# On a Conjecture of O'Donnell 

Qichun Wang*


#### Abstract

Let $f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ be with total degree $d$, and $\widehat{f}(i)$ be the linear Fourier coefficients of $f$. The relationship between the sum of linear coefficients and the total degree is a foundational problem in theoretical computer science. In 2012, O'Donnell Conjectured that $$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{f}(i) \leq d \cdot\binom{d-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor} 2^{1-d} .
$$

In this paper, we prove that the conjecture is equivalent to a conjecture on the cryptographic Boolean function. We then prove that the conjecture is true for $d=1, n-1$. Moreover, we count the number of $f$ 's such that the upper bound is achieved.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$. Then it can be written as

$$
f(x)=\sum_{S \subseteq[n]} \widehat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} x_{i},
$$

where $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\widehat{f}(S)$ are the Fourier coefficients of $f$ given by

$$
\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{x \in\{-1,1\}^{n}} f(x) \prod_{i \in S} x_{i} .
$$

[^0]The total influence of $f$, denoted by $\operatorname{In} f[f]$, is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Inf}[f]=\sum_{S \subseteq[n]}|S| \widehat{f}(S)^{2}
$$

The total degree of $f$, denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(f)$, is defined by

$$
\operatorname{deg}(f)=\max \{|S|: \widehat{f}(S) \neq 0\}
$$

It is well-known that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{f}(\{i\}) \leq \operatorname{Inf}[f] \leq \operatorname{deg}(f)
$$

For simplicity, we use $\widehat{f}(i)$ to denote $\widehat{f}(\{i\})$. In 2009, Parikshit Gopalan and Rocco Servedio conjectured that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{f}(i) \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{deg}(f)}
$$

More ambitiously, in [5], O'Donnell proposed the following Conjecture.
Conjecture 1.1. Let $f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ be with total degree d. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{f}(i) \leq d \cdot\binom{d-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor} 2^{1-d}
$$

It is known that the conjecture is trivial for $d=n[6]$, since

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{f}(i) \leq 2^{-n}\left|x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n}\right|=n \cdot\binom{n-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor} 2^{1-n}
$$

It should be noted that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{f}(i) \geq-d \cdot\binom{d-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor} 2^{1-d}
$$

if Conjecture 1.1 holds.

## 2 An equivalent conjecture

Let $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional vector space over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ be the set of all $n$-variable Boolean functions from $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ into $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. Let $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. The Hamming weight of $a$, denoted by $w t(a)$, is defined by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$.

Let $g \in \mathcal{B}_{n} . g$ is called $t$-resilient if [13]

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus v \cdot x}=0,
$$

for any $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ satisfying $0 \leq w t(v) \leq t$, where " $\oplus$ " is the XOR operator and $v \cdot x=v_{1} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus v_{n} x_{n}$ is the usual inner product.

If $t$ is small, and $g$ is not $t$-resilient, then a nonlinear combiner model of stream cipher using $g$ as combining function can be attacked using the divide-and-conquer attack [12]. For more results on resilient Boolean functions, we refer to e.g. $[2,3,4,7,8,9,10,14,15]$.

Conjecture 2.1. Let $g \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ be $(n-d-1)$-resilient, where $1 \leq d \leq n-1$. Then

$$
\sum_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \\ w t(v)=n-1}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus v \cdot x} \leq d \cdot\binom{d-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor} 2^{n+1-d} .
$$

Theorem 2.2. Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent to Conjecture 2.1.
Proof. " $\Rightarrow$ " Let $g \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ be $(n-d-1)$-resilient. Then we have

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus x_{n} \oplus v \cdot x}=0
$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ satisfying $d+1 \leq w t(v) \leq n$. Let $G(x)=g(x) \oplus x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus$ $\ldots \oplus x_{n}$. We define a function $f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ as

$$
f(x)=(-1)^{G\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)},
$$

where $\frac{x+1}{2}=\left(\frac{x_{1}+1}{2}, \frac{x_{2}+1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{x_{n}+1}{2}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in\{-1,1\}^{n}} f(x) \prod_{i \in S} x_{S} & =\sum_{x \in\{-1,1\}^{n}}(-1)^{G\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)} \prod_{i \in S}(-1)^{\frac{x_{i}+1}{2}+1} \\
& =(-1)^{|S|} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{G(y)} \prod_{i \in S}(-1)^{v_{i} y_{i}} \\
& =(-1)^{|S|} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{G(y) \oplus v \cdot y} \\
& =0, \text { for }|S| \geq d+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ and $v_{i}=1$ if and only if $i \in S$. Therefore, the total degree of $f$ is at most $d$. By Conjecture 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{f}(i) & =\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x \in\{-1,1\}^{n}}(-1)^{G\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)}(-1)^{\frac{x_{i}+1}{2}+1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{G(y)}(-1)^{y_{i}+1} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{G(y) \oplus y_{i} \oplus y_{1} \oplus y_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus y_{n}} \\
& \geq-d \cdot\binom{d-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor} 2^{1-d}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows.
$" \Leftarrow$ " It is known that Conjecture 1.1 holds for $d=n$. Let $f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\{-1,1\}$ be with total degree $d$, where $1 \leq d \leq n-1$. Then we define a function $g \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ as

$$
g(x)=\frac{f(1-2 x)+1}{2} \oplus x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus x_{n}
$$

It is easy to verify that $g$ is $(n-d-1)$-resilient. Then by Conjecture 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\begin{array}{c}
v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \\
w t(v)=n-1
\end{array}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus v \cdot x} & =-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y \in\{-1,1\}^{n}} f(y) y_{i} \\
& \geq-d \cdot\binom{d-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor} 2^{n+1-d},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows.

## 3 Proof of the conjecture for two cases

In this section, we will prove that Conjecture 2.1 holds for $d=1, n-1$.

### 3.1 Case $d=1$

Any $g \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ can be written as a multivariate polynomial

$$
g(x)=\bigoplus_{S \subseteq[n]} c_{S} \prod_{i \in S} x_{i}
$$

where $c_{S} \in\{0,1\}$. The algebraic degree of $g$ is defined as the degree of this polynomial. It is well-known that the algebraic degree of an $n$-variable $t$-resilient Boolean function is at most $n-t-1[1,11]$. We state this as a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $g \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ be $t$-resilient, where $0 \leq t \leq n-2$. Then the algebraic degree of $g$ is at most $n-t-1$.

Theorem 3.2. Conjecture 2.1 holds for $d=1$. Moreover, the bound is achieved if and only if $g(x)=v \cdot x$, where $v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ and $w t(v)=n-1$.

Proof. If $d=1$, then $g$ is $(n-2)$-resilient. By Lemma 3.1, the algebraic degree of $g$ is at most 1 . That is, $g=a_{0} \oplus a_{1} x_{1} \oplus a_{2} x_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus a_{n} x_{n}$, where $a_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. Clearly, $g(x) \oplus v \cdot x$ is not balanced only when $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=v$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \\ w t(v)=n-1}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus v \cdot x} \leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}\left|(-1)^{a_{0}}\right|=2^{n}
$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $a_{0}=0$ and $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=v$, and the result follows.

Clearly, for $d=1$, there are exactly $n$ functions achieving the bound.
Remark 3.3. Naturally, one may generalize Conjecture 2.1 to the case when $g$ is of algebraic degree $d$. However, the bound does not always hold in this case. For example, $g=x_{2} x_{3} \oplus x_{2} x_{4} \oplus x_{3} x_{4} \oplus x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus x_{3} \oplus x_{4}$ is a balanced function with algebraic degree 2. However,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{4}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus x_{i} \oplus x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus x_{3} \oplus x_{4}}=24>d \cdot\binom{d-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor} 2^{n+1-d}=16
$$

### 3.2 Case $d=n-1$

The following lemma gives three combinatorial formulas, which will be used afterwards.

Lemma 3.4. The following three expressions are all equal to

$$
n \cdot 2^{n-2}+(n-1)\binom{n-2}{\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}
$$

(i) for $n \geq 4$ even,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(n-i)\binom{n}{i}+\frac{n}{4}\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}}
$$

(ii) for $n \geq 9$ and $\bmod (n, 4)=1$,

$$
2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-5}{4}}(n-2 i)\binom{n}{2 i}+\frac{n+1}{2}\left(2^{n-1}-2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-5}{4}}\binom{n}{2 i}\right)
$$

(iii) for $n \geq 7$ and $\bmod (n, 4)=3$,

$$
2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{4}}(n-2 i)\binom{n}{2 i}+\frac{n-1}{2}\left(2^{n-1}-2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{4}}\binom{n}{2 i}\right)
$$

Proof. We only prove (i) and the other two formulas can be proved similarly. Since $n$ is even, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{4}\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} & =\frac{n}{4}\left(2\binom{n-2}{\frac{n}{2}-1}+2\binom{n-2}{\frac{n}{2}-2}\right) \\
& =\frac{n}{2}\left(\binom{n-2}{\frac{n}{2}-1}+\frac{n-2}{n}\binom{n-2}{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right) \\
& =(n-1)\binom{n-2}{\frac{n}{2}-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(1+x)^{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i} x^{i}$, the derivation

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left((1+x)^{n}\right)=n(1+x)^{n-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i\binom{n}{i} x^{i-1}
$$

Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i\binom{n}{i}=n \cdot 2^{n-1}$, and

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(n-i)\binom{n}{i}=n \cdot 2^{n-2}
$$

and the result follows.
Lemma 3.5. Let $A_{n}=\mathbf{1}_{n}-I_{n}$ be the matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, where $\mathbf{1}_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ matrix whose elements are all 1, and $I_{n}$ is the identity matrix. Then the rank of $A_{n}$ is

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(A_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } \bmod (n, 2)=0 \\ n-1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $\bmod (n, 2)=0$, then $A_{n}^{2}=I_{n}$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(A_{n}\right)=n$. If $\bmod (n, 2)=$ 1 , then the determinant of $A_{n}$ is 0 and $\operatorname{rank}\left(A_{n}\right)<n$. Since $A_{n-1}$ is a submatrix of $A_{n}$, we have $\operatorname{rank}\left(A_{n}\right) \geq \operatorname{rank}\left(A_{n-1}\right)=n-1$, and the result follows.

Theorem 3.6. Conjecture 2.1 holds for $d=n-1$. Moreover, the number of $g$ 's achieving the bound is $\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}n \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{n}{2}\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)$, for $n$ even,

$$
\binom{2\binom{n}{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{2^{n-1}-2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-5}{4}}\binom{n}{2 i}}, \text { for } \bmod (n, 4)=1
$$

and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
2\binom{n}{\frac{n+1}{2}} \\
2^{n-1}-2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{4}} \\
\binom{n}{2 i}
\end{array}\right), \text { for } \bmod (n, 4)=3
$$

Proof. Since $d=n-1, g$ is 0-resilient. That is, $g$ is a balanced function. We use $0_{g}$ to denote the set $\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: g(x)=0\right\}$. Then $\left|0_{g}\right|=2^{n-1}$. Clearly, If $v \neq 0$, then

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus v \cdot x}=2 \sum_{x \in 0_{g}}(-1)^{v \cdot x}=4\left|\left\{x \in 0_{g}: v \cdot x=0\right\}\right|-2^{n}
$$

Let $A=\mathbf{1}_{n}-I_{n}$, where $\mathbf{1}_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ matrix whose elements are all 1 , and $I_{n}$ is the identity matrix. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \\
w t(v)=n-1}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus v \cdot x} \\
= & 4 \sum_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \\
w t(v)=n-1}}\left|\left\{x \in 0_{g}: v \cdot x=0\right\}\right|-n \cdot 2^{n} \\
= & 4 \sum_{x \in 0_{g}} \mid\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: w t(v)=n-1 \text { and } v \cdot x=0\right\} \mid-n \cdot 2^{n} \\
= & 4 \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}} \sum_{\substack{x \in 0_{g} \\
A x=b}}(n-w t(b))-n \cdot 2^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 1: $n$ is even. Then by Lemma $3.5, A$ is invertible and $A x=b$ has
exactly one solution for any $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}} \sum_{\substack{x \in 0_{g} \\
A x=b}}(n-w t(b)) \\
\leq & n\binom{n}{0}+(n-1)\binom{n}{1}+\ldots+\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}-1}+\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the number of $g$ 's such that the equality holds is $\binom{\binom{n}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{2}}$. Then by Lemma 3.4,

$$
\sum_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \\ w t(v)=n-1}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus v \cdot x} \leq 4(n-1) \cdot\binom{n-2}{\frac{n}{2}-1} .
$$

Case 2: $n$ is odd. Then by Lemma 3.5, the rank of $A$ is $n-1$. Clearly, $A x=b$ has two solutions if $w t(b)$ is even, and no solution otherwise. If $\bmod (n, 4)=1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}} \sum_{\substack{x \in 0_{g} \\
A x=b}}(n-w t(b)) \\
\leq & 2 n\binom{n}{0}+2(n-2)\binom{n}{2}+\ldots+2\left(\frac{n+5}{2}\right)\binom{n}{\frac{n-5}{2}}+\frac{n+1}{2}\left(2^{n-1}-2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-5}{4}}\binom{n}{2 i},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and the number of $g$ 's such that the equality holds is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
2\binom{\left.\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{2^{n-1}-2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-5}{4}}\binom{n}{2 i}} . . . . ~
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $\bmod (n, 4)=3$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}} \sum_{\substack{x \in 0_{g} \\
A x=b}}(n-w t(b)) \\
\leq & 2 n\binom{n}{0}+2(n-2)\binom{n}{2}+\ldots+2\left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right)\binom{n}{\frac{n-3}{2}}+\frac{n-1}{2}\left(2^{n-1}-2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{4}}\binom{n}{2 i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the number of $g$ 's such that the equality holds is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
2\binom{n}{\frac{n+1}{2}} \\
2^{n-1}-2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{4}}\binom{n}{2 i} .
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Then by Lemma 3.4,

$$
\sum_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \\ w t(v)=n-1}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{g(x) \oplus v \cdot x} \leq 4(n-1) \cdot\binom{n-2}{\frac{n-3}{2}},
$$

and the result follows.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we transformed a problem in theoretical computer science to a problem in cryptography, and proved that the conjecture proposed by O'Donnell is equivalent to a conjecture on the cryptographic Boolean function. We proved that the conjecture is true for $d=1, n-1$, and counted the number of $f$ 's such that the upper bound is achieved. We hope that our work would attract more researchers working on cryptographic Boolean functions to be interested in this conjecture.
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