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#### Abstract

We introduce a linear code based on resilient maps on vector spaces over finite fields, we give a basis of this code and upper and lower bounds for its minimal distance. Then the use of the introduced code for building vector space secret sharing schemes is explained and an estimation of the robustness of the schemes against cheaters is provided.
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## 1 Introduction

Resilient maps were introduced in in the 90 's $[1,4]$. We build a linear code based on resilient maps, we produce a basis of the built code and we give lower and upper bounds for its minimal distance. A main feature of the linear code is that its non-zero words are minimal, hence it is suitable to be a platform to build vector space secret sharing schemes (VSSSS) [?]. In Section 2 we introduce the linear code based on resilient maps and we prove that all its non-zero codewords are minimal in the sense of [2].

In Section 3 we recall the constructions of Massey for secret sharing schemes (SSS) $[2,3]$ using an $[n, k]_{q}$-linear code and the characterisation of its access structure given in [2]. In this paper, an extension of Massey's method to vector secrets is introduced, the vector secrets have dimension $q^{k-1}+1$, the Carlet et al. characterisation [2] is preserved and it is an ideal and perfect VSSSS [?]. For field characteristic greater than 2 , in the produced VSSSS it may happen that several participants collude by modifying their shares in order to cheat other participants in the same access set. Within the scheme with scalar secrets, the cheating probability is at most $q^{-1}$ and the information rate is $2^{-1}$. By using vector secrets of dimension $q^{k-1}+1$, the cheating probability is $q^{-\left(q^{k-1}+1\right)}$ and the information rate is also $2^{-1}$.

Finally, we point out that the introduced code based on resilient maps can be used as platform to build robust VSSSS because all its non-zero codewords are minimal. Besides in the case of vector secrets, no vector share is zero, thus

[^0]all participants in a minimal access set are required to effectively participate in any process of recovering a secret and the cheating probability is even lowered.

## 2 A linear code based on resilient maps

Let $q$ be a power of a prime number $p \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Let $T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ be the corresponding trace map. $\forall a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}-\{0\}$ the map $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}, x \mapsto T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(a x)$, is balanced.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, let $\cdot: \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ be the inner product map. For each vector $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}-\{0\}$, the map $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}, x \mapsto T_{\mathbb{F}_{q} m / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(b \cdot x)$, is balanced as well.

Let $f: \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ be a map satisfying the following conditions:

- $f$ is $t$-resilient, with $t \leq n$,
- the collection of points $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{f}=f^{-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}-\{0\}\right) \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is such that $\left|N_{f}\right|=\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}$, and
$-0 \notin N_{f}$, namely, $f(0)=0$.
As a more general result than Corollary 2 at [4], we remark that whenever $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}-\{(0,0)\}$, the map

$$
\gamma_{a b f}: \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}, x \mapsto \gamma_{a b f}(x)=T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(a f(x)+b \cdot x),
$$

is balanced. Let $\mathbf{c}_{a b f}=\left[\gamma_{a b f}(x)\right]_{x \in N_{f}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}}$.
We identify $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{t}$ with the linear subspace $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{t} \oplus\left\{0^{n-t}\right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$, and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{f}=\left\{\mathbf{c}_{a b f} \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} \& b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{t}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{C}_{f}$ is a linear code of length $\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}$.
Let $e_{i}=\left(\delta_{i j}\right)_{j=0}^{n-1}$ be the $i$-th vector in the canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$, where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ a primitive element. Then $\left(\alpha^{k}\right)_{k=0}^{m-1}$ forms a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $D=\left\{\alpha^{k} e_{i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq t-1,0 \leq k \leq m-1\right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$.

Proposition 1. $\mathcal{D}_{f}=\left(\mathbf{c}_{\alpha^{k} 0 f}\right)_{k=0}^{m-1} \cup\left(\mathbf{c}_{0 d f}\right)_{d \in D}$ is a basis of the linear code $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ defined by (2). Consequently, $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ is a linear $\left[\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)},(1+t) m\right]$-code.

Proof. In a rather direct way, it can be seen that, for any element $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ and any vector of the form $\left.b=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{t-1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{t} \oplus\left\{0^{n-t}\right\}\right)$, the vector $\mathbf{c}_{a b f}$ is a linear combination of the elements in $\mathcal{D}_{f}$. Namely, since $b_{i}=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{i k} \alpha^{k} \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ with $i=0, \ldots, t-1$,

$$
b=\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} b_{i} e_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{i k} \alpha^{k} e_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{i k} d_{i k}
$$

by writing $d_{i k}=\alpha^{k} e_{i}$. For each $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{a b f}(x) & =T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(a f(x)+b \cdot x) \\
& =T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k} \alpha^{k}\right) f(x)+\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{i k} d_{i k}\right) \cdot x\right) \\
& =T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k}\left(\alpha^{k} f(x)\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{i k}\left(d_{i k} \cdot x\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k} T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\alpha^{k} f(x)\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{i k} T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(d_{i k} \cdot x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\mathbf{c}_{a b f} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\mathcal{C}_{f}\right)$.
Now, let us check that $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is linearly independent. Suppose that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k} T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\alpha^{k} f(x)\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{i k} T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(d_{i k} \cdot x\right)=0
$$

Thus, for $a=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k} \alpha^{k}$ and $b=\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{i k} d_{i k}$ we have that for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}, \gamma_{a b f}(x)=0$. Then, for these special $a$ and $b, \gamma_{a b f}$ is not a balanced map. This entails $a=0$ and $b=0$ and all coefficients $a_{k}$ and $b_{i k}$ are zero.

Consequently, a generator matrix for the $\operatorname{code} \mathcal{C}_{f}$ is $G \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{((1+t) m) \times\left(\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}\right)}$ whose transpose is

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{c}_{10 f} & \mathbf{c}_{\alpha 0 f} & \cdots & \mathbf{c}_{\alpha^{m-1} 0 f}
\end{array} \|\right. \\
& \mathbf{c}_{0 d_{00} f} \cdots \mathbf{c}_{0 d_{0, m-1} f} \mid \\
& \left.\mathbf{c}_{0 d_{t-1,0} f} \cdots \mathbf{c}_{0 d_{t-1, m-1} f}\right]^{T} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\left(\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}\right) \times((1+t) m)} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(as a matter of notation: the above array should be read as a single row of length $(1+t) m$ in which each entry is a column vector of dimension $\left.\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}\right)$.

Proposition 2. Let $w_{\min }, w_{\max }$ be the minimum and maximum weights of $\mathcal{C}_{f}$. Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{m(n-1)}\left(q^{m-1}(q-1)-1\right)+1 & \leq w_{\min } \\
& \leq w_{\max } \\
& \leq\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}-\left(q^{m-1}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We recall and point out the following remarks:

- The length of the linear code $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ is $\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}$.
- Since $f: \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ is $t$-resilient, it is balanced, hence $\left|f^{-1}(0)\right|=q^{m(n-1)}$.
- For any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}-\{(0,0)\}$, the map

$$
\gamma_{a b f}: \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}, \gamma_{a b f}: x \mapsto T_{\mathbb{F}_{q} m / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(a f(x)+b \cdot x)
$$

is balanced, hence $\left|\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right|=q^{m n-1}$.
Let $\mathbf{c}_{a b f}=\left[\gamma_{a b f}(x)\right]_{x \in N_{f}}$ be an arbitrary word in the code $\mathcal{C}_{f}$, with $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{t}$, the set $N_{f}$ being defined as in (1). We claim:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|N_{f}\right|-\left(\left|\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right|-1\right) & \leq\left|N_{f}-\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right|  \tag{5}\\
& \leq\left|N_{f}\right|-\left(\left|\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right|-\left|f^{-1}(0)\right|\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

On one side,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{f} \cup\{0\}\right) \cap \gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)^{c}=\left(N_{f}-\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right) \cup\left(\{0\}-\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)^{c}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}-\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)$.
If $0 \notin \gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)$ then $\{0\}-\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)=\{0\}$, thus (7) entails (5).
If $0 \in \gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)$ then $\{0\}-\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)=\emptyset$ but $N_{f}-\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)=N_{f}-\left(\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)-\{0\}\right)$, (just because $0 \notin N_{f}$ ), thus also in this case (7) entails (5).

And on the other side,

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)^{c} & =\left(N_{f} \cup f^{-1}(0)\right) \cap \gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)^{c} \\
& =\left(N_{f}-\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right) \cup\left(f^{-1}(0)-\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The relation (8) entails as well (6).
Now, we observe that for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{m(n-1)}\left(q^{m-1}(q-1)-1\right)+1 & \leq\left|N_{f}\right|-\left(\left|\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right|-1\right) \quad \text { and } \\
\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}-\left(q^{m-1}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)} & \geq\left|N_{f}\right|-\left(\left|\gamma_{a b f}^{-1}(0)\right|-\left|f^{-1}(0)\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence the proposition's claim (4) follows.
Since the trace $T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ is a balanced map, the following proposition results:
Proposition 3. No column of the generator matrix $G$ is zero. Or equivalently, no row of the matrix $G^{T}$, as displayed in (3), is zero.

Proof. Assume that a row of $G^{T}$ is zero. Without any loss of generality, assume that it is the first row, indexed by a vector $x_{0} \in N_{f}$. Then

$$
\forall k=0, \ldots, m-1: T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\alpha^{k} f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

thus

$$
\forall\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{m-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}: T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_{k} \alpha^{k} f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

namely

$$
\forall c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}: T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(c f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

which contradicts the balancedness of the trace map, since $f\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$.
Remark 1. The dual code $\mathcal{C} \frac{1}{f}$ has minimum weight greater than 1.
Let us recall an interesting definition and an important result:
Definition 1. For any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$, let $\operatorname{Spt}(x)=\left\{i \mid x_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. A vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$ covers another vector $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$ if $\operatorname{Spt}(y) \subseteq \operatorname{Spt}(x)$. The vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$ is minimal if it covers just its non-zero multiples.

Theorem 1 (Carlet et al. [2]). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be $a[n, k]_{q}$-linear code and let $w_{m i n}$, $w_{\max }$ be its minimum and maximum weights. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w_{\min }}{w_{\max }}>\frac{q-1}{q} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then any non-zero codeword in $\mathcal{C}$ is minimal.
Proposition 4. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m n-m-1} \frac{1}{q^{k}}<q^{m-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all non-zero codewords in the code $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ are minimal.
Proof. The bounds at (4) and the condition (10) entail the relation (9). Hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.

## 3 Secret sharing

In this section we introduce a secret sharing scheme (SSS) using the code defined by the equation (2). We begin by recalling the SSS due to Massey.

### 3.1 Massey's SSS

This construction can be found in $[2,3]$. As before, let us assume that 0 denotes a dealer and the set of integers $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is naming $n-1$ participants. Let $D$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$-linear code over the field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, with generator matrix

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
g_{0} & g_{1} & \cdots & g_{n-1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{0}^{T} \\
\vdots \\
h_{k-1}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k \times n}
$$

where all $g_{j}$ are non-zero vectors in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ and all $h_{i}$ are non-zero vectors in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ (here we are assuming that all vectors are indeed column vectors).

The field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is the set of secrets. Given a secret $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, the dealer selects randomly a vector $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=u \cdot g_{0}=u^{T} g_{0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and calculates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall j=1, \ldots, n-1: \quad v_{j}=u^{T} g_{j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $j=1, \ldots, n-1$, the dealer gives the $j$-th value $v_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ to the $j$-th participant as the $j$-th share.

For any subset $J \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ of cardinality $m=|J| \leq n-1, J=$ $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right\}$, let

$$
G_{J}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
g_{j_{1}} & \cdots & g_{j_{m}}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k \times m}
$$

be the matrix whose columns are the columns of the generator $G$ numbered by $J$, and $v_{J}=\left[v_{j}\right]_{j \in J}$. Clearly, $v_{J}^{T}=u^{T} G_{J}$.

Proposition 5. The secret can be recovered by the participants at a subset $J \subset$ $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ if and only if $g_{0} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\left(g_{j}\right)_{j \in J}\right)$.

Proof. The recovering procedure is the following:

1. Realize $g_{0}$ as a unique linear combination of $\left(g_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$, say $g_{0}=\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} g_{j}$;
2. recover the secret as $s=\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} v_{j}$.

Within this context, the following holds:
Theorem $2([2,3])$. A non-empty set $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ of cardinality $m \leq$ $n-1$ is an access set in the Massey's SSS if and only if there is a codeword $d=\left(d_{j}\right)_{j=0}^{n-1}$ in the dual code $D^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[d_{0}=1\right] \&\left[\forall j \notin\{0\} \cup J: d_{j}=0\right] \&\left[\exists j \in J: d_{j} \neq 0\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The generator matrix $G$ of $D$ is a parity-check matrix of the dual code $D^{\perp}$. Let $d \in D^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ satisfying (13). Then $0=G d=g_{0}+\sum_{j \in J} d_{j} g_{j}$, hence $g_{0} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\left(g_{j}\right)_{j \in J}\right)$. The result follows from Proposition 5 .

Corollary 1. Let $E=\left\{\left(d_{j}\right)_{j=0}^{n-1} \in D^{\perp} \mid d_{0}=1\right\}$ be the collection of codewords in the dual code whose first entry is 1 . In the SSS based on the linear code D, there is a one-to-one and onto correspondence between the collection of minimal access sets and the collection of minimal words in $E$.

Proof. Let $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be a minimal access set. Suppose $g_{0}=\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} g_{j}$ and $s=\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} v_{j}$, with $c_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}, j \in J$. In fact, being $J$ minimal, necessarily $c_{j} \neq 0, \forall j \in J$. Then $d=\left(d_{j}\right)_{j=0}^{n-1}$ such that

$$
\forall j=0,1, \ldots, n-1: \quad d_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
1 \text { if } j=0 \\
0 \text { if } j \notin J \\
-c_{j} \text { if } j \in J
\end{array}\right.
$$

is a minimal codeword in the set $E \subset D^{\perp}$.
Conversely, for a minimal codeword $d$ in $E \subset D^{\perp}$, its support $I$ is a minimal access set.

Remark 2. Let $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be an access set of participants. Given a secret $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and a vector $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ chosen by the dealer in order to satisfy (11), let $\left[v_{j}\right]_{j=1}^{n-1}$ be the shares calculated according to (12). Then, from Proposition 5 $s=\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} v_{j}$.

Remark 3. For any minimal access set $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the above remark obviously holds as well. However for a particular secret $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and a particular choice of the vector $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ it may happen that some shares $v_{j}$, with $j \in J$, take the value 0 . It implies that the corresponding participants do not take part in the recovering process! This seems to contradict the minimality of $J$, but it is not any contradiction. The notion of minimality is independent of the secrets, indeed.

Example Let us consider the $[7,4,3]$-Hamming linear binary code $\mathcal{H}$, whose parity check matrix and generator matrix are, respectively,

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad\left(H^{\perp}\right)^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The code $\mathcal{H}$ has $2^{4}$ elements as displayed in Table 1. All codewords with initial entry equal to 1 are minimal, in the sense of Definition 1, except $\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]^{T}$.

We consider the Massey's SSS over the dual code $\mathcal{H}^{\perp}$ with generator matrix $G=H$. Suppose the dealer has the secret $s=1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$.

The dealer may select, for instance $u_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]^{T}$ because

$$
u_{0}^{T} g_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{T}=1=s
$$

Let $v=\left[v_{i}\right]_{i=1}^{6}=\left[u_{0}^{T} g_{j}\right]_{i=1}^{6}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ be the list of shares. It may seem that the participant indexed by 3 may be neglected because the corresponding share is $v_{3}=0$.

However, if the dealer selects instead $u_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{T}$ which also satisfies $s=u_{1}^{T} g_{0}$ then the list of shares is $\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$, and in this case $v_{3}=1$ and $v_{6}=0$.

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]} \\
\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\right\}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Table 1. Code $\mathcal{H}$.

Indeed, the minimal access sets are determined according to Remark 4 by the following relations (we use the enumeration of the minimal codewords in the second row of $\mathcal{H}$ as presented in Table 1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
s=1 & =v_{3}+v_{6} \\
& =v_{4}+v_{5} \\
& =v_{2}+v_{4}+v_{6} \\
& =v_{2}+v_{3}+v_{5} \\
& =v_{1}+v_{5}+v_{6} \\
& =v_{1}+v_{3}+v_{4} \\
& =v_{1}+v_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3 ([2]). Let $D$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$-linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, with generator matrix $G=\left[\begin{array}{llll}g_{0} & g_{1} & \cdots & g_{n-1}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k \times n}$. If any non-zero word at $D$ is minimal, then on the Massey's SSS based on $D^{\perp}$ we have:

1. There are $q^{k-1}$ minimal access sets.
2. If the minimal distance $d^{\perp}$ of the code $D^{\perp}$ is 2 then for any $j, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$ :

- If $g_{j}$ is a multiple of $g_{0}$, then the $j$-th participant is in every minimal access set.
- If $g_{j}$ is not a multiple of $g_{0}$, then the $j$-th participant is in exactly $(q-1) q^{k-2}$ minimal access sets.

3. If $d^{\perp} \geq 3$ and $1 \leq m \leq \min \left\{k-1, d^{\perp}-2\right\}$, then any $m$-set of participants is included in $(q-1)^{m} q^{k-(m+1)}$ minimal access sets.

### 3.2 Massey's SSS with vector secrets

An immediate extension of Massey's SSS consists in vector secrets.
Let $D$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$-linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with generator matrix

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
g_{0} & g_{1} & \cdots & g_{n-1}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k \times n}
$$

The set of $n-1$ participants is identified with the set of indexes $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and the dealer with the index 0 . The vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{k-1}+1}$ is the set of secrets. Given a secret $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{k-1}+1}$, the dealer finds vectors $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{q^{k-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \kappa=0, \ldots, q^{k-1}: \quad s_{\kappa}=u_{\kappa}^{T} g_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and calculates the vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall j=1, \ldots, n-1: \quad v_{j}=\left[u_{\kappa}^{T} g_{j}\right]_{\kappa=0}^{q^{k-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{k-1}+1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dealer gives the vector $v_{j}$ as the corresponding share to the $j$-th participant.
The analogous to the Theorem 2 holds almost verbatim:
Theorem 4. A non-empty set $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ of cardinality $m \leq n-1$ is an access set in the Massey's SSS with vector secrets if and only if there is a codeword $d=\left(d_{j}\right)_{j=0}^{n-1}$ in the dual code $D^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[d_{0}=1\right] \&\left[\forall j \notin\{0\} \cup J: d_{j}=0\right] \&\left[\exists j \in J: d_{j} \neq 0\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First let us recall that for any $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}-\{0\}$, the map $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}, u \mapsto u^{T} g$, is balanced. Thus for any index subset $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and any coefficients $c_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, j \in J$, the following two conditions are equivalent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}-\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} g_{j}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\exists u_{0}, \ldots, u_{q^{k-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k} \text { pairwise distinct }
$$

$$
\forall \kappa=0, \ldots, q^{k-1}: \quad u_{\kappa}^{T}\left(g_{0}-\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} g_{j}\right)=0
$$

Then, the proof of the current theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.
Corollary 1 also holds within this context. Besides, similar to Remark 2:
Remark 4. Let $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be an access set of participants. Given a secret $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{k-1}+1}$ and vectors $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{q^{k-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ chosen by the dealer in order to satisfy (14), let $\left[v_{j}\right]_{j=1}^{n-1}$ be the shares calculated according to (15). Then, the eq. (17) entails $s=\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} v_{j}$.
Remark 5. In the vector secret case, no share can have the value 0 .
Clearly, by identifying an alphabet of $q$ symbols with $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, then the vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{k-1}+1}$ is identified with the set of words with length $\left(q^{k-1}+1\right)$ over that alphabet.

### 3.3 Massey's SSS variations as vector space SSS's

Massey's SSS with vector secrets is an ideal SSS [?], namely its information rate equals 1 , i.e. the length in bits of a secret equals the maximum length of the distributed shares.

Besides, it is perfect because a set of participants may recover any secret if and only if there is a word in the dual code satisfying (16) but, due to Theorem 4, it means that the set is indeed an access set. Thus, no non-access set may recover any secret.

We recall the notion of vector space $S S S$ (VSSSS) [?]. Let us identify a set of $n$ participants with the set of indexes $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, being 0 the index of the dealer. For a vector space $V$ over a field $K$ let us assume a set $\left(g_{j}\right)_{j=0}^{n-1}$ of vectors in $V$. An access structure $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{P}(\{1, \ldots, n-1\})$ is a vector space access structure if:

$$
\forall J \subset\{1, \ldots, n-1\}:\left[J \in \Gamma \Longleftrightarrow g_{0} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\left(g_{j}\right)_{j \in J}\right)\right]
$$

$(\mathcal{L}(U)$ denotes the $K$-linear span of a set $U \subset V)$. Within such a structure, Massey's SSS can be implemented. For any secret $s \in K$ the dealer selects a vector $u \in K$ satisfying (11) and builds the shares according to (12).

In the remaining of this section we will assume that the characteristic of the field $K$ is a prime greater than 2.

Robustness against cheaters in scalar secrets A malicious participant, a cheater, $m \in J$ may deceive other participants in an access set $J \in \Gamma$, where $m \in J$. Namely, when trying to recover a secret $s \in K$ each participant $j \in$ $J_{m}=J-\{m\}$ provides his share $v_{j}$ while $m$ provides $v_{m}^{\prime}=v+\varepsilon$. Then the recovering process gives

$$
s^{\prime}=\sum_{j \in J_{m}} c_{j} v_{j}+c_{m} v_{m}^{\prime}=s+c_{m} \varepsilon .
$$

The cheater recovers the secret as $s=s^{\prime}-c_{m} \varepsilon$, while the others get an erroneous secret.

Suppose that $J \in \Gamma$ is minimal. In order to avoid a deception from $m$, the participants at $J_{m}$ would provide modified shares, say $v_{j}^{\prime}$, instead of correct shares $v_{j}$, with $j \in J_{m}$. The probability to cheat $m$ is

$$
a_{m}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(m \text { is cheated by } v^{\prime} \mid J_{m} \text {-shares are } v\right) .
$$

The cheating success probability is

$$
A_{m}(v)=\max _{v^{\prime}} a_{m}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)
$$

A VSSSS is $(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$-robust if, under the assumption that the participants at $J_{m}$ do not know the secret, $A_{m}(v) \leq \varepsilon$.

A modified SSS with the aim to avoid cheaters is proposed in [?]. Suppose that the dealer and an auxiliary black-box are honest. For any secret $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ the dealer selects two vectors $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{r}$ such that $s=u_{1}^{T} g_{0}$ and $s^{2}=u_{2}^{T} g_{0}$, calculates $\left(v_{j 1}, v_{j 2}\right)=\left(u_{1}^{T} g_{j}, u_{2}^{T} g_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$ and deals the shares $\left(v_{j 1}, v_{j 2}\right)$. In the recovering process, for any access set $J$, the black-box receives the shares $\left\{\left(v_{j 1}, v_{j 2}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ and calculates $t_{1}=\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} v_{j 1}$ and $t_{2}=\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} v_{j 2}$. If $t_{1}^{2}=t_{2}$ then the blackbox reveals $t_{1}$ as the secret, otherwise, the black-box warns the existence of a cheater among the participants in $J$. It is proved [?] that the modified SSS has information rate $\frac{1}{2}$ and that it is $\left(\Gamma, q^{-1}\right)$-robust.

We consider the following slight generalisation of robustness.
Let $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be a minimal access point and let $I \subset J$ be a proper non-empty set. The participants in $J-I$ collude in order to cheat the participants in $I$. Let

$$
a_{I J}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(I \text {-participants are cheated by } v^{\prime} \mid(J-I) \text {-shares are } v\right) .
$$

and

$$
A_{I J}(v)=\max _{v^{\prime}} a_{I J}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)
$$

Suppose that in the modified SSS, $\forall j \in J-I,\left(v_{j 1}^{\prime}, v_{j 2}^{\prime}\right)=\left(v_{j 1}, v_{j 2}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{j 1}, \varepsilon_{j 2}\right)$. Their cheating attempt will be successful if $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=t_{2}^{\prime}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1}^{\prime} & =\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} v_{j 1}+\sum_{j \in J-I} c_{j} v_{j 1} \varepsilon_{j 1}=s_{1}+\varepsilon_{1} \\
t_{2}^{\prime} & =\sum_{j \in J} c_{j} v_{j 2}+\sum_{j \in J-I} c_{j} v_{j 2} \varepsilon_{j 2}=s_{2}+\varepsilon_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, success occurs if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}^{2}=\varepsilon_{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are exactly $q$ pairs $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$ satisfying (18). Thus, $A_{I J}(v) \leq q^{-1}$.

Robustness against cheaters in vector secrets Consider the setting in Section 3.2 with vector secrets. Let $D$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$-linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, with $q$ a power of a prime greater than 2, with generator matrix $G=\left[\begin{array}{llll}g_{0} & g_{1} & \cdots & g_{n-1}\end{array}\right] \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{k \times n}$. The vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{k-1}+1}$ is the set of secrets and the shares are vectors in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{k-1}+1}$. Then the above construction provides a VSSSS whose information rate is also $\frac{1}{2}$ but it is $\left(\Gamma, q^{-\left(q^{k-1}+1\right)}\right)$-robust. Let us check this last assertion.

Given a secret $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{k-1}+1}$, the dealer finds vectors

$$
u_{01}, \ldots, u_{q^{k-1}, 1}, u_{02}, \ldots, u_{q^{k-1}, 2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}
$$

such that

$$
\forall \kappa=0, \ldots, q^{k-1}: \quad s_{\kappa}=u_{\kappa, 1}^{T} g_{0} \& s_{\kappa}^{2}=u_{\kappa, 2}^{T} g_{0}
$$

and calculates the vectors

$$
\forall j=1, \ldots, n-1: \quad v_{j 1}=\left[u_{\kappa, 1}^{T} g_{j}\right]_{\kappa=0}^{q^{k-1}}, v_{j 2}=\left[u_{\kappa, 2}^{T} g_{j}\right]_{\kappa=0}^{q^{k-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{k-1}+1}
$$

The dealer gives the vector pair $\left(v_{j 1}, v_{j 2}\right)$ as the corresponding share to the $j$-th participant. Then, as before, if $J$ is a minimal access set and $I \subset J$ is a proper non-empty set then $A_{I J}(v) \leq q^{-q^{k-1}+1}$.

### 3.4 Using the code based on resilient functions

Let $q$ be the power of a prime numbe $p$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Let $f: \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ be a resilient map as introduced in Section 2. Let $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ be the linear code defined at relation (2). According to Proposition 1, $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ is a linear $\left[\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)},(1+t) m\right]$ code. Then the construction given at Section 3.3, using the code $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ as the code $D$, gives a VSSSS that is $\left(\Gamma, q^{-\left(q^{k-1}+1\right)}\right)$-robust, with $k=(1+t) m$ and involving up to $\left(q^{m}-1\right) q^{m(n-1)}$ participants.
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