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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present a new bit-parallel Montgomery multiplier for $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ generated with irreducible trinomials. A newly proposed divide-and-conquer approach is applied to simplify the polynomial multiplication while the Montgomery squaring is induced to simplify the modular reduction. Meanwhile, this design effectively exploits the overlapped elements in squaring and reduction operation to reduce the space complexity. As a result, the proposed multiplier has about $25 \%$ reduced space complexity compared with previous multipliers, with a slight increase of time complexity. Among five binary fields recommended by NIST for the ECDSA (Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm), there exist two fields, i.e., $G F\left(2^{409}\right), G F\left(2^{233}\right)$, defined by trinomials. For these two fields, we show that our proposal outperforms the previous best known results if the space and time complexities are both considered.
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## 1 Introduction

Efficient hardware implementation of multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ is very important in many areas such as coding theory, computer algebra and public key cryptosystems [1], [2]. Nowadays, more and more circuit gates can be located on a single chip which makes the bitparallel architectures possible and reasonable. During recent years, a number of bit-parallel $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ multiplier schemes and architectures have been proposed to achieve the higher computation speed or lower area complexity. They have covered extensive cases with respect to different bases representation [4], [5] and generating polynomials [6], [7], [8].
Montgomery multiplication is an important algorithm which was originally used for fast modular integer multiplication [9] and then extended to field multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ [10] and $G F\left(p^{m}\right)$ with $p>2$ [11]. In [10], Koç and Acar have introduced a class of algorithm for software implementation of Montgomery

[^0]multiplication. They argued that Montgomery multiplication can be implemented efficiently if the Montgomery factor is chosen properly. The hardware implementation of the Montgomery multiplication is investigated in [12], [13]. The Montgomery factor among these literatures is selected as $x^{m}$. In [14], Wu has proposed a new bit-parallel Montgomery multiplier for irreducible trinomials using different factor. His scheme is based on the a slightly generalized method in [10] and showed that the Montgomery factor is chosen as the middle term of the trinomial $x^{m}+x^{k}+1$ can result in efficient bit-parallel multiplier and squarer which are at least as good as previous proposals. Hariri and Reyhani-Masoleh [15] have further improved Wu's proposal. Besides new choice of the Montgomery factors, fast bit-serial and bitparallel multiplier architectures for irreducible trinomials and pentanomials are also given. It is argued that their scheme matches the best known result reported in the literatures.
Hariri and Reyhani-Masolehs architecture is really fast, but the space complexity of their multiplier costs about $O\left(m^{2}\right)$ circuit gates. Our work is devoted to reduce the space complexity of Montgomery multiplier so as to perform the scalar multiplication of Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) in some constraint devices. We
achieve this goal by inducing a new divide-and-conquer algorithm which is proposed by Park et al. [16]. Other than the frequently used Karatsuba algorithm which partition polynomials into two halves, Park et al. approach, referred as PCHS algorithm, splits a polynomial $A=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i} x^{i}, a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ according to the parity of $x^{\prime}$ s exponent and utilized the squaring operation. This algorithm is originally applied in the multiplier for irreducible pentanomials, in this paper we will show such approach can also be applied in Montgomery multiplier for trinomials. Combining with PCHS algorithm and Montgomery squaring, a new bit-parallel Montgomery multiplication architecture is proposed. Explicit formulae about complexity analysis are given. As a result, the space complexity our proposal is about $25 \%$ less than any other Montgomery or Mastrovito multipliers for irreducible trinomials, with the time complexity is slightly higher than the best known results.
The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we briefly review the Montgomery multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ and the PCHS algorithm. Then a new bit-parallel Montgomery multiplier based on PCHS algorithm is described in Section 3. In Section 4, we further analyze its complexity and present a comparison between our proposal and some others. Finally, some conclusions are drawn.

## 2 PRELIMINARY

In this section, we briefly introduce some concepts about the Montgomery multiplication over $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ and the PCHS algorithm.

### 2.1 Montgomery multiplication in $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$

Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomials which defines the finite field $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ and $r(x)$ be a fixed polynomial with $\operatorname{deg}(r(x)) \leq m$. It is clear that $\operatorname{gcd}(f(x), r(x))=1$, therefore, there exist $\tilde{f}(x)$ and $\tilde{r}(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x) \tilde{r}(x)+f(x) \tilde{f}(x)=1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\tilde{r}(x)=r^{-1}(x)$ is the inverse of $r(x)$ modulo $f(x)$. Given two field elements
$a(x), b(x) \in G F\left(2^{m}\right)$, the Montgomery multiplication over $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ is given by:

$$
c(x)=a(x) b(x) r^{-1}(x) \bmod f(x) .
$$

The fixed polynomial $r(x)$ is denoted as Montgomery factor and can be chosen according to $f(x)$ to construct efficient multiplier architecture. In [10], $r(x)$ is chosen as $x^{m}$, because the modular operation and a final division regarding to $x^{m}$ are very simple. The former can ignore the terms whose powers of $x$ are great than or equal to $m$ and the latter requires $m$-bit shift of its operand. Hariri and ReyhaniMasoleh [15] have proved that $r(x)=x^{m-1}$ is more suitable for efficient bit-serial Montgomery multiplier. When $f(x)$ is an irreducible trinomial or pentanomial, the bit-parallel Montgomery multiplier architecture is investigated in [15], [14]. In this case, $r(x)$ is chosen as $x^{k}$ or $x^{k-1}$ for efficient reason.

### 2.2 The PCHS algorithm

Let $A=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha x^{i}$ and $B=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta x^{i}$ be two elements in $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ using polynomial basis. Assume that $m$ is an odd integer. We can partition $A, B$ into

$$
A=A_{1}^{2}+x A_{2}^{2} \text { and } B=x^{-1} B_{1}^{2}+B_{2}^{2}
$$

respectively, where $A_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{(m-1) / 2} \alpha_{2 i} x^{i}, A_{2}=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{(m-3) / 2} \alpha_{2 i+1} x^{i}, B_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{i=-1) / 2} \beta_{2 i-1} x^{i}$, and $B_{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{(m-1) / 2} \beta_{2 i} x^{i}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A B=\left(A_{1}^{2}+x A_{2}^{2}\right)\left(x^{-1} B_{1}^{2}+B_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& =x^{-1}\left(A_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2}+x\left(A_{2} B_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{1} B_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2} B_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& =x^{-1}\left(A_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2}+x\left(A_{2} B_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2} B_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+\left[\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)\left(B_{1}+B_{2}\right)\right]^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogous with Karatsuba algorithm, Equation (2) modified the $m$-term polynomial multiplication into three $\frac{m+1}{2}$ (or $\frac{m-1}{2}$ )-term polynomial multiplications at the cost of three extra additions. Please note that this formula also utilize squaring operation, thus the PCHS algorithm should be combined with efficient squaring operation together to construct efficient multiplication. In the following section, we will describe a new bit-parallel multiplier based on Park et al. algorithm and Montgomery squaring operation for irreducible trinomials.

## 3 New field multiplication using MONTGOMERY SQUARING OPERATION

In this section, we present a new Montgomery multiplication formula for irreducible trinomials using the PCHS algorithm. Suppose that the finite field $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ is defined by an irreducible trinomial $f(x)=x^{m}+x^{k}+1$ with a root $x$, and the field elements are represented using polynomial basis $\left\{1, x, \cdots, x^{m-1}\right\}$. From now on, we only take account of $f(x)=x^{m}+x^{k}+1$ where $1 \leq k \leq m / 2$ and $m$ is odd, because there always exist irreducible trinomial $f(x)=$ $x^{m}+x^{m-k}+1$ by the reciprocal property [3] and this type of trinomial is practically used in cryptography [21].

Assume that $A, B \in G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ are two arbitrary elements in polynomial basis representation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=a_{m-1} x^{m-1}+a_{m-2} x^{m-2}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0}, \\
& B=b_{m-1} x^{m-1}+b_{m-2} x^{m-2}+\cdots+b_{1} x+b_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$. We use $x^{u}(1 \leq u<m)$ as the Montgomery factor, consider the Montgomery multiplication over $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=A \cdot B \cdot x^{-u} \bmod f(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the PCHS approach, we split $A, B$ into two parts as follows:

$$
A=A_{1}^{2}+x A_{2}^{2}, \quad B=x^{-1} B_{1}^{2}+B_{2}^{2},
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1}=\sum_{i=0 .}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} a_{2 i} x^{i}, A_{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m-3}{2}} a_{2 i+1} x^{i}, \\
B_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} b_{2 i-1} x^{i}, B_{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} b_{2 i} x^{i} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the Montgomery multiplication can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A B x^{-u}= & {\left[\left(A_{1}^{2}+x A_{2}^{2}\right)\left(x^{-1} B_{1}^{2}+B_{2}^{2}\right)\right] x^{-u} } \\
= & {\left[x^{-1}\left(A_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2}+x\left(A_{2} B_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{1} B_{2}\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(A_{2} B_{1}\right)^{2}\right] x^{-u} \\
= & {\left[x^{-1}\left(A_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2}+x\left(A_{2} B_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(A_{2} B_{2}\right)^{2}+(C D)^{2}\right] x^{-u} \\
= & \left(A_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2} x^{-u}\left(1+x^{-1}\right)+(C D)^{2} x^{-u} \\
& +\left(A_{2} B_{2}\right)^{2} x^{-u}(1+x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C=A_{1}+A_{2}, D=B_{1}+B_{2}$.
Form the above expression, it is clear that the Montgomery multiplication is transferred into the Montgomery squaring in terms of
$A_{1} B_{1}, A_{2} B_{2}, C D$. Please note that the choice of the Montgomery factor $x^{u}$ could highly influence these squaring operations. Hariri and Reyhani-Masoleh [15] have proposed the optimal $x^{u}$ which can be summarized in following lemma:

Lemma 1 Let $f(x)=x^{m}+x^{k}+1$ be an irreducible trinomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $x$ be the root of $f(x)$. Then, the Montgomery factor $x^{u}$ is obtained from following in order to construct the simplest modular reduction.

$$
u= \begin{cases}1, & k=1  \tag{4}\\ k \text { or } k-1, & k>1\end{cases}
$$

Proof See section 5 in [15].
Hence, in this paper we use the same Montgomery factor as Wu's argument, where $x^{u}=$ $x^{k}$. Note that the degrees of $A_{1} B_{1}, A_{2} B_{2}$ and $C D$ are at most $m-1$. From now on, the following notions are used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1} B_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_{i} x^{i}, A_{2} B_{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d_{i} x^{i}, C D=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} e_{i} x^{i}, \\
& S_{1}=\left(A_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2} x^{-k}\left(1+x^{-1}\right) \bmod f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} s_{i} x^{i}, \\
& S_{2}=\left(A_{2} B_{2}\right)^{2} x^{-k}(1+x) \bmod f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} t_{i} x^{i}, \\
& S_{3}=(C D)^{2} x^{-k} \bmod f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_{i} x^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, notice that $c_{0}=0$ and $d_{m-1}=0$. Then the Montgomery multiplication can be expressed as

$$
A B x^{-k} \bmod f(x)=S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3} .
$$

We then consider the detailed computation of $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$, respectively.

### 3.1 The complexities of $A_{1} B_{1}, A_{2} B_{2}$

We first briefly analyze the complexities of the products $A_{1} B_{1}$ and $A_{2} B_{2}$ which will be used in the computation of $S_{1}, S_{2}$. According to previous description, the coefficients $c_{i} \mathrm{~S}$ of $A_{1} B_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_{i} x^{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{i}= \\
& \begin{cases}0, & i=0, \\
\sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{2 j} b_{2(i-j)-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, \\
\sum_{j=i-\frac{m-1}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} a_{2 j} b_{2(i-j)-1}, & \frac{m+1}{2} \leq i \leq m-1 .\end{cases} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE 1
The computation complexity of $c_{i}$.

| $c_{i}$ | \#AND | \#XOR | Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{0}=0$ | 0 | 0 | - |
| $c_{1}=a_{0} b_{1}$ | 1 | 0 | $T_{A}$ |
| $c_{2}=a_{0} b_{3}+a_{2} b_{1}$ | 2 | 1 | $T_{A}+T_{X}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $c_{\frac{m-1}{2}}=a_{0} b_{m-2}+\cdots+a_{m-3} b_{1}$ | $\frac{m-1}{2}$ | $\frac{m-3}{2}$ | $T_{A}+\left(\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
| $c_{\frac{m+1}{2}}=a_{2} b_{m-2}+\cdots+a_{m-1} b_{1}$ | $\frac{m-1}{2}$ | $\frac{m-3}{2}$ | $T_{A}+\left(\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $c_{m-1}=a_{m-1} b_{m-2}$ | 1 | 0 | $T_{A}$ |
| Total | $\frac{m^{2}-1}{4}$ | $\frac{m^{2}-4 m+3}{4}$ | $T_{A}+\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)\right\rceil T_{X}$ |

As an example, the gate count and time delay for implementation of each $c_{i}$ in (5) are presented in Table 1. It is easy to see that the computation of $c_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ for $0 \leq i<m$ cost $\frac{\left(m^{2}-1\right)}{4}$ AND and $\frac{m^{2}-4 m+3}{4}$ XOR gates with path delay $T_{A}+\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)\right\rceil T_{X}$.

Similarly, we can easily obtain the space and time complexity related to $A_{2} B_{2}$ in following formulae:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \#AND: } \frac{m^{2}-1}{4} \\
& \text { \#XOR: } \frac{m^{2}-4 m+3}{4} \\
& \text { Delay: } T_{A}+\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)\right] T_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2 The computation of $S_{1}, S_{2}$

According to previous description, we know that the critical computation regarding to $S_{1}, S_{2}$ is the Montgomery squaring operation in $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$. This operation has been fully studied through the explicit formulation proposed by Wu [14]. Based on his work, we know that the Montgomery squaring formulae are varied according to the range of $m$ and $k$. Hence, we consider four cases:

- $m$ is odd, $k$ is odd, $1 \leq k \leq \frac{m-3}{2}$,
- $m$ is odd, $k$ is odd, $k=\frac{m-1}{2}$,
- $m$ is odd, $k$ is even, $1 \leq k \leq \frac{m-3}{2}$,
- $m$ is odd, $k$ is even, $k=\frac{m-1}{2}$.

Using different formulae as above, the result of $S_{1}, S_{2}$ is expressed differently. For exam-
ple, suppose that $m$ and $k$ satisfy case 1 , denote $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} z_{i} x^{i}$ as the Montgomery squaring $\left(A_{1} B_{1}\right)^{2} x^{-k}$, we have following expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{i}=  \tag{6}\\
& \begin{cases}c_{\frac{i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k+i}{}}^{2}, & i=0,2, \cdots, k-1 ; \\
c_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}^{2}, & i=k+1, k+3, \cdots, m-k-2 ; \\
c_{\frac{k-m+i}{2}}, & i=m-k, m-k+2 \cdots, m-1 ; \\
c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}^{2}, & i=1,3, \cdots, k-2 ; \\
c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i}{2}}, & i=k, k+2, \cdots, m-2 .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x^{m}+x^{k}=1$, we have $x^{-1}=x^{m-1}+x^{k-1}$. It follows that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & =\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} z_{i} x^{i}\left(1+x^{-1}\right) \bmod f(x) \\
& =\sum_{i=0, i \neq k-1}^{m-2}\left(z_{i}+z_{i+1}\right) x^{i} \\
& +\left(z_{k-1}+z_{k}+z_{0}\right) x^{k-1}+\left(z_{m-1}+z_{0}\right) x^{m-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we substitute $z_{i}$ with the above expressions in (6). Note that $c_{0}=0$, the coefficients of $S_{1}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{i}= \\
& \begin{cases}c_{\frac{i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}}, & i=0,2, \cdots, k-1 \\
c_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}}^{2}+c_{\frac{m+i+1}{2}}, & i=k+1, k+3 \\
c_{\frac{k-m+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i+1}{2}}, & i=m, m-k-2 \\
& \cdots, m-3 \\
c_{\frac{k-1}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k}{2}}, & \cdots=m-1 ; \\
c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{i+1}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k+i+1}{2}}, & i=1,3, \cdots, k-2 \\
c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k+i+1}{2}}, & i=k, k+2 \\
c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k-m+i+1}{2}}, & i=m-k-1, m-k+1 \\
& \cdots, m-2\end{cases} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, we can utilize the similar strategy to obtain the explicit expression of $S_{2}$. Let $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} z_{i}^{\prime} x^{i}$ denote the Montgomery squaring respect to $A_{2} B_{2}$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2} & =\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} z_{i}^{\prime} x^{i}(1+x) \bmod f(x) \\
& =\sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m-1}\left(z_{i}^{\prime}+z_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) x^{i} \\
& +\left(z_{k}^{\prime}+z_{k-1}^{\prime}+z_{m-1}^{\prime}\right) x^{k}+\left(z_{m-1}^{\prime}+z_{0}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The explicit formulae for the coefficients of $S_{2}$ are given in (8). It follows that each coefficient of $S_{1}+S_{2}$ consists of at most six terms which can be implemented with the gate delay at most $3 T_{X}$ in parallel.

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{i}= \\
& \begin{cases}d_{\frac{i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+k+i}{}}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}}, & i=0,2, \cdots, k-1 ; \\
d_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}^{2}+d_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i+1}{2}}, & i=k+1, k+3, \\
& \cdots, m-k-2 ; \\
d_{\underline{k-m+i}}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}}^{2}+d_{\frac{m+i-1}{2}}, & i=m-k, m-k+2, \\
& \cdots, m-1 ; \\
d_{\frac{k+i}{}}+d_{\frac{i-1}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+k+i-1}{}}, & i=1,3, \cdots, k-2 ; \\
d_{k}+d_{\frac{m+k}{}}+d_{\frac{m+2 k-1}{}} & i=k ; \\
d_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+k+i-1}{2}}, & i=k+2, k+4, \\
d_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{k-m+i-1}{2}}, & i=m, k-k-3 ; \\
& \cdots, m-2 ;\end{cases} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity, we do not present detailed computational procedures of $S_{1}+S_{2}$ for other cases. The detailed results of other cases can be found in the appendix.

### 3.3 Computation of $S_{3}$

Since the computation of $C=A_{1}+A_{2}$ and $D=B_{1}+B_{2}$ require one extra $T_{X}$ gate delay, in order to keep pace with the computation of $S_{1}+S_{2}$, we use different computational strategy which combines the polynomial multiplication with Montgomery squaring.

Let $\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{i}=A_{1}+A_{2}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} v_{i}=B_{1}+B_{2}$, then

$$
e_{i}= \begin{cases}\sum_{j=0}^{i} u_{j} v_{i-j} & 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-1}{2},  \tag{9}\\ \sum_{j=i-\frac{m-1}{2}}^{\frac{m}{2}} u_{j} v_{i-j} & \frac{m+1}{2} \leq i \leq m-1 .\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
r_{i}= \begin{cases}e_{\frac{i}{2}}+e_{\frac{m+k+i}{}}^{2}, & i=0,2, \cdots, k-1 ;  \tag{10}\\ e_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}, & i=k+1, k+3, \cdots, m-k-2 ; \\ e_{\frac{k-m+i}{2}}, & i=m-k, m-k+2 \cdots, m-1 ; \\ e_{\frac{k+i}{2}}, & i=1,3, \cdots, k-2 ; \\ e_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+e_{\frac{m+i}{2}}, & i=k, k+2, \cdots, m-2 .\end{cases}
$$

By substituting (9) into (10), we can obtain the explicit expression of $r_{i} \mathrm{~s}$. Particularly, it only need $\frac{(m+1)^{2}}{4}$ AND gates to computed all the $u_{i} v_{j}$ for $i, j=0,1, \cdots, \frac{m-1}{2}$, we only present the number of XOR gates required by each $r_{i}$ which are summarized in Table 2.
Generally, the computation of $r_{i}$ presented in Table 2 consists of multiplying $u_{i}$ with $v_{j}$ and adding up all these products using a binary XOR tree. However, note that there exist certain overlapped terms among some $r_{i} \mathrm{~s}$, we will show that the number of required XOR gates can be further reduced by reusing the intermediated results in these binary trees.
For example, one can check that $r_{0}=e_{0}+$ $e_{\frac{m+k}{}}$ contains a same part $e_{\frac{m+k}{}}$ with $r_{k}=$ $e_{k}{ }^{2}+e_{\frac{m+k}{}}$. According to (9), it follows that $e_{\frac{m+k}{2}}=\sum_{j=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m+k}{2}-j}$ which consists of $\frac{m-k}{2}$ terms. Provided that $\frac{m-k}{2}$ is odd and then $r_{0}, r_{k}$ are calculated using a binary XOR tree. The detailed computation of $r_{0}$ and $r_{k}$ are performed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0}= & {\left[u_{\frac{k+1}{2}} v_{\frac{m-1}{2}}+u_{\frac{k+3}{2}} v_{\frac{m-3}{2}}\right]+\left[u_{\frac{k+5}{2}} v_{\frac{m-5}{2}}\right.} \\
& \left.+u_{\frac{k+7}{2}} v_{\frac{m-7}{2}}\right]+\cdots+\left[u_{\frac{m-5}{2}} v_{\frac{k+5}{2}}+u_{\frac{m-3}{2}} v_{\frac{k+3}{2}}\right] \\
& +\left[u_{\frac{m-1}{2}} v_{\frac{k+1}{2}}+u_{0} v_{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{k}= & {\left[u_{\frac{k+1}{2}} v_{\frac{m-1}{2}}+u_{\frac{k+3}{2}} v_{\frac{m-3}{2}}\right]+\left[u_{\frac{k+5}{2}} v_{\frac{m-5}{2}}\right.} \\
& \left.+u_{\frac{k+7}{2}} v_{\frac{m-7}{2}}\right]+\cdots+\left[u_{\frac{m-5}{2}} v_{\frac{k+5}{2}}+u_{\frac{m-3}{2}} v_{\frac{k+3}{2}}\right] \\
& +\left[u_{\frac{m-1}{2}} v_{\frac{k+1}{2}}+u_{0} v_{k}\right]+\left[u_{1} v_{k-1}+u_{2} v_{k-2}\right] \\
& +\cdots+\left[u_{k-1} v_{1}+u_{k} v_{0}\right] . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

In (11), the terms $u_{i} v_{j}$ represent the XOR tree nodes in depth 0 and the additions in the brackets are computed simultaneously. The results of the brackets correspond to the XOR tree nodes in depth 1 . We then follow the same line to add the nodes pairwisely and repeat those steps

TABLE 2
The computation complexity of $r_{i}$.

| $i$ | $r_{i}$ | \#XOR | $i$ | $r_{i}$ | \#XOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $u_{0} v_{0}+\sum_{j=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m+k}{2}-j}$ | $\frac{m-k}{2}$ | 1 | $\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{k+1}{2}-j}$ | $\frac{k+1}{2}$ |
| 2 | $u_{0} v_{1}+u_{1} v_{0}+\sum_{j=\frac{k+3}{2}}^{\frac{2-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m+k}{2}+1-j}$ | $\frac{m-k}{2}$ | 3 | $\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k+3}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{k+1}{2}+1-j}$ | $\frac{k+3}{2}$ |
| $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ | : | : | 引 |
| $k-1$ | $\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{k-1}{2}-j}+\sum_{j=k}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m-1}{2}+k-j}$ | $\frac{m-k}{2}$ | $k-2$ | $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} u_{j} v_{k-1-j}$ | $k-1$ |
| $k+1$ | $\sum_{j=k+1}^{\frac{m^{2-1}}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m-1}{2}+k+1-j}$ | $\frac{m-1}{2}-k$ | $k$ | $\sum_{j=0}^{k} u_{j} v_{k-j}+\sum_{j=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m+k}{2}-j}$ | $\frac{m+k}{2}$ |
| $k+3$ | $\sum_{j=k+2}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m-1}{2}+k+2-j}$ | $\frac{m-3}{2}-k$ | $k+2$ | $\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} u_{j} v_{k+1-j}+\sum_{j=\frac{k+3}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m+k}{2}+1-j}$ | $\frac{m+k}{2}$ |
| $\vdots$ | ! | $\vdots$ | : | ! | : |
| $m-k-2$ | $u_{\frac{m-1}{2}} v_{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ | 0 | $m-k-1$ | $\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m-1}{2}-j}+\sum_{j=\frac{m-k}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{m-\frac{k+1}{2}-j}$ | $\frac{m+k}{2}$ |
| $m-k$ | $u_{0} v_{0}$ | 0 | $m-k+1$ | $\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m+1}{2}-j}+\sum_{j=\frac{m-k+2}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{m-\frac{k-1}{2}-j}$ | $\frac{m+k}{2}-2$ |
| $m-k+2$ | $u_{0} v_{1}+u_{1} v_{0}$ | 1 | : | : | : |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $m-2$ | $\sum_{j=\frac{k-1}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{m+k}{2}-1-j}+u_{\frac{m-1}{2}} v_{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ | $\frac{m-k}{2}+1$ |
| $m-1$ | $\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} u_{j} v_{\frac{k-1}{2}-j}$ | $\frac{k-1}{2}$ |  |  |  |

until adding up all those terms together. This procedure can be depicted in Fig 1 and 2.


Fig. 1. The binary XOR tree (a) related to $r_{0}$

Due to parallelism, each layer of the XOR trees related to $r_{0}$ and $r_{k}$ cost a gate delay $T_{X}$. Meanwhile, note that the first $\left\lfloor\frac{m-k}{4}\right\rfloor$ brackets of the two expressions in (11) have the same results, hence we only need to compute these results in tree (a) and $\left\lfloor\frac{m-k}{4}\right\rfloor$ XOR gates will be saved in tree (b). Similarly, it follows that $\left\lfloor\frac{m-k}{8}\right\rfloor$ XOR gates can be saved at depth 2 and $\left\lfloor\frac{m-k}{2^{t+1}}\right\rfloor$ XOR gates saved at depth $t$ in tree (b). Denote $\left\lfloor\log _{2}\left(\frac{m-k}{2}\right)\right\rfloor=h$, then from depth 0 to depth


Fig. 2. The binary XOR tree (b) related to $r_{k}$
$h-1$, the number of saving XOR gates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor\frac{m-k}{4}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{m-k}{8}\right\rfloor+\cdots+\left\lfloor\frac{m-k}{2^{h+1}}\right\rfloor . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, (12) can be simplified even further according to following proposition.
Proposition 1 Let $W(i)$ be the hamming weight of an integer $i$, then $i$ can always be written as $i=$ $2^{n_{1}}+2^{n_{2}}+\cdots+2^{n_{t}}$ where $n_{1}>n_{2}>\cdots>n_{t} \geq 0$. Note that $\left\lfloor\log _{2} i\right\rfloor=n_{1}$, then

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{i}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{4}\right\rfloor+\cdots+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{2^{n_{1}}}\right\rfloor=i-W(i)
$$

Proof Firstly, it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lfloor\frac{i}{2}\right\rfloor=2^{n_{1}-1}+2^{n_{2}-1}+\cdots+2^{n_{t}-1} \\
& \left\lfloor\frac{i}{4}\right\rfloor=2^{n_{1}-2}+2^{n_{2}-2}+\cdots+2^{n_{t}-2} \\
& \vdots \\
& \left\lfloor\frac{i}{2^{n_{t}}}\right\rfloor=2^{n_{1}-n_{t}}+2^{n_{2}-n_{t}}+\cdots+2^{n_{t-1}-n_{t}}+2^{0}, \\
& \vdots \\
& \left\lfloor\frac{i}{2^{n_{1}}}\right\rfloor=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we rearrange these terms of previous expression and add them up:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{n_{1}-1}+2^{n_{1}-2}+\cdots+1=2^{n_{1}}-1, \\
& 2^{n_{2}-1}+2^{n_{2}-2}+\cdots+1=2^{n_{2}}-1, \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& 2^{n_{t}-1}+2^{n_{t}-2}+\cdots+1=2^{n_{t}}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously,

$$
2^{n_{1}}-1+2^{n_{2}}-1+\cdots+2^{n_{t}}-1=i-W(i)
$$

which conclude the proposition.
Based on proposition 1, (12) can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{m-k}{2}-W\left(\frac{m-k}{2}\right) .
$$

Consequently, the explicit number of XOR gates for overlapped $r_{i}$ s are given in Table 3.

In Table 3, the second column $j$ represent the index of the $r_{j}$ which overlap with $r_{i}$. Notice that it requires $1 T_{X}$ to calculate $C=A_{1}+A_{2}$ and $D=B_{1}+B_{2}$ in parallel. As a result, we can directly obtain the space the time complexity related to $S_{3}$ based on Table 2 and Table 3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \#AND }: \frac{(m+1)^{2}}{4}, \\
& \text { \#XOR }: \frac{(m-1)^{2}}{4}+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} W(i)+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-k}{2}} W(i), \\
& \text { Delay : } T_{A}+\left(1+\left\lceil\log _{2} \frac{m+k+2}{2}\right\rceil\right) T_{X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.4 The computation sequence

Ultimately, we add up $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ to obtain the final result. Particularly, note that the computation of $S_{3}$ requires at least one more $T_{X}$ gate delay than that of $A_{1} B_{1}$ and $A_{2} B_{2}$. During this time, we can perform one addition between the coefficients of $S_{1}+S_{2}$ in parallel, namely,

Due to parallelism, terms in the square brackets of (13) are computed concurrently. Consequently, the computation sequence of the whole algorithm is arranged as follows:


The expression $\left[S_{1}+S_{2}\right]$ means computing one addition in the square brackets of (13) simultaneously. After the parallel addition in (13), the coefficients of $S_{1}+S_{2}$ consist of 3 terms. It follows that there are at most 4 terms constituting to these coefficients plus $r_{i}$ which can be performed in $2 T_{X}$ in parallel. Therefore, the total complexity of the proposed multiplier will

TABLE 3
The computation complexity of $r_{i}$ after optimization.

| $i$ | overlap with $j$ | \#XOR | delay for binary tree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $m-k$ | $\frac{m-k}{2}-(1-W(1))$ | $\left\lceil\log _{2} \frac{m-k}{2}+1\right\rceil$ |
| 2 | $m-k+2$ | $\frac{m-k}{2}-(2-W(2))$ | $\left\lceil\log _{2} \frac{m-k}{2}+1\right\rceil$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $k-1$ | $m-1$ | $\frac{m-k}{2}-\left(\frac{k+1}{2}-W\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)\right)$ | $\left\lceil\log _{2} \frac{m-k}{2}+1\right\rceil$ |
| $k$ | 0 | $\frac{m+k}{2}-\left(\frac{m-k}{2}-W\left(\frac{m-k}{2}\right)\right)$ | $\left\lceil\log _{2} \frac{m+k}{2}+1\right\rceil$ |
| $k+2$ | 2 | $\frac{m+k}{2}-\left(\frac{m-k}{2}-1-W\left(\frac{m-k}{2}-1\right)\right)$ | $\left\lceil\log _{2} \frac{m+k}{2}+1\right\rceil$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $m-k-1$ | $m-2 k-1$ | $\frac{m+k}{2}-\left(\frac{k+1}{2}-W\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)\right)$ | $\left\lceil\log _{2} \frac{m+k}{2}+1\right\rceil$ |
| $m-k+1$ | $m-2 k+1$ | $\frac{m+k}{2}-1-\left(\frac{k-1}{2}-W\left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right)\right)$ | $\left\lceil\log _{2} \frac{m+k}{2}\right\rceil$ |
| $m-2$ | $m-k-2$ | $\frac{m-k}{2}+1-(1-W(1))$ | $\left\lceil\log _{2} \frac{m-k}{2}+1\right\rceil$ |

be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { \#AND }: \frac{3 m^{2}+2 m-1}{4} \\
& \text { \#XOR }: \frac{3 m^{2}}{4}+\frac{7 m}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} W(i)+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-k}{2}} W(i)-\frac{1}{4} \\
& \text { Delay }: T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2}(m+k+2)\right\rceil\right) T_{X} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity, we do not present the detailed computation for the other cases of $m$ and $k$. One can utilize the same strategy as above to develop corresponding multipliers. The space and time complexity of the multipliers with respect to other cases are summarized in the Table 4.

Particularly, notice that the time complexity of our multiplier can be recognized as a function of $m$ and $k$ which is denoted as $T(m, k)$. According to (14) and Table 4, it follows that

$$
T(m, k) \leq T_{A}+\left(3+\left\lceil\log _{2} m\right\rceil\right) T_{X}
$$

## 4 Comparison and Discussion

In this section, we provide the comparison between our proposal and several different bitparallel multipliers of the same category. It is obvious that our scheme requires at most $3 T_{X}$ than the fastest multiplier but saves about $25 \%$ logic gates. Meanwhile, it costs less circuit gates compared with other approaches with the same or less time complexity.

Actually, there exist a number of $m$ and $k$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(m, k)=T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2} m\right\rceil\right) T_{X} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the range $100<m \leq 1023$, there exist 786 trinomials with odd degrees. We have searched all these trinomials and found that about $56 \%$ trinomials where the time complexity is equal to $T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2} m\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$. Specially, among the five irreducible polynomials suggested for ECDSA (Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm) by NIST [21], the two trinomials, namely, $x^{409}+x^{87}+1$ and $x^{233}+x^{74}+1$, are all satisfying the (15). At this time, the time complexity of our proposal the same as some Mastrovito multiplier [6], but requires roughly $25 \%$ fewer logic gates.

## TABLE 6

Complexities of multiplier for trinomials recommended by NIST

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# AND |  |  | \# XOR |
| Time delay |  |  |  |
| $x^{233}+x^{74}+1$ |  |  |  |
| $[15]$ | 54289 | 54288 | $T_{A}+9 T_{X}$ |
| $[17]$ | 48813 | 48886 | $T_{A}+10 T_{X}$ |
| This paper | 40833 | 41863 | $T_{A}+10 T_{X}$ |
| $x^{409}+x^{87}+1$ |  |  |  |
| $[15]$ | 167281 | 167280 | $T_{A}+10 T_{X}$ |
| $[17]$ | 159712 | 159798 | $T_{A}+11 T_{X}$ |
| This paper | 125665 | 127572 | $T_{A}+11 T_{X}$ |

In [17], Cho et al. proposed a variant Karatsuba algorithm and constructing an efficient Karatsuba based bit-parallel multiplier. However, theirs approach speed up the Karatsuba multiplier at the cost of increasing its space complexity. Compared with their scheme, our proposal requires even lower space complexity

TABLE 4
Complexities of Montgomery multiplier for other cases

| Case | \#AND | \#XOR | Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m$ odd, $k$ even <br> $0<k \leq \frac{m-1}{3}$ | $\frac{3 m^{2}+2 m-1}{4}$ | $\frac{3 m^{2}}{4}+\frac{7 m}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k}{2}} W(i)$ | $T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2}(2 m-3 k-4)\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
| $m$ odd, $k$ even <br> $\frac{m-1}{3}<k \leq \frac{m-3}{2}$ |  | $+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-k-1}{2}} W(i)-\frac{9}{4}$ | $T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2}(3 k-2)\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
| $m$ odd, $k$ even |  |  |  |
| $k=\frac{m-1}{2}$ | $\frac{3 m^{2}+2 m-1}{4}$ | $\frac{3 m^{2}}{4}+\frac{7 m}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k}{2}} W(i)$ <br> $+\sum_{i=\frac{m-k-1}{2}}^{2}$ | $T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2}(3 k-2)\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
| $m$ odd, $k$ odd |  |  |  |
| $k=\frac{m-1}{2}$ | $\frac{3 m^{2}+2 m-1}{4}$ | $\frac{3 m^{2}}{4}+\frac{7 m}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} W(i)$ <br> $+\sum_{i=\frac{m-k}{2}}^{2}$ | $T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2}(m+k+2)\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |

TABLE 5
Comparison of Some bit-parallel Multipliers for $x^{m}+x^{k}+1\left(1<k \leq \frac{m-1}{2}\right)$

| Multiplier | \# AND | \# XOR | Time delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [6] [7] [8] | $m^{2}$ | $m^{2}-1$ | $T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2} m\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
| Elia [18] | $\frac{3 m^{2}}{4}$ | $\frac{3 m^{2}}{4}+\frac{5 m}{2}+k$ | $T_{A}+\left(3+\left\lceil\log _{2}(m-1)\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
| Fan [4] | $m^{2}$ | $m^{2}-1$ | $T_{A}+\left\lceil\log _{2}(2 m-k-1)\right\rceil T_{X}$ |
| Hariri [15] | $m^{2}$ | $m^{2}-1$ | $T_{A}+\left\lceil\log _{2}(2 m-k-1)\right\rceil T_{X}$ |
| Li [19] | $\frac{m^{2}}{2}+(m-k)^{2}$ | $\frac{m^{2}}{2}+(m-k)^{2}+2 k$ | $T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2}(m-1)\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
| Petra [20] | $m^{2}$ | $m^{2}-1$ | $T_{A}+\left(\left\lceil\log _{2}(2 m+2 k-3)\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
| Cho [17] | $m^{2}-k^{2}$ | $m^{2}+k-k^{2}-1\left(1<k<\frac{m}{3}\right)$ | $\leq T_{A}+\left(2+\left\lceil\log _{2} m\right\rceil\right) T_{X}$ |
|  | $m^{2}+4 k-k^{2}-m-1\left(\frac{m}{3} \leq k<\frac{m-1}{2}\right)$ |  |  |
|  | $\frac{3 m^{2}}{4}+O(m)$ | $m^{2}+2 k-k^{2}\left(k=\frac{m-1}{2}\right)$ |  |

while maintains nearly the same time complexity. In table 6, we give two examples to illustrate the efficiency of our multiplier. To facilitate description, we only compared our proposal with two most efficient results presented in [15] and [17]. It is obvious that our multiplier outperforms others if the space and time complexities are both considered.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a new bitparallel Montgomery multiplier for a class of trinomials based on squaring operations. We show that the PCHS algorithm is not only applicable for pentanomials, but also applicable for trinomials. Explicit formulae of the Montgomery multipliers for the family of trinomials are also induced. The theoretical complexity analysis shows that our multipliers have the
significant lower space complexity, generally about $25 \%$ reduced one, compared with other best known multipliers for these types of trinomials, but maintains a relatively low time delay. Our work is especially interesting for ECDSA as its efficiency over the two finite field proposed by NIST.

## 6 APPENDIX

In the following, we give the explicit formulae about $S_{1}+S_{2}$ in other cases. When both $m$ and
$k$ are odd, $k=\frac{m-1}{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{i}+t_{i}= \\
& \begin{cases}c_{\frac{i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}}+d_{\frac{i}{2}} & i=0,2, \cdots, k-1 ; \\
+d_{\frac{m+k+i}{}}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}}, & \\
c_{\frac{k-m+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i+1}{2}} & i=k+1, k+3, \\
+d_{\frac{k-m+i}{2}}^{2}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i-1}{2}}, & \cdots, m-3 ; \\
c_{\frac{k-1}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k}{2}}+d_{\frac{k-1}{2}} & i=m-1 ; \\
+d_{\frac{k+m-2}{2}}, & i=1,3, \cdots, k-2 ; \\
c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{i+1}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k+i+1}{2}} & \\
+d_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{i-1}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+k+i-1}{2}}^{2}, & i=k ; \\
c_{k}+c_{\frac{m+k}{2}}+d_{k}+d_{\frac{m+k}{2}}, & i=k+2, k+4, \\
c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k-m+i+1}{2}}^{2} & \cdots, m-2 . \\
+d_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{k-m+i-1}{2}}, & \cdots,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $m$ is odd and $k$ is even, $0<k \leq \frac{m-3}{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{i}+t_{i}= \\
& \left(c_{\frac{i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}} \quad i=0,2, \cdots, k-2 ;\right. \\
& +d_{\frac{i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}}, \\
& c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k+i+1}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i+1}{2}} \quad i=k, k+2, \\
& +d_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+k+i-1}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i-1}{2}}, \\
& c_{\frac{m-1}{2}}+c_{m-\frac{k}{2}}+d_{\frac{m-1}{2}}+d_{m-\frac{k}{2}-1}, \\
& c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+c_{\underline{k-m+i+1}}+c_{\frac{m+i+1}{2}} \\
& +d_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{k-m+i-1}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i-1}{2}}, \\
& c_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{i+1}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}} \\
& +d_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{i-1}{2}}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}} \text {, } \\
& c_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}} \\
& +d_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}}, \\
& c_{\frac{k-m+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}} \\
& +d_{\frac{k-m+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}}, \\
& c_{\frac{m+k-1}{2}}+c_{\frac{k}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+k+1}{2}}+d_{\frac{k}{2}-1}, \\
& \cdots, m-k-3 ; \\
& i=m-k-1 \text {; } \\
& i=m-k+1, m-k+3 \text {, } \\
& \cdots, m-3 \text {; } \\
& i=1,3, \cdots, k-1 ; \\
& i=k+1, k+3, \\
& \cdots, m-k-2 \text {; } \\
& i=m-k, m-k+2 \text {, } \\
& \cdots, m-2 \text {; } \\
& i=m-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $m$ is odd and $k$ is even, $k=\frac{m-1}{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{i}+t_{i}= \\
& \begin{cases}c_{\frac{i}{2}}+c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+k+i+1}{2}} & i=0,2, \cdots, k-2 ; \\
+d_{\frac{i}{2}}+d_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+k+i-1}{2}}^{2}, & \\
c_{k}+c_{\frac{m+k+1}{2}}+d_{k}+d_{\frac{m+2 k-1}{2}} & i=k ; \\
+d_{\frac{m+k-1}{}} & \\
c_{\frac{k+i}{2}}^{2}+c_{\underline{k-m+i+1}}^{2}+c_{\frac{m+i+1}{2}} & i=k+2, k+4, \\
+d_{\frac{k+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{k-m+i-1}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i-1}{2}}, & \cdots, m-3 ; \\
c_{\frac{m+k-1}{2}}+c_{\frac{k}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+k-1}{2}-1}^{2}+d_{\frac{k}{2}-1}, & i=m-1 ; \\
c_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{i+1}{2}}+c_{\frac{k++1}{2}}^{2} & i=1,3, \cdots, k-1 ; \\
+d_{\frac{m+k+i}{2}}^{2}+d_{\frac{i-1}{2}}^{2}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}}^{2}, & \\
c_{\frac{k-m+i}{2}}+c_{\frac{m+i}{2}}^{2}+c_{\frac{k+i+1}{2}} & i=k+1, k+3, \\
+d_{\frac{k-m+i}{2}}+d_{\frac{m+i}{2}}^{2}+d_{\frac{k+i-1}{2}}, & \cdots, m-2 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$
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