

On the Limits of Computational Fuzzy Extractors

Kenji Yasunaga*

Kosuke Yuzawa†

August 6, 2014

Abstract

Fuller et al (Asiacrypt 2013) studied on computational fuzzy extractors, and showed, as a negative result, that the existence of a computational “secure sketch” implies the existence of an information-theoretically secure sketch with slightly weaker parameters. In this work, we show a similar negative result such that, under some computational assumption, the existence of a computational fuzzy extractor also implies the existence of an information-theoretic fuzzy extractor with slightly weaker parameters. The assumption is that the generation procedure of the fuzzy extractor can be efficiently invertible. This result implies that to circumvent the limitations of information-theoretic fuzzy extractors, we need to employ computational fuzzy extractors in which the generation procedure cannot be efficiently invertible.

1 Introduction

Cryptographic primitives generally require uniformly random strings. A *fuzzy extractor* is a primitive proposed in [3] that can reliably derive uniformly random keys from noisy sources, such as biometric data (fingerprint, iris, facial image, etc.) and long pass-phrases.

Formally, Dodis et al. [3] defined fuzzy extractors to be a pair of procedures (**Gen**, **Rep**). The key generation procedure **Gen** receives a sample w from a noisy source W with some entropy, and outputs a uniformly random key r and a helper string p . After that, the reproduction procedure **Rep** can be used to derive the same key r from the helper string p and a sample w' that is close to the original sample w . Notably, this framework does not need secret keys other than w . The derived key r is close to uniform even if the helper string p was given. See [4, 1] for surveys of results related to fuzzy extractors.

Dodis et al. [3] introduced the notion of *secure sketch*, which, on input w , produces an information that enables the recovery of w from any close value w' and does not reveal much information about w . Then, they show that a combination of secure sketches and strong extractors gives fuzzy extractors.

Fuzzy extractors are defined as *information-theoretic* primitives. Several limitations regarding parameters in fuzzy extractors are also studied in [3]. The *entropy loss* is the difference between the entropy of w and the length of the extracted key k . In the setting of information-theoretic security, the entropy loss is known to be inevitable [6].

*Institute of Science and Engineering, Kanazawa University. Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa, 920-1192, Japan. yasunaga@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

†Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University. Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa, 920-1192, Japan. makku107@stu.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

Fuller et al. [5] consider the *computational security* of fuzzy extractors to circumvent the limitations of information-theoretic fuzzy extractors. They gave both negative and positive results. On one hand, they show that secure sketches with computational security need to be subject to lower bounds from coding theory. In particular, they show that the existence of a computational secure sketch implies the existence of an information-theoretic secure sketch with slightly weaker parameter. On the other hand, they present a direct construction of a computational fuzzy extractor based on the hardness of learning with errors (LWE) problem.

In this work, we show that under some computational assumption, computational fuzzy extractors also need to be subject to lower bounds from coding theory. Specifically, we show that assuming that the generation procedure Gen can be efficiently invertible, the existence of a computational fuzzy extractor implies the existence of an information-theoretic fuzzy extractor with slightly weaker parameters. This negative result implies that in order to circumvent the limitation of the entropy loss of information-theoretic fuzzy extractors, we need to employ computational fuzzy extractors in which the generation procedure cannot be efficiently invertible. Indeed, there are extractors for structured sources that can be efficiently invertible [2]. This result can be seen as a complementary result to the negative result of Fuller et al. [5] since lower bounds from coding theory for information-theoretic secure sketches and fuzzy extractors were established by Dodis et al. [3, Lemmas C.1 and C.2].

2 Preliminaries

Let X and Y be random variables over some alphabet Z . The *min-entropy* of X is $H_\infty(X) = -\log(\max_x \Pr[X = x])$. The *average min-entropy* of X given Y is $\tilde{H}_\infty(X|Y) = -\log(\mathbb{E}_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in Z} \Pr[X = x|Y = y])$. The *statistical distance* between X and Y is $\Delta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z \in Z} |\Pr[X = z] - \Pr[Y = z]|$. If $\Delta(X, Y) \leq \epsilon$, we say X and Y are ϵ -close. We denote by U_ℓ the uniformly distributed random variable on $\{0, 1\}^\ell$. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the *computational distance* between X and Y is $\Delta^s(X, Y) = \max_{D \in \mathcal{C}_s} |\mathbb{E}[D(X)] - \mathbb{E}[D(Y)]|$, where \mathcal{C}_s is the set of randomized circuits of size at most s that output 0 or 1. A metric space is a set \mathcal{M} with a distance function $\text{dis} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$. For the Hamming metric over Z^n , $\text{dis}(x, y)$ is the number of positions in which x and y differ. For a probabilistic experiment E and a predicate P , we denote by $\Pr[E : P]$ the probability that the predicate P is true after the event E occurred.

We give definitions of fuzzy extractor, computational fuzzy extractor, secure sketch, and strong extractor.

Definition 1 (Fuzzy Extractor). *An $(\mathcal{M}, m, \ell, t, \epsilon)$ -fuzzy extractor with error δ is a pair of randomized procedures (Gen, Rep) with the following properties:*

- *The generation procedure Gen on input $w \in \mathcal{M}$ outputs an extracted string $r \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$ and a helper string $p \in \{0, 1\}^*$.*
- *The reproduction procedure Rep takes $w' \in \mathcal{M}$ and $p \in \{0, 1\}^*$ as inputs. The correctness property guarantees that for any $w, w' \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\text{dis}(w, w') \leq t$, if $(R, P) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(w)$, then $\text{Rep}(w', P) = R$ with probability at least $1 - \delta$, where the probability is taken over the coins of Gen and Rep . If $\text{dis}(w, w') > t$, no guarantee is provided about the output of Rep .*
- *The security property guarantees that for any distribution W on \mathcal{M} of min-entropy m , if $(R, P) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(W)$, then $\Delta((R, P), (U_\ell, P)) \leq \epsilon$.*

Definition 2 (Computational Fuzzy Extractor). An $(\mathcal{M}, m, \ell, t, s, \epsilon)$ -computational fuzzy extractor with error δ is a pair of randomized procedures (Gen, Rep) that is an $(\mathcal{M}, m, \ell, t, \epsilon)$ -fuzzy extractor with error δ in which the security property is replaced by the following one:

- For any distribution W on \mathcal{M} of min-entropy m , if $(R, P) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(W)$, then $\Delta^s((R, P), (U_\ell, P)) \leq \epsilon$.

Definition 3 (Secure Sketch). An $(\mathcal{M}, m, \tilde{m}, t)$ -secure sketch with error δ is a pair of randomized procedures (SS, Rec) with the following properties:

- The sketching procedure SS on input $w \in \mathcal{M}$ outputs a string $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$.
- The recovery procedure Rec takes $w' \in \mathcal{M}$ and $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$ as inputs. The correctness property guarantees that for any $w, w' \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\text{dis}(w, w') \leq t$, $\Pr[\text{Rec}(w', \text{SS}(s)) = w] \geq 1 - \delta$ where the probability is taken over the coins of SS and Rec . If $\text{dis}(w, w') > t$, no guarantee is provided about the output of Rec .
- The security property guarantees that for any distribution W on \mathcal{M} of min-entropy m , $\tilde{H}_\infty(W|\text{SS}(W)) \geq \tilde{m}$.

Definition 4. We say that $\text{Ext} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^\ell$ is an (n, m, ℓ, ϵ) -strong extractor if for any W on $\{0, 1\}^n$ of min-entropy m , $\Delta((\text{Ext}(W; X), X), (U_\ell, X)) \leq \epsilon$, where X is the uniform distribution on $\{0, 1\}^r$.

3 Main Results

In this section, we show that the existence of a computational fuzzy extractor implies the existence of an information-theoretic fuzzy extractor with slightly weaker parameters. For this result, we need a computational assumption that the generation procedure of a fuzzy extractor can be efficiently invertible.

We give a formal definition of invertibility of the generation procedure.

Definition 5. Let (Gen, Rep) be a fuzzy extractor for a metric space \mathcal{M} . We say Gen is (s, η) -invertible if there exists a deterministic circuit InvGen of size at most s such that

$$\Pr [w' \leftarrow \text{InvGen}(R', p) : \exists r_G \in \{0, 1\}^* \text{ s.t. } \text{Gen}(w'; r_G) = (R', p)] \geq 1 - \eta$$

for any p that can be generated as $(r, p) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(w)$ for $w \in \mathcal{M}$, where $R' = U_\ell$. We say Gen is errorless-invertible if $\text{InvGen}(r, p)$ output either \perp (failure symbol) or $w \in \mathcal{M}$ for which there exists r_G such that $(r, p) = \text{Gen}(w; r_G)$.

In the definition, we consider that InvGen succeeds in inverting Gen if it outputs w' from which the input (r', p) can be produced by Gen , and thus w' is not necessarily the same as w from which p was actually produced.

Note that defining InvGen to be deterministic circuits does not lose the generality. If there exists a randomized circuit InvGen that inverts Gen with some probability, then by fixing the coins of InvGen for which the average performance can be achieved, we can say that there exists a deterministic circuit that inverts Gen with the same probability.

We show that if a fuzzy extractor has the perfect correctness, we can obtain the errorless invertibility for Gen .

Lemma 1. *Let (Gen, Rep) be a fuzzy extractor with error 0. If Gen is (s, η) -invertible, then Gen is $(s + s_{\text{rep}}, \eta)$ -errorless-invertible, where s_{rep} is the size of circuit Rep .*

Proof. Let InvGen be the inverter of (s, η) -invertibility of Gen . Then, we construct an inverter InvGen' such that on input (r, p) , (1) run $w \leftarrow \text{InvGen}(r, p)$, (2) output w if $\text{Rep}(w, p) = r$, and output \perp otherwise. The correctness property of (Gen, Rep) guarantees that the output of InvGen' is a valid inverse of (r, p) . \square

In our negative result, we will prove that the existence of a computational fuzzy extractor implies the existence of an error-correcting code. We provide some notions regarding coding theory.

Definition 6. *We say a metric space $(\mathcal{M}, \text{dis})$ is (s, t) -bounded-error samplable if there exists a randomized circuit ErrSmp of size s such that for all $0 \leq t' \leq t$ and $w \in \mathcal{M}$, $\text{ErrSmp}(w, t')$ outputs a random point $w' \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $\text{dis}(w, w') = t'$.*

Definition 7. *Let C be a set over a metric space \mathcal{M} . We say C is a (t, ϵ) -maximal-error Shannon code if there exists an efficient recover procedure Rec such that for all $t' \leq t$ and $c \in C$, $\Pr[\text{Rec}(\text{ErrSmp}(c, t')) \neq c] \leq \epsilon$.*

Definition 8. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \text{dis})$ be a metric space that is (s, t) -bounded-error samplable by a circuit ErrSmp . For a distribution C over \mathcal{M} , we say C is a (t, ϵ) -average-error Shannon code if there exists an efficient recover procedure Rec such that for all $t' \leq t$ and $c \in C$, $\Pr_{c \in C}[\text{Rec}(\text{ErrSmp}(c, t')) \neq c] \leq \epsilon$.*

The following fact can be obtained by Markov's inequality. (See [5] for the proof.)

Lemma 2 ([5]). *Let C be a (t, ϵ) -average-error Shannon code with recovery procedure Rec such that $H_\infty(C) \geq k$. Then, there exists a set C' with $|C'| \geq 2^{k-1}$ that is $(t, 2\epsilon)$ -maximal-error Shannon code with recovery procedure Rec .*

We prove that if the generation procedure is errorless-invertible, then the existence of a computational fuzzy extractor implies the existence of a maximal-error Shannon code.

Theorem 1. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \text{dis})$ be a metric space that is (s_{smp}, t) -bounded-error samplable. Let (Gen, Rep) be an $(\mathcal{M}, m, \ell, t, s_{\text{sec}}, \epsilon)$ -computational fuzzy extractor with error δ . Let s_{rep} denote the size of the circuit that computes Rep . If Gen is (s_{inv}, η) -errorless-invertible, and it holds that $s_{\text{sec}} \geq s_{\text{inv}} + t s_{\text{smp}} + (t + 1) s_{\text{rep}}$, then there exists a value p and a set C with $|C| \geq 2^{-\log(2^{-\ell} + \frac{\rho}{|\mathcal{M}|}) - 1}$ that is a $(t, 2\rho)$ -maximal-error Shannon code with recovery procedure $\text{InvGen}(\text{Rep}(\cdot, p), p)$, where $\rho = \epsilon + \eta + (t + 1)\delta$.*

Proof. Let W be an arbitrary distribution on \mathcal{M} of min-entropy m . By the security property of the computational fuzzy extractor (Gen, Rep) , we have that $\Delta^{s_{\text{sec}}}((R, P), (U_\ell, P)) \leq \epsilon$ for $(R, P) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(W)$.

Let InvGen be an inverter of the $(s, 1 - \eta)$ -errorless-invertibility of Gen . We consider the modified inverter InvGen' :

1. On input $r \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$ and $p \in \{0, 1\}^*$, compute $w \leftarrow \text{InvGen}(r, p)$.
2. If $w \neq \perp$ and $\text{Rep}(w, p) = r$, output w . Otherwise, output a random element in \mathcal{M} .

The procedure InvGen' can be implemented by a circuit of size $s_{\text{inv}} + s_{\text{rep}}$. Define the event E_{suc} such that

$$E_{\text{suc}} = \{w \neq \perp \wedge \text{Rep}(w, P) = R\},$$

where $(R, P) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(W), w \leftarrow \text{InvGen}(R, P)$. By the correctness property of (Gen, Rep) and the failure probability of InvGen , we have that $\Pr[E_{\text{suc}}] \geq 1 - (\eta + \delta)$.

Define the following procedure D :

1. On input $r \in \{0, 1\}^\ell, p \in \{0, 1\}^*$, and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, compute $w \leftarrow \text{InvGen}'(r, p)$.
2. For all $1 \leq t' \leq t$, do the following:
 - (a) $w' \leftarrow \text{ErrSmp}(w, t')$.
 - (b) If $\text{Rep}(w', p) \neq r$, output 0. Otherwise, do nothing.
3. Output 1.

The procedure D can be implemented by a circuit of size $s_{\text{inv}} + ts_{\text{smp}} + (t+1)s_{\text{rep}}$. Note that in the procedure D , we can easily check whether the event E_{suc} occurs or not (by checking that a random element is produced in InvGen'). Thus, by the invertibility of Gen and the correctness property of (Gen, Rep) , we have that $\Pr[D(R, P, t) = 1 \wedge E_{\text{suc}}] \geq 1 - (\eta + (t+1)\delta)$. Since $\Delta^{\text{ssec}}((R, P), (U_\ell, P)) \leq \epsilon$, if $s_{\text{sec}} \geq s_{\text{inv}} + ts_{\text{smp}} + (t+1)s_{\text{rep}}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[D(U_\ell, P, t) = 1 \wedge E_{\text{suc}}] &\geq 1 - (\epsilon + \eta + (t+1)\delta) \\ &= 1 - \rho. \end{aligned}$$

By the averaging argument, there exists a value p such that $\Pr[D(U_\ell, p, t) = 1 \wedge E_{\text{suc}}] \geq 1 - \rho$. This implies that, for all $1 \leq t' \leq t$,

$$\Pr \left[\begin{array}{l} w \leftarrow \text{InvGen}'(R, p), \\ w' \leftarrow \text{ErrSmp}(w, t') \end{array} : \text{Rep}(w', p) = R \wedge E_{\text{suc}} \right] \geq 1 - \rho, \quad (1)$$

where $R = U_\ell$. Since the event E_{suc} implies that $\text{InvGen}(R, p) = w$, we have that, for all $1 \leq t' \leq t$,

$$\Pr \left[\begin{array}{l} w \leftarrow \text{InvGen}'(U_\ell, p), \\ w' \leftarrow \text{ErrSmp}(w, t') \end{array} : \text{InvGen}(\text{Rep}(w', p), p) = w \right] \geq 1 - \rho.$$

This implies that a distribution $\text{InvGen}'(U_\ell, p)$ is a (t, ρ) -average-error Shannon code with recovery procedure $\text{InvGen}(\text{Rep}(\cdot, p), p)$. By applying Lemma 2, we can show that there is a set C with $|C| \geq 2^{k-1}$ that is a $(t, 2\rho)$ -maximal-error Shannon code for $k \leq H_\infty(\text{InvGen}'(U_\ell, p))$.

Finally, we prove that $H_\infty(\text{InvGen}'(U_\ell, p)) \geq -\log(2^{-\ell} + \frac{\rho}{|\mathcal{M}|})$. Define

$$R_{\text{good}} = \left\{ r \in \{0, 1\}^\ell : \begin{array}{l} w \leftarrow \text{InvGen}(r, p), \\ w \neq \perp \wedge \text{Rep}(w, p) = r \end{array} \right\}.$$

By equation (1), it holds that $|R_{\text{good}}| \geq (1 - \rho)2^\ell$. Let $W_{\text{good}} = \{\text{InvGen}(r, p) : r \in R_{\text{good}}\}$. By the definition of InvGen' , we have that

$$\Pr[\text{InvGen}'(U_\ell, p) = w] = \begin{cases} 2^{-\ell} + \frac{\rho}{|\mathcal{M}|} & w \in \mathcal{M} \cap W_{\text{good}} \\ \frac{\rho}{|\mathcal{M}|} & w \in \mathcal{M} \setminus W_{\text{good}}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the min-entropy of $\text{InvGen}'(U_\ell, p)$ is $-\log(2^{-\ell} + \frac{\rho}{|\mathcal{M}|})$. \square

It is known that a secure sketch can be constructed from a Shannon code, which is explicitly presented in [5], and implicitly stated in [3, Section 8.2].

Lemma 3 ([3, 5]). *For an alphabet Z , let C be a (t, δ) -maximal-error Shannon code over Z^n . Then, there exists a $(Z^n, m, m - (n \log |Z| - \log |C|), t)$ secure sketch with error δ for the Hamming metric over Z^n .*

An information-theoretic fuzzy extractor can be constructed from a secure sketch and a strong extractor [3]. In particular, if we use universal hashing as strong extractor, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4 ([3]). *Let (SS, Rec) be an $(\mathcal{M}, m, \tilde{m}, t)$ -secure sketch with error δ , and Ext an $(n, \tilde{m}, \ell, \epsilon)$ -strong extractor given by universal hashing (any $\ell \leq \tilde{m} - 2 \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon}) + 2$ can be achieved). Then, the following (Gen, Rep) is an $(\mathcal{M}, m, \ell, t, \epsilon)$ -fuzzy extractor:*

- $\text{Gen}(w; r, x) : \text{set } P = (\text{SS}(w; r), x), R = \text{Ext}(w; x), \text{ and output } (R, P).$
- $\text{Rep}(w', (s, x)) : \text{recover } w = \text{Rec}(w', s) \text{ and output } R = \text{Ext}(w; x).$

By combining Theorem 1 and Lemmas 3 and 4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let Z be an alphabet. Let (Gen, Rep) be a $(Z^n, m, \ell, t, s_{\text{sec}}, \epsilon)$ -computational fuzzy extractor with error δ . Let s_{rep} denote the size of the circuit that computes Rep . If Gen is (s_{inv}, η) -errorless-invertible, and it holds that $s_{\text{sec}} \geq s_{\text{inv}} + tn \log |Z| + (t + 1)s_{\text{rep}}$, then there exists a $(Z^n, m, \ell, t, \epsilon')$ (information-theoretic) fuzzy extractor with error 2ρ for any $\ell \leq m - n \log |Z| - \log(2^{-\ell} + \frac{\rho}{|Z|^n}) - 2 \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon}) + 1$, where $\rho = \epsilon + \eta + (t + 1)\delta$.*

In particular, in the above corollary, if we choose $m = n \log |Z|$ and $\frac{\rho}{|Z|^n} \leq 2^{-\ell}$, then a $(Z^n, n \log |Z|, \ell, t, s_{\text{sec}}, \epsilon)$ -computational fuzzy extractor implies a $(Z^n, n \log |Z|, \ell - 2 \log(\frac{1}{\epsilon}), t, \epsilon')$ -fuzzy extractor with error 2ρ .

As in the negative result of [5], we do not claim about the efficiency of the resulting fuzzy extractor. In our case, the non-explicit parts are (1) fixing the value p , and (2) constructing a maximal-error Shannon code from an average-error one (Lemma 2) in Theorem 1.

References

- [1] X. Boyen. Robust and reusable fuzzy extractor. In P. Tuyls, B. Skoric, and T. Kevenaar, editors, *Security with Noisy Data*, pages 101–112. Springer, 2007.
- [2] M. Cheraghchi, F. Didier, and A. Shokrollahi. Invertible extractors and wiretap protocols. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 58(2):1254–1274, 2012.
- [3] Y. Dodis, R. Ostrovsky, L. Reyzin, and A. Smith. Fuzzy extractors: How to generate strong keys from biometrics and other noisy data. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 38(1):97–139, 2008.
- [4] Y. Dodis, L. Reyzin, and A. Smith. Fuzzy extractors. In P. Tuyls, B. Skoric, and T. Kevenaar, editors, *Security with Noisy Data*, pages 79–99. Springer, 2007. An updated version is available at <http://www.cs.bu.edu/~reyzin/fuzzysurvey.html>.

- [5] B. Fuller, X. Meng, and L. Reyzin. Computational fuzzy extractors. In K. Sako and P. Sarkar, editors, *ASIACRYPT (1)*, volume 8269 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 174–193. Springer, 2013.
- [6] J. Radhakrishnan and A. Ta-Shma. Bounds for dispersers, extractors, and depth-two superconcentrators. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 13(1):2–24, 2000.