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#### Abstract

The GHS attack is known as a method to map the discrete logarithm problem(DLP) in the Jacobian of a curve $C_{0}$ defined over the $d$ degree extension $k_{d}$ of a finite field $k$ to the DLP in the Jacobian of a new curve $C$ over $k$ which is a covering curve of $C_{0}$, then solve the DLP of curves $C / k$ by variations of index calculus algorithms. In this paper, we classify or present a complete list of all elliptic curves and hyperelliptic curves $C_{0} / k_{d}$ of genus 2,3 which possess ( $2, \ldots, 2$ ) covering $C / k$ of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ under the isogeny condition (i.e. $g(C)=d \cdot g\left(C_{0}\right)$ ) in odd characteristic case. Our main approach is analysis of ramification points and representation of the extension of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{d} / k\right)$ acting on the covering group $\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$. All explicit defining equations of such curves $C_{0} / k_{d}$ and existential conditions of a model of $C$ over $k$ are also obtained.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $q$ be a power of an odd prime, $k:=\mathbb{F}_{q}, k_{d}:=\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}$. We consider in this paper algebraic curves $C_{0} / k_{d}$ used in cryptographic applications, i.e. elliptic and hyperelliptic curves of genera $g_{0}:=g\left(C_{0}\right)=1,2,3$.

For these algebraic curve-based cryptosystems, the GHS attack was proposed by Gaudry, Hess and Smart[12] based on idea of Frey[7] to apply Weil descent to elliptic curve cryptosystems. The GHS attack has been then extended and analyzed by many authors [3][9][15][16][17][23][24][25][33][34] and conceptually generalized to cover attack by Frey and Diem[5]. The GHS attack, in terms of cover attack, can be described as to map the DLP in the Jacobian of $C_{0} / k_{d}$ to the DLP in the Jacobian of a covering curve $C / k$ of $C_{0} / k_{d}$, then apply either the index calculus algorithms [13][29] when $C$ is hyperelliptic and or the algorithm in [4] when $C$ is non-hyperelliptic or $C$ is hyperelliptic but has been transformed to a non-hyperelliptic one.

After the first proposal of the GHS attack, a major effort has been observed to find particular classes of curves which have covering so are subjected to the GHS attack. However, exhaustive search of such curves seemed to be difficult. Besides, in practice of cryptography, it is more important to know if a random curve is secure or not against the GHS attack.

Analysis of the GHS attack for arbitrary curves turned out to be nontrivial. A main approach until now is to investigate the genus $g(C)$ of the covering curve $C$ as a function of the extension degree $d$ of the definition field
$k_{d}$ of $C_{0}$. The genus $g(C)$ of $C$ was calculated on definition finite fields of characteristic 2 for elliptic curves $C_{0}$ using Artin-Schreier theory in [12] and generalized to arbitrary Artin-Schreier extensions in [15][16]. In [23][24] and [25], lower bounds of the above $g(C)$ of $C$ were calculated for elliptic curves with prime or composite extension degrees in certain ranges which are cryptographically meaningful. When the lower bound of $g(C)$ is large enough the DLP will be infeasible but when the lower bound is small, the definition field therefore all curves defined on it are recommended to be avoided. In [3], Diem generalized the GHS attack to odd characteristic cases and by genus analysis using Kummer theory, he showed that on definition fields with prime extension degrees $d$, for all $d \geq 11$, the genus $g(C)$ will be very large when $C$ exists, therefore, attacks to $C$ become impractical. [3] also showed examples for $C_{0}$ such that the covering curves exist for $d=3,5,7$.

These results based on genus analysis are very impressive and useful. On the other hand, the problem about when and for which $C_{0}$ such covering curves $C$ actually exist still remained open. Besides, the approach using genus analysis dealt only with defintion field $k_{d}$, or the extension degrees $d$. The curves $C_{0}$ defined on the field which may be with or without covering, were not distinguished. In fact, even when the extension degree $d$ of the definition field of a curve fallen in the "weak" extent, it is still possible that it has no covering curve or every curve on the definition field is without covering so is perfectly secure to use in cryptosystems. In practice, cryptosystems often need to use particular finite fields or curves with certain properties in order to obtain efficient implementation, which however could be shut out by the above false-alarm of the GHS attack.

Thus, both theoretically and practically it is interesting and important to know which curve $C_{0} / k_{d}$ possesses covering $C / k$ so is subjected to the GHS attack. It should be useful for cryptosystem designers to have a complete list or a classification of all such "weak " curves $C_{0}$.

In order to transfer the DLP of $J_{k_{d}}\left(C_{0}\right)$ to $J_{k}(C)$, the genus of $C$ is bounded from below: $g(C) \geq d \cdot g_{0}$ and is often very large as shown in [3]. The equality holds in the above inequality when the Jacobians of $C_{0}$ and $C$ are isogenous. Then the Jacobian of $C$ has the smallest possible size which is the most favorable situation for attackers. In the GHS paper, it was stated that "we wish the genus of $C$ is linear in $n(=d$ in this paper), but it is highly unlikely such a curve exists at all".

In this paper, we present an analysis of $C_{0}$ on existence of the covering curves $C$ in the above situation in odd characteristic case.

In particular, we assume the following condition which we call "the isogeny condition": There is a covering map between $C / k$ and $C_{0} / k_{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi / k_{d}: C \quad \rightarrow C_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*}: J(C) \quad \rightarrow J\left(C_{0}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\pi_{*}\right): \quad J(C) \quad \longrightarrow \quad R e_{k_{d} / k} J\left(C_{0}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines an isogeny over $k$, here $J(C)$ is the Jacobian variety of $C$ and $R e_{k_{d} / k} J\left(C_{0}\right)$ is its Weil restriction with respect to the field extension $k_{d} / k$. Obviously $g(C)=d \cdot g_{0}$ under this condition.

In fact, there could be a large number of the curves satisfying the isogeny condition subjected to the GHS attack. E.g. a half of random elliptic curves $E$ defined over $k_{3}$ in the Legendre form possess covering curves therefore a 160 -bit system only has strength of 107 bits key-length[28].

In this paper, we classify the elliptic and hyperelliptic curves of odd characteristic which are subjected to the GHS attack or have covering curves under the isogeny condition. In particular, we classify all $(2, \ldots, 2)$-covering of $C_{0} / k_{d}$, i.e. those with covering groups of order $2^{n}$ for $1<n \leq d$. Our main approach is analysis of intrinsic structure of coverings, in particular ramification points and representation of the extension of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{d} / k\right)$ acting on the covering group $\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$. Furthermore, existential conditions of a model of $C$ over $k$ are discussed. As a result, a complete list and explicit defining equations of such weak curves $C_{0} / k_{d}$ are obtained, which is included in the section 7 .

## 2 The GHS and cover attack

We suppose that the Frobenius automorphism $\sigma_{k_{d} / k}$ extends to an automorphism $\sigma$ in the separable closure of $k_{d}(x)$. It is showed by Diem[3] that $\sigma_{k_{d} / k}$ can extend to an automorphism of order $d$ on the Galois closure of $k_{d}\left(C_{0}\right) / k(x)$ when $C_{0}$ is a hyperelliptic curve and $d$ is odd in the odd characteristic case. In the section 6 , we will show a generalization of the result.

Under the assumption that $\sigma$ has order $d$, the Galois closure of $k_{d}\left(C_{0}\right) / k(x)$ is $K:=k_{d}\left(C_{0}\right) \cdot \sigma\left(k_{d}\left(C_{0}\right)\right) \cdots \sigma^{d-1}\left(k_{d}\left(C_{0}\right)\right)$ and the fixed field of $K$ by the automorphism $\sigma$ is $K^{\prime}:=\{\zeta \in K \mid \sigma(\zeta)=\zeta\}$. The original GHS attack maps the DLP in $C l^{0}\left(k_{d}\left(C_{0}\right)\right) \cong J\left(C_{0}\right)\left(k_{d}\right)$ to the DLP in $C l^{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \cong J(C)(k)$ using the following composition of conorm and norm maps

$$
N_{K / K^{\prime}} \circ \operatorname{Con}_{K / k_{d}\left(C_{0}\right)}: C l^{0}\left(k_{d}\left(C_{0}\right)\right) \longrightarrow C l^{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right)
$$

for elliptic curves in characteristic 2 case [12]. This attack has been extended to various classes of curves. It is also conceptually generalized to the cover attack by Frey and Diem [5] as described briefly as follows. When there exist an algebraic curve $C / k$ and a covering $\pi / k_{d}: C \longrightarrow C_{0}$, the DLP in $J\left(C_{0}\right)\left(k_{d}\right)$ can be mapped to the DLP in $J(C)(k)$ by a pullback-norm map, as in the following diagram.


Unless otherwise noted, we consider the following hyperelliptic curves with $g\left(C_{0}\right) \in\{1,2,3\}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} / k_{d}: \quad y^{2}=c \cdot f(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \in k_{d}^{\times}$and $f(x)$ is a monic polynomial in $k_{d}[x]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{P}^{1}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a degree 2 covering over $k_{d}$. Then, we have a $\overbrace{(2, \ldots, 2)}^{n}$ covering or a covering $\pi / k_{d}: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, here $n \leq d$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right):=\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{d}(C) / k_{d}(x)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In language of function fields, it can be described by a tower of extensions of function fields such that $k_{d}\left(x, y,{ }^{\sigma^{1}} y, \ldots,{ }^{\sigma^{n-1}} y\right) \simeq k_{d}(C)$ is a $\overbrace{(2, \ldots, 2)}^{n}$ type extension.

Lemma 2.1. The isogeny condition is equivalent to the each of following two statements.
(A)
${ }^{\forall} I \subset \operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right),\left[\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right): I\right]=2$,

$$
g(C / I)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & I \neq \sigma^{i} H,{ }^{\forall} i \\
g_{0} & I \simeq{ }^{\sigma^{i}} H,{ }^{\exists} i
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \quad C^{I}=C / I= \begin{cases}\mathbb{P}^{1} & I \neq \sigma^{i} H,{ }^{\forall} i \\
\sigma^{i} C_{0} & I \simeq \sigma^{\sigma^{i}} H,{ }^{\exists} i\end{cases}\right.
$$

here $C / H=C_{0}$.
(B) There is a subgroup $H$ of index 2 in $\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ such that the Tate module of $J(C)$ has the following decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{l}(J(C))=\oplus_{i=0}^{d-1} \quad V_{l}(J(C))^{\sigma^{i}} H \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Galois representation

We will classify all $n$-tuple $(2, \ldots, 2)$ coverings $C / \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with the degree 2 subcovering $C_{0} / \mathbb{P}^{1}$ as below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overbrace{C \longrightarrow \underbrace{C_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(x)}_{2}}^{\overbrace{(2, \cdots, 2)}^{n}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to do that, we consider and classify the representation of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{d} / k\right)$ on $\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. For simplicity, we denote hereafter $\sigma_{k_{d} / k}$ as $\sigma$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{d} / k\right) \times \operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) & \longrightarrow \operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)  \tag{9}\\
\left(\sigma^{i}, \phi\right) & \longmapsto \sigma^{i} \phi:=\sigma^{i} \phi \sigma^{-i} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, one has a map into $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{d} / k\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)\right) \simeq G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The representation of $\sigma$ for given $n, d$ has the following form in general. (We use the same notation for $\sigma$ and its representation in the rest of this paper):

$$
\left.\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\Delta_{1} & O & \cdots & O  \tag{12}\\
O & \Delta_{2} & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & O \\
O & \cdots & O & \Delta_{s}
\end{array}\right)\right\} n_{2}, n=n_{i=1}^{s} n_{i}
$$

where $O$ stands for the zero matrix. The indecomposable subrepresentations

$$
\left.\Delta_{i}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\Omega_{i} & \Omega_{i} & \hat{O} & \ldots  \tag{13}\\
\hat{O} & \Omega_{i} & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \Omega_{i} \\
\hat{O} & \cdots & \hat{O} & \Omega_{i}
\end{array}\right)\right\} \begin{gathered}
\left\{n_{i} / l_{i}\right. \\
n_{i} / l_{i} \\
\vdots \\
\} n_{i} / l_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

is an $n_{i} \times n_{i}$ matrix which has a form of an $l_{i} \times l_{i}$ block matrix. The sub-block $\Omega_{i}$ is an $n_{i} / l_{i} \times n_{i} / l_{i}$ matrix and $\hat{O}$ also the zero matrix. Here, we denote the characteristic polynomial of $\Omega_{i}$ as $f_{i}(x)$, the characteristic polynomial of $\Delta_{i}$ is $F_{i}(x):=f_{i}(x)^{l_{i}}, F(x):=\operatorname{LCM}\left\{F_{i}(x)\right\}$ is the minimal polynomial of $\sigma$. Denoting $d_{i}:=\operatorname{ord}\left(\Delta_{i}\right)$, one has $d=L C M\left\{d_{i}\right\}$.

Now define the minimal polynomial of $\sigma$ as $F(x):=x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+$ $\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$. Then $\sigma^{n}=a_{n-1} \sigma^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} \sigma+a_{0}$. The Galois action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{d} / k\right)$ on $y$ induces the following action:

$$
\sigma^{n} y \equiv \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\sigma^{j} y\right)^{a_{j}} \bmod k_{d}(x)^{\times} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\sigma^{n} y^{2} \equiv \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\sigma^{j} y^{2}\right)^{a_{j}} \bmod \left(k_{d}(x)^{\times}\right)^{2}
$$

As a result, we obtain the following necessary and sufficient condition for existence of a model of $C$ over $k_{d}$ given $n, d, \sigma$ :
$C$ has a model over $k_{d}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(\sigma) y^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod \left(k_{d}(x)^{\times}\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } \\
& { }^{G(\sigma)} y^{2} \not \equiv 1 \bmod \left(k_{d}(x)^{\times}\right)^{2} \text { for }{ }^{\forall} G(x) \mid F(x), G(x) \neq F(x) \text {. } \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Classification of $C_{0} / k_{d}$ with covering $C / k$

Below, we show that, under the isogeny condition, the following pairs of $n$ and $d$ are all possible cases for genus $1,2,3$ hyperelliptic curves $C_{0} / k_{d}$ with $(2, . ., 2)$ covering $C / k$ therefore subjected to the GHS attack.

| $g_{0}$ | $(n, d)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(2,2),(2,3),(3,3),(3,7),(4,5)$ |
| 2 | $(2,2),(2,3)$ |
| 3 | $(2,2),(2,3),(3,7),(4,15)$ |

Hereafter, let $S$ be the set of the ramification points in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of the covering $C / \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then according to the Riemann-Hurwitz genus formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g(C)-2=2^{n}(0-2)+\# S \cdot 2^{n-1}(2-1) \cdot 1 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here ramification indices equal 2 , and the number of fibres on $C$ over a ramification point on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ is $2^{n-1}$, since the ramification group is cyclic for $\operatorname{gcd}(\operatorname{char}(k), 2)=1$.

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S=\frac{2 g(C)-2+2^{n+1}}{2^{n-1}}=4+\frac{d \cdot g_{0}-1}{2^{n-2}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

These coverings can be classified to the following four cases.

### 4.1 The case when $\sigma$ is indecomposable

We will treat the cases when $d$ is even and odd separately.

### 4.1.1 When $d$ is even

Assume $d=2^{r} \cdot d^{\prime}\left(2 \nmid d^{\prime}\right)$. Representation of an indecomposable $\sigma$ is in the form of the following block matrix:

$$
\left.\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\Omega & \Omega & \hat{O} & \cdots  \tag{17}\\
\hat{O} & \Omega & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \Omega \\
\hat{O} & \cdots & \hat{O} & \Omega
\end{array}\right)\right\} n
$$

Here $n=l \cdot m, \Omega$ is in $M_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ such that $\Omega^{d^{\prime}}=I$, and

$$
\sigma^{2^{r}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\tilde{\Omega} & \hat{O} & \hat{O} & \ldots  \tag{18}\\
\hat{O} & \tilde{\Omega} & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \hat{O} \\
\hat{O} & \cdots & \hat{O} & \tilde{\Omega}
\end{array}\right)_{l} \quad, \sigma^{d}=\left(\sigma^{2^{r}}\right)^{d^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
I & \hat{O} & \hat{O} & \ldots \\
\hat{O} & I & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \hat{O} \\
\hat{O} & \cdots & \hat{O} & I
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, we have $2^{r-1}<l \leq 2^{r}$ and $\Omega \in M_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right), \Omega \notin M_{m^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ for $1 \leq$ ${ }^{\forall} m^{\prime} \leq m-1$. Here $M_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ stands for $m \times m$ binary matrices. Since the minimal polynomial of $\Omega$ is in the form of $x^{m}+\tilde{a}_{m-1} x^{m-1}+\cdots+\tilde{a}_{1} x+\tilde{a}_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{\prime} \mid\left(2^{m}-1\right), d^{\prime} \nmid\left(2^{m^{\prime}}-1\right), 1 \leq m^{\prime} \leq m-1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we showed in the previous section, the number of the ramification points of $C / \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is $\# S=4+\frac{d \cdot g_{0}-1}{2^{n-2}}$. The numerator $d \cdot g_{0}-1$ of the fraction part in $\# S$ is odd since $d$ is even. Then the denominator $2^{n-2}$ must be 1 since $\# S \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $n=2$.

Now from $n=2$ and $l>1$, one has $m=1, l=n=2$. By (19), $d^{\prime}=1$ and $d=2^{r}$. Since $2^{r-1}<2 \leq 2^{r}=d$ and $r=1$, therefore $d=2$. Thus we know that $(d, n)=(2,2)$ is the only possibility.

In fact, the general form of $\sigma$ only appear in cases when the isogeny condition does not hold, which will be reported elsewhere.

### 4.1.2 When $d$ is odd

(a) $d=2^{n}-1$

By the Riemann-Hurwitz genus formula, $2 d g_{0}-2=2^{n}(-2)+2^{n-1} \cdot \# S$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S=\frac{2 d\left(g_{0}+1\right)}{2^{n-1}}=\frac{d\left(g_{0}+1\right)}{2^{n-2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $d$ is odd, there exists a natural number $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_{0}+1=$ $t \cdot 2^{n-2}$. Then $\# S=d \cdot t$. Below we consider cases in which $g_{0}$ has different values:

- $g_{0}=1$

In this case, $t=\frac{2}{2^{n-2}} \in \mathbb{N}$. It is obvious that only $n=2,3$ are possible. Therefore we have $(n, d)=(2,3),(3,7)$ since $d=2^{n}-1$.

- $g_{0}=2$

In the similar manner, $t=\frac{3}{2^{n-2}} \in \mathbb{N}$ therefore $(n, d)=(2,3)$.

- $g_{0}=3$
$t=\frac{4}{2^{n-2}} \in \mathbb{N}$ therefore $(n, d)=(2,3),(3,7),(4,15)$.

In the above cases, the representations of $\sigma$ are $n \times n$ matrices whose orders are $d$. Then we have the following minimal polynomial $F(x)$ as a degree $n$ irreducible factor of $x^{d}+1$ for each $\sigma$ :

- $(n, d)=(2,3)$

Since $x^{3}+1=(x+1)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)$, we obtain $F(x)=x^{2}+x+1$.

- $(n, d)=(3,7)$
$F(x)=x^{3}+x+1$ or $F(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}+1$ since $x^{7}+1=(x+1)\left(x^{3}+\right.$ $x+1)\left(x^{3}+x^{2}+1\right)$.
- $(n, d)=(4,15)$
$F(x)=x^{4}+x+1$ or $F(x)=x^{4}+x^{3}+1$ since $x^{15}+1=(x+1)\left(x^{2}+\right.$ $x+1)\left(x^{4}+x+1\right)\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+1\right)\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)$.
(b) $d \neq 2^{n}-1$

For given $n$ and $d$, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \in M_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right), \sigma \notin M_{l}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \text { for } 1 \leq{ }^{\forall} l \leq n-1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma^{n}=a_{n-1} \sigma^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} \sigma+a_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mid\left(2^{n}-1\right), d \nmid\left(2^{l}-1\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $3 d \leq 2^{n}-1$. Obviously, $n \geq 4$. From the Riemann-Hurwitz formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S=4+\frac{d g_{0}-1}{2^{n-2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $g_{0}$ is odd, which means that $g_{0}=1$ or 3 . On the one hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\# S=4+\frac{d g_{0}-1}{2^{n-2}} & \geq 2 g_{0}+3  \tag{24}\\
d g_{0}-1 & \geq 2^{n-1}\left(2 g_{0}-1\right)  \tag{25}\\
2^{n-2}-1 & \geq 2^{n-1} g_{0}-d g_{0}=\left(2^{n-1}-d\right) g_{0} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

From now, we consider the two cases when $g_{0}=1$ and $g_{0}=3$ :

- $g_{0}=1$

Since $\# S=4+\frac{d-1}{2^{n-2}} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a natural number $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d=1+2^{n-2} t$. We have already known that $2^{n}-1 \geq 3 d$, which does not hold if $t \geq 2$. Therefore, only $t=1$ is possible. Now, as $d \mid\left(2^{n}-1\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\left(1+2^{n-2}\right) \mid\left(2^{n}-1\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $d \mid\left\{4\left(2^{n-2}+1\right)-5\right\}$ since $2^{n}-1=4\left(2^{n-2}+1\right)-5$. Therefore, $(n, d)=(4,5)$ is the only possibility. In this case, $\sigma$ is a $4 \times 4$ matrix whose order is 5 and the minimal polynomial $F(x)$ is $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1$.

- $g_{0}=3$

We have $2^{n-2}-1 \geq\left(2^{n-1}-d\right) 3=3 \cdot 2^{n-1}-3 d$.
Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 d \geq 3 \cdot 2^{n-2}-2^{n-2}+1=2^{n}+2^{n-2}+1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is against

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{n}-1 \geq 3 d \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

so this case does not exist.

### 4.2 The case when $\sigma$ is decomposable

As a $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{d} / k\right)$-module, the representation of $\sigma$ is a direct sum of indecomposable subrepresentations $A_{i}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)=A_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{r}, r \geq 2, \# A_{i}=2^{n_{i}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}^{\prime}:=\bigoplus_{j \neq i} A_{j} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the isogeny condition, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j} \cap \sigma^{i} H=\{0\} \text { and } A_{j} \not \subset \subset^{i} H \text { for } i=0, \ldots, n-1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(C / A_{j}\right)=0 \text { for } j=1, \ldots, r \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar argument also apply to $A_{i}^{\prime}$, therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C / A_{j}=C / A_{i}^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \text { for } i, j=1, \ldots, r \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C /\left(A_{i}^{\prime} \cap A_{j}^{\prime}\right)=C /\left(\oplus_{l \neq i, j} A_{l}\right)=\mathbb{P}^{1} \text { for }{ }^{\forall} i, j \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, one obtains the following covering.


Since $C / \bigcap_{l \neq i} A_{l}^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{1}$, this implies one has a $(2, . ., 2)$-covering $\mathbb{P}^{1} / \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree $2^{\sum_{l \neq i}^{n_{l}} n_{l}}$. Now we consider a $\overbrace{(2, \ldots, 2)}^{\nu}$-covering $\mathbb{P}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz genus formula, when $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$, the number of the ramification points of this covering is $4-\frac{1}{2^{\nu-2}}$. It follows that $\nu \leq 2$.

Therefore, we obtain $\sum_{l \neq i} n_{l} \leq 2$ for $\forall_{i}^{2}$. Thus, $r=2$. Consequently, the only possibility is $n=n_{1}+n_{2}=1+2=3, d=3, g_{0}=1$ when $\sigma$ is decomposable. This means that $\sigma$ decomposes into a tensor product of 1 and a $2 \times 2$ matrix whose order is 3 :

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{36}\\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## 5 Defining equations of $C_{0} / k_{d}$ for $c=1$ or a square

Now we wish to determine the defining equations of $C_{0} / k_{d}$ for given $n, d$. Hereafter, we assume that $C$ is a model over $k_{d}$. In this section, we also assume that $c=1$ (i.e. $c \in\left(k_{d}^{\times}\right)^{2}$ ) in (4). Then, it is sufficient to find a monic $f(x)$ in (4) such that $C$ has a model over $k_{d}$ (i.e. ${ }^{F(\sigma)} f(x) \equiv 1$ $\left.\bmod \left(k_{d}(x)^{\times}\right)^{2}\right)$. For $d=2,3$, it is possible to find $f(x)$ by using the Venn diagram to describe the sets of ramification points of $\sigma^{\sigma^{i-1}} C_{0} / \mathbb{P}^{1}$. In the section 6 , we will treat explicit conditions for $c \in k_{d}^{\times}$such that the curve $C$ has a model over $k$, then determine the defining equations with a non-square c.

## $5.1 \quad \sigma$ : indecomposable

### 5.1.1 $d$ : even

From the section 4.1.1, the only possibility here is $d=2, n=2$. Thus, $\# S=2 g_{0}+3$. Let $S_{i}$ be the set of ramification points of $\sigma^{i-1} C_{0} / \mathbb{P}^{1}$ for $i=1,2$. Then $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$. For $d=2, n=2$, the ramification points of ${ }^{\sigma^{i-1}} C_{0} / k_{2}$ for $i=1,2$ and $C / k$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ can be represented by the following Venn diagram.


Here, $b:=\#\left(S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right), a:=\# S_{1}-b=\# S_{2}-b$. As a result, we obtain the following simultaneous equations :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a+b=2 g_{0}+2  \tag{37}\\
2 a+b=\# S
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the Riemann-Hurwitz genus formula, $\# S=5,7,9$ for $g_{0}=1,2,3$. By solving the above simultaneous equations, one obtains $(a, b)=(1,3),(1,5),(1,7)$ for $g_{0}=1,2,3$ respectively. Consequently, the defining equations $C_{0} / k_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=(x-\alpha) h(x) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(x) \in k[x], \alpha \in k_{2} \backslash k, \operatorname{deg} h(x)=2, \cdots, 7$.

### 5.1.2 $d$ : odd

(a) $d=2^{n}-1$

In this case, all possibilities for $(n, d)$ are $(2,3)(3,7)(4,15)$ from the section 4.1.2. Recall that $F(x):=x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$ is the minimal polynomial of $\sigma$. Then $\sigma^{n}=a_{n-1} \sigma^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} \sigma+a_{0}$. Here, we define a homomorphism $L$ of $k_{d}(x)^{\times}$as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
L: k_{d}(x)^{\times} & \longrightarrow k_{d}(x)^{\times}  \tag{39}\\
\mu & \longmapsto \prod_{i=0}^{d-1}\left(\sigma^{\sigma^{i}} \mu\right)^{b_{i}} . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the sequence $\left\{b_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mid i=0, \ldots, d-1\right\}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{0}=b_{1}=\cdots=b_{n-1}=1  \tag{41}\\
& b_{n+j}:=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{n-i} b_{n+i} \text { for } j=0,1, \ldots, d-1-n \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Then one can verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\sigma)\left\{\prod_{i=0}^{d-1}\left(\sigma^{i} \mu\right)^{b_{i}}\right\} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod \left(k_{d}(x)^{\times}\right)^{2} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we have the following defining equation of $C_{0} / k_{d}$. Recall that $\# S=d \cdot t$. Assume $t$ is decomposed into $t:=t_{1}+t_{2}+\cdots+t_{r}, \alpha_{i} \in k_{d \cdot t_{i}}$, $k_{d}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=k_{d \cdot t_{i}},\left\{\sigma^{\iota} \alpha_{i}\right\}_{\iota} \cap\left\{\sigma^{\iota} \alpha_{j}\right\}_{\iota}=\emptyset(i \neq j)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} N_{k_{d \cdot t_{i}} / k_{d}}\left(L\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} N_{k_{d \cdot t_{i}} / k_{d}}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{j}\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{b_{j}}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the following minimal polynomial $F(x)$ for each $(n, d)$ :

- $(n, d)=(2,3): \quad F(x)=x^{2}+x+1$
- $(n, d)=(3,7): \quad F(x)=x^{3}+x+1$ or $F(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}+1$
- $(n, d)=(4,15): \quad F(x)=x^{4}+x+1$ or $F(x)=x^{4}+x^{3}+1$.

Then one obtains the defining equations $C_{0} / k_{3}$ as follows:

- $g_{0}=1, d=3, n=2$
$\# S=d \cdot t=3 \cdot 2, F(x)=x^{2}+x+1$
Then we have the following two cases.

1. $t=t_{1}+t_{2}=1+1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in k_{3},\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}^{q}, \alpha_{1}^{q^{2}}\right\} \cap\left\{\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}^{q}, \alpha_{2}^{q^{2}}\right\}=\emptyset \\
& f(x)=\prod_{i=0}^{2}\left(\sigma^{i}\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)^{b_{i}}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{2}\left(\sigma^{j}\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)^{b_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $b_{1}=b_{2}=1, a_{0}=a_{1}=a_{2}=1, b_{2}=a_{2} b_{0}+a_{1} b_{1}=0$,

$$
C_{0} / k_{3}: y^{2}=\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q}\right)
$$

2. $t=t_{1}=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1} \in k_{6}, k\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=k_{6} \\
& \begin{aligned}
C_{0} / k_{3}: y^{2} & =N_{k_{6} / k_{3}}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{2} \sigma^{i}\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)^{b_{i}}\right) \\
& =\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q^{3}}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q^{4}}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $g_{0}=1, d=7, n=3$

Since $\# S=d \cdot t=7 \cdot 1=7$, then $t=t_{1}$.
$\alpha \in k_{7}, k(\alpha)=k_{7}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0} / k_{7}: y^{2} & =L(x-\alpha)=\prod_{i=0}^{6}\left(\sigma^{\sigma^{i}}(x-\alpha)\right)^{b_{i}} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{4}}\right) & \text { if } F(x)=x^{3}+x+1 \\
(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{5}}\right) & \text { if } F(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}+1
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lists of all defining equations for $g_{0}=2,3$ are given in the table of the final section.
(b) $d \neq 2^{n}-1$

Since $x^{5}+1=(x+1)\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right)$, when $(n, d)=(4,5)$, $\sigma$ has the minimal polynomial $F(x)=x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1$. Recall that we need ${ }^{F(\sigma)} f(x) \equiv 1 \bmod \left(k_{d}(x)^{\times}\right)^{2}$ in order that $C$ is a model over $k_{d}$. If this condition is satisfied, $f(x)$ has the following three possibilities for $\alpha \in k_{5} \backslash k$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r|cc}
(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right) & f(x) \text { or } \\
(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right) & f(x) \text { or } \\
(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{3}}\right) & f(x) .
\end{array}
$$

For $g_{0}=1$ and $\# S=4+1=5$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} / k_{5}: y^{2}=(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{3}}\right) . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $5.2 \quad \sigma$ : decomposable

Recall that there exists only one case in which $g_{0}=1, n=3, d=3$ when $\sigma$ is decomposable and $\# S$ is the number of ramification points of $C / \mathbb{P}^{1}$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz genus formula, $\# S=4+\frac{d g_{0}-1}{2^{n-2}}=5$. Let $S_{i}$ be the set of ramification points of $\sigma^{i-1} C / \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then, $\# S=\#\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{3}\right)$. Now, $\# S_{1}=\# S_{2}=\# S_{3}=2 g_{0}+2=4$ since $g_{0}=1$. Here, we define $a, b, c$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & :=\#\left(S_{1} \cap S_{2} \cap S_{3}\right) \\
b & :=\#\left(S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right)-c=\#\left(S_{2} \cap S_{3}\right)-c=\#\left(S_{3} \cap S_{1}\right)-c, \\
a & :=\# S_{1}-(2 b+c)=\# S_{2}-(2 b+c)=\# S_{3}-(2 b+c) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Then we obtain the simultaneous equations as follows :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a+2 b+c=2 g_{0}+2  \tag{46}\\
3 a+3 b+c=\# S .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the case of $g_{0}=1, n=3, d=3, \# S=5$, the solution of the equation is $a=0, b=1, c=2$. Thus the defining equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} / k_{3}: y^{2}=(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right) h(x) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in k_{3} \backslash k, h(x) \in k[x], \operatorname{deg} h(x)=2$ or 1 . In fact, $C$ is a hyperelliptic curve (see [28]). Notice that there do not exist other cases besides $g_{0}=$ $1, n=3, d=3$ when $\sigma$ is decomposable.

## 6 Existence of a model of $C$ over $k$ and defining equations of $C_{0}$

### 6.1 Existential condition of a model of $C$ over $k$

Finally, we discuss conditions for existence of a model of $C$ over $k$. One knows that a model of $C$ over $k$ exists if and only if the extension $\sigma$ of the

Frobenius automorphism $\sigma_{k_{d} / k}$ is an automorphism of order $d$ on $k_{d}(C)$ in the separable closure of $k_{d}(x)$. In this section, we define $\hat{F}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$ as the polynomial such that $x^{d}+1=F(x) \hat{F}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$.

Lemma 6.1. Assume that ${ }^{F(\sigma)} f(x) \equiv 1 \bmod \left(k_{d}(x)^{\times}\right)^{2}$. When $\hat{F}(1)=0$, if $c$ is a square element in $\left(k_{d}^{\times}\right)^{2}$ then $C$ has a model over $k$. When $\hat{F}(1)=1$, if $\sigma$ does not have order $d$, there is a $\phi \in \operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ such that $\sigma \phi$ has order $d$ so we can adopt $\sigma \phi$ instead of $\sigma$. Therefore $C$ always has a model over $k$ when $\hat{F}(1)=1$.

Proof: Let $Q:=\left\{\left.\frac{b(x)}{a(x)} \right\rvert\, k_{d}[x] \ni a(x), b(x):\right.$ monic $\}$.
Since ${ }^{F(\sigma)} f(x) \equiv 1 \bmod \left(k_{d}(x)^{\times}\right)^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F(\sigma) y^{2} & \equiv F(\sigma) c=c^{F(q)} \quad \bmod \left(k_{d}(x)^{\times}\right)^{2}  \tag{48}\\
F(\sigma) y & \equiv \epsilon c^{\frac{F(q)}{2}} \bmod Q, \quad \text { here } \epsilon= \pm 1  \tag{49}\\
\hat{F}(\sigma) F(\sigma) y & \equiv \hat{F}(\sigma) \epsilon c^{\frac{\hat{F}(q) F(q)}{2}}  \tag{50}\\
\sigma^{d}+1 y & \equiv \epsilon^{\hat{F}(1)} c^{\frac{q^{d}+1}{2}}  \tag{51}\\
\sigma^{d} y & \equiv \epsilon^{\hat{F}(1)} c^{\frac{q^{d}-1}{2}} y \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

We first consider two possibilities of $F(1)=1$ and $F(1)=0$ respectively.

- Case $F(1)=1$ :

We notice $\hat{F}(1)=0$ in this case. Now, $\sigma^{d} y \equiv c^{\frac{q^{d}-1}{2}} y$. In order that $\sigma$ has order $d$ (i.e. $\sigma^{d} y \equiv y$ ), $c$ needs to be a square $c \in\left(k_{d}^{\times}\right)^{2}$.

- Case $F(1)=0$ :

Here, we consider further two possibilities of $\hat{F}(1)=0$ and $\hat{F}(1)=1$.
(a) $\hat{F}(1)=0$

Similarly, $\sigma^{d} y \equiv c^{\frac{q^{d}-1}{2}} y . c$ should be a square element in $k_{d}^{\times}$.
(b) $\hat{F}(1)=1$

Then ${ }^{\sigma^{d}} y \equiv \epsilon c^{\frac{q^{d}-1}{2}} y$.
If $\epsilon=+1$ and $c \in\left(k_{d}^{\times}\right)^{2}$, then $\sigma$ has order $d$ (i.e. $\sigma^{d} y=y$ ).
If $\epsilon=-1$ or $c \notin\left(k_{d}^{\times}\right)^{2}$, then $\sigma$ has order $2 d$.
However, we can show that in this case there is a $\phi \in \operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ such that $(\sigma \phi)^{d}=1$.
Indeed, suppose $d=2^{r} \cdot d^{\prime}\left(2 \nmid d^{\prime}\right)$. Since ${ }^{\sigma} \phi:=\sigma \phi \sigma^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(\sigma \phi)^{d} & =\sigma \phi \sigma^{-1} \cdot \sigma^{2} \phi \sigma^{-2} \cdots \sigma^{d} \phi \sigma^{-d} \cdot \sigma^{d}  \tag{53}\\
& ={ }^{d} \phi{ }^{\sigma^{2}} \phi \cdots \sigma^{d} \phi \sigma^{d}  \tag{54}\\
& ={ }^{\sigma} \phi^{\sigma^{2}} \phi \cdots \sigma^{2^{r} d^{\prime}} \phi \sigma^{d} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we choose $\phi:={ }^{t} \overbrace{0,0, \ldots, 1}^{m}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \operatorname{cov}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$. Define

$$
\left.I \text { as the identity matrix, } J:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & & O \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
\vdots & O & \ddots & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\} m \leq 2^{r} .
$$

Then $J^{m}=O$. We notice that the representation of $\sigma$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta & O  \tag{56}\\
O & *
\end{array}\right) \text { where } \Delta:=I+J .
$$

Here, $\sigma^{i} \phi$ corresponds to $(I+J)^{i} \cdot t(\overbrace{0, \ldots 0,1}^{m})$. Now, since ${ }^{\sigma^{2^{r}}} \phi=\phi$, $(\sigma \phi)^{d}=\left(\phi^{\sigma} \phi \sigma^{2} \phi \ldots \sigma^{\sigma^{2}-1} \phi\right)^{d^{\prime}} \sigma^{d}$. Furthermore, since

$$
I+(I+J)+\cdots+(I+J)^{2^{r}-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{cccc} 
& O & & \text { if } m<2^{r}  \tag{57}\\
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { if } m=2^{r},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $O$ is the zero matrix, it follows that

$$
\phi^{\sigma} \phi^{\sigma^{2}} \phi \ldots^{\sigma^{2^{r}-1}} \phi=\left\{\begin{align*}
{ }^{t}(0,0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } m<2^{r}  \tag{58}\\
\psi:={ }^{t}(1,0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } m=2^{r} .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

On the one hand, define $K$ as the Galois closure of $k_{d}\left(C_{0}\right) / k(x), \sigma^{d}$ is an element in the center of $\operatorname{Gal}(K / k(x))$, i.e., $\sigma^{d} \in Z(\operatorname{Gal}(K / k(x)))=$ $\{1, \psi\}$. When ord $(\sigma)=2 d, \sigma^{d}=\psi$. Furthermore, notice that $m=2^{r}$ in the case of (b). Thus, in the multiplicative notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sigma \phi)^{d}=\left(\phi^{\sigma} \phi \sigma^{\sigma^{2}} \phi \ldots \sigma^{2^{r}-1} \phi\right)^{d^{\prime}} \sigma^{d}=\psi^{d^{\prime}} \cdot \psi=1 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, we can adopt the above $\sigma \phi$ instead of $\sigma$.

Consequently, we can determine defining equations of all classes of $C_{0} / k_{d}$ : $y^{2}=c \cdot f(x)$ whose covering curves $C$ has a model over $k$ under the isogeny condition. When $\hat{F}(1)=0, c$ has to be a square in $k_{d}$ or can be regarded as 1 , which has been treated in previous section.

### 6.2 Defining equations of $C_{0}$ with a non-square $c$

In this section, we will treat only the defining equations of $C_{0}$ with a nonsquare $c$. The defining equations of all classes of $C_{0} / k_{d}$ can be found in the table in the section 7 .

### 6.2.1 $\sigma$ : indecomposable

- $g_{0}=1, n=2, d=2$

Here, $x^{2}+1=(x+1)^{2}$, thus $F(x)=(x+1)^{2}, \hat{F}(x)=1$.
Since $\hat{F}(x)=1, \hat{F}(1)=1$. From Lemma $6.1, c$ can be an arbitrary element in $k_{2}^{\times}$in order that the curve $C$ has a model over $k$. Extending the result of the section 5 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} / k_{2}: y^{2}=\eta(x-\alpha) h(x) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(x) \in k[x], \alpha \in k_{2} \backslash k, \operatorname{deg} h(x)=3$ or $2, \eta=$ either 1 (i.e. a square) or a non-square element in $k_{2}$.

In the same manner, we can determine $c$ also for $g_{0}=2,3$ as follows.

- $g_{0}=2, n=2, d=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} / k_{2}: y^{2}=\eta(x-\alpha) h(x) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(x) \in k[x], \alpha \in k_{2} \backslash k, \operatorname{deg} h(x)=5$ or $4, \eta=$ either 1 (i.e. a square) or a non-square element in $k_{2}$.

- $g_{0}=3, n=2, d=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} / k_{2}: y^{2}=\eta(x-\alpha) h(x) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} h(x)=7$ or 6 .
Thus the curves $(60)(61)(62)$ contain (38) as a subcase.

### 6.2.2 $\sigma$ : decomposable

Here, there exists only the case of $g_{0}=1, n=3, d=3$. Since $x^{3}+1=$ $(x+1)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right), F(x)=x^{3}+1, \hat{F}(x)=1$, then $\hat{F}(1)=1$. Therefore $c$ is either 1 or a non-square element in $k_{3}$. Then we obtain the defining equation of $C_{0} / k_{3}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} / k_{3}: y^{2}=\eta(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right) h(x) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta=$ either 1 or a non-square element in $k_{3}, \alpha \in k_{3} \backslash k, h(x) \in$ $k[x], \operatorname{deg} h(x)=2$ or 1 . Notice that the curves (63) extends the class of (47).

## 7 A complete list of $C_{0} / k_{d}$ with (2,...,2)-covering $C / k$

Curves in the following list are all classes of hyperelliptic curves $C_{0} / k_{d}$ for $g\left(C_{0}\right) \in\{1,2,3\}$ which possess $(2, \ldots, 2)$ covering $C / k$ of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ under the isogeny condition. Here, $C_{0} / k_{d}: y^{2}=c \cdot h_{d}(x) h(x), h_{d}(x) \in k_{d}[x] \backslash k_{u}[x], u \| d$, $h(x) \in k[x], \alpha \in k_{d} \backslash k_{v}, v \| d$ (here $a|\mid b$ means $a| b$ and $a \neq b$ ), $\eta=$ either 1 or a non-square element in $k_{d}$.
$C_{0} / k_{d}: y^{2}=c \cdot h_{d}(x) h(x)$

| $g_{0}$ | $n, d$ | $c$ | $h_{d}(x)$ | $\operatorname{deg}(h(x))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2,2 | $\eta$ | $x-\alpha$ | 3 or 2 |
|  | 2, 3 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q}\right) \\ \text { Either } \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in k_{3} \backslash k \text { or } \alpha_{1} \in k_{6} \backslash\left(k_{2} \cup k_{3}\right), \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{3}} \\ C: \text { Hyper } \Longleftrightarrow \exists \exists \in G L_{2}(k), \alpha_{2}=A \cdot \alpha_{1}, \operatorname{Tr}(A)=0[28] \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
|  | 3,3 | $\eta$ | $(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right)$ | 2 or 1 |
|  | 4,5 | 1 | $(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{3}}\right)$ | 0 |
|  | 3,7 | 1 | (1) $\quad(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{4}}\right)$ <br> (2) $\quad(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{q^{5}}\right)$ | 0 |
| 2 | 2,2 | $\eta$ | $x-\alpha$ | 5 or 4 |
|  | 2, 3 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{3}^{q}\right) \\ \text { Either } \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \in k_{3} \backslash k \text { or } \\ \alpha_{1} \in k_{6} \backslash\left(k_{2} \cup k_{3}\right), \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{3}}, \alpha_{3} \in k_{3} \backslash k \text { or } \\ \alpha_{1} \in k_{9} \backslash k_{3}, \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{3}}, \alpha 3=\alpha_{1}^{q_{1}^{6}} \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| 3 | 2,2 | $\eta$ | $x-\alpha$ | 7 or 6 |
|  | 2, 3 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{3}^{q}\right) \\ \times\left(x-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{4}^{q}\right) \\ \text { Either } \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4} \in k_{3} \backslash k \text { or } \\ \alpha_{1} \in k_{6} \backslash\left(k_{2} \cup k_{3}\right), \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{3}}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4} \in k_{3} \backslash k \text { or } \\ \alpha_{1} \in k_{6} \backslash\left(k_{2} \cup k_{3}\right), \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{3}}, \alpha_{3} \in k_{6} \backslash\left(k_{2} \cup k_{3}\right), \alpha_{4}=\alpha_{3}^{q^{3}} \text { or } \\ \alpha_{1} \in k_{9} \backslash k_{3}, \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{3}}, \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{1}^{q_{1}^{6}}, \alpha_{4} \in k_{3} \backslash k \text { or } \\ \alpha_{1} \in k_{12} \backslash\left(k_{6} \cup k_{4}\right), \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{3}}, \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{6}}, \alpha_{4}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{9}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
|  | 3,7 | 1 | (1) $\quad\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q^{4}}\right)$ <br> $\times\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q^{4}}\right)$ <br> (2) $\quad\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q^{3}}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{1}^{q^{4}}\right)$ <br> $\times\left(x-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q^{2}}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q^{3}}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{2}^{q^{4}}\right)$ <br> Either $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in k_{7} \backslash k$ or <br> $\alpha_{1} \in k_{14} \backslash\left(k_{2} \cup k_{7}\right), \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{q^{7}}$ | 0 |
|  | 4,15 | 1 |  | 0 |
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