

# Information-Theoretical Analysis of Two Shannon's Ciphers

Boris Ryabko

Institute of Computational Technologies of Siberian Branch of  
the Russian Academy of Science,  
Novosibirsk State University  
Novosibirsk, Russia

**Abstract**—We describe generalized running key ciphers and apply them for analysis of two Shannon's methods. In particular, we suggest some estimation of the cipher equivocation and the probability of correct deciphering without key.

**keywords:** running-key cipher, cipher equivocation, Shannon cipher.

## I. INTRODUCTION

We consider a classical problem of transmitting secret messages from a sender (Alice) to a receiver (Bob) via an open channel which can be accessed by an adversary (Eve). It is assumed that Alice and Bob (but not Eve) share a key, which is a word in a certain alphabet. Before transmitting a message to Bob, Alice encrypts it, and Bob, having received an encrypted message (ciphertext), decrypts it to recover the plaintext.

We consider the so-called running-key ciphers where the plaintext  $X_1^1 \dots X_t^1$ , the key sequence  $X_1^2 \dots X_t^2$ , and the ciphertext  $Z_1 \dots Z_t$ , are sequences of letters from the same alphabet  $A = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , where  $n \geq 2$ . We assume that enciphering and deciphering are given by the rules  $Z_i = c(X_i^1, X_i^2)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ ,  $X_i^1 = d(Z_i, X_i^2)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , so

that  $d(c(X_i^1, X_i^2), X_i^2) = X_i^1$ . C.Shannon in [1] notes the following:

*“The running key cipher can be easily improved to lead to ciphering systems which could not be solved without the key. If one uses in place of one English text, about  $d$  different texts as key, adding them all to the message, a sufficient amount of key has been introduced to produce a high positive equivocation. Another method would be to use, say, every 10th letter of the text as key. The intermediate letters are omitted and cannot be used at any other point of the message. This has much the same effect, since these spaced letters are nearly independent.”*

More formally, we can introduce the first cipher from Shannon's description above as follows: there are  $s$  sources  $X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s$ ,  $s \geq 2$ , and any  $X^i$  generates letters from the alphabet  $A = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Suppose that  $X^1$  is the plaintext, whereas  $X^2, \dots, X^s$  are key sequences. The ciphertext  $Z$  is obtained as follows

$$Z_i = (((\dots(X_i^1 + X_i^2) \bmod n + X_i^3) \bmod n) + \dots + X_i^s) \bmod n. \quad (1)$$

The deciphering is obvious. In this report we perform

information-theoretical analysis of both Shannon's ciphers.

## II. ESTIMATIONS OF THE EQUIVOCATION

For stationary ergodic processes  $W, V$  and  $t \geq 1$  the  $t$ -order entropy is given by:

$$h_t(W) = -t^{-1} \sum_{u \in A^{t-1}} P_W(u) \sum_{v \in A} P_W(v|u) \log_2 P_W(v|u).$$

The conditional entropy is  $h_t(W/V) = h_t(W, V) - h_t(V)$ , see [2]. In [1] Shannon called  $h_t(X^1/Z)$  the cipher equivocation and showed that the larger the equivocation, the better the cipher. Unfortunately, there are many cases where a direct calculation of the equivocation is impossible and an estimation is needed. The following lemma can be used for this purpose.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s$ ,  $s \geq 2$ , be  $s$ -dimensional stationary ergodic source and  $X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s$  be independent. If the cipher (1) is applied, then for any  $t \geq 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} h_t(X^1/Z) + h_t(X^2/Z) + \dots + h_t(X^{s-1}/Z) \geq \\ h_t(X^1) + h_t(X^2) + \dots + h_t(X^s) - \log_2 n \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s-1}{s} (h_t(X^1/Z) + h_t(X^2/Z) + \dots + h_t(X^s/Z)) \geq \\ h_t(X^1) + h_t(X^2) + \dots + h_t(X^s) - \log_2 n \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The proof of this lemma is given in the Appendix.

**Definition 1.** *Denote*

$$\Lambda_t = \frac{1}{s-1} (h_t(X^1) + h_t(X^2) + \dots + h_t(X^s) - \log_2 n). \quad (4)$$

Note that, if  $X^i, i = 1, \dots, s$ , have the same distribution, then

$$\Lambda_t = \frac{1}{s-1} (s h_t(X^1) - \log_2 n). \quad (5)$$

The following definition is due to Lu Shyue-Ching [3]

**Definition 2.** *Let  $M = M(Z_1 \dots Z_t) = X_1^{1*} \dots X_t^{1*}$  be a certain function over  $A^t$ . Define  $p_b = (1/t) \sum_{i=1}^t P(X_i^{1*} = X_i^1)$ .*

Note that, if  $M$  is a method of deciphering  $Z_1 \dots Z_t$  without the key, then  $p_b$  is the average probability of deciphering a single letter correctly. Obviously, the smaller  $p_b$ , the better the cipher. Now we investigate the two methods of Shannon described above.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s$ ,  $s \geq 2$ , be  $s$ -dimensional stationary ergodic process and  $X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s$  be independent. Suppose that the cipher (1) is applied. Then*

i) *The following inequality for the average probability  $p_b$  is valid*

$$(1 - p_b) \log_2(n - 1) + h_1(p_b) \geq \Lambda_t,$$

ii) *for any  $\delta > 0, \varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $t^*$  such that for  $t > t^*$  there exists a set  $\Psi$  of texts of length  $t$  for which  $(P(\Psi)) > 1 - \delta$ , for any  $V_1 \dots V_t \in \Psi, U_1 \dots U_t \in \Psi$*

$$(1/t)(\log_2 P(V_1 \dots V_t) - \log_2 P(U_1 \dots U_t)) < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{and } \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log_2 |\Psi| \geq \Lambda_t.$$

The proof of the first statement can be obtained by a method from [3], whereas the second statement can be derived from [4].

This theorem shows that if  $\Lambda_t$  is large then the probability to find letters of the plaintext without the key must be small. Besides, the second statement shows that Eve has a large set of possible plaintext whose probabilities are close and the total probability is closed to 1.

## III. SHANNON CIPHERS

Let us come back to the Shannon's methods described above. In [5] Shannon estimated the entropy of printed English. In particular, he showed that the entropy of the first order is approximately 4.14 for texts without spaces and 4.03

for texts with spaces. He also estimated the limit entropy to be approximately 1 bit.

Now we can investigate the first Shannon's cipher. He suggested to use a sum of  $d$  English texts as a key, i.e. use (1) with  $s = d + 1$ , and where  $X^i, i = 1, \dots, s$ , is a text in printed English. Having taken into account that all  $X^i$  are identically distributed, we immediately obtain from (5) the following:

$$\Lambda_t = \frac{1}{s-1}(s h_t(X^1) - \log_2 n)$$

Taking into account that  $h_t(X^1) \approx h_\infty(X^1) \approx 1$  and the estimation  $\log_2 26 \approx 4.7$ , we obtain the following approximation

$$\Lambda_t = \frac{1}{s-1}(s h_t(X^1) - \log_2 n) = \frac{1}{s-1}(s - 4.7).$$

So, we can see that  $\Lambda_t$  is positive if  $s - 1 \geq 4$ . Moreover, Theorem 1 shows that the first cipher of Shannon cannot be deciphered without the key if  $d \geq 4$  different texts are added to the message (i.e., used as a key).

Let us consider the second cipher of Shannon. Here  $s = 2$ , a sequence  $X^1$  is a text in printed English and letters of  $X^2$  are generated independently with probabilities equal to the frequencies of occurrence of letters in English. From (1) we obtain

$$\Lambda_t = (h_t(X^1) + h_t(X^2) - \log_2 n).$$

Having taken into account that  $h_t(X^1) \approx h_\infty(X^1) \approx 1$ ,  $h_t(X^2) \approx 4.14$  (see [5]) and  $\log_2 26 \approx 4.7$ , we can see that  $\Lambda_t = 1 + 4.14 - 4.7 = 0.44$ . So,  $\Lambda_t$  is positive and Theorem 1 shows that the first cipher of Shannon cannot be deciphered without key.

#### IV. APPENDIX

*Proof of the Lemma.* The following chain of equalities and inequalities is valid:

$$h_t(X^1) + h_t(X^2) + \dots + h_t(X^s) = h_t(X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= h_t(X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s, Z) = h_t(Z) + h_t(X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s/Z) \\ &= h_t(Z) + h_t(X^1/Z) + h_t(X^2/X^1, Z) + h_t(X^3/X^1, X^2, Z) + \\ &\quad \dots + h_t(X^s/X^1, X^2, \dots, X^{s-1}, Z) \\ &= h_t(Z) + h_t(X^1/Z) + h_t(X^2/X^1, Z) + h_t(X^3/X^1, X^2, Z) + \\ &\quad \dots + h_t(X^{s-1}/X^1, X^2, \dots, X^{s-2}, Z) \\ &\leq h_t(Z) + h_t(X^1/Z) + h_t(X^2/Z) + h_t(X^3/Z) + \dots + h_t(X^{s-1}/Z). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is based on well-known properties of the Shannon entropy which can be found, for example, in [2]. More precisely, the first equation follows from the independence of  $X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s$ , whereas the second equation is valid because  $Z$  is a function of  $X^1, X^2, \dots, X^s$ , see (1). The third equation is a well-known property of the entropy. Having taken into account that  $X^s$  is determined if  $X^2, \dots, X^{s-1}, Z$  are known, we obtain the last equation. The inequality also follows from the properties of the Shannon entropy [2]. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &h_t(X^1) + h_t(X^2) + \dots + h_t(X^s) \leq \\ &h_t(Z) + h_t(X^1/Z) + h_t(X^2/Z) + h_t(X^3/Z) + \dots + h_t(X^{s-1}/Z). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Taking into account that for any process  $U$  over alphabet  $A = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$

$$h_t(Z) \leq \log_2 n,$$

we obtain (2) from (6). In order to prove (3) we note that analogously to (6), we can obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &h_t(X^1) + h_t(X^2) + \dots + h_t(X^s) \leq \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} h_t(X^i/Z) + \sum_{i=j+1}^s h_t(X^i/Z) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $1 \leq j \leq s$ . From this inequality we obtain (3).  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 15-29-07932).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Shannon C. E. Communication theory of secrecy systems // Bell system technical journal. 1949, 28(4), pp. 656-715.
- [2] Cover T. M., Thomas J. A. Elements of information theory. New York, NY, USA: Wiley-Interscience, 2006.
- [3] Lu Shyue-Ching. The existence of good cryptosystems for key rates greater than the message redundancy. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 1977, 25(4), pp. 475-477.
- [4] Ryabko B. The Vernam Cipher Is Robust to Small Deviations from Randomness // Problems of Information Transmission. 2015, 51(1), pp. 82-86.
- [5] Shannon, C. E. Prediction and entropy of printed English, Bell system technical journal. 1951, 30(1), pp 50-64.