

Non-existence of $[n, 5]$ type Generalized Bent Functions

Shashi Kant Pandey
Department of Mathematics,
University of Delhi,
Delhi-110007,India*

P.R Mishra
Scientific Analysis Group,
Metcalf House Complex, DRDO,
Delhi-110054, India[†]

B.K Dass
Department of Mathematics,
University of Delhi,
Delhi-110007,India[‡]

September 14, 2014

Abstract

Search of rich boolean function for designing a good cryptosystem is most important. In this search from the infinite domain of integers, cases where rejection of integers for the existence of Generalized bent function is very helpfull. With the help of some necessary condition of GBF here we show the non existence of $[n,5]$ type Generalized Bent functions.

Keywords: Generalized Bent Function, Non Linearity.

*shashikantshvet@gmail.com

†prasanna.r.mishra@gmail.com

‡dassbk@rediffmail.com

1 Introduction

Bent Boolean functions were introduced by Rothaus [1] in 1976. A bent function is a function of even number of variables which possesses maximum non-linearity. Because of the very nature of possessing the highest possible non-linearity, bent functions drew attention of researchers from varied fields namely cryptography, coding theory, combinatorial design and communication systems. With the advent of generalized Boolean function, the term generalized bent function came into existence. The concept was propounded by P. V. Kumar, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch [2] in 1985. Generalized bent functions are the generalized Boolean functions having a flat generalized Walsh Hadamard spectrum.

For positive integers q, n , $q \geq 2$ we define a generalized Boolean function as a function $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. We define Generalized Walsh Hadamard transform of f as the map from $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\zeta)$ given as

$$W_f(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}^n} \zeta^{f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (1)$$

ζ being a primitive q^{th} root of unity in \mathbb{C} and $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ being the dot product of vectors \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{x} defined as $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i w_i \pmod{q}$. It is easy to observe that $W_f(\mathbf{w})$ belongs to the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}(\zeta)$.

A generalized Boolean function $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ is said to be a generalized bent function if for every $\mathbf{w} \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n$, we have

$$|W_f(\mathbf{w})| = q^{n/2}. \quad (2)$$

We call f an $[n, q]$ type generalized bent function.

It is not possible to have an $[n, q]$ type generalized bent function for every $q, n \in \mathbb{N}, q > 1$. A lot of conditions on n and q have been found under which an $[n, q]$ type generalized bent function does not exist. In the next section we discuss some of the conditions when an $[n, q]$ type generalized bent function does not exist. In section 3 we discuss our approach towards finding condition for non-existence of generalized bent function. Using the approach we show that for all values of n and $q = 5$, generalized bent functions do not exist.

2 Non-existence of $[n, q]$ type bent functions

Rothaus [1] proved for the case when $q = 2$, that there exist a Bent function if and only if n is even. Later [2] Kumar et al. constructed a generalized

Bent function for the case n is even or $q \neq 2(1+2k)$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So far, there is no any generalized construction known for odd n . However, for odd n , there are many cases in which non-existence of generalized bent functions has been shown. Below are some more conditions where the non-existence of generalized bent function have been established:

Let $2 \nmid n \geq 1$ and $q = 2N, 2 \nmid N \geq 3$ [8]

1. There exist an integer $s \geq 1$ such that $2^s \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$.
2. $n = 1, N = 7$
3. $n = 1, N = p^e$ where $e \geq 1$ p is a prime, $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and $p \neq 7$.
4. $n = 1, N$ has prime factorization that $N = \prod p_i^{e_i}$ and for each i there exist $s_i \leq 1$ such that $p_i^{s_i} \equiv -1 \pmod{\frac{N}{p_i^{e_i}}}$.

There are many results of non-existence based on Field descent method and condition (1) explored by Feng et.al. Jiang and Deng used the property of cyclotomic field $Q(\zeta_{23^e})$ to show the non-existence of bent functions for the case where $p = 2 \times 23^e$ and $n = 3$. Feng et.al. had shown many of non-existence results for Bent functions where all p_i s are primes and n satisfied some conditions. Some of them are

- (a) $N = p^e, p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and $n \leq \frac{m}{n}$ where m is smallest odd positive integer such that $x^2 + py^2 = 2^{m+2}$ has \mathbb{Z} -solution and $s = \frac{\phi(N)}{2f}$ f is the order of 2 \pmod{N} .
- (b) $N = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2}, p_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, p_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = -1$.
- (c) $N = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2}, p_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, p_2 \equiv 2^\lambda + 1 \pmod{2^{\lambda+1}}, \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = -1, \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right)_4 \neq 1$.
- (d) $N = p_1 p_2, p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = -1$.
- (e) $N = p_1 p_2, p_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, p_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right) = -1$.
- (f) $N = p_1 p_2, p_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, p_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = -1$.
- (g) $N = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2}, p_1 \equiv 2^\lambda + 1 \pmod{2^{\lambda+1}}, \lambda \geq 3, p_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = 1, \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right)_4 \neq 1, \left(\frac{2}{p_2}\right) \neq 1$.
- (h) $N = p_1 p_2, p_1 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, p_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = 1, \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right)_4 \neq 1$.

3 Our Approach

To show that a generalized Boolean function $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ is bent we have to show that it satisfy condition (2). In other words, to show that f is not bent, we have to show that there exists $\mathbf{w} \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n$ such that $|W_f(\mathbf{w})| \neq q^{n/2}$.

If we are able to prove that for a particular set of values of q and n , if for any $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$,

$$|W_f(\mathbf{w})| \neq q^{n/2} \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n,$$

the non-existence of $[n, q]$ bent functions is guaranteed for the given set. Below we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of $[n, q]$ type Generalized bent function.

Let f be an $[n, q]$ type generalized bent function satisfying criterion (2). As $|W_f(\mathbf{w})| \in \mathbb{Z}(\zeta)$, $\zeta = e^{\frac{2ik\pi}{q}}$, there exist integers a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{q-1} such that

$$W_f(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \zeta^i. \quad (3)$$

Form (1) and (3) we have,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i = q^n. \quad (4)$$

Observe that $\bar{\zeta}^k = \zeta^{-k}$ as $\bar{\zeta}^k \zeta^k = |\zeta^k|^2 = 1 \implies \bar{\zeta}^k = \zeta^{-k}$. Therefore,

$$\overline{W_f(\mathbf{w})} = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \bar{\zeta}^i = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \zeta^{-i}$$

for odd primes q we have,

$$\begin{aligned} W_f(\mathbf{w}) \overline{W_f(\mathbf{w})} &= |W_f(\mathbf{w})|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \zeta^i \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \zeta^{-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} a_i a_j \zeta^{i-j} \\ &= i_0 + i_1 R(\zeta) + \dots + i_{\frac{q-1}{2}} R(\zeta^{\frac{q-1}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $R(\zeta)$ is the real part of ζ and i_l are some integers for $0 \leq l \leq \frac{q-1}{2}$.

Since $\zeta = \exp \frac{2k\pi}{q}$, for existence of Bent function above equation can also be

written as

$$z \times \bar{z} = p^n = i_0 + i_1 \cos \frac{2\pi}{q} + i_2 \cos \frac{4\pi}{q} + \dots + i_{\frac{q-1}{2}} \cos \frac{2 \times \frac{q-1}{2} \pi}{q}. \quad (6)$$

For the existence of GBF solution of this equation is necessary in the domain of integer. So we are searching for the solution set $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{\frac{q-1}{2}}\}$ in the integer domain and non-existence of this solution in this domain ensure us the non existence of generalizd Bent function.

4 Non existence of $[n, 5]$ type generalized bent function

Based on the necessary and sufficient condition for existence of $[n, q]$ type Generalized bent function derived in previous section we show the case viz., $[n, 5]$ types of generalized bent functions do not exist.

4.1 Non existence of $[n, 5]$ type GBF

For $q = 5$ condition (5) may be re-written as

$$5^n = i_0 + i_1 \cos \frac{2\pi}{5} + i_2 \cos \frac{4\pi}{5} \quad (7)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} i_0 &= a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2, \\ i_1 &= 2(a_1a_0 + a_2a_1 + a_3a_2 + a_4a_3 + a_4a_0), \\ i_2 &= 2(a_2a_0 + a_3a_2 + a_4a_2 + a_3a_0 + a_4a_1). \end{aligned}$$

It is known that

$$\cos \frac{2\pi}{5} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{4} \text{ and } \cos \frac{4\pi}{5} = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{5}}{4}$$

. Putting the these values and separating the rational and irrational parts of (7) we get

$$5^n = i_0 - \frac{i_1 + i_2}{4}, \quad (8)$$

$$i_1 = i_2. \quad (9)$$

From (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)^2 = 5^{2n} \\
\implies & (a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2) \\
& + 2(a_1a_0 + a_2a_1 + a_3a_2 + a_4a_3 + a_4a_0) \\
& + 2(a_2a_0 + a_3a_2 + a_4a_2 + a_3a_0 + a_4a_1) = 5^{2n} \\
\implies & i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = 5^{2n}. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

For existence of GBF we have to check the integral solutions of non-linear system of equations given as,

Solving (8), (9) and (10) we get

$$i_0 = 4 \times 5^{n-1} + 5^{2n-1} \text{ and } i_1 = i_2 = 2(5^{2n-1} - 5^{n-1})$$

. To find existence of GBF, we have to find the solution set of the non-linear system of equations given as

$$a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 = 4 \times 5^{n-1} + 5^{2n-1} \tag{11}$$

$$a_1a_0 + a_2a_1 + a_3a_2 + a_4a_3 + a_4a_0 = (5^{2n-1} - 5^{n-1}) \tag{12}$$

$$a_2a_0 + a_3a_2 + a_4a_2 + a_3a_0 + a_4a_1 = (5^{2n-1} - 5^{n-1}) \tag{13}$$

$2 \times (11) - 2 \times (12) - 2 \times (13)$ gives,

$$\sum_{i=0}^4 \sum_{j=i+1}^4 (a_i - a_j)^2 = 2 \times 5^{n-1}(2 - 5^n) \tag{14}$$

Left side of (14) is non-negative while RHS is negative for all values of n . Hence solution set of the system is empty which ensures non-existence of $[n, 5]$ GBF.

5 Conclusion

In this way with the formulation of necessary equation for the existence of generalized bent function we show non existence of generalized bent function of type $[n, 5]$.

Acknowledgement

The work of this paper was supported by Dr P.K.Saxena (Director,SAG,DRDO) and Shri A.K.Bhateja(Scientist F,SAG,DRDO). I would like to express my spacial thanks of gratitude to both of them.

References

- [1] O. S. Rothaus, On Bent functions, *J.Comb.Theory(A)* 20(1976),300-305
- [2] P.V.Kumar, Scholtz R.A and Welch L.R.,Generalized bent function and their properties,*J.Comb.Theory (A)*40(1985),90-107
- [3] D. Pei, On non-existence of generalized bent functions, in *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 141(1993), 165-172.
- [4] E. Akyildiz, I. S. Guloğu and M. Ikeda, A note of generalized bent functions, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.*, 106(1996), 1-9.
- [5] M. Ikeda, A remark on the non-existence of generalized bent functions, in *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 204(1999), 109-119.
- [6] Keqin Feng, Generalized bent functions and class group of imaginary quadratic fields, *Sci China(Series A)* 44(2001), 562-570.
- [7] Keqin Feng and Fengmei Liu, New results on the nonexistence of generalized bent functions, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 49(2003), 3066-3071.
- [8] Fengmei Liu, Zhi Ma and Keqin Feng, New results on nonexistence of generalized bent functions(II), *Sci. China(Series A)*, 45(2002), 721-730.
- [9] Keqin Feng and Fengmei Liu, Non-existence of some generalized bent functions, *Acta Math. Sin.(English Series)*, 19(2003), 39-50.
- [10] Natalia Tokareva,Generalizations of Bent Functions. A Survey 1 A, *Cryptography e-print archive*, 2011/111.
- [11] Gangopadhyay, S. Pasalic, E. Stanica, P. A Note on Generalized Bent Criteria for Boolean Functions, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, Volume 59, issue 5, 2013