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#### Abstract

As a modified version of GGH map, Gu map-1 was successful in constructing multi-party key exchange (MPKE). In this short paper we present a result about the parameter setting of Gu map-1, therefore we can reduce a key parameter $\tau$ from original $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ down to $O(\lambda n)$ (in theoretically secure case, where $\lambda$ is the security parameter), and even down to $O(2 n)$ (in computationally secure case). Such optimization greatly reduces the size of the map.
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## 1 Introduction: Background and Our Comment

Because we presented efficient attack [1] on GGH map for given encodings of zero $[2,3]$, modification of GGH map is urgently needed. Gu map-1 [4] is one of modified versions of GGH map. It successfully forms MPKE scheme, and avoids our attack.

In this short paper we present a result about the parameter setting of Gu map-1, therefore we can reduce a key parameter $\tau$ from original $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ down to $O(\lambda n)$ (in theoretically secure case, where $\lambda$ is the security parameter), and even down to $O(2 n)$ (in computationally secure case). Our result is that "vector group" $\left\{Y_{i}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$ has the "rank" $n$ rather than $n^{2}$, and that "vector group" $\left\{P_{z t, i}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$ has the "rank" $n$ rather than $n^{2}$.Such optimization greatly reduces the size of the map.

## 2 Gu Map-1

### 2.1 Setting Parameters

We define the integers by $\mathbb{Z}$. We specify that $n$-dimensional vectors of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ are row vectors. We consider the $2 n$ 'th cyclotomic polynomial ring $R=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(X^{n}+1\right)$, and identify an element $u \in R$ with the coefficient vector of the degree- $(n-1)$ integer polynomial that represents $u$. In this way, $R$ is identified with the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. We also consider the ring $R_{q}=R / q R=\mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /\left(X^{n}+1\right)$ for a (large

[^0]enough) integer $q$. Obviously, addition in these rings is done component-wise in their coefficients, and multiplication is polynomial multiplication modulo the ring polynomial $X^{n}+1$. For $u \in R$, we denote $\operatorname{Rot}(u)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}u_{0} & u_{1} & \cdots & u_{n-1} \\ -u_{n-1} & u_{0} & \cdots & u_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -u_{1} & -u_{2} & \cdots & u_{0}\end{array}\right] \in$ $\mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$.

Because Gu map-1 scheme uses the GGH construction [2,3] as the basic component, parameter setting is set as that of GGH to conveniently describe and compare. Let $\lambda$ be the security parameter, $K$ the multilinearity level, $n$ the dimension of elements of $R$. Concrete parameters are set as $\sigma=\sqrt{\lambda n}, \sigma^{\prime}=\lambda n^{1.5}$, $\sigma^{*}=2^{\lambda}, q \geq 2^{8 K \lambda} n^{O(K)}, n \geq \widetilde{O}\left(K \lambda^{2}\right), \tau=O\left(n^{2}\right)$. More detailed setting of $\tau$ is $\tau=n^{2}+\lambda$.

### 2.2 Instance Generation

(1) Choose a prime $q \geq 2^{8 K \lambda} n^{O(K)}$.
(2) Choose an element $g \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^{n}, \sigma}$ in $R$ so that $\left\|g^{-1}\right\| \leq n^{2}$. In other words, $g$ is "very small".
(3) Choose elements $a_{i}, e_{i} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^{n}, \sigma}, b_{i} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^{n}, \sqrt{q}}, i=1, \cdots, \tau$ in $R$. In other words, $a_{i}, e_{i}$ are "very small", while $b_{i}$ is "somewhat small".
(4) Choose a random element $z \in R_{q}$. In other words, $z \in R_{q}$ is never small.
(5) Choose two matrices $T, S \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}, \sigma}$. In other words, $T$ and $S$ are "very small".
(6) Set $Y_{i}=\left[\operatorname{TRot}\left(\frac{a_{i} g+e_{i}}{z}\right) T^{-1}\right]_{q}, P_{z t, i}=\left[\operatorname{TRot}\left(\frac{z^{K}\left(b_{i} g+e_{i}\right)}{g}\right) S\right]_{q}, i=1, \cdots, \tau$.
(7) Output the public parameters $\left\{q,\left\{Y_{i}, P_{z t, i}\right\}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$.
(8) Generating level-1 encodings. A user generates his secret $d \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^{n}, \sigma^{*}}$ in $R$, then publishes $U=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} d_{i} Y_{i}\right]_{q}=\left[\operatorname{TRot}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} d_{i}\left(a_{i} g+e_{i}\right)}{z}\right) T^{-1}\right]_{q} . U$ is level-1 encoding of the secret $d$.
(9) Generating level- $K$ decoding factors. After the user generating his secret $d$, he secretly computes $V=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} d_{i} P_{z t, i}\right]_{q}=\left[\operatorname{TRot}\left(\frac{z^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} d_{i}\left(b_{i} g+e_{i}\right)}{g}\right) S\right]_{q}$. $V$ is level- $K$ decoding factor of the secret $d$.

### 2.3 A Note

Each public matrix $Y_{i}$ has $n^{2}$ entries, and so does $P_{z t, i}$. For a public encoding $U=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} d_{i} Y_{i}\right]_{q}$, if $\left\{Y_{i}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$ are linearly independent, the secret $\left\{d_{i}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$ can be uniquely solved. This is the reason that $\tau>n^{2}$, and more detailed that $\tau=n^{2}+\lambda$.

## 3 A Result about Parameter Setting of Gu Map-1

### 3.1 Our Notations

We denote $\left[\frac{a_{i} g+e_{i}}{z}\right]_{q}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}u_{i, 0} & u_{i, 1} & \cdots & u_{i, n-1}\end{array}\right]$. We denote $T=\left[\begin{array}{c}T_{1} \\ T_{2} \\ \vdots \\ T_{n}\end{array}\right]$, where $T_{k}$ is the $k$ 'th row of $T$. We denote $T^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}T_{1}^{-1} & T_{2}^{-1} & \cdots & T_{n}^{-1}\end{array}\right]$, where $T_{k}^{-1}$ is the $k^{\prime}$ 'th column of $T^{-1}$. For $T_{k}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}t_{k, 1}^{-1} \\ t_{k, 2}^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ t_{k, n}^{-1}\end{array}\right]$, we define $T_{k}^{-1}(l)=\left[\begin{array}{c}t_{k, l+1}^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ t_{k, n}^{-1} \\ -t_{k, 1}^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ -t_{k, l}^{-1}\end{array}\right]$, $l=0,1, \cdots, n-1$. It is easy to see that $T_{k}^{-1}(0)=T_{k}^{-1}$. Again we have that

$$
T_{k} T_{j}^{-1}= \begin{cases}1 & k=j \\ 0 & k \neq j\end{cases}
$$

We write matrix $Y_{i}$ into the form of "vector" $\widetilde{Y}_{i}$. Suppose $Y_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}y_{i, 1,1} & y_{i, 1,2} & \cdots & y_{i, 1, n} \\ y_{i, 2,1} & y_{i, 2,2} & \cdots & y_{i, 2, n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{i, n, 1} & y_{i, n} & \cdots & y_{i, n, n}\end{array}\right]$. Then $\widetilde{Y}_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}y_{i, 1,1} & y_{i, 1,2} \cdots y_{i, 1, n} & y_{i, 2,1} & y_{i, 2,2} \cdots y_{i, 2, n} \cdots y_{i, n, 1} & y_{i, n, 2} \cdots y_{i, n, n}\end{array}\right]$

### 3.2 A Result about Vector $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$

## Proposition 1

(1) $\widetilde{Y}_{i}=\left[\left[u_{i, 0} u_{i, 1} \cdots u_{i, n-1}\right] A\right]_{q}$, where $A$ is $n \times\left(n^{2}\right)$ matrix, which is only dependent on $T$.
(2) We denote $l$ as the serial numbers of rows of $A, l=0,1, \cdots, n-1$. We denote $(k, j)$ as the serial numbers of columns of $A, k, j=1,2, \cdots, n$. Then $(l,(k, j))$ entry of $A$ is $T_{k} T_{j}^{-1}(l)$.
(3) Special entries of $A$. $(0,(k, j))$ entry of $A$ is 1 for $k=j$, and 0 for $k \neq j$.
(4) A natural corollary. The rank of $\left\{\widetilde{Y}_{i}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$ is at most $n$ rather than $n^{2}$.

### 3.3 Similar Result about Vector $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{z t}, \boldsymbol{i}}$

Proposition 2 We write matrix $P_{z t, i}$ into the form of "vector" $\widetilde{P}_{z t, i}$. Then the rank of $\left\{\widetilde{P}_{z t, i}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$ is at most $n$ rather than $n^{2}$.

## 4 Reducing $\tau$

Suppose we obtain a public encoding $U=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} d_{i} Y_{i}\right]_{q}$.
$\tau>n$ will guarantee $\left\{Y_{i}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$ linearly dependent, therefore the secret $\left\{d_{i}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$ can not be uniquely solved.
$\tau=\lambda n$ will guarantee that SVP (the shortest vector problem) over the lattice generated by $\left\{Y_{i}, i=1, \cdots, \tau\right\}$ is theoretically hard. Notice that $\lambda$ is far smaller than $n$.
$\tau=2 n$ will guarantee that SVP over the lattice generated by $\left\{Y_{i}, i=\right.$ $1, \cdots, \tau\}$ is computationally hard.
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