Subset Attacks on Ring-LWE with Wide Error Distributions I

Hao Chen *

May 15, 2021

Abstract

Since the Lyubashevsky-Peikert-Regev Eurocrypt 2010 paper the Ring-LWE has been the hard computational problem for lattice cryptographic constructions. The fundamental problem is its hardness which has been based on the conjectured hardness of approximating ideal-SIVP or ideal-SVP. Though it is now widely conjectured both are hard in classical and quantum computation model there is no sufficient attacks proposed and considered. In this paper we propose subset attacks on Ring-LWE over an arbitrary number field from feasible subset quadruples for general wide error distributions. This subset attack can be defined for learning with errors problems over any ring with an inner product and an error distribution. From the view of subset attacks, the error distributions of feasible non-negligible subset quadruples should be calculated and checked to test the "hardness" of Ring-LWE. A lower bound for the Gaussian error distribution is proved to construct suitable feasible non-negligible subsets. From this lower bound an algebraic condition which is sufficient for the polynomial time solvability of Ring-LWE with wide error distributions is presented. From the sublattice pair with ideals construction we also prove that the decision Poly-LWE over $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n-p_n)$ with certain special inner products and arbitrary polynomially bounded widths of Gaussian error distributions can be solved with the polynomial time for the sufficiently large polynomially bounded modulus parameters p_n .

Keywords: Ring-LWE, Wide Error distribution, Subset attack, Feasible non-negligible subset quadruples, Sublattice quadruple.

^{*}Hao Chen is with the College of Information Science and Technology/Collage of Cyber Security, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, 510632, China, haochen@jnu.edu.cn. This research was supported by the NSFC Grant 62032009.

1 Introduction

1.1 Algebraic number fields

An algebraic number field is a finite degree d extension of the rational number field \mathbf{Q} . Let \mathbf{K} be an algebraic number field and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ be its ring of integers in K. From the primitive element theorem there exists an element $\theta \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}[x]/(f) = \mathbf{Q}[\theta]$, where $f(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ is an irreducible monic polynomial of degree d satisfying $f(\theta) = 0$ (see [15, 7]). It is well-known there is a positive definite inner product on $\mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ defined by $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sigma_i(u) \sigma_i(v)$, where σ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, d$, are d embedings of **K** in C, and \tilde{v} is complex conjugate. Sometimes we use $||u||_{tr}$ to represent the norm $\langle u, u \rangle^{1/2}$. This is the norm with respect to the canonical embedding (see [28]). An ideal in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a subset of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ which is closed under ring addition and multiplication by an arbitrary element in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$. An ideal is a sub-lattice in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ of dimension $deg(\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{Q})$. For an ideal $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$, the (algebraic) norm of ideal I is defined by the cardinality $N(I) = |\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{I}|$, we have $N(\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = N(\mathbf{I})N(\mathbf{J})$. For a principal ideal $\mathbf{xR}_{\mathbf{K}}$ generated by an element \mathbf{x} , then $N(\mathbf{x}) = N(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}})$, we refer to [7, 14] for the detail. The algebraic number field has the nice symmetry property reflected in the following lower bound for a fraction ideal I,

$$\sqrt{d}N(\mathbf{I})^{1/d} \le \lambda_1(\mathbf{I}).$$

The dual of a lattice $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{K}$ of rank $\deg(\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{Q})$ is defined by $\mathbf{L}^{\vee} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{K}, tr_{K/Q}(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{L}\}$. An order $\mathbf{O} \subset \mathbf{K}$ in a number field \mathbf{K} is a subring of \mathbf{K} which is a lattice with rank equal to $\deg(\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{Q})$. We refer to [14, 15, 7] for number theoretic properties of orders in number fields.

Let ξ_n be a primitive n-th root of unity, the n-th cyclotomic polynomial Φ_n is defined as $\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{j=1,\gcd(j,n)=1}^n (x-\xi_n^j)$. This is a monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ of degree $\phi(n)$, where ϕ is the Euler function. The n-th cyclotomic field is $\mathbf{Q}(\xi_n) = \mathbf{Q}[x]/(\Phi_n(x))$. When n=p is an odd prime $\Phi_p(x) = x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \cdots + x + 1$ and when $n=p^m$, $\Phi_{p^m}(x) = \Phi_p(x^{p^{m-1}}) = (x^{p^{m-1}})^{p-1} + \cdots + x^{p^{m-1}} + 1$. The ring of integers in $\mathbf{Q}(\xi_n)$ is exactly $\mathbf{Z}[\xi_n] = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(\Phi_n(x))$ (see Theorem 2.6 in [?]). Hence the cyclotomic number field $\mathbf{Q}[\xi_n]$ is a monogenic field. The discriminant of the cyclotomic field (also the discriminant of the cyclotomic polynomial Φ_n) is

$$(-1)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}} \frac{n^{\phi(n)}}{\prod_{p|n} p^{\frac{\phi(n)}{p-1}}}.$$

A polynomial $f(X) = X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1X + a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ satisfies the condition of the Eisenstein criterion at a prime p, if $p|a_i$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$ and p^2 not dividing a_0 . A polynomial satisfying this condition is irreducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ from the Eisenstein criterion (see [7, 15]).

Gaussian and discrete Gaussian

Set $\rho_{s,\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\pi||\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{c}||^2/s^2}$ for any vector \mathbf{c} in \mathbf{R}^n and any s > 0, $\rho_s = \rho_{s,\mathbf{0}}$, $\rho = \rho_1$. The Gaussian distribution around **c** with width s is defined by its probability density function $D_{s,\mathbf{c}} = \frac{\rho_{s,\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})}{s^n}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Discretization. For any discrete subset $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ we set $\rho_{s,\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{A}) =$ $\Sigma_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A}} \rho_{s, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $D_{s, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{A}) = \Sigma_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A}} D_{s, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})$. Let $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a dimension nlattice, the discrete Gaussian distribution over \mathbf{L} is the probability distribution over L defined by

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}, D_{\mathbf{L}, s, \mathbf{c}} = \frac{D_{s, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})}{D_{s, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{L})} = \frac{\rho_{s, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})}{\rho_{s, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{L})}.$$

When c = 0, the discrete Gaussian distribution is denoted by $D_{L,s}$. We refer to [33] for the following properties of discrete Gaussian distributions.

- 1) If \mathbf{x} is distributed according to $\mathbf{D}_{s,\mathbf{c}}$ and conditioned on $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}$, the conditional distribution of \mathbf{x} is $D_{\mathbf{L},s,\mathbf{c}}$.
- 2) For any lattice **L** and any vector $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ we have $\rho_{s,\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{L}) \leq \rho_s(\mathbf{L})$. 3) Set $C = c\sqrt{2\pi e}e^{-\pi c^2} < 1$ for any $c > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$, and n dimensional lattice **L** and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $\rho(\mathbf{L} - c\sqrt{n}\mathbf{B}_n) \le C^n \rho(\mathbf{L})$, $\rho((\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{v}) - c\sqrt{n}\mathbf{B}_n) \le C^n \rho(\mathbf{L})$, where \mathbf{B}_n is the unit-ball centered at the origin.
- 4 If a $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is sampled according to a Gaussian distribution with width σ , then the Euclid norm $||\mathbf{e}||$ of \mathbf{e} satisfies $||\mathbf{e}|| \leq \sqrt{3n}\sigma$ with an overwhelming probability.

Width with the canonical embedding

The Gaussian distribution depends on coordinates and the norm. We need to pay special attention to coordinates (or the basis with which coordinates are obtained) and the norm used when we say the "width" of a Gaussian distribution. The "canonical embedding' was used to define the Gaussian distribution on $\mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ (see [28, 29, 39, 9]). We refer the further analysis to [9, 41].

1.3 SVP and SIVP

A lattice L is a discrete subgroup in \mathbb{R}^n generated by several linear independent vectors $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m$ over the ring of integers, where $m \leq n$, $\mathbf{L} := \{a_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + a_m \mathbf{b}_m : a_1 \in \mathbf{Z}, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$ The volume $vol(\mathbf{L})$ of this lattice is $\sqrt{\det(\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{B}^{\tau})}$, where $\mathbf{B}:=(b_{ij})$ is the $m\times n$ generator matrix of this lattice, $\mathbf{b}_i = (b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in}) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are base vectors of this lattice. The length of the shortest non-zero lattice vectors is denoted by $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L})$. The well-known shortest vector problem (SVP) is defined as follows. Given an arbitrary **Z** basis of an arbitrary lattice **L** to find a lattice vector with length $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L})$ (see [34]). The approximating shortest vector problem $SVP_{f(m)}$ is to find some lattice vectors of length within $f(m)\lambda_1(\mathbf{L})$ where f(m) is an approximating factor as a function of the lattice dimension m (see [34]). The Shortest Independent Vectors Problem $(SIVP_{\gamma(m)})$ is defined as follows. Given an arbitrary ${\bf Z}$ basis of an arbitrary lattice ${\bf L}$ of dimension m, to find m independent lattice vectors such that the maximum length of these m lattice vectors is upper bounded by $\gamma(m)\lambda_m(\mathbf{L})$, where $\lambda_m(\mathbf{L})$ is the m-th Minkowski's successive minima of lattice \mathbf{L} (see [34]). A breakthrough result of M. Ajtai [5] showed that SVP is NP-hard under the randomized reduction. Another breakthrough proved by Micciancio asserts that approximating SVP within a constant factor is NP-hard under the randomized reduction (see [34]). For the latest development we refer to Khot [22]. It was proved that approximating SVP within a quasi-polynomial factor is NP-hard under the randomized reduction. For the hardness results about SVP and SIVP we refer to [22, 23, 45, 2], we refer to [21] for Minkowski's first and second theorems on successive minima of lattices.

1.4 Plain LWE, Ring-LWE and LWE over number field lattices $\frac{1}{2}$

Plain LWE

Plain LWE and its lattice-based cryptographic construction was originated from [43]. We refer to [44] for a survey. Let n be the security parameter, q be an integer modulus and χ be an error distribution over \mathbf{Z}_q . Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_q^n$

be a secret chosen uniformly at random. Given access to d samples of the form

$$(\mathbf{a}, [\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s} + e]_q) \in \mathbf{Z}_q^n \times \mathbf{Z}_q,$$

where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Z}_q^n$ are chosen uniformly at random and \mathbf{e} are sampled from the error distribution χ , the search LWE is to recover the secret \mathbf{s} . In general χ is the discrete Gaussian distribution with the width σ . Here $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s} = \sum a_i s_i$ is the inner product of two vectors in \mathbf{Z}_q^n .

Write the d coefficient vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d$ as columns of a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{Z}_q^{n \times d}$, Then the search LWE problem $LWE_{n,q,d,\chi}$ is to recover the secret from $\mathbf{A}^{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b} \mod q$ from public (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) . Here τ is the transposition of a matrix and (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e}) is an unknown vector.

Solving decision $LWE_{n,q,d,\chi}$ is to distinguish with non-negligible probability whether $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbf{Z}_q^{n \times d} \times \mathbf{Z}_q^d$ is sampled uniformly at random, or if it is of the form $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e})$ where \mathbf{e} is sampled from the distribution χ .

Here $[\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s} + e]_q$ is the residue class in the interval $(-\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}]$. We refer to [44] for the detail and the background. When q is prime and polynomial bounded by poly(n), there is a polynomial-time reduction between the search and decision LWE (see [44]). For plain LWE without the ring structure the reduction results from approximating SIVP to plain LWE were given in [44, 36, 8].

Ring-LWE

The algebraic structure of ring was first introduced to the hardness of computational problems of lattices in [31] (also in [26, 27]) for the consideration of efficiency. This is Ring-SIS (Short Integer Solution over Ring, see [31]) and it is the analogue of Ajtai's SIS problem. The one-wayness of some function was proved in [31] by assuming the hardness of some computational problems of cyclic lattices (ideal lattices). Ring-LWE was originated from 2010 paper [28] and then extended in [29]. We refer to [38] for a survey of the history of development, the theory and cryptographic constructions based on Ring-LWE and Ring-SIS. In particular suggested homomorphic encryption standard in [4] was based on Ring-LWE over two-to-power cyclotomic integer rings.

If the \mathbf{Z}_q^n in plain LWE is replaced by $\mathbf{P}_q = \mathbf{P}/q\mathbf{P}$ where $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(f)$, f(x) is a degree n monic irreducible polynomial of degree n in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, this is the

polynomial learning with errors (Poly-LWE). The inner product $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s} = \sum a_i s_i$ is replaced by the multiplication $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}$ in the ring \mathbf{P}_q . The error distribution χ is defined as the discrete Gaussian distributions with respect to the basis $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}$ (see [20, 9]).

If the \mathbf{Z}_q^n is replaced by $(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}})_q = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ where $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is the ring of integers in an algebraic number field \mathbf{K} of degree n, this is the Ring-LWE, learning with errors over the ring $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$. The secret \mathbf{s} is in the dual $(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee})_q = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}q}$ is chosen uniformly at random. The inner product $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s} = \sum a_i s_i$ is replaced by the multiplication $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}$ in $(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee})_q$. The error \mathbf{e} is in $(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee})_q = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee}$. In this case the width of error distribution is defined by the trace norm on $\mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{R}$ via the canonical embedding (see [28, 9]). This is called the dual form of Ring-LWE problem . When $\mathbf{s} \in (\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}})_q$ and $\mathbf{e} \in (\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}})_q$ are assumed it is called the non-dual form of Ring LWE problem. As indicated in [39] page 10 in monogenic case a "tweak factor" $f'(\theta)$ can be used to make two versions equivalent.

We refer to [46] for relations and reductions between Ring-LWE and Poly-LWE. In the Poly-LWE case if we use the norm from the canonical embedding from the ring $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(f(x)) = \mathbf{Z}[\theta]$ to the complex number field \mathbf{C} , where θ is a root in \mathbf{C} of f(x), it is equivalent to the non-dual Ring-LWE in the monogenic extension case. The Gaussian error distribution is defined from this canonical norm. However if we use some different norm, for example, the norm under which $1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}$ is the unit orthogonal base, that is, $\langle x^i, x^j \rangle = 1$ when i = j or 0 when $i \neq j$. The corresponding learning with errors problem is quite different. We will prove that the decision Poly-LWE with this norm and arbitrary polynomially (in n) bounded widths can be solved within the polynomial time for certain sufficiently large polynomially (in n) bounded modulus parameters in Corollary 3.2.

LWE over number field lattice

Learning with errors over a number field lattice was introduced in [40]. Let $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{K}$ be a rank $\deg(\mathbf{K})$ lattice and

$$\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{L}} = \{ x \in \mathbf{K} : x \cdot \mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{L} \}.$$

Then $\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{L}}$ is an order.

$$\mathbf{L}^{\vee}_{q} = \mathbf{L}^{\vee}/q\mathbf{L}^{\vee}.$$

Then $\mathbf{O^L} \cdot \mathbf{L^{\vee}} \subset \mathbf{L^{\vee}}$. Set $\mathbf{O^L}_q = \mathbf{O^L}/q\mathbf{O^L}$ and $(\mathbf{L^{\vee}})_q = \mathbf{L^{\vee}}/q\mathbf{L^{\vee}}$. The secret vector \mathbf{s} is in $(\mathbf{L^{\vee}})_q$ and \mathbf{a} is in $\mathbf{O^L}_q$. Here we notice that $\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{L^{\vee}} \subset \mathbf{L^{\vee}}$.

Then the error $\mathbf{e} \in (\mathbf{L}^{\vee})_q$. Samples from LWE over number field lattice \mathbf{L} is $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{L}}_q \times (\mathbf{L}^{\vee})_q$, where \mathbf{a} is uniformly chosen in $\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{L}}_q$, the error vector \mathbf{e} is chosen in $(\mathbf{L}^{\vee})_q$ according to a Gaussian distribution with the width σ , then $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{L}^{\vee})_q$ is from the LWE equation. The decisional LWE over \mathbf{L} is to distinguish these samples from uniformly chosen $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{L}}_q \times (\mathbf{L}^{\vee})_q$. For the detail and hardness reduction we refer to [40].

1.5 Hardness reduction

The reduction results from approximating ideal- $SIVP_{poly(d)}$ (or approximating ideal- $SVP_{poly(d)}$) to Ring-LWE were first given in [28, 29] for search version and then a general form to decision version was proved for arbitrary number fields in [41]. We refer to [41] Corollary 6.3 for the following hardness reduction result.

Hardness reduction for decision Ring-LWE. Let \mathbf{K} be an arbitrary number field of degree n and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$. Let $\alpha = \alpha(n) \in (0,1)$, and let q = q(n) be an integer such that $\alpha q \geq 2\omega(1)$. Then there exists a polynomial-time quantum reduction from $\mathbf{K} - SIVP_{\gamma}$ to average-case, decision $\mathbf{R} - LWE_{q,\Upsilon_{\alpha}}$, for any $\gamma = \max\{\frac{\eta(\mathbf{I})\cdot 2}{\alpha\cdot\omega(1)}, \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{I})}\} \leq \max\{\omega(\sqrt{nlogn}/\alpha), \sqrt{2n}\}$. Here $\mathbf{K} - SIVP_{\gamma}$ is the Shortest Independent Vector Problems for any fractional ideal lattice in \mathbf{K} . \mathbf{I} is any ideal lattice and $\eta(\mathbf{I})$ is the smoothing parameter of \mathbf{I} .

1.6 Known attacks

1.6.1 Attacks on LWE

The famous Blum-Kalai-Wasserman (BKW) algorithm in [6] was improved in [1, 24]. On the other hand some provable weak instances of Ring-LWE was given in [19, 20, 13] and analysed in [9, 39]. As showed in [39, 9] these instances of Ring-LWE can be solved by polynomial time algorithms mainly because the widths of Gaussian distributions of errors are too small or Gaussian distributions of errors are too skew. In [10] these attacks were improved for these modulus parameters which are factors of f(u), where f is the defining equation of the number field and u is an arbitrary integer. However the Gaussian distribution is still required to be narrow such that this type of attack can be succeed. We refer to [3] for the dual lattice attack

to LWE with small secrets.

1.6.2 Approximating ideal-SVP

In [16] it was proved approximating SVP with factor $2^{O(\sqrt{nlogn})}$ for principal ideals in cyclotomic integer rings $\mathbf{Z}[\xi_n]$ with $n=p^m$ can be found from an arbitrary generator within polynomial time by an efficient bounded distance decoding algorithm for the log-unit lattice. This work was extended in [17] and [42] such that sub-exponential complexity algorithms with some pre-processing for approx-SVP with some sub-exponential factor for ideal lattices can been achieved. The analysis of the approximating factor was recently published in [18]. For the recent developments we refer to [25, 35].

1.7 The ideal attack is very restricted

In previous attacks on Ring-LWE in [20] (then analysed in [9, 39]) the Ring-LWE equation $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e} \equiv \mathbf{b} \mod q$ was transformed to consider $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e} \equiv \mathbf{b} \mod \mathbf{p}$, where \mathbf{P} is a prime ideal factor of the modulus parameter q with a polynomially bounded algebraic norm $N(\mathbf{P})$. This kind of attack initiated in [20] and then analysed in [9, 39] can be called ideal attack on Ring-LWE. In ideal attack on Ring-LWE $\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}^{\vee})$ satisfies

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}^{\vee}) \geq \sqrt{d}N(\mathbf{P}^{\vee})^{1/d} \geq d^{1/2-c/d}\frac{1}{|\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}|^{1/d}}.$$

Since \mathbf{P} has a polynomially bounded algebraic norm, the width has a small upper bound for solvable instances for some fixed positive integer c.

When the modulus parameter q is a prime number such that $q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a prime ideal in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$, it is obvious we get nothing from the ideal attack. In our sublattice attack and subset attack we propose to find subtle polynomially bounded index sublattices \mathbf{L} or feasible non-negligible subsets \mathbf{B} , then to test the samples from the Ring-LWE equation in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{L}$ or the feasible subset \mathbf{B} . Sublattice attacks was proposed in [10]. In this paper we extend it to subset attacks.

2 Subset attack

2.1 The motivation of subset attacks

In previous attacks on Ring-LWE, when polynomially bounded many samples $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}} \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ are given, only the distributions of these samples over $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{I}$ for some **ideals** satisfying $q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}} \subset \mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{I}| \leq poly(d)$ have been checked. This is not natural and not sufficient. We need to check the distributions of samples in $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ where \mathbf{A} can be any feasible non-negligible subsets, that is, the condition

$$\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{A}$$

can be computed within polynomial time and the size of A satisfies

$$\frac{|\mathbf{A}|}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}|} \ge \frac{1}{d^c},$$

where c is a fixed positive integer. In general when the learning with error problems with algebraic structures are used to improve the efficiency, subset attacks as above to analysis the distributions of samples over $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{M}/q\mathbf{M}$ should be considered, where \mathbf{M} is module over which the module-LWE is defined and \mathbf{A} takes over all feasible subsets of $\mathbf{M}/q\mathbf{M}$ satisfying

$$\frac{|\mathbf{A}|}{|\mathbf{M}/q\mathbf{M}|} \ge \frac{1}{poly(d)}.$$

The previous attacks where A is restricted to ideals or sub-modules are not natural, special and not sufficient to guarantee the security, we refer to our next paper [12].

The basic point here is as follows. When we want to use the algebraic structure to improve the efficiency of lattice-based cryptographic constructions. The adversary is not restricted to only check the distributions of samples over algebraic-structured object, the adversary can attack the problem by using feasible non-negligible subsets without any structure.

2.2 Subset quadruples are needed

We need to find three non-negligible subsets \mathbf{A}_i , i = 1, 2, 3 satisfying that

$$\frac{|\mathbf{A}_i|}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}|} \ge \frac{1}{d^c},$$

and \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_3 are feasible, that is the condition $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{A}_i$, i = 1, 2, can be checked within polynomial time. Here

$$\mathbf{A}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A}_2 = \{ \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{A}_2 \}.$$

For two subsets **A** and **B** in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ we define a subset $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} : \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{B}\}$ in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$. A subset $\mathbf{A}_4 \subset \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is needed to satisfy that $\mathbf{A}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_4 \subset \mathbf{A}_3$ and

$$Prob(\mathbf{e} \in proj^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_4)) \ge \frac{d^C|\mathbf{A}_3|}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}|},$$

where C is a fixed positive integer and proj is the natural mapping

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}.$$

Then the samples from the Ring-LWE equations can be distinguished from uniformly distributed samples. Hence it is important to calculate the error distributions over these feasible non-negligible subsets.

In the case that A_1 and A_2 are additive, that is,

$$\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{A}_i \subset \mathbf{A}_i$$

we recover the sublattice pair attack in [10] and the previous versions of this paper. We call $(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_4)$ a sublattice quadruple when \mathbf{A}_1 , \mathbf{A}_2 , \mathbf{A}_3 and \mathbf{A}_4 are images of sublattices. In the sublattice quadruple case if \mathbf{A}_1 is the whole ring and \mathbf{A}_2 is an ideal it is the sublattice pair with an ideal introduced in the previous version of this paper. In the case that \mathbf{A}_i is an ideal, it is the very restricted case of ideal attack considered in [20, 9] and analysed in [39]. The "sublattice pair with ideal" construction for the required sublattices proposed in the previous versions of the paper can not work for number field case as indicated in [39]. However the comment in [39] can not apply to the general sublattice attack or its extended version of subset attack (for general structured LWE) considered in this version. The only problem in previous versions is the usage of polynomially bounded index ideals in the construction of the required sublattices for number field case.

2.3 Subset attacks on general structured LWE

It is obvious that the subset quadruples can be defined for algebraically structured learning with errors problems. When the addition, the multiplication, an positive-definite inner product and a probability of error distribution (defined according to the inner product) are endorsed on the set, we need to check all feasible non-negligible subset quadruples to test the hardness of the learning with errors problem over this set. For example sublattice attacks were introduced in [10] for the LWE over general number field lattices defined in [40].

Let us consider the inner product on the ring $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n-p_n)$ with $1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}$ as the orthogonal norm 1 vectors. Here p_n is a sequence of sufficiently large polynomially bounded prime numbers when n goes to the infinity. From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 it can be proved the Poly-LWE for the modulus parameters p_n can be solved within the polynomial time. The basic point here is that for this inner products on $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n-p_n)$ and an ideal \mathbf{I} we do not have the lower bound $\sqrt{n}vol(\mathbf{I})^{1/n} \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{I})$ as for the canonical norm for the number field case. Hence the smoothing argument for the polynomially bounded index ideals for number fields in [39] is not valid in this case. Actually the dual lattice with respect to this inner product of the ideal generated by x is spanned by $\frac{1}{p_n}, x, x^2, \ldots, x^{n-1}$, which has a very short vector $\frac{1}{p_n}$ in the dual lattice.

3 Our contribution

The feasible non-negligible subset quadruples can be defined for general structured LWE, where the addition, multiplication, an inner product and a related error probability distribution are given. In this paper we restrict to the number filed case and consider the case of polynomial ring LWE in Corollary 3.2.

Let $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}[x]/(f(x)) = \mathbf{Q}[\theta]$ be a degree d extension field of the rational field \mathbf{Q} , where f is a monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ and $\theta \in \mathbf{C}$ is a root of f. Let $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ be its ring of integers. We consider the non-dual Ring-LWE over $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ with a modulus parameter q.

Definition 3.1. We assume that the modulus parameter q satisfies $d^{C_1} \leq q < d^{C_2}$ where C_1 and C_2 are two fixed positive integers. Let

 $\mathbf{A}_i \subset \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, be four subsets in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ satisfying the following conditions.

- 1) $\frac{|\mathbf{A}_i|}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}|} \ge \frac{1}{d^{C_3}}$ for i = 1, 2, 3, where C_3 is fixed positive integer;
- 2) $\mathbf{A}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_4 \subset \mathbf{A}_3$;
- 3) The set \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_3 are feasible, that is, the condition $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{A}_1$ and the condition $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{A}_3$ for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ can be checked within polynomial time;
- 4) The probability $Prob(\mathbf{e} \in proj^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_4)) > \frac{d^{C_4}|\mathbf{A}_3|}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q_{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}}|}$, where C_4 is a fixed positive integer.

In general if we can construct such subset quadruples for a Ring-LWE over $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ with the polynomially bounded modulus parameter q, then the decision version of this Ring-LWE can be solved by a polynomial in d time algorithm. Moreover we notice that the error distribution is only involved in 4), it is not assumed Gaussian. The property 4) is sufficient for a polynomial time attack on the general Ring-LWE with an error distribution satisfying the property 4). We do not require that \mathbf{A}_4 to be non-negligible in the uniform distribution.

Theorem 3.1. We consider the decision Ring-LWE over $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ with a general error distribution and a modulus parameter q satisfying $d^{C_1} \leq q < d^{C_2}$ where C_1 and C_2 are two fixed positive integers. Suppose that there exists a subset quadruple as above. Then the decision Ring-LWE over $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ with the modulus parameter q can be solved within the polynomial (in d) time.

We return to the case that A_1 and A_2 come from sublattice. We denote the set of all elements of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{C_5} m_i \mathbf{b}_i,$$

where C_5 is a fixed positive integer when d goes to the infinity, $||\mathbf{b}_i|| \le d^{C_6}$ for a fixed positive integer C_6 , by **B**.

Condition. Let \mathbf{K}_d be a sequence of Galois extension fields of the rational number field \mathbf{Q} with degree d going to the infinity, and \mathbf{B}_d be the set described as above. For any given fixed positive integer C_7 we assume that there exists a sufficiently large polynomially bounded prime $d^{C_7} \leq p(d)$ satisfying $\gcd(p(d), d) = 1$, such that

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K_d}}/p(d)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K_d}} = \mathbf{F}_{p(d)^d},$$

or the product of bounded (by a fixed positive integer C_8) number of $\mathbf{F}_{n(d)}f^{(d)}$,

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K_d}}/p(d)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K_d}} = \mathbf{F}_{p(d)^{f(d)}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{F}_{p(d)^{f(d)}},$$

 $(C_8 \text{ copies of } \mathbf{F}_{p(d)^{f(d)}}, C_8 f(d) = d)$, and there exist two $\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}$ linear subspaces \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 in

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K_d}}/p(d)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K_d}} = \mathbf{F}_{p(d)^{f(d)}}$$

with dimensions

$$\dim(\mathbf{A}_i) \geq d - C_9$$

for i=1,2, where C_9 is a fixed positive integer when d goes to the infinity, and an element $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{B}_d$, such that $Tr_{\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}f(d)}/\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2) \equiv 0$ $mod \ p_d$ satisfied for any $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{A}_i$ for i=1,2. Here $Tr_{\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}f(d)}/\mathbf{F}_{p(d)} = x + x^{p(d)} + \cdots + x^{p(d)^{f(d)-1}}$ is the trace mapping from the finite field $\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}f(d)$ to $\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}$.

Corollary 3.1. If \mathbf{K}_d is a sequence of Galois number fields with degree d going to the infinity and the above condition is satisfied. Let σ_d be the sequence of the widths of Gaussian error distributions over $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}_d}$. Suppose that $\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}_d}^{\vee})} \leq \sigma_d \leq d^{C_9}$, where C_9 is a fixed positive integer when d goes to the infinity. Then the decision non-dual Ring-LWE over $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}_d}$ for certain polynomially bounded prime modulus parameters can be solved within the polynomial (in d) time.

Notice that the above condition depends on the number fields only with the element $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{B}_d$. Hence we believe that if we can prove the existence of such an element, it should work for many number field sequences. The above condition will be analysed in [12]. In the above case that $p(d)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a prime ideal in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ or there are bounded number of prime ideals containing p(d), no ideal factor of p(d) has polynomially bounded index when d goes to the infinity, then the analysis in [39] does not work in this situation. However this is not the only approach to construct sublattices for sublattice attacks or feasible non-negligible subset quadruples for subset attacks.

Let p_n be a sequence of sufficiently large polynomially bounded prime numbers when n goes to the infinity. The polynomial $x^n - p_n$ is irreducible from the Eisenstein criterion. We use the inner product on $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n-p_n)$ by defining $\langle x^i, x^j \rangle = 1$ when i = j and 0 when $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$.

The Gaussian error distribution is defined according to this inner product and we have the decision Poly-LWE problem as in the number filed case. From Theorem 3.1 we can prove the following result.

Corollary 3.2. Let C_{10} be an arbitrary fixed positive integer. Let σ_n be the sequence of the widths of Gaussian error distributions over $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n-p_n)$ with respect to the above inner product. Suppose that $\sqrt{n} \leq \sigma_n \leq n^{C_{10}}$. Then there exists a sequence of sufficiently large polynomially bounded prime numbers p_n (determined by C_{10} and n), such that the decision Poly-LWE over $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n-p_n)$ for modulus parameters p_n can be solved within the polynomial time.

The basic point here is that for this inner products on $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n-p_n)$ and an ideal \mathbf{I} we do not have the lower bound $\sqrt{n}vol(\mathbf{I})^{1/n} \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{I})$ as for the canonical norm of the number field case. Hence the smoothing argument for the polynomially bounded index ideals in number field case is not valid in this case. The comment in [39] only works for the number field case, not other learning with errors problems over other rings without the property $\sqrt{n}vol(\mathbf{I})^{1/n} \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{I})$. For general inner products on rings we get nothing about the $\lambda_1(\mathbf{I})$ even for a polynomially bounded index ideal \mathbf{I} . Therefore the sublattice pairs with ideals approach in previous versions works for this case without the symmetric property $\sqrt{n}vol(\mathbf{I})^{1/n} \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{I})$.

We consider the irreducible polynomial $f_n(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$, where a_1, \dots, a_n can be divisible by a sufficiently large polynomially (in n) bounded prime p_n , and the ring $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(f_n(x))$. We use the standard inner product on the base $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$ as in the Corollary 3.2 case. The Gaussian error distribution is defined according to this inner product and we have the decision Poly-LWE problem as in the number filed case. From Theorem 3.1 we can prove the following result.

Corollary 3.3. Let C_{11} be an arbitrary fixed positive integer. Let σ_n be the sequence of the widths of Gaussian error distributions over $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(f_n(x))$ with respect to the above inner product. Suppose that $\sqrt{n} \leq \sigma_n \leq n^{C_{11}}$. Then there exists a sequence of sufficiently large polynomially bounded prime numbers p_n (determined by C_{11} and n), such that the decision Poly-LWE over $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(f_n(x))$ for modulus parameters p_n can be solved within the polynomial time.

Notice that the case in Corollary 3.3 is similar to the case of p-th cyclotomic polynomial, except that in Corollary 3.3 case the modulus parameter p_n is sufficiently larger (but polynomially bounded in n) than the degree or the lattice dimension n. In the cyclotomic polynomial case $p_n = n$.

4 Probability computation and number theory

We need the following computation of probability in Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 4.1. Let \mathbf{L} be a rank d number field lattice in a degree d number field \mathbf{K} . Let \mathbf{L}_1 be rank d sublattice of \mathbf{L}^{\vee} satisfying that $q\mathbf{L}^{\vee} \subset \mathbf{L}_1 \subset \mathbf{L}^{\vee}$ and the cardinality $|\mathbf{L}^{\vee}/\mathbf{L}_1|$ is polynomially bounded. Suppose that the width of the Gaussian distribution (with respect to the canonical embedding) of errors \mathbf{e} satisfying $\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{L})} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{\sqrt{c_1}}{\sqrt{\pi}\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_1^{\vee})}$ and moreover there are at least $\frac{|\mathbf{L}^{\vee}/\mathbf{L}_1|}{q^{c_2}}$ lattice vectors in \mathbf{L}_1^{\vee} satisfying $||\mathbf{x}||_{tr} \leq \frac{\sqrt{c_1}}{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma}$, where c_1 and c_2 are fixed positive real numbers. Then the probability $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{L}_1$ is

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{L}_1} = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}_1} e^{-\pi (\frac{||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}}{\sigma})^2}}{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}^{\vee}} e^{-\pi (\frac{||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}}{\sigma})^2}}.$$

It satisfies

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{L}_1} \ge \frac{1}{e^{c_1} q^{c_2}}$$

when q is sufficiently large.

Proof. We calculate the probability $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{L}_1}$ of the condition $\mathbf{e} \equiv 0 \mod \mathbf{L}_1$. It is clear

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{L}_1} = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}_1} e^{-\pi \left(\frac{||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}}{\sigma}\right)^2}}{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}^{\vee}} e^{-\pi \left(\frac{||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}}{\sigma}\right)^2}}.$$

Set $Y_3(0) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}^{\vee}} e^{-\pi(\frac{||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}}{\sigma})^2}}{\sigma^n}$ and $Y_4(0) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}_1} e^{-\pi(\frac{||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}}{\sigma})^2}}{\sigma^n}$. From the Poisson summation formula (see [33]) we have

$$Y_3(0) = \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{L}^{\vee})} \Sigma_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}} e^{-\pi(||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}\sigma)^2}.$$

and

$$Y_4(0) = \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{L}_1)} \Sigma_{\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbf{L}_1)^{\vee}} e^{-\pi(||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}\sigma)^2}.$$

Since $\sigma \geq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{L})}$ then $\Sigma_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{0}} e^{-\pi(||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}\sigma)^2} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2^d}$ from Lemma 3.2 in [33]. For lattice vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}_1^{\vee}$ satisfying

$$||\mathbf{x}||_{tr} \le \frac{\sqrt{c_1}}{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma}$$

we have

$$e^{-\pi(||\mathbf{x}||_{tr}\sigma)^2} > e^{-c_1}.$$

Hence $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{L}_1} \geq \frac{1}{|\mathbf{L}^{\vee}/\mathbf{L}_1|} (1 + \frac{1}{e^{c_1}} \cdot \frac{|\mathbf{L}^{\vee}/\mathbf{L}_1|}{q^{c_2}})$. The conclusion follows directly.

The following proposition is useful in this paper. Please refer to [15, 7] for the proof.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}[\theta]$ be a number field of degree n and $f(T) \in \mathbf{Q}[T] = a_n T^n + a_{n-1} T^{n-1} + \cdots + a_T + a_0$ be the minimal polynomial of θ . Write

$$f(T) = (T - \theta)(c_{n-1}(\theta)T^{n-1} + \dots + c_1(\theta)T + c_0(\theta))$$

where $c_j(\theta) = \sum_{i=j+1}^n a_i \theta^{i-j-1}$. The dual base of $\{1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\}$ relative to the trace product is

$$\left\{\frac{c_0(\theta)}{f'(\theta)}, \frac{c_1(\theta)}{f'(\theta)}, \dots, \frac{c_{n-1}(\theta)}{f'(\theta)}\right\}$$

.

Let p be a positive integer and $p\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{P}^{e_1} \cdots \mathbf{P}_t^{e_t}$ where \mathbf{P}_i are prime ideals and $e_i \geq 1$ are positive integers, is the factorization of the ideal $p\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ to the product of prime ideals.

Proposition 4.2. If $I \subset R_K$ is an ideal containing the positive integer p, then I is of the form

$$\mathbf{P}_{j_1}^{e_1'}\cdots\mathbf{P}_{j_t'}^{e_{t'}'}$$

where $t' \leq t \ e'_i \leq e_{j_i}$.

Proof. Set $\mathbf{I} = \prod_j \mathbf{Q}_j$ the factorization of \mathbf{I} to the product of prime ideals. Then $p \in \mathbf{Q}_j$ and \mathbf{Q}_j is a prime ideal over p. The conclusion follows

directly.

From Proposition 4.2 only few ideals **I** satisfy the condition $q\mathbf{R}_K \subset \mathbf{I}$ and $|\mathbf{R}_K/\mathbf{I}| \leq poly(d)$. When $p\mathbf{R}_K$ is a prime ideal, it is obvious that there is no ideal satisfy the above two conditions. Hence in sublattice attack or subset attack it is not natural to require a sublattice **L** or the feasible nonnegligible subsets to be an ideal.

The following Kummer Lemma (see [14, 7]) is useful for the decomposition of prime numbers to the product of prime ideals in number fields.

Proposition 4.3. Let $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}[\theta]$ be a number field, where θ is an algebraic integer whose monic minimal polynomial is denoted by f(X). Then for any prime p not dividing $|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{Z}[\theta]|$ one can obtain the prime decomposition of $p\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ as follows. Let $f(X) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^g f_i(X)^{e_i} \mod p$ be the decomposition of f(X) module p into irreducible factors in $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$ where f_i are taken to be monic. Then

$$p\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}} = \prod_{i=1}^{g} \mathbf{P}_{i}^{e_{i}},$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}_i = (p, f_i(\theta)) = p\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}} + f_i(\theta)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}.$$

Furthermore the residual index of \mathbf{P}_i is equal to the degree of f_i .

The main construction in Theorem 3.2 is as follows. There should be many very short lattice vectors in the dual \mathbf{L}_1^{\vee} of the number field lattice \mathbf{L}_1 satisfying $q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{d}}} \subset \mathbf{L}_1 \subset \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{d}}}$. For given $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_t$, t elements in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee}$, we define a number field lattice $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_t)$ by the equations $Tr(\mathbf{x}_i\mathbf{y}) \equiv 0 \mod q$, where $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$, $i = 1, \dots, t$. It is obvious $q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}} \subset \mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$. Moreover it is clear the definition of $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_t)$ only depends on the residue classes of \mathbf{x}_i 's in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\vee}$.

Proposition 4.4. The vectors $\frac{\mathbf{x}_1}{q}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{x}_t}{q}$ are in the dual lattice

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_t)^{\vee} \subset \frac{\mathbf{R_K}^{\vee}}{a}.$$

If $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is an invertible element in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$, then there is a $\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}$ linear isomorphism from $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_t)$ to $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{a}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_t)$ defined by $\mathbf{y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{y}$. In particular the cardinalities of

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_t)$$

and

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{a}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_t)$$

are the same.

Proof. The first conclusion is direct from the definition. The second conclusion is a simple computation.

The following result gives a restriction on the $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}^{\vee})$ of number field lattice \mathbf{L} if \mathbf{L} containing the product of two number field lattices \mathbf{L}_1 and \mathbf{L}_2 satisfying $|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{L}_i| \leq poly(n)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2$ and \mathbf{L}_3 be three polynomially bounded index sublattices of rank d in the integer ring $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ of a degree d number field \mathbf{K} . That is $|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{L}_i| \leq d^c$ holds for a fixed positive integer c and i = 1, 2, 3. We assume $\mathbf{L}_2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_3 \subset \mathbf{L}_1$. Then $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_1^{\vee}) \geq \Omega(\frac{1}{|\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}|^{\frac{3}{2d}}d^{\frac{2c}{d}}})$.

Proof. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{L}_1^\vee$, let \mathbf{X} be the matrix representation of the multiplication of \mathbf{x} with respect to a fixed \mathbf{Z} -base of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$. For a number field lattice \mathbf{L} set $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{L})$ to be the matrix representation of \mathbf{L}^\vee with respect to this fixed base of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$. Then

$$|\det(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{L}_2^{\vee}))| = |\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}|^{-1} \cdot |(\det(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{L}_2)))^{-1}| \ge \frac{1}{|\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}|^{3/2} d^c}$$

from the definition of dual lattice. Since $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbf{L}_2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_3)^{\vee}$, $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{L}_2^{\vee}$ for each $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{L}_3$. Then

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{L}_3) \cdot \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{L}_2^{\vee})$$

for some non-singular integer matrix M. We have

$$|\det(\mathbf{X})| \ge |\det(\mathbf{M})| \cdot \frac{1}{|\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}|^{3/2} d^{2c}} \ge \frac{1}{|\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}|^{3/2} d^{2c}}$$

since $|\det(\mathbf{M})| \ge 1$. It is clear

$$||\mathbf{x}||_{tr} = (\Sigma_{i=1}|\sigma_i(\mathbf{x})|^2)^{1/2} \ge \sqrt{d}(\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}))^{1/d} = \sqrt{d}(N(x\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}))^{1/d} = \sqrt{d}|\det(\mathbf{X})|^{1/d}.$$

The conclusion follows directly.

From Theorem 4.2 if a sublattice \mathbf{L} in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ contains the product of two polynomially bounded cardinality sublattices, the $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}^{\vee})$ is lower bounded

by $\Omega(\frac{1}{|\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}|^{\frac{3}{2d}}d^{\frac{2c}{d}}})$ when d is sufficiently large. In particular if both \mathbf{L} and $\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{L}}$ are with polynomially bounded cardinalities, $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}^{\vee})$ can not be very small. The sublattice attack with non-negligible $\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{L}}$ suggested in [10] has a strong restriction on the bound of width as the attack when \mathbf{L}_1 is required to be an ideal as in [20, 9, 39].

5 Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The probability that uniformly chosen $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is in the subset \mathbf{A}_1 is at least $\frac{1}{d^{C_3}}$, the probability $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{A}_2$ is at least $\frac{1}{d^{C_3}}$ for uniformly distributed $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$. We check the probability $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in (\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_3)$ for $d^{C_{12}}$ samples (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) 's where C_{12} is a fixed sufficiently large positive integer. Since both \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_3 are feasible, this can be done within a polynomial time. When these samples are uniformly distributed, the probability that

$$(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})\in(\mathbf{A}_1,\mathbf{A}_3)$$

is exactly

$$\frac{|\mathbf{A}_1|}{|\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{K}/q\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{K}|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbf{A}_3|}{|\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{K}/q\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{K}|}.$$

Since $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{A}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A}_2$ for the fixed unknown secret $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{A}_2$, when $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{A}_1$. Then the probability $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{A}_3$ is bigger than or equal to $Prob(\mathbf{e} \in proj^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_4))$ from the condition 2)

$$\mathbf{A}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_4 \subset \mathbf{A}_3$$

in the definition of subset quadruples. Then we have

$$Prob((\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in (\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_3)) \ge \frac{|\mathbf{A}_1|}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}|} \cdot Prob(\mathbf{e} \in proj^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_4)).$$

From the condition 4) of the subset quadruple we have

$$Prob((\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in (\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_3)) > \frac{|\mathbf{A}_1|}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}|} \cdot \frac{2|\mathbf{A}_3|}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}|},$$

when samples are from the Ring-LWE equations. Hence for non-negligible secrets $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{A}_2$, the $d^{C_{12}}$ samples (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) 's from the Ring-LWE equation are not uniformly distributed and can be tested within a polynomial time.

Proof of Corollary 3.1. The theory of Galois extension, the Trace function of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K_d}}$ module p(d) is the sum of eg terms $Tr_{\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}f(d)}/\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}$, where e is the ramification index 1 and $g = C_8$ is the number of prime ideals containing p(d). Here we have $egf(d) = C_8f(d) = d$. In the case described in the Condition there are C_8 terms of $Tr_{\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}f(d)}/\mathbf{F}_{p(d)}$ in this Trace function module p(d). We take \mathbf{A}_4 the subspace in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K_d}}/p(d)\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K_d}}$ defined by $Tr(\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \mod p(d)$. Then \mathbf{A}_3 is the sum $\mathbf{A}_1\cdot\mathbf{A}_2$ is in \mathbf{A}_4 . From Theorem 4.1 the condition 4) of subset quadruple is satisfied. The conclusion follows directly.

Proof of Corollary 3.2. Set $\mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n - p_n)$ and $\mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{A}_3 = \mathbf{A}_4$ the image in $\mathbf{Z}/p_n\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n)$ of the ideal generated by the element x. Then \mathbf{A}_2 , i=2,3,4, is defined by $<1,x>\equiv 0 \mod p_n$. Then $\frac{1}{p_n}$ is in he dual lattice of the ideal generated by x. From Theorem 4.1 it can be proved $(\mathbf{A}_1,\mathbf{A}_2,\mathbf{A}_3,\mathbf{A}_4)$ is a sublattice quadruple or $(\mathbf{A}_2,\mathbf{A}_3,\mathbf{A}_4)$ is a sublattice pair with a ideal in the sense of the previous version of this paper, when p_n is a sufficiently large polynomially bounded prime. Hence the Poly-LWE for the modulus parameters p_n can be solved within the polynomial time from Theorem 3.1. Actually the dual lattice under this inner product of the ideal generated by x is spanned by $\frac{1}{p_n}, x, x^2, \ldots, x^{n-1}$, which has a very short vector $\frac{1}{p_n}$ in the dual lattice.

Proof of Corollary 3.3. Similar to the proof of Corollary 3.2.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we propose a general theory of subset attacks on the Ring-LWE to test its hardness. From the point view of subset attacks on the learning with errors problems, the error distributions over feasible non-negligible subsets in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}/q\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ should be calculated and checked. In the sublattice attack case we give an algebraic condition which is sufficient for the polynomial-time solvability of the Ring-LWE with wide error distributions. From the sublattice pair with ideal construction we prove that the decision Poly-LWE over $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^n-p_n)$ with certain special inner products and arbitrary polynomially bounded widths can be solved within the polynomial time for the sufficiently large polynomially bounded modulus parameters p_n . The further constructive results of feasible non-negligible subset quadruples for two-to-power cyclotomic number fields will be presented in [12].

References

- [1] S. Arora and R. Ge, New algorithms for learning in the presence of errors, ICALP 2010, LNCS 6755, 403-415, 2011.
- [2] D. Aggarwal, D. Dadush and N. Stephens-Davidowitz, Solving the Closest Vector Problem in 2^n Time: the discrete Gaussian strikes again, FOCS 2015.
- [3] M. R. Albrecht, On dual lattice attack against small-secret LWE and parameter choices in HElib and SEAL, Eurocrypt 2017, LNCS 10211, 103-219, 2017.
- [4] M. Albrecht, M. Chase, H. Chen, J. Ding, S. Goldwasser, S. Gorbunov, S. Halevi, J. Hoffstein, K. Laine, K. Lauter, S. Lokam, D. Micciancio, D. Moody, T. Morrison, A. Sahai and V. Vaikuntanathan, Homomorphic encryption standard, Cryptology ePrint, 2019/939, 2019.
- [5] M. Ajtai, The shortest vector problem in L_2 is NP-hard for randomized reduction, STOC 1998, 10-19, 1998.
- [6] A. Blum, A. Kalai and H. Wasserman, Noise-tolarant learning, the parity problem, and statistical query model, J. ACM, 50, no.4, 506-519, 2003.
- [7] A. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, Number theory, Transalted from the Russian by Newcomb Greenleaf, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 20, Academic Press, New York, London, 1966.
- [8] Z. Brakerski, A. Langlois, C. Peikert, O. Regev and D. Stehlé, Classical hardness of learning with errors, STOC 2013, 575-584, 2013.
- [9] W. Castryck, I. Illashenko and F. Vercauteren, Provable weak instances of Ring-LWE revisted, Euroccrypt 2016, 147-167, 2016.
- [10] Hao Chen, Sublattice attacks on LWE over arbitrary number field lattices, Cryptology ePrint Achive 2019/791, 2019.
- [11] Hao Chen, On approximating $SVP_{poly(n)}$ with preprocessing for ideal lattices in quantum computation model, Preprint 2019.

- [12] Hao Chen, Ring-LWE over two-to-power cyclotomics is not hard, Cryptology ePrint 2021/418, a new version soon, 2021.
- [13] H. Chen, K. Lauter and K. E. Stange, Security consideration for Galois non-dual RLWE families, SAC 2016, LNCS, 10532, pp. 432-462, and the full version: Vulnerable Galois RLWE families and improved attackes, Cryptology ePrint Achive 2016/193.
- [14] H. Cohen, A course in computational number theory, GTM 138, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [15] K. Conrad, The different ideal, http://www.math.uconn.edu/kconrad/.
- [16] R. Cramer, L. Ducas, C. Peikert and O.Regev, Recovering short generators of principle ideals in cyclotomic rings, Eurocrypt 2016, 559-585, 2016.
- [17] R. Cramer, L. Ducas and B. Wesolowski, Short Stickelberger relations and application to ideal-SVP, Eurocrypt 2017, 324-348, 2017.
- [18] L. Ducas, M. Plançon and B. Wsolowski, On the shortness of vectors to be found by the ideal-SVP quantum algorithm, Cryoto 2019, 322-351, 2019.
- [19] Y. Eisentrage, S. Hallgren and K. Lauter, Weak instances of PLWE, SAC 2014, 183-194, 2014.
- [20] Y. Elias, K. Lauter, E. Ozman and K. E. Stange, Provable weak instances of Ring-LWE, Crypto 2015, 63-92, 2015.
- [21] P. M. Gruber, Convex and Discrete Geometry, Gurndlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 336, Springer-Verlag, Birlin Heidelberg 2007.
- [22] S. Khot, Hardness of approximating the shortest vector problem, Journal of ACM, vol.52, 789-808, 2005.
- [23] S. Khot, Inapproximability results for computational problems of lattice, 453-473, The LLL algorithm, survey and application, edited by P. Q. Nguyen and B. Vallée, Springer, 2010.
- [24] P. Kirchner and P-A. Fouque, An improved BKW algorithm for LWE with applications to cryptography and lattices, Crypto 2015, 43-62, 2015.

- [25] C. Lee, A. Pellet-Mary, D. Stehlé and A. Wallet, An LLL algorithm for modulus lattices, Cryptology ePrint Archive 2019/1035, 2019.
- [26] V. Lyubashevsky and D. Micciancio, Generalized compact knapsacks are collision ressitant, ICALP (2), 37-54, 2006.
- [27] V. Lyubashevsky, D. Micciancio, C. Peikert and A. Rosen, SWIFT: A modest proposal for FFT hashing, FSE, 54-72, 2008.
- [28] V. Lyubashevsky, C. Peikert and O. Regev, On ideal lattices and learning with errors over rings, J. ACM, 60(6), 1-43, 2013, preliminary version, Eurocrypt 2010, 1-23, 2010.
- [29] V. Lyubashevsky and C. Peikert and O. Regev, A toolkit for ring-LWE cryptography, Eurocrypt 2013, 35-54, 2013.
- [30] V. Lyubashevsky, Ideal lattices, tutorial in MIT, http://people.casil.mit.edu/joanne/idealtutorial.pdf
- [31] D. Micciancio, Generalized compact knapsacks, cyclic lattices, and efficient one-way functions, Comp. Complex., 16(4), 365-411, 2007.
- [32] D. Micciancio and O. Regev, Lattice-based cryptography, Book Chapter in Post-quantum Cryptography, D. J. Bernstein and J. Buchmann (eds.), Springer (2008).
- [33] D. Micciancio and O. Regev, Worst-case to average-case reduction based on Gaussian measures, FOCS 2004, 372-381, 2004.
- [34] D. Micciancio and S. Goldwasser, Complexity of lattice problems, A cryptographic perspective, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [35] T. Mukherjee and N. Stephens-Davidowitz, Lattice reduction for modules, or how to reduce moduleSVP to moduleSVP, Crypto 2020, 213-242, 2020.
- [36] C. Peikert, Public-key cryptosystmes from the worst case shortest lattice vector problem, STOC 2009, 333-342, 2009.
- [37] C. Peikert, An efficient and parallel Gaussian sampler for lattices, Crypyo 2010, 80-97, 2010.
- [38] C. Peikert, A decade of lattice cryptography, Cryptology ePrint Archive 2015/939, 2015, Foundations and Trends in Theoretical Computer Science 10:4, now Publishers Inc., 2016.

- [39] C. Peikert, How (not) to instanaite Ring-LWE, SCN 2016, 411-430, 2016, Chris Twitter comment on April 3, 2021.
- [40] C. Peikert and Z. Pepin, Algebraically structured LWE, revisited, TCC 2019, 1-23, 2019.
- [41] C. Peikert, O. Regev and N. Stephens-Davidowitz, Pseudorandomness of Ring-LWE for any ring and modulus, STOC 2017, 461-473, 2017.
- [42] A. Pellet-Mary, G. Hanrot and D. Stehlé, Approx-SVP in ideal lattices with pre-processing, Cryptology ePrint Achive 2019/215, Eurocrypt 2019, 685-716, 2019.
- [43] O. Regev, New lattice-based cryptographic constructions, J. ACM, 51, 899-942, 2004.
- [44] O. Regev, On lattices, learning with errors, random linear codes, J. ACM, **56**, 1-40, 2009.
- [45] O. Regev, On the complexity of lattice problems with polynomial approximation factor, 475-496, The LLL algorithm, survey and application, edited by P. Q. Nguyen and B. Vallée, Springer, 2010.
- [46] M. Rosca, D. Stehlé and A. Wallet, On the Ring-LWE and polynomial-LWE problems, Eurocrypt 2018, 146-173, 2018.