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#### Abstract

NTRUEncrypt is a fast and standardized lattice-based public key encryption scheme, but it lacks a solid security guarantee. In 2011, Stehlé and Steinfeld first proposed a provably secure variant of NTRUEncrypt, denoted by pNE, over power-of-2 cyclotomic rings. The IND-CPA security of pNE is based on the worst-case quantum hardness of classical problems over ideal lattices. Recently, Yu, Xu and Wang constructed pNE variants over prime cyclotomic rings, but the parameter is much large. In this paper, we modify the key generation algorithm of pNE scheme to make it applicable to general cyclotomic rings and provide asymptotical parameters of pNE over prime power cyclotomic rings. In particular, our result allows tighter parameters for prime cyclotomic rings than the previous result.
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## 1 Introduction

NTRU, introduced by Hoffstein, Pipher and Silverman in [17], is a celebrated public key cryptosystem standardized by IEEE. Its encryption scheme, NTRUEncrypt, is one of the fastest known lattice-based encryption schemes. Due to its excellent performance and potential resistance to quantum computers, NTRUEncrypt is considered as not only a desirable alternative to classical schemes based on integer factorisation or discrete logarithms but also a promising post-quantum encryption scheme. Based on the underlying problem of NTRU, various cryptographic primitives are designed, including digital signature [16, 8], identity-based encryption [9], fully homomorphic encryption [23, 2] and multilinear maps [12, $22]$. In the last 20 years, a batch of cryptanalytic estimations [5, 20, 13, 28, 11,

[^0]18, 10, 1, 4, 21] were proposed aiming at NTRU family, and NTRUEncrypt is generally believed to be secure in practice.

However, classical NTRU lacks a solid security guarantee, which may weaken our confidence in this scheme. In 2011, Stehlé and Steinfeld proposed the first provably secure NTRUEncrypt variant [31] that we denote by pNE, and gave a reduction from RLWE (Ring Learning With Errors) problem to the IND-CPA security (indistinguishability under chosen-plaintext attack) of pNE. RLWE, introduced by Lyubashevsky, Peikert and Regev [24], is an algebraic variant of LWE(Learning With Errors [30]) and enjoys more popularity in cryptographic applications than LWE due to its better compactness and efficiency. The hardness of RLWE is based on some worst-case problems over ideal lattices, which provides pNE with a strong security guarantee. Then, a variant of pNE against chosen-ciphertext attacks [33] and a provably secure NTRU signature scheme [32] were proposed successively. These modified NTRU schemes are restricted to power-of-2 cyclotomic rings, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(X^{2^{k}}+1\right)$, following the regular RLWE setting. Recently, $\mathrm{Yu}, \mathrm{Xu}$ and Wang modified pNE to make it work over prime cyclotomic rings, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(X^{n-1}+\cdots+1\right)$ with $n$ a prime, in [35], which allows more flexibility of parameter selections.

Compared with classical NTRU, provably secure NTRU keeps the same asymptotic efficiency but enjoys a firm theoretical security as well. While pNE is much less practical [3], it shows an important connection between NTRU and RLWE, and between problems over NTRU lattices and worst-case problems over ideal lattices. With the recent calls for post-quantum cryptography by NIST, a better understanding of these problems is necessary and thus the study of pNE would be of theoretical value. An essential issue to be addressed is the choice of the underlying ring for pNE , which is the main motivation of our paper.

Contribution In this paper, we study a new variant of pNE over cyclotomic rings and show that, given appropriate parameters, provably secure NTRU can hold over prime power cyclotomic rings even more general cyclotomic rings. The key generation algorithm of our pNE is modified and relies on Gaussian sampling with respect to canonical embedding instead of coefficient embedding. We show that the public key, i.e. the ratio of two secret polynomials, will be almost uniformly distributed, if two secrete polynomials are sampled from certain Gaussians, which is a remarkable property of pNE originally proposed by Stehlé and Steinfeld in [31]. It is worth noting that the "uniformity" of public key holds for general cyclotomic rings not only for prime power cases, and the lower bound of sample width $r$ is same to that in [31] and asymptotically smaller than that in [35]. Our result further enriches the provably secure NTRU family and allows a more flexible choice of parameters. As by-products, an improved regularity result for general cyclotomic rings and some properties of prime power cyclotomic rings are shown, which may be of some independent interest. While we exploit some ideas shown in [31, 32, 35], many technical differences still need to be treated carefully and, to the best of our knowledge, concrete discussions for NTRU over more general cyclotomic rings were not found in literature.

Organization In Sect. 2, we introduce some notations and basic results that will be used in our discussion. In Sect. 3, we show a series of relevant results over general cyclotomic rings and several special properties of prime power cyclotomic rings. Then, we describe our pNE variant over prime power cyclotomic rings and demonstrate parameter requirements in Sect. 4.

## 2 Preliminaries

Embeddings and Norms Let $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $n$ and $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}[X] /(P(X))$. For any $t=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t_{i} X^{i} \in \mathbb{K}$, the vector $\left(t_{0}, \cdots, t_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{n}$ is called the coefficient vector of $t$. The coefficient embedding maps any element of $\mathbb{K}$ to its coefficient vector. We denote by $\langle s, t\rangle$ the Euclidean inner product of the coefficient vectors of $s$ and $t$, and by $\|t\|$ (resp. $\|t\|_{\infty}$ ) the Euclidean (resp. $\ell_{\infty}$ ) norm of the coefficient vector of $t$. For $\mathbf{t}=\left(t^{(1)}, \cdots, t^{(m)}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{K}^{m}$, its Euclidean norm (under coefficient embedding) is $\|\mathbf{t}\|=\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left\|t^{(i)}\right\|^{2}}$ and its $\ell_{\infty}$ norm is $\|\mathbf{t}\|_{\infty}=\max _{i}\left\|t^{(i)}\right\|_{\infty}$. Note that, for $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, we also denote by $\|\mathbf{a}\|=\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left|a_{i}\right|^{2}}$ its Euclidean norm and by $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty}=\max _{i}\left|a_{i}\right|$ its $\ell_{\infty}$ norm.

Besides coefficient embedding, canonical embedding is also very important, especially in the context of RLWE [24, 25]. Assume that $P(X)$ has $s_{1}$ real roots denoted by $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{s_{1}}$, and $2 s_{2}$ complex conjugate roots denoted by $\omega_{s_{1}+1}, \cdots, \omega_{s_{1}+2 s_{2}}$ where $\omega_{s_{1}+k}=\overline{\omega_{s_{1}+k+s_{2}}}$ for $k \in\left\{1, \cdots, s_{2}\right\}$. The field $\mathbb{K}$ has exactly $n$ embeddings into $\mathbb{C}$ denoted by $\sigma_{i}: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where $\sigma_{i}(t)=t\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{K}$. Then the canonical embedding $\sigma: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is defined as $\sigma(t)=\left(\sigma_{1}(t), \cdots, \sigma_{n}(t)\right)$. In fact, the canonical embedding maps into the space $H=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{1}, \cdots, x_{s_{1}} \in \mathbb{R}, x_{x_{1}+k}=\overline{x_{x_{1}+k+s_{2}}}, 1 \leq k \leq s_{2}\right\}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as an inner product space, and the inner product $\langle\sigma(s), \overline{\sigma(t)}\rangle$ equals $\sum_{i} \sigma(s) \sigma(t)=\operatorname{Tr}(s t)$, i.e. the trace of st. The $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-norm of $t$ is $\mathrm{T}_{2}(t)=$ $\|\sigma(t)\|=\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left|\sigma_{i}(t)\right|^{2}}$, the $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$-norm of $t$ is $\mathrm{T}_{2}(t)=\|\sigma(t)\|_{\infty}$ and the algebraic norm is $\mathrm{N}(t)=\prod_{i}\left|\sigma_{i}(t)\right|$. For $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{K}^{m}$, the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-norm of $\mathbf{t}$ is $\mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathbf{t})=\sqrt{\sum_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{2}\left(t_{i}\right)^{2}}$ and the $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$-norm of $\mathbf{t}$ is $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}(\mathbf{t})=\max _{i} \mathrm{~T}_{\infty}\left(t_{i}\right)$.

Lattice A full-rank lattice is a set of all integer linear combinations of some linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{b}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{b}_{n}$ in an $n$-dimensional inner product space $V^{4}$. We call $\mathbf{B}=\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{b}_{n}\right)$ a basis and $n$ the dimension of the lattice. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{L}$, then we denote the volume of $\mathcal{L}$ as $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{B}\right)}$. The dual lattice of $\mathcal{L}$ is the lattice $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}=\left\{\mathbf{c} \in V \mid \forall i,\left\langle\mathbf{c}, \overline{\mathbf{b}_{i}}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. The first minimum $\lambda_{1}(\mathcal{L})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\lambda_{1}^{\infty}(\mathcal{L})\right)$ is the minimum of Euclidean (resp. $\ell_{\infty}$ ) norm of all non-zero vectors of $\mathcal{L}$. More generally, for $k \leq n$, the $k$-th minimum $\lambda_{k}(\mathcal{L})$ is the smallest $r$ such that there are at least $k$ linearly independent vectors of $\mathcal{L}$ whose norms are not greater than $r$.

[^1]Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the ring of integers of a field $K$ with an additive isomorphism $\theta^{5}$ mapping $\mathcal{R}$ to the lattice $\theta(\mathcal{R})$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{R}$, then $\theta(I)$ is an ideal lattice. The norm of an ideal $I$ is $\mathrm{N}(I)=|\mathcal{R} / I|$. For any $t \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $\mathrm{N}(\langle t\rangle)=\mathrm{N}(t)$. For any two ideals $I, J$, we have $\mathrm{N}(I J)=\mathrm{N}(I) \mathrm{N}(J)$. The norm of a fractional ideal $I$ is defined as $\mathrm{N}(I)=\mathrm{N}(d I) / \mathrm{N}(d)$, where $d \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d I \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

By restricting SVP(Shortest Vector Problem) and $\gamma$-SVP (Approximate Shortest Vector Problem with approximation factor $\gamma$ ) to ideal lattices, we get IdealSVP and $\gamma$-Ideal-SVP. These ideal lattice problems do not seem to be substantially easier than the versions for general lattice (perhaps, except for very large $\gamma[6])$. Currently, it is believed that the worst-case hardness of $\gamma$-Ideal-SVP is against subexponential quantum attacks, for any $\gamma \leq \operatorname{poly}(n)$.

Probability and Statistics For a distribution $D$ over a domain $E$, we write $z \hookleftarrow$ $D$ when the random variable $z$ is sampled from $D$, and denote by $D(x)$ the probability of $z=x$. If the domain $E$ is a finite set, we use $U(E)$ to denote the uniform distribution over $E$. For two distributions $D_{1}, D_{2}$ over the same discrete domain $E$, their statistical distance is $\Delta\left(D_{1} ; D_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in E}\left|D_{1}(x)-D_{2}(x)\right|$. If $\Delta\left(D_{1} ; D_{2}\right)=o\left(n^{-c}\right)$ for any constant $c>0$, then we call $D_{1}, D_{2}$ statistically close with respect to $n$.

Cyclotomic Ring Let $\xi_{n}$ be a primitive $n$-th root of unity. The $n$-th cyclotomic polynomial, denoted by $\Phi_{n}(X)$, is the minimal polynomial of $\xi_{n}$. It is known that $\Phi_{n}(X)=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}}\left(X-\xi_{n}^{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Each cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{n}(X)$ corresponds to a binomial $\Theta_{n}(X)$ defined as $X^{n}-1$ if $n$ is odd and $X^{n / 2}+1$ if $n$ is even, and $\Theta_{n}(X)$ is a multiple of $\Phi_{n}(X)$. A cyclotomic ring is a quotient ring of the form $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. For some special $n$, the form of $\Phi_{n}(X)$ is regular and simple. If $n$ is a prime, we have $\Phi_{n}(X)=X^{n-1}+X^{n-2}+\cdots+1$. More generally, if $n=d^{\nu}$ is a power of prime $d$, we have $\Phi_{n}(X)=\Phi_{d}\left(X^{d^{\nu-1}}\right)$ and call it a prime power cyclotomic ring.

If a prime $q$ satisfies $q=1 \bmod n$, then $\Phi_{n}(X)$ splits completely into distinct linear factors modulo $q$. Given $n$, according to Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, there exist infinitely many primes congruent to 1 modulo $n$. Furthermore, Linnik's theorem asserts that the smallest such $q$ is of size poly ( $n$ ) (a concrete bound is $O\left(n^{5.2}\right)$, see [34]).

Gaussian Measures Let $\rho_{r, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})=\exp \left(-\pi\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{c}\|^{2} / r^{2}\right)$ be the $n$-dimensional Gaussian function with center $\mathbf{c} \in V$ and width $r$. When $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{0}$, the Gaussian function is written as $\rho_{r}(\mathbf{x})$. Let $\psi_{r}$ be the Gaussian distribution over $\mathbb{R}$ with mean 0 and width $r$ and $\psi_{r}^{n}$ be the spherical Gaussian distribution over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the vector $\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right)$ where all $v_{i}$ 's follow $\psi_{r}$ independently. We can restrict $\psi_{r}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ so that $\psi_{r}^{n^{\prime}}$ can be viewed as a distribution over $\mathbb{Q}[X] /\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$ where $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$, which only leads to a negligible impact to our results, as explained in [7]. For $S \subset V$, the sum $\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in S} \rho_{r, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})$ (resp. $\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in S} \rho_{r}(\mathbf{x})$ ) is denoted as $\rho_{r, \mathbf{c}}(S)$ (resp. $\rho_{r}(S)$ ). The discrete Gaussian distribution over a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ with

[^2]center $\mathbf{c}$ and width $r$ is defined by $D_{\mathcal{L}, r, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})=\rho_{r, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) / \rho_{r, \mathbf{c}}(\mathcal{L})$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}$. For $\delta>0$, we denote the smoothing parameter by $\eta_{\delta}(\mathcal{L})=\min \left\{r: \rho_{1 / r}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) \leq 1+\delta\right\}$. We now recall some results which will be used later.
Lemma 1 ([27], Le. 3.3). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an n-dimensional full-rank lattice and $\delta \in(0,1)$. Then $\eta_{\delta}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 n(1+1 / \delta)) / \pi} \cdot \lambda_{n}(\mathcal{L})$.

Lemma 2 ([29], Le. 3.5). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an n-dimensional full-rank lattice and $\delta \in(0,1)$. Then $\eta_{\delta}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 n(1+1 / \delta)) / \pi} / \lambda_{1}^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$.

Lemma 3 ([25], Cla. 7.1). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an $n$-dimensional full-rank lattice and $\delta, r>0$. Then $\rho_{1 / r}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \max \left(1, \eta_{\delta}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})^{n} r^{-n}\right)(1+\delta)$.

Lemma 4 ([27], Le. 4.4). Let $\mathcal{L} \subseteq V$ be an n-dimensional full-rank lattice and $\delta \in(0,1)$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{b} \hookleftarrow D_{\mathcal{L}, r, \mathbf{c}}}(\|\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{c}\| \geq r \sqrt{n}) \leq \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta} 2^{-n}$ for $\mathbf{c} \in V$ and $r \geq \eta_{\delta}(\mathcal{L})$.

Lemma 5 ([15], Cor. 2.8). Let $\mathcal{L}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \subseteq V$ be full-rank lattices and $\delta \in$ $(0,1 / 2)$. For $\mathbf{c} \in V$ and $r \geq \eta_{\delta}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\Delta\left(D_{\mathcal{L}, r, \mathbf{c}} \bmod \mathcal{L}^{\prime} ; U\left(\mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 2 \delta$.

Lemma 6 ([15], Th. 4.1). There exists a polynomial-time algorithm that, given a basis $\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{b}_{n}\right)$ of a lattice $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, a parameter $r=\omega(\sqrt{\log n}) \max \left\|\mathbf{b}_{i}\right\|$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, outputs samples from a distribution statistically close to $D_{\mathcal{L}, r, \mathbf{c}}$ with respect to $n$.

Hardness of RLWE The Ring Learning With Errors problem (RLWE) was first proposed in [24] and shown hard for specific settings. In [7], Ducas and Durmus gave an "easy-to-use" setting for RLWE and instantiated RLWE over general cyclotomic rings. In this paper, we follow the setting of [7].

Definition 1 (RLWE error distribution in [7]). Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. Given $\psi$ a distribution over $\mathbb{Q}[X] /\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$, we define $\bar{\psi}$ as the distribution over $\mathcal{R}$ obtained by $e=\left\lfloor e^{\prime} \bmod \Phi_{n}(X)\right\rceil \in \mathcal{R}$ with $e^{\prime} \hookleftarrow \psi$. Here we denote by $\lfloor f\rceil$ the polynomial whose coefficients are derived by rounding coefficients of $f$ to the nearest integers.

Definition 2 (RLWE distribution in [7]). Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=$ $\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. For $s \in \mathcal{R}_{q}$ and $\psi$ a distribution over $\mathbb{Q}[X] /\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$, we define $A_{s, \psi}$ as the distribution over $\mathcal{R}_{q} \times \mathcal{R}_{q}$ obtained by sampling the pair (a, as $\left.+e\right)$ where $a \hookleftarrow U\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}\right)$ and $e \hookleftarrow \bar{\psi}$.

Definition 3 ( $\operatorname{RLWE}_{q, \psi, k}$ ). Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. The problem $\operatorname{RLWE}_{q, \psi, k}$ in the ring $\mathcal{R}$ is defined as follows. Given $k$ samples drawn from $A_{s, \psi}$ where $s \hookleftarrow U\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}\right)$ and $k$ samples from $U\left(\mathcal{R}_{q} \times \mathcal{R}_{q}\right)$, distinguish them with an advantage $1 / \operatorname{poly}(n)$.

For certain error distributions, RLWE can be reduced from $\gamma$-Ideal-SVP. Note that $\gamma$-Ideal-SVP discussed here is with respect to the canonical embedding.

Theorem 1 ([7], Th. 2). Let $n$ be an integer and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$ where $q$ is a prime congruent to 1 modulo $n$. Also, let $\alpha \in(0,1)$ be a real number such that $\alpha q>\omega(\sqrt{\log n})$. There exists a randomized quantum reduction from $\gamma-$ IdealSVP on ideal lattices in $\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$ with $\gamma=\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n} / \alpha)$ to $\operatorname{RLWE}_{q, \psi_{t}^{n}, k}$ for $t=$ $\sqrt{n^{\prime}} \alpha q\left(\frac{\varphi(n) k}{\log (\varphi(n) k)}\right)^{1 / 4}$ where $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$ that runs in time $O(q \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n))$.

Let $\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$be the set of all invertible elements of $\mathcal{R}_{q}$. As explained in [31], one can restrict $A_{s, \psi}$ to $\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times} \times \mathcal{R}_{q}$ and sample $s$ from $\psi$, which leads to a variant of RLWE (to distinguish $A_{s, \psi}$ and $U\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times} \times \mathcal{R}_{q}\right)$ ) with same hardness.

## 3 New Results on General Cyclotomic Rings

In this section, we will present a series of results on general cyclotomic rings. While similar results restricted to power-of- 2 and prime cyclotomic rings have been discussed in [31, 35], our results are of a much wider meaning and some results are with respect to canonical embedding instead of coefficient embedding.

### 3.1 Duality Results for Module Lattices

Some duality results about module lattices over power-of-2 and prime cyclotomic rings are presented respectively in [31, 35]. Next we will give a general duality result for general cyclotomic rings.

Let $q=1 \bmod n$ be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. We know that $\Phi_{n}(X)$ splits completely into distinct linear factors modulo $q$. Let $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \cdots, \varphi(n)}$ be the set of all roots of $\Phi_{n}(X)$ modulo $q$, then each ideal of $\mathcal{R}_{q}$ is of the form $\prod_{i \in S}\left(X-\phi_{i}\right) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{q}$ with $S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}$ and denoted by $I_{S}$. We also denote by $J_{S}$ the ideal $\left\{t \in \mathcal{R} \mid t \bmod q \in I_{S}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ and by $\bar{S}$ the set $\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\} \backslash S$.

Given $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{m}$, the $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{S}\right)$ are defined as follows.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right):=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}\right) \in \mathcal{R}^{m} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} a_{i}=0 \bmod q\right\} \bigcap J_{S}^{m} \\
\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{S}\right)=\left\{\left(a_{1} s, \cdots, a_{m} s\right) \mid s \in \mathcal{R} / J_{S}\right\}+J_{S}^{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

We view each element of $\mathcal{R}$ as its canonical embedding and work in the inner product space $H$. Let $\mathcal{R}^{\vee}$ be the fractional ideal corresponding to the dual lattice of $\mathcal{R}$. The following lemma shows an explicit representation of the dual lattice $\widehat{\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)}$.
Lemma 7. Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $q=1 \bmod n$ be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=$ $\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. Given $S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{m}$, viewing each element of $\mathcal{R}$ as its canonical embedding, we have:

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)}=\frac{1}{q}\left\{\left(a_{1} s, \cdots, a_{m} s\right) \mid s \in \mathcal{R}^{\vee} / J_{\bar{S}} \mathcal{R}^{\vee}\right\}+\frac{1}{q}\left(J_{\bar{S}} \mathcal{R}^{\vee}\right)^{m}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)=\frac{1}{q}\left\{\left(a_{1} s, \cdots, a_{m} s\right) \mid s \in \mathcal{R}^{\vee} / J_{\bar{S}} \mathcal{R}^{\vee}\right\}+\frac{1}{q}\left(J_{\bar{S}} \mathcal{R}^{\vee}\right)^{m}$. We first prove that $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)}$. Let $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}\right) \in \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)$ and $\mathbf{t}^{\prime}=$ $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, t_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)$. According to the definition of $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)$, there exists $s \in \mathcal{R}^{\vee} / J_{\bar{S}} \mathcal{R}^{\vee}$ such that $q t_{i}=a_{i} s+b_{i}$ where $b_{i} \in J_{\bar{S}} \mathcal{R}^{\vee}$. According to the definition of $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)$, we know that $t_{i}^{\prime} \in J_{S}$ and $\sum_{i} a_{i} t_{i}^{\prime}=0 \bmod q$. Notice that $J_{S} J_{\bar{S}}=\langle q\rangle$, we have $\left\langle b_{i}, \overline{t_{i}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=0 \bmod q$. It follows that $\sum_{i}\left\langle t_{i}, \overline{t_{i}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i}\left\langle a_{i} s, \overline{t_{i}^{\prime}}\right\rangle+$ $\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i}\left\langle b_{i}, \overline{t_{i}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{q} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} t_{i}^{\prime} s\right)+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i}\left\langle b_{i}, \overline{t_{i}^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ is an integer. Therefore, we finish the proof of this part.

Now it suffices to prove that $\overline{\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)} \subseteq \mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)$. Let $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}\right) \in$ $\left.\widehat{\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right.}\right)$. Since $\frac{1}{q}\left(J_{\bar{S}} \mathcal{R}^{\vee}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)$ and $J_{\bar{S}} J_{S}=\langle q\rangle$, we obtain $t_{1} \in J_{S}$. For the same reason, we have $t_{i} \in J_{S}$ for any $i \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$. For any $v \in \mathcal{R}^{\vee}$, from the fact that $\frac{1}{q}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right) v \in \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)$, a straightforward computation leads to that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(v \sum_{i} a_{i} t_{i}\right)=0 \bmod q$, which means that $\sum_{i} a_{i} t_{i} \in\langle q\rangle$. Combining the fact that $t_{i} \in J_{S}$, we conclude that $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)$. The proof is completed.

By scaling a certain factor, we obtain the following duality result between two families of module lattices $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{S}\right)$.

Lemma 8. Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$ and $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $q=1 \bmod n$ be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. Let $g=\prod_{p}\left(1-X^{n / p}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ where $p$ runs over all odd primes dividing $n$. Given $S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{m}$, viewing each element of $\mathcal{R}$ as its canonical embedding, we have:

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)}=\frac{g}{q n^{\prime}} \cdot \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right) .
$$

Proof. As shown in Corollary 2.18 in [25], we have $R^{\vee}=\left\langle g / n^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Combined with Lemma 7, we prove the conclusion immediately.

Next, we shall show a quantitative relationship between the first minimums of $\widehat{\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)}$ and $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)$.

Lemma 9. Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$ and $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $q=1 \bmod n$ be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. Given $S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{m}$, viewing each element of $\mathcal{R}$ as its canonical embedding, we have:

$$
\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)}\right) \geq \frac{\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)\right)}{q n^{\prime}} .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{m}\right) \in \widehat{\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)}$ such that $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}(\mathbf{v})=\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)}\right)$. By Lemma 8, we have that $\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)$ where $u_{i}=\frac{q n^{\prime}}{g} \cdot v_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$ and $g$ is defined in Lemma 8 . Since $g \in \mathcal{R}$, from the definition of $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)$, it follows that $\mathbf{u}^{\prime}=\left(g u_{1}, \cdots, g u_{m}\right)=q n^{\prime} \cdot\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{m}\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{S}}\right)$. Thus we conclude that $\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{\infty}(\mathbf{v})=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)}{q n^{\prime}} \geq \frac{\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{\bar{s}}\right)\right)}{q n^{\prime}}$.

### 3.2 On the Absence of Unusually Short Vector in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathrm{a}, J_{S}\right)$

Let $\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$be the set of all invertible elements of $\mathcal{R}_{q}$. For $\mathbf{a} \hookleftarrow \mathbf{U}\left(\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{m}\right)$, the lattice $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{S}\right)$ is nearly impossible to contain a unusually short vector for the $\ell_{\infty}$ norm with respect to canonical embedding.
Lemma 10. Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $q=1 \bmod n$ be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=$ $\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. For any $S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}$, $m \geq 2$ and $\epsilon>0$, viewing each element of $\mathcal{R}$ as its canonical embedding, we have $\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{S}\right)\right) \geq q^{\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right) \frac{|S|}{\varphi(n)}-\epsilon}$ with probability $\geq 1-\frac{2^{4 m \varphi(n)}}{q^{\epsilon m \varphi(n)}}$ over the uniformly random choice of $\mathbf{a}$ in $\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{m}$.
Proof. Let $\beta=\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right) \frac{|S|}{\varphi(n)}-\epsilon$ and $B=q^{\beta}$. Let $p$ be the probability over the randomness of a that $\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{a}, J_{S}\right)\right)<B$. For a non-zero vector $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{R}^{m}$ with $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}(\mathbf{t})<B$ and $s \in \mathcal{R} / J_{S}=\mathcal{R}_{q} / I_{S}$, let $p(\mathbf{t}, s)=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\forall i, t_{i}-a_{i} s \in J_{S}\right)$ and $p_{i}\left(t_{i}, s\right)=\mathbb{P}_{a_{i}}\left(t_{i}-a_{i} s \in J_{S}\right)$, then we have $p(\mathbf{t}, s)=\prod_{i} p_{i}\left(t_{i}, s\right)$.

For $f \in \mathcal{R}$, let $S(f)=\left\{i \in S \mid f\left(\phi_{i}\right)=0 \bmod q\right\}$. It suffices to consider such $(\mathbf{t}, s)$ pairs that $S(s)=S\left(t_{i}\right)$ for all $i \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$ : if not so, we can prove $p(\mathbf{t}, s)=0$ due to the invertibility of $a_{i}$. For each such pair, we denote by $d$ the cardinality of $S(s)$. Notice that there are $(q-1)^{d+\varphi(n)-|S|}$ distinct $a_{i}$ 's in $\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$such that $t_{i}-a_{i} s \in J_{S}$, i.e. $p_{i}\left(t_{i}, s\right)=(q-1)^{d-|S|}$, then we have $p(\mathbf{t}, s)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}\left(t_{i}, s\right)=(q-1)^{m(d-|S|)}$. Therefore, the probability $p$ is bounded by

$$
p \leq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq d \leq|S|}} \sum_{\substack{S^{\prime} \subseteq S \\\left|S^{\prime}\right|=d}} \sum_{\substack{s \in \mathcal{R}_{q} / I_{S} \\ S(s)=S^{\prime}}} \sum_{\substack{\left.\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{R}^{m}, 0<t \mid \|_{i}\right) \\ S\left(t_{i}\right)=S^{\prime}}}(q-1)^{m(d-|S|)} .
$$

For $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=d$, let $N(B, d)$ be the number of $t \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}(t) \in(0, B)$ and $S(t)=S^{\prime}$. We first show a lower bound of $\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(J_{S^{\prime}}\right)$. For any $t$ such that $S(t)=S^{\prime}$, the ideal $\langle t\rangle$ is a full-rank sub-ideal of the ideal $J_{S^{\prime}}$. Thus, we have $\mathrm{N}(t)=\mathrm{N}(\langle t\rangle) \geq \mathrm{N}\left(J_{S^{\prime}}\right)=q^{d}$. By equivalence of norms and arithmetic-geometric inequality, we conclude that $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}(t) \geq \frac{\mathrm{T}_{2}(t)}{\sqrt{\varphi(n)}} \geq \mathrm{N}(t)^{1 / \varphi(n)} \geq q^{d / \varphi(n)}$, which implies $\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(J_{S^{\prime}}\right) \geq q^{d / \varphi(n)}$. As a direct result, we have $N(B, d)=0$ when $d \geq$ $\beta \varphi(n)$.

We now suppose that $d<\beta \varphi(n)$. For any $\mathbf{c} \in H$ and $l>0$, let $C(l, \mathbf{c})=$ $\left\{\mathbf{v} \in H \mid\|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{c}\|_{\infty}<l\right\}$. We notice that $N(B, d)$ is the number of points of the lattice $J_{S^{\prime}}$ in the region $C(B, \mathbf{0})$. For any two different points $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2} \in J_{S^{\prime}}$, it can be verified that $C\left(\lambda, \mathbf{v}_{1}\right) \bigcap C\left(\lambda, \mathbf{v}_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ where $\lambda=\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(J_{S^{\prime}}\right) / 2$. For any $\mathbf{v} \in C(B, \mathbf{0})$, we also have that $C(\lambda, \mathbf{v}) \subseteq C(B+\lambda, \mathbf{0})$. Combining the fact that $\lambda_{1}^{\infty}\left(J_{S^{\prime}}\right) \geq q^{d / \varphi(n)}$, it follows that $N(B, d) \leq \frac{\operatorname{vol}(C(B+\lambda, \mathbf{0}))}{\operatorname{vol}(C(\lambda, \mathbf{0}))}=\left(\frac{B}{\lambda}+1\right)^{\varphi(n)} \leq$ $2^{2 \varphi(n)} q^{\beta \varphi(n)-d}$.

Notice that the number of subsets of $S$ is $2^{|S|}$ and the number of $s \in \mathcal{R}_{q} / I_{S}$ satisfying $S(s)=S^{\prime}$ is $q^{|S|-\left|S^{\prime}\right|}$, a straightforward computation leads to that

$$
p \leq 2^{(m+1)|S|} \max _{d<\beta \varphi(n)} \frac{N(B, d)^{m}}{q^{(m-1)(|S|-d)}} \leq 2^{4 m \varphi(n)} q^{-\varphi(n) m \epsilon}
$$

We now complete the proof.

### 3.3 Improved Regularity Result

Let $\chi$ be a distribution over $\mathcal{R}_{q}$. We denote by $\mathbb{D}_{\chi}$ the distribution of such tuple $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} a_{i}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{m} \times \mathcal{R}_{q}$ where $a_{i} \hookleftarrow U\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)$and $t_{i} \hookleftarrow \chi$ for all $i \in$ $\{1, \cdots, m\}$. The regularity of the generalized knapsack function $\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}\right) \mapsto$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} a_{i}$ is the statistical distance between $\mathbb{D}_{\chi}$ and $U\left(\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{m} \times \mathcal{R}_{q}\right)$.

In [26], Micciancio discussed the regularity over general rings and used it to design one-way functions. Improved regularity results for power-of-2 and prime cyclotomic rings were proposed in [31, 35] respectively. However, the results in [31, 35] only focus on two special classes of cyclotomic rings and are considered under coefficient embedding. The regularity result with respect to canonical embedding was shown in [25] and applied for general cyclotomic rings, but it is of some limitations for certain cryptographic applications. ${ }^{6}$

Now, we are to give an improved result that is applied for general cyclotomic rings and of more flexibility than that in [25]. The following lemma can be proven by combining Lemmata 2, 5, 9 and 10.

Lemma 11. Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$ and $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $q=1 \bmod n$ be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. Let $S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}$, $m \geq 2, \epsilon>0, \delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Let $r \geq n^{\prime} \sqrt{\ln (2 m \varphi(n)(1+1 / \delta)) / \pi} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{m}+\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right) \frac{|S|}{\varphi(n)}+\epsilon}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \varphi(n)}$ and $\mathbf{t} \hookleftarrow$ $D_{\mathbb{Z}^{m \varphi(n), r, \mathbf{c}}}$ (Gaussian sampling over $H$ using $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-norm and then mapping to $\mathcal{R}$ ). Then for all except a fraction $\leq 2^{4 m \varphi(n)} q^{-\epsilon m \varphi(n)}$ of $\mathbf{a} \in\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{m}$, we have

$$
\Delta\left(\mathbf{t} \bmod \mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right) ; U\left(\mathbb{Z}^{m \varphi(n)} / \mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)\right)\right) \leq 2 \delta
$$

and

$$
\left|D_{\mathbb{Z}^{m \varphi(n)}, r, \mathbf{c}}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)\right)-q^{-\varphi(n)-(m-1)|S|}\right| \leq 2 \delta .
$$

Remark Let $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{R}^{m}$ be the Gaussian sample in Lemma 11. Assume $\delta=q^{-c n}$ with $c=O(1)$, Lemma 4 shows that $\mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathbf{t})=\widetilde{O}\left(n^{2}\right) \sqrt{m} q^{\frac{1}{m}+\epsilon^{\prime}}$ with overwhelming probability. From Lemma 12, we know that $\|\mathbf{t}\|=\widetilde{O}\left(\sqrt{d} n^{1.5}\right) \sqrt{m} q^{\frac{1}{m}+\epsilon^{\prime}}$ for the case of $n$ is a prime power ${ }^{7}$. The size of Gaussian sample is asymptotically same to that in [31] when $n=2^{k}$, and smaller than that in [35] when $n$ is a prime. Furthermore, the regularity result in [25] allows a smaller sample width $\left(r \geq 2 \varphi(n) \cdot q^{\frac{1}{m}+\epsilon^{\prime}}\right)$, but it seems to only hold for the case of $\delta=2^{-\Theta(n)}$.

### 3.4 Properties of Prime Power Cyclotomic Rings

Compared with general cyclotomic rings, prime power cyclotomic rings are of relatively simple structure. More importantly, non-prime power cyclotomic rings

[^3]can be decomposed into the tensor product of prime power cyclotomic rings [25]. ${ }^{8}$ In this paper, we will construct NTRU schemes over prime power cyclotomic rings. We now present some properties of prime power cyclotomic rings . The following result shows quantitative relations among different norms over prime power cyclotomic rings.

Lemma 12. Let $n=d^{\nu}$ with $d$ a prime and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. For any $t \in \mathcal{R}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{N}(t)^{\frac{2}{\varphi(n)}} \leq \frac{\mathrm{T}_{2}(t)^{2}}{\varphi(n)} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{~T}_{2}(t)^{2} \leq\|t\|^{2} \leq \frac{d}{n} \mathrm{~T}_{2}(t)^{2}
$$

Proof. By arithmetic-geometric inequality, the first inequality follows. We now prove the second inequality. Let $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{\varphi(n)}$ be all roots of $\Phi_{n}(X)$. Let $\mathbf{V}=$ $\left(\omega_{j}^{i-1}\right)_{i, j}$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq \varphi(n)$ and $\mathbf{c}(t)$ be the coefficient vector of $t$, then $\sigma(t)=\mathbf{c}(t) \cdot \mathbf{V}$. Let $\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^{*}$ where $\mathbf{V}^{*}$ is the conjugate transpose of $\mathbf{V}$. We have that $\mathbf{U}=\left(u_{i j}\right)_{i, j}$ is a symmetric matrix where

$$
u_{i j}= \begin{cases}\varphi(n), & \text { for } i=j \\ -\frac{n}{d}, & \text { for } i \neq j \text { and } i=j \bmod \frac{n}{d} \\ 0, & \text { for } i \neq j \bmod \frac{n}{d}\end{cases}
$$

We denote by $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ the $i$-th column of the $\varphi(n)$-dimensional identity matrix. Let $\mathbf{x}_{i}=\sum_{j=i \bmod \frac{n}{d}} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ where $i \in\left\{1, \cdots, \frac{n}{d}\right\}$ and $1 \leq j \leq \varphi(n)$. These $\frac{n}{d} \mathbf{x}_{i}$ 's are eigenvectors of $\stackrel{d}{\mathbf{U}}$ and corresponding eigenvalues equal $\frac{n}{d}$. Let $\mathbf{y}_{i j}=\mathbf{e}_{i}-\mathbf{e}_{i+\frac{j n}{d}}$ where $i \in\left\{1, \cdots, \frac{n}{d}\right\}$ and $j \in\{1, \cdots, d-2\}$. It can be verified that these $\frac{n(d-2)}{d}=\varphi(n)-\frac{n}{d} \mathbf{y}_{i j}$ 's are also eigenvectors of $\mathbf{U}$ with respect to eigenvalue $n$ and all $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ 's and $\mathbf{y}_{i j}$ 's are linearly independent. Thus the largest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{U}$ is at most $n$ and the smallest one is $\frac{n}{d}$, then we have

$$
\frac{n}{d} \leq \frac{\mathrm{T}_{2}(t)^{2}}{\|t\|^{2}}=\frac{\|\sigma(t)\|^{2}}{\|\mathbf{c}(t)\|^{2}} \leq n
$$

The proof is completed.
The multiplicative expansion factor of $\mathcal{R}$ is defined as $\gamma_{\times}(\mathcal{R})=\max _{f, g \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{\|f g\|}{\|f\|\|g\|}$. For prime and power-of- 2 cyclotomic rings, their expansion factors are of size $O(\sqrt{n})$ where $n$ is the order(see [14, 35]). The following lemma indicates that, for general prime power cyclotomic rings, their expansion factors are well-bounded as well.

Lemma 13. Let $n=d^{\nu}$ with $d$ a prime and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $\|f g\|_{\infty} \leq 2\|f\|\|g\|$ and $\|f g\| \leq 2 \sqrt{\varphi(n)}\|f\|\|g\|$.

Proof. We first consider the multiplication over the ring $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(X^{n}-1\right)$. Let $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime} \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ be the polynomials with the same coefficients as $f, g$ respectively,

[^4]i.e. all leading coefficients are 0 . Let $h^{\prime} \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ be the product of $f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$. We denote by $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}, \cdots, f_{n-1}^{\prime}\right),\left(g_{0}^{\prime}, \cdots, g_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(h_{0}^{\prime}, \cdots, h_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ the coefficient vectors of $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}$. It is known that $h_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_{j}^{\prime} g_{(i-j) \bmod n}^{\prime}$. By CauchySchwarz inequality, we have $\left|h_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|=\|f\|\|g\|$ for any $i$.

Let $h=f g \in \mathcal{R}$. We deduce that $h=h^{\prime} \bmod \Phi_{n}(X)$ from the fact that $\Phi_{n}(X)$ is a factor of $X^{n}-1$. Notice that $X^{l}=-\left(X^{\frac{n}{d} \cdot(d-2)}+\cdots+X^{\frac{n}{d}}+1\right) X^{l-\varphi(n)}$ for any $l \in[\varphi(n), n)$, hence we have

$$
h=\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(n)-1}\left(h_{i}^{\prime}-h_{\varphi(n)+\left(i \bmod \frac{n}{d}\right)}^{\prime}\right) X^{i} .
$$

It leads to that

$$
\|h\|_{\infty}=\max _{0 \leq i<\varphi(n)}\left\{\left|h_{i}^{\prime}-h_{\varphi(n)+\left(i \bmod \frac{n}{d}\right)}\right|\right\} \leq 2 \max _{0 \leq i<n}\left\{\left|h_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right\} \leq 2\|f\|\|g\| .
$$

Then we conclude that $\|h\| \leq \sqrt{\varphi(n)}\|h\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \sqrt{\varphi(n)}\|f\|\|g\|$.

## 4 pNE over Prime Power Cyclotomic Rings

In this section, we will describe a class of NTRUEncrypt over general prime power cyclotomic rings whose IND-CPA security can be reduced from RLWE and approximate Ideal-SVP. Our scheme is adapted from that in [35] with modified Key Generation algorithm. We denote by $\mathrm{pNE}(n, d, \nu, q, p, r, \alpha, k)$ the provably secure NTRU specified by the following public parameters.

- Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$ and its order $n=d^{\nu}$ where $d$ is a prime.
- Let $q=1 \bmod n$ be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. The ciphertext space is $\mathcal{R}_{q}$.
- Let $p \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$be of small norm, such as $p=2$ or $p=x+3$. The message space is $\mathcal{R} / p \mathcal{R}$.
- The parameter $r$ is the width of discrete Gaussian distribution used for key generation.
- The parameters $\alpha$ and $k$ determine the RLWE error distribution.

Three main algorithms are listed as follows.

- Key Generation. Sample $f^{\prime}$ from $D_{\mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}, r}$; if $f=p f^{\prime}+1 \bmod q \notin \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$, resample. Sample $g$ from $D_{\mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}, r}$; if $g \bmod q \notin \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$, resample. Note that the Gaussian sampling works under $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-norm. Then return private key $s k=f \in$ $\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$and public key $p k=h=p g / f \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$.
- Encryption. Given message $M \in \mathcal{R} / p \mathcal{R}$, let $t=\sqrt{n^{\prime}} \alpha q\left(\frac{\varphi(n) k}{\log (\varphi(n) k)}\right)^{1 / 4}$ where $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$, set $s, e \hookleftarrow \overline{\psi_{t}^{n}}$ and return ciphertext $C=h s+p e+$ $M \in \mathcal{R}_{q}$.
- Decryption. Given ciphertext $C$ and private key $f$, compute $C^{\prime}=f$. $C \bmod q$ and return $C^{\prime} \bmod p$.

Next we analysis the above algorithms and then give a set of parameters to make pNE workable and provably secure.

### 4.1 Key Generation

The key generation algorithm follows the idea originally proposed by Stehlé and Steinfeld in [31]. Since our parameter conditions are much stronger than that in Lemma 6, we assume that a polynomial-time perfect discrete Gaussian sampler is available. The following lemma shows that the key generation algorithm terminates in expected polynomial time for selective parameters.
Lemma 14. Let $n=d^{\nu}$ with $d$ a prime and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $q=1 \bmod$ $n$ be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. Let $r \geq \varphi(n) \sqrt{\ln (2 \varphi(n)(1+1 / \delta)) / \pi} \cdot q^{1 / \varphi(n)}$, for any $\delta \in(0,1 / 2)$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{f^{\prime} \hookleftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}}\left(\left(p \cdot f^{\prime}+a \bmod q\right) \notin \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right) \leq \varphi(n)(1 / q+2 \delta)$ holds for $a \in \mathcal{R}$ and $p \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$where $D_{\mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n), r}}$ uses the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-norm.
Proof. Let $J_{k}$ be the ideal $\left\langle q, X-\phi_{k}\right\rangle$ for any $k \in\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}$. The norm of $J_{k}$ is $\mathrm{N}\left(J_{k}\right)=q$. Let $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the discriminant of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{K}=$ $\mathbb{Q}(X) /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. As shown in [24], we have $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \varphi(n)^{\varphi(n)}$. The volume of the ideal lattice $\sigma\left(J_{k}\right)$ is $\operatorname{vol}\left(\sigma\left(J_{k}\right)\right)=\mathrm{N}\left(J_{k}\right) \cdot \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}}$ and then by Minkowski's first theorem, we have $\lambda_{1}\left(\sigma\left(J_{k}\right)\right) \leq \sqrt{\varphi(n)} \operatorname{vol}\left(\sigma\left(J_{k}\right)\right)^{1 / \varphi(n)} \leq \varphi(n) q^{1 / \varphi(n)}$. Since $\lambda_{\varphi(n)}\left(\sigma\left(J_{k}\right)\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(\sigma\left(J_{k}\right)\right)$, by Lemma 1, we have $r \geq \eta_{\delta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{I_{k}}\right)$. Together with Lemma 5 , it leads to that the probability of $p \cdot f^{\prime}+a=0 \bmod J_{k}$ is at most $1 / q+2 \delta$. By the union bound, the proof is completed.

Next we give a result showing that the sizes of secret polynomials $f$ and $g$ are small with overwhelming probability. Despite that $f$ and $g$ are sampled from Gaussian using the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-norm, to coincide with NTRU setting, we measure their sizes by Euclidean norms of their coefficient vectors.
Lemma 15. Let $n=d^{\nu}$ with $d$ a prime and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $q>8 n$ be a prime satisfying $q=1 \bmod n$ and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. Let $r \geq \varphi(n) \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln (6 \varphi(n))}{\pi}} \cdot q^{1 / \varphi(n)}$. The secret key polynomials $f, g$ satisfy, with probability $\geq 1-2^{-\varphi(n)+3}$,

$$
\|f\| \leq 2 \sqrt{d n} \cdot\|p\| r \quad \text { and } \quad\|g\| \leq \sqrt{d-1} \cdot r
$$

If $\operatorname{deg} p=0$, then $\|f\| \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1} \cdot\|p\| r$ with probability $\geq 1-2^{-\varphi(n)+3}$.
Proof. Let $\delta=\frac{1}{10 \varphi(n)-1}$, then $r \geq \sqrt{\ln (2 \varphi(n)(1+1 / \delta)) / \pi} \cdot \varphi(n) q^{1 / \varphi(n)}$. From Lemma 1, it can be verified that $r \geq \eta_{\delta}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}\right)$. Applying Lemma 4, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_{g \hookleftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}(g) \geq r \sqrt{\varphi(n)}\right) \leq \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta} 2^{-\varphi(n)} .
$$

Since $r \geq \varphi(n) \sqrt{\ln (2 \varphi(n)(1+1 / \delta)) / \pi} \cdot q^{1 / \varphi(n)}$, Lemma 14 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{g \hookleftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}(g) \geq r \sqrt{\varphi(n)} \mid g \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{\mathbb{P}_{g \hookleftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}(g) \geq r \sqrt{\varphi(n)}\right)}{\mathbb{P}_{g \hookleftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}}\left(g \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)} \\
\leq & \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta} 2^{-\varphi(n)} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\varphi(n)(1 / q+2 \delta)} \leq 2^{3-\varphi(n)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with Lemma 12 , it follows that $\|g\| \leq r \sqrt{d-1}$ with probability $\geq$ $1-2^{3-\varphi(n)}$. The same argument holds true for the polynomial $f^{\prime}$ such that $f=p \cdot f^{\prime}+1$.

If $\operatorname{deg} p=0$, we have $\|f\| \leq 1+\|p\|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \leq 2\|p\| r \sqrt{d-1}$ with probability $\geq 1-2^{3-\varphi(n)}$. For general cases, applying Lemma 13, we know that $\|f\| \leq$ $1+2 \sqrt{\varphi(n)(d-1)}\|p\| r \leq 2 \sqrt{d n} \cdot\|p\| r$ with probability $\geq 1-2^{3-\varphi(n)}$.

For power-of-2 and prime cyclotomic rings, sampling $f$ and $g$ with certain width $r$ makes the public key almost uniform over $\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$, which is a remarkable property for provably secure NTRU. Similar conclusion holds for general cyclotomic rings as well, but the Gaussian sampling should work under $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-norm.

Theorem 2. Let $n>7$ and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $q=1 \bmod n$ be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. Let $D_{r, z}^{\times}$the discrete Gaussian $D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}$ (using $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-norm) restricted to $\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}+z$. Let $\epsilon \in(0,1 / 3)$ and $r \geq n^{1.5} \sqrt{\ln (8 n q)} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$. Then

$$
\Delta\left(\frac{y_{1}+p \cdot D_{r, z_{1}}^{\times}}{y_{2}+p \cdot D_{r, z_{2}}^{\times}} \bmod q ; U\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)\right) \leq \frac{2^{10 \varphi(n)}}{q^{\lfloor\epsilon \varphi(n)\rfloor}}
$$

for $p \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}, y_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{q}$ and $z_{i}=-y_{i} p^{-1} \bmod q$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$.

Remark The proof essentially follows the same approach in [31], but some differences still need to be treated carefully. Thus we include the proof in Appendix A for reference.

### 4.2 Decryption

The successful decryption is ensured by the fact that a polynomial of $\ell_{\infty}$ norm (under coefficient embedding) less than $q / 2$ keeps unchanged after modulo $q$ reduction. In the decryption algorithm, we calculate a middle term $C^{\prime}=f \cdot C=$ $p g s+p f e+f M \bmod q$. We now estimate the $\ell_{\infty}$ norms of pgs, pfe and $f M$ respectively.

We first study the sizes of $e$ and $s$ which follow RLWE error distribution.
Lemma 16. Let $n=d^{\nu}>7$ with $d$ a prime and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. We view each element of $\mathcal{R}$ as its coefficient vector. For $t>1$ and $u>0$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{b} \hookleftarrow \overline{\psi_{t}^{n}}}(\|\mathbf{b}\| \geq(2 \sqrt{2 n}+\sqrt{2 d u}) t) \leq \exp (-u)
$$

Proof. To begin with, we recall some results that will be useful in our proof.
Proposition 1. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon \in(0,1)$, we have $\lfloor x\rceil^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4 \epsilon}+\frac{1}{1-\epsilon} x^{2}$.

Proposition 2. Let $\Sigma=\mathbf{M}^{\top} \mathbf{M}$ where

$$
\mathbf{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & & -1 \\
& 1 & & -1 \\
& & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & 1-1 \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}
$$

Then we have $\operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma)=2(d-1), \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Sigma^{2}\right)=(d-1)(d+2)$ and $\|\Sigma\|=d$ where $\operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are the trace and the operator norm of the matrix.

Proposition 3. Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\Sigma=\mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{B}$. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be a vector drawn from $\psi_{1}^{n}$. For any $u>0$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathbf{B v}\|^{2}>\operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma)+2 \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Sigma^{2}\right) u}+2\|\Sigma\| u\right) \leq \exp (-u)
$$

Two first propositions are shown in the proof of Lemma 17 in [35] and the third one is shown in [19].

For the case $d=2$, we have $\Theta_{n}(X)=\Phi_{n}(X)$. Let $\mathbf{b}=\left\lfloor\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \bmod \Phi_{n}(X)\right\rceil=$ $\left\lfloor\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\rceil \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \hookleftarrow \psi_{t}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $\mathbf{v}=\frac{1}{t} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. By Proposition 1, we have

$$
\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2} \leq \frac{t^{2}}{1-\epsilon}\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}+\frac{n}{8 \epsilon}
$$

Applying Proposition 3, we get

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}>n / 2+\sqrt{2 n u}+2 u\right) \leq \exp (-u)
$$

Let $\epsilon=\left(1+\sqrt{8 t^{2}(n / 2+\sqrt{2 n u}+2 u) / n}\right)^{-1} \in(0,1)$ and

$$
A=\sqrt{\frac{\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{2 n u}+2 u}{1-\epsilon}+\frac{n}{8 t^{2} \epsilon}} .
$$

We can verify that $A=\sqrt{n / 2+\sqrt{2 n u}+2 u}+\sqrt{n /\left(8 t^{2}\right)}<2 \sqrt{2 n}+\sqrt{2 d u}$ and then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{b} \hookleftarrow \overline{\psi_{t}^{n}}}(\|\mathbf{b}\| \geq(2 \sqrt{2 n}+\sqrt{2 d u}) t) \\
\leq & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{b} \hookleftarrow \overline{\psi_{t}^{n}}}(\|\mathbf{b}\|>A t) \\
\leq & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{v} \hookleftarrow \psi_{1}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}+\frac{n}{8 t^{2} \epsilon}>A^{2}\right) \\
= & \mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}>n / 2+\sqrt{2 n u}+2 u\right) \\
\leq & \exp (-u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the case $d>2$, we have $\Theta_{n}(X)=X^{n}-1$. Let $\mathbf{b}=\left\lfloor\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \bmod \Phi_{n}(X)\right\rceil \in$ $\mathcal{R}$ with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \hookleftarrow \psi_{t}^{n}$. Let $\left(b_{0}, \cdots, b_{\varphi(n)-1}\right)$ and $\left(b_{0}^{\prime}, \cdots, b_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ be the coefficient vector of $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ respectively. For any $k \in\left\{0, \cdots, \frac{n}{d}-1\right\}$, the vector $\mathbf{b}^{\prime(k)}=$ $\left(b_{k}^{\prime}, b_{k+\frac{n}{d}}^{\prime}, \cdots, b_{k+\frac{n(d-1)}{d}}^{\prime}\right)$ can be viewed as a vector sampled from $\psi_{t}^{d}$ and then the vector $\mathbf{b}^{(k)}=\left(b_{k}, b_{k+\frac{n}{d}}, \cdots, b_{k+\frac{n(d-2)}{d}}\right)$ is equivalent to a vector drawn from $\overline{\psi_{t}^{d}}$. Let $\mathbf{v}=\frac{1}{t}\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime(0)}\|\cdots\| \mathbf{b}^{\prime\left(\frac{n}{d}-1\right)}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. By Proposition 1, a straightforward computation leads to that

$$
\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1}\left\|\mathbf{b}^{(k)}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{t^{2}}{1-\epsilon}\left\|\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}\right\|^{2}+\frac{n-\frac{n}{d}}{4 \epsilon}
$$

where $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}=\mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{I} d_{\frac{n}{d}}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ is defined in Proposition 2. Let $\Sigma^{\prime}=\mathbf{M}^{\prime \top} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. We deduce from Proposition 2 that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)=2\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right), \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Sigma^{\prime 2}\right)=\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)(d+2)$ and $\left\|\Sigma^{\prime}\right\|=d$. Then, by Proposition 3, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}\right\|^{2}>2\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)+2 \sqrt{\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)(d+2) u}+2 d u\right) \leq \exp (-u)
$$

Let

$$
\epsilon=\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{4 t^{2}\left(2\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)+2 \sqrt{\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)(d+2) u}+2 d u\right)}{n-\frac{n}{d}}}\right)^{-1} \in(0,1)
$$

and

$$
A=\sqrt{\frac{2\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)+2 \sqrt{\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)(d+2) u}+2 d u}{1-\epsilon}+\frac{n-\frac{n}{d}}{4 t^{2} \epsilon}} .
$$

We can verify that

$$
A=\sqrt{2\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)+2 \sqrt{\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)(d+2) u}+2 d u}+\sqrt{\frac{n-\frac{n}{d}}{4 t^{2}}}<2 \sqrt{2 n}+\sqrt{2 d u}
$$

and then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{b} \hookleftarrow \overline{\psi_{t}^{n}}}(\|\mathbf{b}\| \geq(2 \sqrt{2 n}+\sqrt{2 d u}) t) \\
\leq & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{b} \hookleftarrow \overline{\psi_{t}^{n}}}(\|\mathbf{b}\|>A t) \\
\leq & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{v} \hookleftarrow \psi_{1}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}\left\|\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}\right\|^{2}+\frac{n-\frac{n}{d}}{4 t^{2} \epsilon}>A^{2}\right) \\
= & \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}\right\|^{2}>2\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)+2 \sqrt{\left(n-\frac{n}{d}\right)(d+2) u}+2 d u\right) \\
\leq & \exp (-u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining two above cases, we complete the proof.

Let $u=\Theta\left(\log ^{1+\kappa} n\right)$, together with Lemmata 15 and 13, we obtain a bound of the norms of pgs and pfe.
Lemma 17. In $\operatorname{pNE}(n, d, \nu, q, p, r, \alpha, k), t=\sqrt{n^{\prime}} \alpha q\left(\frac{\varphi(n) k}{\log (\varphi(n) k)}\right)^{1 / 4}>1$ where $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$. Then for $\kappa>0$, we have

$$
\|p g s\|_{\infty},\|p f e\|_{\infty} \leq 24 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{d n^{3}} \cdot \Theta\left(\log ^{\frac{1+\kappa}{2}} n\right)\|p\|^{2} r t
$$

with probability at least $1-n^{-\Theta\left(\log ^{\kappa} n\right)}$. In particular, if $\operatorname{deg} p=0$, then

$$
\|p g s\|_{\infty},\|p f e\|_{\infty} \leq 12 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{d n} \cdot \Theta\left(\log ^{\frac{1+\kappa}{2}} n\right)\|p\|^{2} r t
$$

with probability at least $1-n^{-\Theta\left(\log ^{\kappa} n\right)}$.
For the term $f M$, its norm can be bounded as well.
Lemma 18. In $\operatorname{pNE}(n, d, \nu, q, p, r, \alpha, k)$, we have $\|f M\|_{\infty} \leq 4 \sqrt{d n^{3}} \cdot\|p\|^{2} r$ with probability at least $1-2^{-\varphi(n)+3}$. In particular, if $\operatorname{deg} p=0$, then $\|f M\|_{\infty} \leq$ $2 \sqrt{d n} \cdot\|p\|^{2} r$ with probability at least $1-2^{-\varphi(n)+3}$.
Proof. By reducing modulo the $p X^{i}$,s, we can write $M$ into $\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(n)-1} \epsilon_{i} p X^{i}$ with $\epsilon_{i} \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and then get $\|M\| \leq 2 \sqrt{\varphi(n)}\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(n)-1} \epsilon_{i} X^{i}\right\|\|p\| \leq \varphi(n)\|p\|$ from Lemma 13. If $\operatorname{deg} p=0$, we have $\|M\|=\|p\| \cdot\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(n)-1} \epsilon_{i} X^{i}\right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\varphi(n)}}{2}\|p\|$. Then, combining Lemmata 15 and 13 with the above result, the proof is completed.

Combining Lemmata 17 and 18, we give a set of parameters such that pNE enjoys a high probability of successful decryption.
Theorem 3. Let $t=\sqrt{n^{\prime}} \alpha q\left(\frac{\varphi(n) k}{\log (\varphi(n) k)}\right)^{1 / 4}>1$ where $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$. If $\omega\left(\sqrt{d n^{3} \log n}\right)\|p\|^{2} r t / q<1\left(\right.$ resp. $\omega(\sqrt{d n \log n})\|p\|^{2} r t / q<1$ if $\left.\operatorname{deg} p=0\right)$, then the decryption algorithm of pNE recovers $M$ with probability $1-n^{-\omega(1)}$ over the choice of $s, e, f, g$.

### 4.3 Security Reduction and Parameters

The provable security of pNE is guaranteed by the following theorem. The proof totally follows from that in [35] and thus we omit it.

Lemma 19. Let $n=d^{\nu}>7$ with $d$ a prime and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $q>8 n$ be a prime congruent to 1 modulo $n$ and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\mathcal{R} / q \mathcal{R}$. Let $p \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$and $t=\sqrt{n^{\prime}} \alpha q\left(\frac{\varphi(n) k}{\log (\varphi(n) k)}\right)^{1 / 4}>1$ where $n^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right)$. Let $\epsilon \in(0,1 / 3)$ and $r \geq n^{1.5} \sqrt{\ln (8 n q)} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$. If there exists an IND-CPA attack against pNE that runs in time $T$ and has success probability $1 / 2+\delta$, then there exists an algorithm solving $\mathrm{RLWE}_{q, \psi, k}$ with $\psi=\overline{\psi_{t}^{n}}$ that runs in time $T^{\prime}=T+O(k n)$ and has success probability $1 / 2+\delta^{\prime}$ where $\delta^{\prime}=\delta / 2-q^{-\Omega(n)}$.

Combining Lemmata 19 with Theorem 3 and 1, we get our main result.
Theorem 4. Let $n=d^{\nu}>7$ with $d$ a prime and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. Suppose $q=1 \bmod n$ is a prime of size $\operatorname{poly}(n)$ and $q^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}=\omega\left(d^{0.5} n^{3.75} \log ^{1.5} n\|p\|^{2}\right)($ resp. $q^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}=\omega\left(d^{0.5} n^{2.75} \log ^{1.5} n\|p\|^{2}\right)$, if $\left.\operatorname{deg} p=0\right)$ for any $\epsilon \in(0,1 / 3)$ and $p \in$ $\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$. Let $r=n^{1.5} \sqrt{\ln (8 n q)} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ and $t=\sqrt{n^{\prime}} \alpha q\left(\frac{\varphi(n) k}{\log (\varphi(n) k)}\right)^{1 / 4}$ where $n^{\prime}=$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(\Theta_{n}(X)\right), k=O(1)$ and $\alpha q=\Omega\left(\log ^{0.75} n\right)$. If there exists an IND-CPA attack against $\mathrm{pNE}(n, d, \nu, q, p, r, \alpha, k)$ that runs in time $\operatorname{poly}(n)$ and has success probability $1 / 2+1 / \operatorname{poly}(n)$, then there exists a poly $(n)$-time algorithm solving $\gamma$-IdealSVP on ideal lattices in $\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$ with $\gamma=\tilde{O}\left(\sqrt{n} q / \log ^{0.75} n\right)$. Moreover, the decryption success probability exceeds $1-n^{-\omega(1)}$ over the choice of the encryption randomness.

By choosing $\epsilon=o(1)$ and $\operatorname{deg} p=0$, the minimal modulus $q$ for which pNE holds is $\tilde{\Omega}\left(d n^{5.5}\right)$, and the minimal approximate factor $\gamma$ is $\tilde{\Omega}\left(d n^{6}\right)$. For the case $d=2$, the smallest $q$ and $\gamma$ shown in [31] are $\tilde{\Omega}\left(n^{5}\right)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}\left(n^{5.5}\right)$ respectively which are smaller than our results by a factor of $\sqrt{n}$. That is because we follow a different RLWE setting applicable for general cyclotomic rings. For the case $\nu=1$, the smallest $q$ and $\gamma$ shown in [35] are $\tilde{\Omega}\left(n^{7.5}\right)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}\left(n^{8}\right)$ respectively which are asymptotically larger than ours by a factor of $n$. That means our NTRU scheme is of tighter parameters.
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## A Proof of Theorem 2

For $a \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$, we define $\mathbb{P}_{a}=\mathbb{P}_{f_{1}, f_{2}}\left(\left(y_{1}+p f_{1}\right) /\left(y_{2}+p f_{2}\right)=a\right)$, where $f_{i} \hookleftarrow$ $D_{r, z_{i}}^{\times}$. It suffices to prove that $\left|\mathbb{P}_{a}-(q-1)^{-\varphi(n)}\right| \leq \frac{2^{2 \varphi(n)+5}}{q^{[\epsilon \varphi(n)]}} \cdot(q-1)^{-\varphi(n)}=: \epsilon^{\prime}$ for all except a fraction $\leq 2^{9 \varphi(n)} q^{-\epsilon \varphi(n)}$ of $a \in \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}$.

For $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{2}$, let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{a}}=\mathbb{P}_{f_{1}, f_{2}}\left[a_{1} f_{1}+a_{2} f_{2}=a_{1} z_{1}+a_{2} z_{2}\right]$, then we have $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{a}}=\mathbb{P}_{-a_{2} \cdot a_{1}^{-1}}$. We consider the equation $a_{1} f_{1}+a_{2} f_{2}=a_{1} z_{1}+a_{2} z_{2}$ of the pair $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$. All its solutions forms the set $\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}^{\perp \times}$ where $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{a}^{\perp \times}=\mathbf{a}^{\perp} \bigcap\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}+q \mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}\right)^{2}$. Then it leads to that

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{a}}=\frac{D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n)}, r}\left(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}^{\perp \times}\right)}{D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}\left(z_{1}+\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}+q \mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}\right) \cdot D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}\left(z_{2}+\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}+q \mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}\right)} .
$$

Due to the invertibility of $a_{1}, a_{2}$, for any $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{a}^{\perp}$, the elements $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ belong to the same ideal $J_{S}$. Using the inclusion-exclusion principle, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n)}, r}\left(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}^{\perp \times}\right) & =\sum_{S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}}(-1)^{|S|} \cdot D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n)}, r}\left(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)\right), \\
D_{\mathbb{Z}^{\varphi}(n), r}\left(z_{i}+\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}+q \mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}\right) & =\sum_{S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}}(-1)^{|S|} \cdot D_{\mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}, r}\left(z_{i}+J_{S}\right), \forall i \in\{1,2\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we are to estimate $D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n), r}}\left(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}^{\perp \times}\right)$ by considering each $D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n), r}}(\mathbf{z}+$ $\left.\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)\right)$ respectively. For the case $|S| \leq \epsilon \varphi(n)$, let $\delta=q^{-\varphi(n)-\lfloor\epsilon \varphi(n)\rfloor}$ and $m=$ 2 , then Lemma 11 implies that, for all except a fraction $\leq 2^{8 \varphi(n)} q^{-\epsilon \varphi(n)}$ of $\mathbf{a} \in\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{2}$,

$$
\left|D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n)}, r}\left(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)\right)-q^{-\varphi(n)-|S|}\right| \leq 2 \delta .
$$

For the case $|S|>\epsilon \varphi(n)$, we can find $S^{\prime} \subseteq S$ with $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=\lfloor\epsilon \varphi(n)\rfloor$. Because $\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right) \subseteq \mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S^{\prime}}\right)$, we have $D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n)}, r,-\mathbf{z}}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)\right) \leq D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n), r,-\mathbf{z}}}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S^{\prime}}\right)\right)$. From
the previous result, we conclude that $D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n), r,-\mathbf{z}}}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)\right) \leq 2 \delta+q^{-\varphi(n)-\lfloor\epsilon \varphi(n)\rfloor}$. Therefore, the following inequality holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n), r}}\left(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}^{\perp \times}\right)-\frac{(q-1)^{\varphi(n)}}{q^{2 \varphi(n)}}\right| \\
= & \left|\sum_{S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}}(-1)^{|S|} \cdot\left(D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n)}, r}\left(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}^{\perp}\left(J_{S}\right)\right)-q^{-\varphi(n)-|S|}\right)\right| \\
\leq & 2^{\varphi(n)+1} \delta+2 \sum_{k=\lceil\epsilon \varphi(n)\rceil}^{\varphi(n)}\binom{\varphi(n)}{k} q^{-\varphi(n)-\lfloor\epsilon \varphi(n)\rfloor} \leq 2^{\varphi(n)+2} q^{-\varphi(n)-\lfloor\epsilon \varphi(n)\rfloor},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all except a fraction $\leq 2^{9 \varphi(n)} q^{-\epsilon \varphi(n)}$ of $\mathbf{a} \in\left(\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{2}$.
Next, we are to estimate $D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}\left(z_{i}+\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}+q \mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}\right)$. Let $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the discriminant of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}(X) /\left(\Phi_{n}(X)\right)$. As shown in [24], we have $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \varphi(n)^{\varphi(n)}$. The volume of the ideal lattice $J_{S}$ is $\operatorname{vol}\left(J_{S}\right)=\mathrm{N}\left(J_{S}\right) \cdot \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}}$ and then we have $\lambda_{\varphi(n)}\left(J_{S}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(J_{S}\right) \leq \sqrt{\varphi(n)} \operatorname{vol}\left(J_{S}\right)^{1 / \varphi(n)} \leq \varphi(n) q^{|S| / \varphi(n)}$. Let $\delta=q^{-\varphi(n) / 2}$. For $S$ of cardinality $\leq \varphi(n) / 2$, by Lemma 1 , we get that $r \geq \eta_{\delta}\left(J_{S}\right)$. Using Lemma 5, we know $\left|D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r,-z_{i}}\left(J_{S}\right)-q^{-|S|}\right| \leq 2 \delta$. For the case $|S|>\varphi(n) / 2$, using the same argument, we have $D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r,-z_{i}}\left(J_{S}\right) \leq 2 \delta+q^{-\varphi(n) / 2}$. Therefore, the following inequality holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\begin{array}{l}
\left.D_{\mathbb{Z}^{\varphi}(n), r}\left(z_{i}+\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}+q \mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}\right)-\frac{(q-1)^{\varphi(n)}}{q^{\varphi(n)}} \right\rvert\, \\
=
\end{array}\right| \sum_{S \subseteq\{1, \cdots, \varphi(n)\}}(-1)^{|S|} \cdot\left(D_{\mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}, r,-z_{i}}\left(J_{S}\right)-q^{-|S|}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
\leq & 2^{\varphi(n)+1}\left(\delta+q^{-\varphi(n) / 2}\right)=2^{\varphi(n)+2} q^{-\varphi(n) / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Overall, we prove that, except for a fraction $\leq 2^{9 \varphi(n)} q^{-\epsilon \varphi(n)}$ of $\mathbf{a} \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\mathbb{Z}^{2 \varphi(n), r}}\left(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{a}^{\perp \times}\right) & =\left(1+\delta_{0}\right) \cdot \frac{(q-1)^{\varphi(n)}}{q^{2 \varphi(n)}}, \\
D_{\mathbb{Z} \varphi(n), r}\left(z_{i}+\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\times}+q \mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(n)}\right) & =\left(1+\delta_{i}\right) \cdot \frac{(q-1)^{\varphi(n)}}{q^{\varphi(n)}}, \forall i \in\{1,2\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left|\delta_{i}\right| \leq 2^{2 \varphi(n)+2} q^{-\lfloor\epsilon \varphi(n)\rfloor}$ for $i \in\{0,1,2\}$, which implies that $\mid \mathbb{P}_{a}-(q-$ 1) ${ }^{-\varphi(n)} \mid \leq \epsilon^{\prime}$.


[^0]:    * Corresponding Author.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ For coefficient and canonical embedding, the space $V$ corresponds to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $H$ respectively.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Both coefficient and canonical embedding are an additive isomorphism.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ As discussed in [35], it does not suffice to construct pNE only from the regularity result in [25].
    ${ }^{7}$ We take the upper bound in Lemma 12 directly, but, from the proof, $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ may be about $\widetilde{O}\left(n^{1.5}\right) \sqrt{m} q^{\frac{1}{m}+\epsilon^{\prime}}$ in average for large $d$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ While this property is useful under canonical embedding, we may not need to use it in this paper.

