 \mathbf{x} 2 f f e$	0x3003	0x3013	0x3023	0x3033	0x3043	0x3053	0x3063	0x3073	0x3083
0x3093	0x30a3	0x30b3	0x30c3	0x30d3	0x30e3	0x30f3	0x3106	0x3116	0x3126	0x3136	0x3146	0x3156	0x3166	0x3176	0x3186	0x3196	0x31a6	0x31b6	0x31c6	0x31d6
0x31e6	0x31f6	0x3209	0x3219	0x3229	0x3239	0x3249	0x3259	0x3269	0x3279	0x3289	0x3299	0x32a9	0x3269	0x32c9	0x32d9	0x32e9	0x32f9	0x330c	0x331c	0x332c 0x3472
0x33332	0x3340 0x3492	0x334a2	0x330c 0x34b2	0x334c2	0x334d2	0x339c	0x334f2	0x3507	0x3517	0x3527	0x3537	0x3547	0x3402 0x3557	0x3412 0x3567	0x3422 0x3577	0x3432 0x3587	0x3442 0x3597	0x3452 0x35a7	0x3402 0x35b7	0x3472 0x35c7
0x35d7	0x35e7	0x35f7	0x3608	0x3618	0x3628	0x3638	0x3648	0x3658	0x3668	0x3678	0x3688	0x3698	0x36a8	0x36b8	0x36c8	0x36d8	0x36e8	0x36f8	0x370d	0x371d
0x372d	0x373d	0x374d	0x375d	0x376d	0x377d	0x378d	0x379d	0x37ad	0x37bd	0x37cd	0x37dd	0x37ed	0x37fd	0x3801	0x3811	0x3821	0x3831	0x3841	0x3851	0x3861
0x3871	0x3881	0x3891	0x38a1	0x38b1	0x38c1	0x38d1	0x38e1	0x38f1	0x3904	0x3914	0x3924	0x3934	0x3944	0x3954	0x3964	0x3974	0x3984	0x3994	0x39a4	0x39b4
0x39c4	0x39d4	0x39e4	0x39f4	0x3a0b	0x3a1b	0x3a2b	0x3a3b	0x3a4b	0x3a5b	0x3a6b	0x3a7b	0x3a8b	0x3a9b	0x3aab	0x3abb	0x3acb	0x3adb	0x3aeb	0x3afb	0x3b0e
0x3b1e	0x3b2e	0x3b3e	0x3b4e	0x3b5e	0x3b6e	0x3b7e	0x3b8e	0x369e	0x3bae	0x3bbe	0x3bce	0x3bde	0x3bee	0x3bfe	0x3c00	0x3c10	0x3c20	0x3c30	0x3c40	0x3c50
0x3db5	0x3dc5	0x3c80 0x3dd5	0x3de5	0x3df5	0x3c00	0x3cc0 0x3e1a	0x3c20	0x3ce0	0x3c10	0x3e5a	0x3e6a	0x3a25 0x3e7a	0x3e8a	0x3e9a	0x3eaa	0x3eba	0x3eca	0x3eda	0x3eea	0x3efa
0x3f0f	0x3 f1 f	0x3f2f	0x3f3f	0x3f4f	0x3f5f	0x3f6f	0x3f7f	0x3f8f	0x3f9f	0x3faf	0x3 f b f	0x3fcf	0x3fdf	0x3fef	0x3fff	0x4001	0x4011	0x4021	0x4031	0x4041
0x4051	0x4061	0x4071	0x4081	0x4091	0x40a1	0x40b1	0x40c1	$0 \ge 40 d1$	0x40e1	$0 \ge 40 f 1$	0x4104	0x4114	0x4124	0x4134	0x4144	0x4154	0x4164	0x4174	0x4184	0x4194
0x41a4	0x41b4	0x41c4	0x41d4	0x41e4	0x41f4	0x420b	0x421b	0x422b	0x423b	0x424b	0x425b	0x426b	0x427b	0x428b	0x429b	0x42ab	0x42bb	0x42cb	0x42db	0x42eb
0x42fb	0x430e	0x431e	0x432e	0x433e	0x434e	0x435e	0x436e	0x437e	0x438e	0x439e	0x43ae	0x43be	0x43ce	0x43de	0x43ee	0x43fe	0x4400	0x4410	0x4420	0x4430
0x4440	0x4450	0x4460	0x4470 0x45a5	0x4480 0x45d5	0x4490	0x44a0	0x44b0	0x44c0	0x44d0	0x44e0	0x44f0	0x4505	0x4515	0x4525 0x467a	0x4535	0x4545	0x4555	0x4565	0x4575 0x46aa	0x4585 0x46da
0x4555	0x45u5 0x46fa	0x4303	0x4323	0x43u3 0x472f	0x43e3 0x473f	0x43f3 0x474f	0x400a 0x475f	0x401a 0x476f	0x402 <i>a</i> 0x477 <i>f</i>	0x403a 0x478f	0x404a 0x479f	0x403a 0x47af	0x400 <i>a</i> 0x47 <i>b</i> f	0x407a 0x47cf	0x408u 0x47df	0x405a 0x47ef	0x40aa 0x47ff	0x4803	0x402a 0x4813	0x4823
0x4833	0x4843	0x4853	0x4863	0x4873	0x4883	0x4893	0x48a3	0x48b3	0x48c3	0x48d3	0x48e3	0x48f3	0x4906	0x4916	0x4926	0x4936	0x4946	0x4956	0x4966	0x4976
0x4986	0x4996	0x49a6	0x49b6	0x49c6	0x49d6	0x49e6	0x49f6	0x4a09	0x4a19	0x4a29	0x4a39	0x4a49	0x4a59	0x4a69	0x4a79	0x4a89	0x4a99	0x4aa9	0x4ab9	0x4ac9
0x4ad9	0x4ae9	0x4af9	0x4b0c	0x4b1c	0x4b2c	0x4b3c	0x4b4c	0x4b5c	0x4b6c	0x4b7c	0x4b8c	0x4b9c	0x4bac	0x4bbc	0x4bcc	0x4bdc	0x4bec	0x4bfc	0x4c02	0x4c12
0x4c22	0x4c32	0x4c42	0x4c52	0x4c62	0x4c72	0x4c82	0x4c92	0x4ca2	0x4cb2	0x4cc2	0x4cd2	0x4ce2	0x4cf2	0x4d07	0x4d17	0x4d27	0x4d37	0x4d47	0x4d57	0x4d67
0x4e/7	0x4a87 0x4ed9	0x4a97 0x4ee8	0x4aa7 0x4ef9	0x4a07 0x4f04	0x4ac7 0x4f14	0x4ad7 0x4f94	0x4ae7 0x4f24	0x4aj7 0x4f14	0x4e08	0x4e18 0x4f64	0x4e28 0x4f74	0x4e38 0x4f84	0x4e48	0x4ep8	0x4e68 0x4fbd	0x4e78	0x4e88 0x4fd4	0x4e98	0x4ea8 0x4ffJ	0x4e08 0x5000
0x5010	0x5020	0x5030	0x5040	0x5050	0x5060	0x4j2a 0x5070	0x5080	0x5090	0x50a0	0x50b0	0x50c0	0x50d0	0x50e0	0x50f0	0x5105	0x5115	0x5125	0x5135	0x5145	0x5155
0x5165	0x5175	0x5185	0x5195	0x51a5	0x51b5	0x51c5	0x51d5	0x51e5	0x51f5	0x520a	0x521a	0x522a	0x523a	0x524a	0x525a	0x526a	0x527a	0x528a	0x529a	0x52aa
0x52ba	0x52ca	0x52da	0x52ea	0x52fa	$0 \mathrm{x} 530 f$	0x531f	$0 \ge 532 f$	$0 \mathbf{x} 533 f$	0x534f	$0 \ge 535 f$	$0 \mathrm{x} 536 f$	$0 \mathrm{x} 537 f$	0x538f	$0 \ge 539 f$	$0 \mathrm{x} 53 a f$	$0 \ge 53 b f$	$0 \mathrm{x} 53 cf$	$0 \mathrm{x} 53 df$	$0 \mathrm{x} 53 ef$	$0 \ge 53 f f$
0x5401	0x5411	0x5421	0x5431	0x5441	0x5451	0x5461	0x5471	0x5481	0x5491	0x54a1	0x54b1	0x54c1	0x54d1	0x54e1	0x54f1	0x5504	0x5514	0x5524	0x5534	0x5544
0x5554	0x5564	0x5574	0x5584	0x5594	0x55a4	0x55b4	0x55c4	0x55d4	0x55e4	0x55f4	0x560b	0x561b	0x562b	0x563b	0x564b	0x565b	0x566b	0x567b	0x568b	0x569b
0x57fc	0x5802	0x5812	0x5822	0x5832	0x5849	0x5852	0x5862	0x572e 0x5872	0x5882	0x5802	0x58a2	0x5859	0x58c2	0x5849	0x58e2	0x58f9	0x5907	0x5017	0x5027	0x5037
0x5947	0x5957	0x5967	0x5977	0x5987	0x5997	0x59a7	0x59b7	0x59c7	0x59d7	0x59e7	0x59f7	0x5a08	0x5a18	0x5a28	0x5a38	0x5a48	0x5a58	0x5a68	0x5a78	0x5a88
0x5a98	0x5aa8	0x5ab8	0x5ac8	0x5ad8	0x5ae8	0x5af8	0x5b0d	0x5b1d	0x5b2d	0x5b3d	0x5b4d	0x5b5d	0x5b6d	0x5b7d	0x5b8d	0x5b9d	0x5bad	0x5bbd	0x5bcd	0x5bdd
0x5bed	$0 \mathbf{x} 5 b f d$	$0 \ge 5c03$	$0 \ge 5c13$	$0 \ge 5c23$	$0 \ge 5c33$	$0 \ge 5c43$	$0 \ge 5c53$	$0 \ge 5c63$	$0 \ge 5c73$	$0 \ge 5c83$	0x5c93	0x5ca3	0x5cb3	0x5cc3	0x5cd3	0x5ce3	$0 \ge 5 cf3$	0x5d06	$0 \mathbf{x} 5 d16$	0x5d26
0x5d36	0x5d46	0x5d56	0x5d66	0x5d76	0x5d86	0x5d96	0x5da6	0x5db6	0x5dc6	0x5dd6	0x5de6	0x5df6	0x5e09	0x5e19	0x5e29	0x5e39	0x5e49	0x5e59	0x5e69	0x5e79
Ux5e89	0x5e99	Ox5ea9	0x5eb9	0x5ec9	Ux5ed9	Uxbee9	Uxbef9	0x5f0c	Ux5f1c	0x5f2c	0x5f3c	0x5f4c	0x5f5c	0x5f6c	0x5f7c	Ux5f8c	0x5f9c	0x5fac	Ux5fbc	Ux5fcc
0x6126	0x8136	0x6146	0x6156	0x6166	0x6176	0x6186	0x6196	0x61a6	0x61b6	0x61c6	0x61d6	0x61e6	0x61f6	0x6200	0x6210	0x6220	0x6230	0x6240	0x6250	0x6260
0x6279	0x6289	0x6299	0x62a9	0x62b9	0x62c9	0x62d9	0x62e9	0x62 f 9	0x630c	0x631c	0x632c	0x633c	0x634c	0x635c	0x636c	0x637c	0x638c	0x639c	0x63ac	0x63bc
0x63cc	$0 \ge 63 dc$	0x63ec	$0 \ge 63 fc$	0x6402	0x6412	0x6422	0x6432	0x6442	0x6452	0x6462	0x6472	0x6482	0x6492	0x64a2	0x64b2	0x64c2	0x64d2	0x64e2	$0 \ge 64 f 2$	0x6507
0x6517	0x6527	0x6537	0x6547	0x6557	0x6567	0x6577	0x6587	0x6597	$0 \ge 65 a 7$	$0 \ge 65b7$	$0 \ge 65 c7$	$0 \ge 65 d7$	$0 \ge 65 e7$	$0 \ge 65 f7$	0x6608	0x6618	0x6628	0x6638	0x6648	0x6658
0x6668	0x6678	0x6688	0x6698	0x66a8	0x66b8	0x66c8	0x66d8	0x66e8	0x66f8	0x670d	0x671d	0x672d	0x673d	0x674d	0x675d	0x676d	0x677d	0x678d	0x679d	0x67ad
UX676d	0x67 <i>cd</i>	0x6724	0x67ed	0x67fd	0x6801	0x6811	0x6821	0x6831	0x6841	0x6851	0x6861	0x6871	0x6881	0x6891	0x68a1	0x6861	0x68c1	0x68d1	0x68e1	0x68f1
0x6a5b	0x0914 0x6a6b	0x0924 0x6a7h	0x6a8h	0x6a94	0x0904 0x6aab	0x0904 0x6abb	0x0974 0x6ach	0x0984 0x6adh	0x6aeb	0x6afh	0x6504	0x651e	0x652e	0x0984 0x6h3e	0x09/4 0x6h4e	0x6h5e	0x6h6e	0x6h7e	0x648e	0x6h9e
0x6bae	0x6bbe	0x6bce	0x6bde	0x6bee	0x6bfe	0x6c00	0x6c10	0x6c20	0x6c30	0x6c40	0x6c50	0x6c60	0x6c70	0x6c80	0x6c90	0x6ca0	0x6cb0	0x6cc0	0x6cd0	0x6ce0
$0 \times 6 c f 0$	$0 \ge 6 d 0 5$	$0 \ge 6d15$	$0 \ge 6d25$	$0 \ge 6d35$	$0 \ge 6d45$	$0 \ge 6d55$	$0 \ge 6d65$	$0 \ge 6d75$	$0 \ge 6d85$	$0 \ge 6d95$	0x6da5	$0 \ge 6 db 5$	$0 \ge 6 dc 5$	$0 \ge 6 dd 5$	$0 \ge 6 de_5$	$0 \ge 6 df 5$	0x6e0a	0x6e1a	0x6e2a	0x6e3a
0x6e4a	$0 \ge 6e5a$	$0 \ge 6e6a$	$0 \ge 6e7a$	$0 \ge 6e8a$	$0 \ge 6e9a$	0x6eaa	$0 \ge 6 e b a$	$0 \ge 6 e c a$	$0 \ge 6 e da$	$0 \ge 6 eea$	$0 \ge 6 efa$	$0 \mathbf{x} 6 f 0 f$	$0 \ge 6 f 1 f$	$0 \ge 6f 2f$	$0 \ge 6f3f$	$0 \times 6f4f$	$0 \ge 6f 5f$	$0 \ge 6f6f$	$0 \ge 6 f 7 f$	$0 \ge 6f 8f$
0x6f9f	0x6faf	$0 \times 6 f b f$	0x6fcf	$0 \times 6 f df$	0x6fef	0x6fff	0x7002	0x7012	0x7022	0x7032	0x7042	0x7052	0x7062	0x7072	0x7082	0x7092	0x70a2	0x70b2	0x70c2	0x70d2
Ux70e2	0x70f2	0x7107	0x7117	0x7127	0x7137	0x7147	0x7157	0x7167	0x7177	0x7187	0x7197	0x71a7	0x71b7	0x71c7	0x71d7	0x71e7	0x71f7	0x7208	0x7218	0x7228
071238	011240	011208	071208	021218	JA1208	071298	JA1248	011208	JA1208	JA1248	011268	021218	UL 1 30a	JA1318	JAIJZA	021338	JA134d	0x130a	JA1304	ULISIA

I	0x738d	0x739d	0x73ad	0x73bd	0x73cd	0x73dd	0x73ed	$0 \ge 73 f d$	0x7403	0x7413	0x7423	0x7433	0x7443	0x7453	0x7463	0x7473	0x7483	0x7493	0x74a3	0x74b3	0x74c3
	0x74d3	0x74e3	0x74f3	0x7506	0x7516	0x7526	0x7536	0x7546	0x7556	0x7566	0x7576	0x7586	0x7596	0x75a6	0x75b6	$0 \ge 75c6$	$0 \ge 75d6$	$0 \ge 75e6$	0x75f6	0x7609	0x7619
	0x7629	0x7639	0x7649	0x7659	0x7669	0x7679	0x7689	0x7699	0x76a9	0x76b9	0x76c9	0x76d9	0x76e9	0x76f9	0x770c	0x771c	0x772c	0x773c	0x774c	0x775c	0x776c
	0x78c0	0x718C	0x78e0	0x77ac 0x78f0	0x7905	0x7915	0x7925	0x7935	0x7945	0x7955	0x7965	0x7975	0x7985	0x7840	0x7830	0x7800	0x79c5	0x79d5	0x7890	0x7840 0x79f5	0x7a0a
	0x7620	0x7a2a	0x7620	0x7050 0x7a4a	0x7a5a	0x7a6a	0x7 <i>a</i> 7 <i>a</i>	0x7a8a	0x7a9a	0x7aaa	0x7aba	0x7aca	0x7ada	0x7350 0x7aea	0x7afa	0x7500 f	0x7500	0x7525	0x7525 0x753 f	0x754f	0x7b5f
1	0x7b6f	$0 \ge 7b7f$	0x7b8f	0x7b9f	0x7baf	$0 \ge 7bbf$	$0 \ge 7 b c f$	0x7bdf	0x7bef	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 b f f$	$0 \times 7c01$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 c 11$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 c 21$	0x7c31	$0 \times 7c41$	$0 \times 7c51$	$0 \times 7c61$	$0 \times 7c71$	0x7c81	$0 \times 7c91$	0x7ca1
	0x7cb1	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 cc 1$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 c d1$	$0 \ge 7 ce 1$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 c f 1$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 d04$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 d14$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 d24$	0x7d34	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 d 4 4$	0x7d54	0x7d64	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 d74$	0x7d84	0x7d94	0x7da4	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 db 4$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 dc 4$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 d d 4$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 de 4$	$0 \mathbf{x} 7 df 4$
	0x7e0b	0x7e1b	0x7e2b	0x7e3b	0x7e4b	0x7e5b	0x7e6b	0x7e7b	0x7e8b	0x7e9b	0x7eab	0x7ebb	0x7ecb	0x7edb	0x7eeb	0x7efb	$0 \ge 7f0e$	$0 \ge 7f1e$	0x7f2e	0x7f3e	0x7f4e
	0x7f5e	0x7f6e	0x7f7e	0x7f8e	0x7f9e	0x7fae	0x7fbe	0x7fce	0x7fde	0x7fee	0x7ffe	0x8002	0x8012	0x8022	0x8032	0x8042	0x8052	0x8062	0x8072	0x8082	0x8092
	0x80a2 0x81f7	0x8002 0x8208	0x80c2 0x8218	0x80a2 0x8228	0x80e2 0x8238	0x80f2 0x8248	0x8107 0x8258	0x8117 0x8268	0x8127 0x8278	0x8137 0x8288	0x8147 0x8298	0x8157 0x82a8	0x8167 0x82b8	0x8177 0x82c8	0x8187 0x82d8	0x8197 0x82e8	0x81a7 0x82f8	0x8107 0x830d	0x81c7 0x831d	0x81a7 0x832d	0x81e7 0x833d
	0x834d	0x835d	0x836d	0x837d	0x838d	0x839d	0x83ad	0x83bd	0x83cd	0x83dd	0x83ed	0x83fd	0x8403	0x8413	0x8423	0x8433	0x8443	0x8453	0x8463	0x8473	0x8483
	0x8493	0x84a3	0x84b3	0x84c3	0x84d3	0x84e3	0x84f3	0x8506	0x8516	0x8526	0x8536	0x8546	0x8556	0x8566	0x8576	0x8586	0x8596	$0 \ge 85a6$	0x85b6	$0 \ge 85c6$	0x85d6
	0x85e6	$0 \ge 85 f 6$	0x8609	0x8619	0x8629	0x8639	0x8649	0x8659	0x8669	0x8679	0x8689	0x8699	0x86a9	0x86b9	0x86c9	0x86d9	$0 \ge 86e9$	$0 \ge 86 f 9$	0x870c	$0 \ge 871c$	0x872c
	0x873c	0x874c	0x875c	0x876c	0x877c	0x878c	0x879c	0x87ac	0x87bc	0x87cc	0x87dc	0x87ec	0x87fc	0x8800	0x8810	0x8820	0x8830	0x8840	0x8850	0x8860	0x8870
	0x8880	0x8890	0x88a0	0x8860	0x88c0	0x88d0	0x88e0	0x88f0	0x8905	0x8915	0x8925	0x8935	0x8945	0x8955	0x8965	0x8975	0x8985	0x8995	0x89a5	0x89b5	0x89c5
	0x8525	0x8b3f	0x8b4f	0x8b5f	0x8b6f	0x8b7 f	0x8b8f	0x8b9f	0x8baf	0x8bbf	0x8bcf	0x8u8u 0x8bdf	0x8bef	0x8aaa 0x8bff	0x8c01	0x8c11	0x8c21	0x8c31	0x8c41	0x8c51	0x8c61
	0x8c71	0x8c81	0x8c91	0x8ca1	$0 \times 8 cb1$	0x8cc1	$0 \times 8 c d1$	$0 \times 8 ce1$	$0 \times 8 cf1$	0x8d04	0x8d14	0x8d24	0x8d34	0x8d44	0x8d54	0x8d64	$0 \ge 8d74$	0x8d84	0x8d94	0x8da4	0x8db4
	0x8dc4	0x8dd4	0x8de4	0x8df4	0x8e0b	0x8e1b	0x8e2b	0x8e3b	0x8e4b	0x8e5b	0x8e6b	0x8e7b	0x8e8b	0x8e9b	0x8eab	0x8ebb	0x8ecb	0x8edb	0x8eeb	0x8efb	0x8f0e
	$0 \ge 8 f 1 e$	$0 \ge 8f2e$	0x8f3e	$0 \ge 8f4e$	$0 \ge 8f5e$	$0 \ge 8f6e$	$0 \ge 8 f7e$	$0 \ge 8f 8e$	$0 \ge 8 f 9 e$	0x8fae	0x8fbe	0x8fce	0x8fde	0x8fee	$0 \ge 8 f f e$	0x9003	0x9013	0x9023	0x9033	0x9043	0x9053
	0x9063	0x9073	0x9083	0x9093	0x90a3	0x90b3	0x90c3	0x90d3	0x90e3	0x90f3	0x9106	0x9116	0x9126	0x9136	0x9146	0x9156	0x9166	0x9176	0x9186	0x9196	0x91a6
	0x9100	0x9120	0x9120	0x933c	0x9170	0x9209	0x9219 0x936c	0x9229 0x937c	0x9239 0x938c	0x9249	0x9259 0x93ac	0x9209 0x93bc	0x9279 0x93cc	0x9289 0x93dc	0x9299	0x92u9 0x93fc	0x9209 0x9402	0x92C9 0x9412	0x92a9 0x9422	0x92e9 0x9432	0x92/9 0x9442
	0x9452	0x9462	0x9472	0x9482	0x9492	0x94a2	0x94b2	0x94c2	0x94d2	0x94e2	0x94f2	0x9507	0x9517	0x9527	0x9537	0x9547	0x9557	0x9567	0x9577	0x9587	0x9597
1	0x95a7	$0 \ge 95b7$	$0 \ge 95c7$	$0 \ge 95 d7$	$0 \ge 95e7$	$0 \ge 95 f 7$	0x9608	0x9618	0x9628	0x9638	0x9648	0x9658	0x9668	0x9678	0x9688	0x9698	0x96a8	$0 \ge 96b8$	$0 \ge 96c8$	$0 \ge 96 d 8$	$0 \ge 96e8$
	0x96f8	0x970d	0x971d	0x972d	0x973d	0x974d	$0 \ge 975d$	0x976d	0x977d	0x978d	0x979d	0x97ad	0x97bd	0x97cd	0x97dd	0x97ed	$0 \ge 97 f d$	0x9801	0x9811	0x9821	0x9831
	0x9841	0x9851	0x9861	0x9871	0x9881	0x9891	0x98a1	0x9861	0x98c1	0x98d1	0x98e1	0x98f1	0x9904	0x9914	0x9924	0x9934	0x9944	0x9954	0x9964	0x9974	0x9984
	0x99994	0x99a4 0x9afb	0x9904 0x9b0e	0x9964 0x9b1e	0x9944 0x9b2e	0x9924 0x9b3e	0x9954 0x954e	0x9400 0x9b5e	0x9410 0x9b6e	0x9420 0x9b7e	0x9430 0x9b8e	0x940 0x9b9e	0x9450 0x9bae	0x9400 0x9bbe	0x9hce	0x9480 0x9bde	0x9490	0x9aao 0x9bfe	0x9c00	0x9ac0	0x9aa0
J	$0 \times 9c30$	0x9c40	$0 \times 9c50$	0x9c60	0x9c70	$0 \times 9c80$	0x9c90	0x9ca0	0x9cb0	$0 \times 9 cc0$	0x9cd0	0x9ce0	0x9cf0	0x9d05	0x9d15	0x9d25	0x9d35	0x9d45	0x9d55	0x9d65	0x9d75
ļ	0x9d85	0x9d95	0x9da5	0x9db5	0x9dc5	0x9dd5	0x9de5	0x9df5	$0 \ge 9e0a$	0x9e1a	0x9e2a	0x9e3a	0x9e4a	0x9e5a	0x9e6a	0x9e7a	0x9e8a	0x9e9a	0x9eaa	0x9eba	0x9eca
ļ	0x9eda	0x9eea	0x9efa	0x9f0f	$0 \ge 9f 1f$	$0 \ge 9f 2f$	0x9f3f	$0 \ge 9f4f$	0x9f5f	$0 \ge 9f6f$	0x9f7f	0x9f8f	0x9f9f	0x9faf	0x9fbf	0x9fcf	0x9fdf	0x9fef	0x9fff	$0 \ge a = 0.000$	$0 \ge a = 0.010$
J	0 x a 0 20	$0 \times a 0 3 0$	$0 \times a 040$	$0 \times a 050$	0xa060	$0 \times a070$	$0 \times a 0 \otimes 0$	0xa090	0xa0a0	Uxa0b0	0 x a 0 c 0	$0 \times a 0 d 0$	$0 \times a 0 = 0$	$0 \times a 0 f 0$	0xa105	0xa115	0xa125	0xa135	0xa145	0xa155	0xa165
J	0xa2cc	$0 \times a^2 dc$	0xa195 0xa2ec	0xa2fa	0xa105 0xa30f	0xa31 f	$0 \times a^{1a5}$	0xa33f	0xa34 f	$0 \times a 35^{f}$	0xa21a 0xa36 f	0xa22a 0xa37f	0xa23a 0xa38f	0xa24a 0xa39f	0xa25a 0xa3af	0xa2ba 0xa3bf	0xa2ra 0xa3cf	0xa28a 0xa3df	0xa29a 0xa3ef	0xa2aa 0xa2ff	0xa2ba 0xa401
J	0xa411	0xa421	0xa431	0xa441	0xa451	0xa461	0xa471	0xa481	0xa491	0xa4a1	0xa4b1	0xa4c1	0xa4d1	0xa4e1	0xa4f1	$0 \times a 504$	$0 \times a 514$	$0 \times a 524$	$0 \times a 534$	$0 \times a 544$	0xa554
ļ	$0 \times a564$	$0 \ge a 574$	$0 \ge a \le 34$	$0 \ge a 594$	0xa5a4	$0 \ge a \le b \le 4$	$0 \ge a \le c \le d$	$0 \ge a \le d \le$	$0 \ge a \le e 4$	$0 \ge a \le f 4$	$0 \ge a \le 0 b$	$0 \ge a 61b$	$0 \ge a 62b$	$0 \ge a = 63b$	$0 \times a 6 4 b$	$0 \ge a 65 b$	$0 \ge a = 66b$	$0 \ge a 67b$	$0 \ge a 68b$	$0 \ge a 69b$	$0 \ge a 6 a b$
ļ	$0 \times a6bb$	$0 \ge a 6 c b$	$0 \ge a 6 d b$	$0 \ge a 6 e b$	$0 \ge a 6 f b$	$0 \ge a70e$	$0 \ge a71e$	$0 \ge a72e$	$0 \ge a73e$	$0 \ge a74e$	$0 \ge a75e$	$0 \ge a76e$	$0 \ge a = 77e$	$0 \ge a78e$	$0 \ge a79e$	0xa7ae	$0 \ge a7be$	$0 \ge a7ce$	$0 \ge a7 de$	0xa7ee	$0 \ge a7 f e$
	0xa802	0xa812	0xa822	0xa832	0xa842	0xa852	0xa862	0xa872	0xa882	0xa892	0xa8a2	$0 \times a \times b \times 2$	0xa8c2	$0 \times a \otimes d 2$	0xa8e2	0xa8f2	0xa907	0xa917	0xa927	0xa937	0xa947
	0xa957	0xa967 0xaab8	0xa977	0xa987 0xaad8	0xa997	0xa9a7 0xaaf8	0xa907 0xab0d	0xa9c7 0xab1d	0xa9a7 0xab2d	Oxab2d	0xa9f7 0xab4d	0xab5d	0xab6d	0xaa28 0xab7d	0xab8d	0xab9d	0xabad	0xabbd	0xaar8 0xabcd	0xabdd	Oxabed
	0xabfd	$0 \times a c 0 3$	0xac13	0xac23	0xac33	0xac43	$0 \times a c 53$	$0 \times ac63$	0xac73	0xac83	0xac93	0xaca3	0xacb3	0xacc3	0xacd3	0xace3	0xacf3	0xad06	0xad16	0xad26	0xad36
	$0 \times a d 46$	$0 \ge a d 5 6$	$0 \ge ad66$	$0 \ge a d76$	$0 \ge a d 8 6$	$0 \ge a d 9 6$	$0 \ge a d a 6$	$0 \ge a d b 6$	$0 \ge a d c 6$	0xadd6	0xade6	0xadf6	$0 \ge a e 0 9$	$0 \ge a e 19$	$0 \ge a e 29$	$0 \ge ae39$	$0 \ge a e 49$	$0 \ge ae59$	$0 \ge a = 69$	$0 \ge ae79$	0xae89
	0xae99	$0 \ge a e a 9$	0xaeb9	$0 \ge a e c 9$	0xaed9	$0 \ge a e e 9$	$0 \ge a e f 9$	$0 \ge a f 0 c$	$0 \ge a f 1 c$	$0 \ge a f 2c$	$0 \ge a f 3c$	0xaf4c	$0 \ge a f 5 c$	$0 \ge a f 6 c$	$0 \ge a f 7 c$	$0 \ge a f 8 c$	$0 \ge a f 9 c$	$0 \ge a f a c$	$0 \ge a f b c$	$0 \ge a f c c$	0xafdc
	0xafec	0xaffc	0xb001	0xb011	$0 \times b021$	0xb031	$0 \times b041$	$0 \times b051$	$0 \times b061$	$0 \times b071$	$0 \times b 0 8 1$	$0 \times b 0 9 1$	$0 \times b0 a1$	$0 \times b 0 b 1$	$0 \times b 0 c 1$	$0 \times b 0 d 1$	$0 \times b 0 e 1$	$0 \times b 0 f 1$	0xb104	0xb114	0xb124
	0x0134 0xb28b	0x0144 0xb29b	0xb2ab	0x0104 0xb2bb	0x0174 0xb2ch	0xb2db	0x0194 0xb2eb	0xb2fb	0xb30e	0xb31e	0xb32e	0xb33e	0x01j4 0xb34e	0xb25e	0x0210 0xb36e	0x0220 0xb37e	0x0230 0xb38e	0x0240 0xb39e	0x0250 0xb3ae	0xb2bb 0xb3be	0x0270 0xb3ce
	0xb3de	0xb3ee	0xb3fe	0xb400	0xb410	0xb420	0xb430	0xb210	0xb450	0xb460	0xb470	0xb480	0xb490	0xb4a0	0xb3bc 0xb4b0	0xb4c0	0xb4d0	0xb3bc 0xb4e0	0xb4f0	0xb505	0xb515
	$0 \ge b \le 25$	$0 \ge b 535$	$0 \ge b 5 4 5$	$0 \ge b 555$	$0 \ge b \le 65$	$0 \ge b 575$	$0 \ge b 5 8 5$	$0 \ge b 5 9 5$	$0 \ge b \le a \le a \le b \le$	$0 \ge b \le b$	$0 \ge b \le c \le$	$0 \ge b 5 d 5$	$0 \ge b5e5$	$0 \ge b \le f \le f \le b \le f \le b \le b \le b \le b \le b \le$	$0 \ge b \le 0 a$	$0 \ge b \le 1a$	$0 \ge b 62a$	$0 \ge b 63a$	$0 \ge b 64a$	$0 \ge b 65 a$	$0 \ge b 66 a$
	$0 \times b67a$	$0 \ge b \le a$	$0 \ge b \le 9a$	$0 \ge 6 a a$	$0 \times b6 ba$	$0 \ge b6 ca$	$0 \ge b 6 da$	$0 \ge b6ea$	$0 \ge b 6 f a$	$0 \ge b70 f$	$0 \ge b71 f$	$0 \ge b72 f$	$0 \ge b73 f$	$0 \ge b74 f$	$0 \ge b75 f$	$0 \ge b76 f$	$0 \ge b77 f$	$0 \ge b78 f$	$0 \ge b79 f$	$0 \ge b7 a f$	$0 \ge b7bf$
	0xb7cf	0xb7df	0xb7ef	0xb7ff	0xb803	0xb813	0xb823	0xb833	0xb843	0xb853	0xb863	0xb873	0xb883	0xb893	0xb8a3	0xb8b3	0xb8c3	0xb8d3	0xb8e3	0xb8f3	0xb906
	0xba69	0xba79	0xba89	0xba99	0xbaa9	0xbab9	0xbac9	0xbad9	0xbae9	0xbaf9	0xbb0c	0xbb1c	0xbb2c	0xbb3c	0xbb4c	0xbb5c	0xbb6c	0xbb7c	0xbb8c	0xbb9c	0xbbac
	0xbbbc	0xbbcc	0xbbdc	0xbbec	0xbbfc	$0 \times b c 0 2$	0xbc12	0xbc22	0xbc32	0xbc42	$0 \times bc52$	$0 \times bc62$	0xbc72	$0 \times bc82$	0xbc92	0xbca2	0xbcb2	0xbcc2	0xbcd2	0xbce2	0xbcf2
	$0 \times b d 0 7$	$0 \ge b d 17$	$0 \ge bd27$	$0 \ge b d 37$	$0 \times b d 47$	$0 \ge bd57$	$0 \ge b d 67$	$0 \ge bd77$	$0 \ge b d 87$	$0 \ge bd97$	$0 \times b da7$	$0 \ge b d b 7$	$0 \mathbf{x} b d c 7$	$0 \ge b d d 7$	$0 \mathrm{xbde7}$	$0 \ge b df 7$	$0 \ge 0 \ge$	$0 \ge be18$	$0 \ge be28$	$0 \ge be 38$	0xbe48
	$0 \ge be 58$	$0 \times be 68$	$0 \ge be78$	$0 \times be 88$	$0 \times be 98$	$0 \ge 0 \ge 0 \ge 0$	$0 \ge beb = 8$	$0 \times bec 8$	$0 \times bed 8$	0xbee8	$0 \times bef 8$	$0 \mathbf{x} b f 0 d$	$0 \ge b f 1 d$	$0 \ge b f 2d$	$0 \ge b f 3 d$	$0 \ge b f 4 d$	$0 \ge b f 5 d$	$0 \ge b f 6 d$	$0 \ge b f 7 d$	$0 \ge b f 8 d$	$0 \times b f 9 d$
	0xbfad	$0 \times b f b d$	0xbfcd	0xbfdd	0xbfed	$0 \times b f f d$	0xc003	0xc013	$0 \times c023$	0xc033	0xc043	$0 \ge c = 0.53$	$0 \ge c = 1 = 6$	$0 \ge c = 1 = 6$	$0 \ge c = 1 d c$	0xc093	0xc0a3	$0 \times c0b3$	0xc0c3	$0 \times c0 d3$	0xc0e3
	0xc0js 0xc249	0xc259	0xc269	0xc120 0xc279	0xc130 0xc289	0xc299	0xc2a9	0xc2b9	0xc2c9	0xc180 0xc2d9	0xc2e9	0xc2f9	0xc30c	0xc31c	0xc32c	0xc33c	0xc34c	0xc209 0xc35c	0xc219 0xc36c	0xc229 0xc37c	0xc239
	0xc39c	0xc3ac	0xc3bc	0xc3cc	$0 \times c 3 d c$	0xc3ec	$0 \times c 3 f c$	0xc402	0xc412	0xc422	0xc432	0xc442	0xc452	0xc462	0xc472	0xc482	0xc492	0xc4a2	0xc4b2	0xc4c2	0xc4d2
	0xc4e2	$0 \ge c 4 f 2$	$0 \ge c \le 0.07$	$0 \ge c517$	$0 \ge c527$	$0 \ge c 537$	$0 \times c547$	$0 \ge c \le 557$	$0 \ge c \le 67$	$0 \ge c577$	$0 \ge c 587$	$0 \ge c 597$	$0 \ge c \le a = 7$	$0 \ge c5b7$	$0 \ge c5c7$	$0 \ge c5d7$	$0 \ge c5e7$	$0 \ge c 5 f 7$	$0 \ge c608$	$0 \ge c 618$	$0 \ge c 628$
	0xc638	$0 \ge c 648$	$0 \ge c 658$	$0 \ge c668$	$0 \ge c678$	$0 \ge c688$	$0 \ge c 698$	$0 \ge c6a8$	$0 \ge c6b8$	$0 \ge c6c8$	$0 \ge c 6 d 8$	$0 \ge c6e8$	$0 \ge c 6 f 8$	$0 \ge c70 d$	$0 \ge c71d$	$0 \ge c72d$	$0 \ge c73d$	$0 \ge c74d$	$0 \ge c75d$	$0 \ge c76d$	$0 \ge c77d$
	$0 \times c78d$	$0 \times c79d$	0xc7ad	$0 \times c7bd$	0xc7cd	$0 \times c7 dd$	0xc7ed	$0 \times c7 fd$	0xc801	0xc811	0xc821	0xc831	0xc841	$0 \times c 851$	$0 \times c \otimes 61$	0xc871	$0 \times c 881$	0xc891	$0 \times c \otimes a 1$	$0 \times c 8 b 1$	0xc8c1
	0xc8a1 0xca2b	0xc8e1 0xca3b	0xc8f1 0xca4b	0xc904 0xca5b	0xc914 0xca6b	0xc924 0xca7b	0xc934 0xca8b	0xc944 0xca9b	0xc954 0xcaab	0xc964 0xcabb	0xc974 0xcach	0xc984 0xcadb	0xc994 0xcaeb	0xc9a4 0xcafb	0xc904 0xcb0e	0xc9c4 0xcb1e	0xc9a4 0xcb2e	0xc9e4 0xcb3e	0xc9J4 0xcb4e	0xca0b 0xch5e	0xch6e
	0xcb7e	0xcb8e	0xcb9e	0xcbae	0xcbbe	0xcbce	0xcbde	0xcbee	0xcbfe	0xcc00	0xcc10	0xcc20	0xcc30	0xcc40	0xcc50	0xcc60	0xcc70	0xcc80	0xcc90	0xcca0	0xccb0
	$0 \times c c c 0$	$0 \mathbf{x} c c d 0$	$0 \ge cce0$	$0 \mathbf{x} cc f 0$	$0 \mathbf{x} c d 0 5$	$0 \ge cd15$	$0 \ge cd25$	$0 \ge cd35$	$0 \times cd45$	$0 \ge cd55$	$0 \ge cd65$	$0 \ge cd75$	$0 \ge cd 85$	$0 \ge cd95$	$0 \ge cda5$	$0 \mathbf{x} c d b 5$	$0 \mathbf{x} c d c 5$	$0 \mathbf{x} c d d 5$	0xcde5	$0 \mathbf{x} c df 5$	$0 \ge ce0 a$
	0xce1a	$0 \ge ce2a$	$0 \ge ce3a$	$0 \ge ce4a$	$0 \ge ce5a$	$0 \ge ce6a$	$0 \ge ce7a$	$0 \ge ce8a$	$0 \ge ce9a$	$0 \ge ceaa$	0xceba	$0 \ge ceca$	0xceda	$0 \ge ceea$	$0 \ge cefa$	$0 \mathbf{x} c f 0 f$	$0 \ge cf 1f$	$0 \ge cf 2f$	$0 \ge cf3f$	$0 \ge cf4f$	$0 \ge cf 5f$
	0xcf6f	$0 \times cf7f$	0xcf8f	0xcf9f	0xcfaf	0xcfbf	0xcfcf	0 x c f df	0xcfef	0xcfff	0xd002	$0 \times d012$	0xd022	$0 \times d032$	$0 \times d042$	$0 \times d052$	$0 \times d062$	0xd072	$0 \times d082$	$0 \times d092$	$0 \times d0 a 2$
ļ	$0 \times d 208$	$0 \times a \cup c 2$ $0 \times d 2 1 8$	0xd228	0xd238	$0 \times a \cup f 2$ $0 \times d 2 4 8$	0xd258	0xd268	0xd278	0xd288	0xd298	0xd2a8	0xd2h8	0xd2c8	0xd2d8 0xd2d8	0xd2e8	0xd2f8	0xd30d	0xd31d	0xd32d	0xd33d	0xd34d
J	0xd35d	0xd36d	0xd37d	0xd38d	0xd39d	0xd3ad	0xd3bd	0xd3cd	0xd3dd	0xd3ed	0xd3fd	$0 \times d403$	0xd413	$0 \times d423$	$0 \times d433$	0xd443	$0 \times d453$	$0 \times d463$	$0 \times d473$	$0 \times d483$	0xd493
	0xd4a3	$0 \ge d4b3$	$0 \mathbf{x} d4 c3$	$0 \ge d4d3$	$0 \ge d4e3$	$0 \ge d4f3$	$0 \ge d506$	$0 \ge d516$	$0 \ge d526$	$0 \ge d536$	$0 \times d546$	$0 \ge d556$	$0 \ge d566$	$0 \ge d576$	$0 \ge d586$	$0 \ge d596$	$0 \ge d5a6$	$0 \ge d5b6$	$0 \ge d5c6$	$0 \ge d5d6$	$0 \ge d5e6$
	$0 \times d5 f6$	$0 \times d609$	0xd619	0xd629	$0 \times d639$	0xd649	$0 \times d659$	0xd669	$0 \times d679$	0xd689	0xd699	$0 \times d6a9$	$0 \times d6b9$	$0 \times d6c9$	$0 \times d6 d9$	$0 \times d6 e9$	$0 \times d6 f9$	$0 \times d70c$	$0 \times d71c$	$0 \times d72c$	0xd73c
J	$0 \times d^{74c}$	0xd8a0	0xd8h0	0xd8c0	0xd8d0	0xd8e0	0xd8f0	0xd905	0xa7cc 0xd915	$0 \times d^{925}$	0xd7ec 0xd935	0xd7fc 0xd945	0xd800 0xd955	0xd810 0xd965	0xa820 0xd975	0xa830 0xd985	0xd995	0x49a5	0xd860 0xd9h5	0x49c5	0xd880 0xd9d5
J	$0 \times d9e5$	$0 \times d9 f 5$	0xda0a	0xda1a	0xda2a	0xda3a	0xda4a	0xda5a	0xda6a	0xda7a	0xda8a	0xda9a	0xdaaa	0xdaba	0xdaca	0xdada	0xdaea	0xdafa	0xdb0f	0xdb1 f	0xdb2f
ļ	$0 \mathbf{x} db 3 f$	$0 \mathbf{x} db 4 f$	$0 \mathbf{x} db 5 f$	$0 \ge db 6 f$	$0 \mathbf{x} db7 f$	$0 \ge db \ge f$	$0 \ge db9f$	$0 \ge dbaf$	$0 \mathbf{x} db b f$	$0 \mathbf{x} db c f$	$0 \mathbf{x} db df$	$0 \mathbf{x} db e f$	$0 \mathbf{x} db f f$	$0 \mathbf{x} dc 01$	$0 \mathbf{x} dc 11$	$0 \ge dc \ge 1$	$0 \times dc31$	$0 \times dc 41$	$0 \times dc 51$	$0 \times dc 61$	$0 \times dc71$
ļ	$0 \times dc 81$	$0 \times dc91$	$0 \times dca1$	$0 \times dcb1$	$0 \times dcc1$	$0 \times dcd1$	$0 \times dce1$	$0 \ge dcf1$	$0 \times dd04$	$0 \mathbf{x} dd 14$	$0 \times dd 24$	$0 \mathbf{x} dd 34$	$0 \mathbf{x} dd 44$	$0 \mathbf{x} dd 54$	$0 \times dd64$	$0 \times dd74$	$0 \times dd 84$	$0 \times dd94$	0xdda4	$0 \times ddb4$	$0 \mathbf{x} dd c 4$
	0xddd4	0xdde4	$0 \times ddf 4$	0xde0b	0xde1b	0xde2b	$0 \times de3b$	$0 \times de4b$	$0 \times de5b$	$0 \times de6b$	$0 \times de7b$	0xde8b	$0 \times de 9b$	0xdeab	0xdebb	0xdecb	0xdedb	0xdeeb	0xdefb	0xdf0e	0xdf1e
ļ	0xaf2e 0xe071	0xaf3e 0xe081	0xe001	Oxe0a1	0xaf6e 0xe0b1	Oxe0c1	0xaf8e 0xe0d1	0xe0e1	OxeOf1	Oxe104	0xdfce 0xe114	$0 \times df de$ $0 \times e^{12/4}$	0xe134	$0 \times df f e$ $0 \times e^{1/4}$	0xe154	0xe164	0 x e 174	0xe184	0xe1041	0xela4	0xe154
	0xe1c4	$0 \times e1d4$	0xe1e4	0xe1f4	$0 \times e20b$	$0 \times e21b$	0xe22b	0xe23b	$0 \times e24b$	$0 \times e25b$	0xe26b	$0 \times e_{27b}$	$0 \times e_{28b}$	0xe29b	0xe2ab	0xe2bb	0xe2cb	$0 \times e^{2} db$	0xe2eb	0xe2fb	0xe30e
	$0 \ge 31e$	$0 \ge e 32e$	$0 \ge 33e$	$0 \ge 34e$	$0 \ge 35e$	$0 \ge 36e$	$0 \ge e 37e$	$0 \ge 38e$	$0 \ge e 39e$	$0 \ge 3 a e$	$0 \ge 3 b e$	$0 \ge 3 ce$	$0 \ge 3 de$	$0 \ge e 3 e e$	$0 \ge e 3 f e$	$0 \ge e 400$	$0 \ge e 410$	$0 \ge e 420$	$0 \ge e 430$	$0 \ge e 440$	$0 \ge e 450$
	$0 \ge e 460$	$0 \ge e 470$	$0 \ge e480$	$0 \ge e 490$	$0 \ge 4a0$	$0 \ge e4b0$	$0 \ge e4c0$	$0 \ge e 4 d 0$	$0 \ge 4e0$	$0 \ge e 4 f 0$	$0 \ge e 505$	$0 \ge e515$	$0 \ge e 525$	$0 \ge e 535$	$0 \ge e545$	$0 \ge e 555$	$0 \ge e565$	$0 \ge e 575$	$0 \ge e 585$	$0 \ge e 595$	$0 \ge e 5 a 5$
	0xe5b5	$0 \times e5c5$	$0 \ge 65d5$	0xe5e5	$0 \ge 65 f 5$	$0 \ge 60a$	0xe61a	$0 \ge 62a$	$0 \ge 63a$	$0 \ge 64a$	$0 \ge 65a$	$0 \ge 66a$	$0 \ge 67a$	$0 \ge 68a$	$0 \ge 69a$	0xe6aa	0xe6ba	0xe6ca	0xe6da	0xe6ea	0xe6fa
ļ	0xe852	0xe862	0xe872	0xe882	0xe802	Uxe75f	UXe76f	UXe77f	UXe78f	Uxe79f	Uxe7af	Uxe7bf	Uxe7cf	Uxe7df Oxe026	Uxe7ef	UXe7ff	0xe803	0xe813	0xe823	0xe833	0xe843
	0xe9a6	0xe9b6	0xe9c6	0xe9d6	0xe9e6	0xe9f6	0 x ea 09	$0 \times ea 19$	0xea29	0xea39	0xea49	0xea59	0xea69	$0xe_{0}20$	0xea89	0xea99	0xeaa9	0xeab9	0xeac9	0xead9	0xeae9
ļ	$0 \ge a f 9$	$0 \ge b 0 c$	$0 \ge b \le c$	$0 \ge b \ge c$	$0 \ge b \le c$	$0 \ge b \le c$	$0 \ge b \le c$	$0 \ge b \le c$	$0 \ge b7c$	$0 \ge b \ge c$	$0 \ge b9c$	0xebac	$0 \\ x \\ e \\ b \\ b \\ c$	0xebcc	$0 \\ x \\ e \\ b \\ d \\ c$	0xebec	$0 \\ xebfc$	$0 \ge c \le 02$	$0 \times ec12$	$0 \ge c \ge 22$	$0 \times ec32$
ļ	$0 \times ec42$	$0 \ge c \le 2$	$0 \ge c \le 2$	$0 \ge cc72$	$0 \ge c \le 2$	$0 \ge c \le 2$	$0 \ge cca 2$	$0 \ge cb2$	$0 \ge cc2$	$0 \ge cd2$	$0 \ge ce^2$	$0 \ge cf2$	$0 \ge d07$	$0 \ge d 17$	$0 \ge d27$	$0 \ge d37$	$0 \ge d47$	$0 \ge d57$	$0 \ge d67$	$0 \ge d77$	$0 \ge d 87$
ļ	0xed97	0xeda7	0xedb7	0xedc7	0xedd7	0xede7	$0 \times edf7$	0xee08	0xee18	0xee28	0xee38	0xee48	0xee58	0xee68	$0 \ge 0 \ge$	0xee88	0xee98	0xeea8	0xeeb8	0xeec8	0xeed8
ļ	Ox for	0xf040	Uxef0d Oxf050	Uxef1d	0xf070	Uxef3d	0xf000	Uxef5d	Uxef6d	Uxef7d	Oxf0d0	Uxef9d	Uxefad	Uxefbd Oxf10F	Uxefcd Oxf11F	Uxefdd Oxf12F	Uxefed Oxf12F	Uxeffd Oxf14F	0x f 000	0x f 010	0x f 020
J	0xf185	$0 \ge f = 195$	$0 \ge f 1 a 5$	$0 \ge f + 1b5$	$0 \times f 1 c 5$	$0 \ge f 1 d 5$	0xf1e5	0xf1f5	$0 \ge f \ge 0$	0xf21a	0xf22a	0xf23a	0xf24a	0xf25a	0xf26a	$0 \ge f \ge 7 a$	0xf28a	0xf29a	0xf2aa	0xf2ba	0xf2ca
ļ	0xf2da	$0 \ge f 2ea$	$0 \ge f 2 f a$	0xf30f	$0 \times f 31 f$	0xf32f	$0 \ge f 33 f$	$0 \times f 34 f$	$0 \times f 35 f$	0xf36f	0xf37f	0xf38f	0xf39f	0xf3af	$0 \ge f 3 b f$	0xf3cf	$0 \ge f 3 df$	$0 \ge f 3 e f$	$0 \times f 3 f f$	$0 \ge f 401$	0xf411
ļ	$0 \mathbf{x} f 421$	$0 \ge f 431$	$0 \ge f 441$	$0 \ge f 451$	$0 \ge f 461$	$0 \ge f 471$	$0 \ge f 481$	$0 \ge f 491$	$0 \ge f 4a 1$	$0 \ge f 4 b 1$	$0 \mathbf{x} f 4 c 1$	$0 \ge f 4 d 1$	$0 \ge f 4e1$	$0 \ge f 4 f 1$	$0 \ge f 504$	$0 \ge f 514$	$0 \ge f 524$	$0 \ge f 534$	$0 \ge f 544$	$0 \ge f 554$	$0 \ge f 564$
ļ	$0 \times f 574$	$0 \ge f 584$	$0 \ge f 594$	$0 \ge f 5 a 4$	$0 \times f 5 b 4$	$0 \times f 5 c 4$	$0 \ge f 5 d 4$	$0 \times f5e4$	$0 \ge f 5 f 4$	0xf60b	0xf61b	$0 \times f 62b$	$0 \times f 63b$	$0 \times f 64b$	$0 \times f 65b$	0xf66b	$0 \times f 67b$	$0 \ge f 68b$	$0 \times f 69b$	$0 \times f 6 a b$	$0 \ge f 6 b b$
J	UX 16Cb	0x f 6 d b	UX 1 5 6 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	0xf6fb	0xf70e	0x f 262	0xf72e 0xf872	UX173e	0xf74e	0xf75e	0x f 76e	0xf?77e	0x f 2 d 2	$0xf^{79e}$	0xf?ae	UX17be	0xf7ce	0x f 7 de	0x f 7ee	0xf7fe	0xf802
	0x f 967	0x f 977	0x f 987	0xf997	0xf9a7	0x f 9h7	0xf9c7	0xf9d7	0xf9e7	0x f9 f7	0xfa.08	0xfa18	0xfa28	0xfa38	0xfa48	0xfa58	0xfa68	0xfo.78	0x f a.88	0xfa.98	0xfaa8
ļ	$0 \ge fab8$	0xfac8	0xfad8	0xfae8	0xfaf8	$0 \ge f b 0 d$	$0 \ge f b 1 d$	$0 \ge f b 2 d$	$0 \ge fb3d$	$0 \ge f b 4 d$	$0 \ge f b 5 d$	$0 \ge f b 6 d$	$0 \ge f b 7 d$	$0 \ge fb8d$	$0 \ge f b 9 d$	0xfbad	$0 \ge f b b d$	$0 \ge f b c d$	$0 \ge f b d d$	0xfbed	$0 \times f b f d$
ļ	$0 \mathbf{x} f c 0 3$	$0 \mathbf{x} f c 13$	$0 \mathbf{x} f c 23$	$0 \mathbf{x} f c 33$	$0 \mathbf{x} f c 43$	$0 \mathrm{x} f c 53$	$0 \mathrm{x} fc 63$	$0 \mathrm{x} f c 73$	$0 \mathbf{x} f c 83$	$0 \mathbf{x} f c 93$	0 x f c a 3	$0 \mathbf{x} f c b 3$	$0 \mathbf{x} f c c 3$	$0 \mathbf{x} f c d3$	$0 \mathrm{x} fce3$	$0 \mathbf{x} f c f 3$	$0 \mathbf{x} f d06$	$0 \ge f d 16$	$0 \ge f d26$	$0 \ge f d36$	$0 \mathbf{x} f d46$
ļ	$0 \mathbf{x} f d56$	$0 \ge f d66$	$0 \ge f d76$	$0 \ge f d 8 6$	$0 \ge f d96$	$0 \ge f da 6$	$0 \ge f db 6$	$0 \mathbf{x} f dc 6$	$0 \mathbf{x} f d d 6$	$0 \mathbf{x} f de 6$	$0 \mathbf{x} f df 6$	$0 \ge f e 0 9$	$0 \ge f e 19$	0 x f e 29	$0 \ge f e 39$	$0 \ge f e 49$	$0 \ge fe59$	$0 \ge f e 69$	$0 \ge f e 79$	$0 \ge f e 89$	$0 \ge f e 99$
ļ	Oxfea9	$0 \ge f e b 9$	0xfec9	0xfed9	0xfee9	0xfef9	$0 \mathbf{x} f f 0 c$	$0 \ge f f 1 c$	$0 \mathbf{x} f f 2c$	$0 \ge f f 3c$	$0 \mathbf{x} f f 4 c$	$0 \mathbf{x} f f 5 c$	$0 \mathbf{x} f f 6 c$	$0 \mathbf{x} f f 7 c$	$0 \mathbf{x} f f 8 c$	$0 \mathbf{x} f f 9 c$	0xffac	$0 \mathbf{x} f f b c$	$0 \mathbf{x} f f c c$	$0 \mathbf{x} f f dc$	0xffec
J.	UXJJJC																				

XXVII

XXVIII

D Side-channel Injection Attack to Realize ΔR

As mentioned in the Proof of Theorem 1, condition (A) gives us the same differential sequence as the following condition does,

$$\Delta K = (K_1, ..., K_8) + (K'_1, ..., K'_8) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$\Delta P = P_1 + P'_{i'} = 0$$

$$\Delta R = (R_1, ..., R_8) + (R'_1, ..., R'_8) = (0, 0, 0, H, 0, 0, 0, 0),$$

Therefore, to create the difference between R_4 and R'_4 (or between $f^{-1}(R_2 \Box u_1)$ and $f^{-1}(R'_2 \Box u'_1)$), one obvious way is to start with two instances initialized with the same IVs and keys and then mount side-channel injection attack, where the attacker simply injects H to the victim register, e.g., R_4 or $f^{-1}(R_2 \Box u_1)$, of one instance any time before the execution of the last round of encryption/decryption. Note that the *preparation through injection* gives the attacker no time/memory penalty, i.e., the overall time/memory complexity of the attack is dominated by that of the key recovery phase.