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#### Abstract

In recent year's security has become an important role in the field of Defense, Business, Medical and Industries.Different types of cryptography algorithms has been implemented in order to provide security with high performance. A hash function is a cryptography algorithm without a key such as MD5, SHA-family. Secure hash algorithm which is standardized by NIST as secured hashing in FIPS. In this paper we mainly focus on code optimization and increase the performance of SHA-512. To optimize and increase the performance of SHA-512 we have modified the algorithm and proposed Modified Secure hash algorithm(MSHA-512). It is a one-way hash function that can process a message to produce a condensed representation called a message digest.In this proposed algorithm within the limited rounds ( 40 rounds instead of 80 rounds) we can obtain exact result which is same as traditional SHA-512 algorithm. By using MSHA-512 algorithm we can reduce the time upto $50 \%$ for the same process, which increases the performance of the algorithm and helps to increase the flexibility of server in running streams.The MSHA-512 generates the same hash code as we generate in the SHA-512 for all different size of inputs. MSHA-512 is developed and designed to overcome the time complexity of SHA-512 and increase the performance of it by reducing the rounds.


## 1. Introduction

Internet and its technologies has made human life easier with respect to communication and sharing resources with higher speed. At the same time, giving protection to an individual data will add more security towards internet technologies .cryptography is the most popular encryption system to protect information and to communicate with trusted sources by encrypting and decrypting the data. Modern cryptography deals with the confidentiality, Integrity and authentication.The cryptographic algorithms are basically classified into three categories: secret key cryptography, Public key Cryptography and Hash functions. This paper provides the detail study on the hash functions. Hash functions are one-way cryptography in which there is no key, since plain text is not recoverable from the cipher text. Message digest (MD5)[1] is one of the most popular one-way hash function used in authentication application purpose.MD5 produces a unique 128 bit hash value for any input message. Hash collision often happens during generation of hash using hash functions.As discussed in the paper [2] MD5 hash function has been broken ,any determined hacker can produce two colliding assets in a matter of seconds. Paper contains study about probability of collisions happened in MD5 algorithm using birthday paradox. Later Secure Hash algorithm was introduced in the later years of 1993 where Secure Hash Algorithm for NIST's Secure Hash Standard (SHS)[3] is the most popular hash function implemented in digital signature algorithms, random number generators and keyed-hash message authentication codes. Where SHA-0 and SHA-1 produces a unique 160 bit hash value for input message.The paper[4] analyses the collision attack in SHA-1 family using identical-prefix collision attack. We have more chances of collision attack because of buffers with less size which tends to produce less output bits, to overcome this the buffer size is increased so the output will generate more bites with size having 256,384 or 512 bits and later it is called as SHA-2 Family.SHA-256 and SHA-512 are most widely used hash algorithms in block chain technology ,internet security like IPsec and digital certificates. SHA-512 is a hash function computed with 64 bit words. It produces 512 bits of message digest with 80 rounds of process where in each round hash function will be applied and hash is generated for next round. Hardware implementation of SHA-512 was discussed in paper [5]. The performance of the SHA-512 depends on computing hash occurring in each round. The paper "SHA-512/256" $[6]$ analyses the performance of both SHA- 256 and SHA-512.The prominent reason for SHA-512 being practised more is because of its collision resistance nature. The collision resistance is discussed in research paper [7]. We focus on SHA-2 family particularly SHA-512, in this hashing function we use 64 bit words generated from input message and hash constants in each round with the total of 80 rounds to generate hash

[^0]function. When comparing with the SHA-1 and SHA-256, SHA-512 takes more time to execute its function because of large buffer size and more number of rounds. More number of rounds increases the security at the same time decreases the performance of an algorithm. In this paper we have tried to reduce the rounds by adding more hash functions in each round and final hash is generated after $40^{t h}$ round. Benefits of reducing the number of rounds will increase the performance of an algorithm, increases the program efficiency, reduces the loop overhead, optimize the execution time of program , allocating buffers 80 times for 80 rounds will be reduced to 40 . The complete procedure of SHA- 512 and MSHA-512 have been discussed. The performance comparison have been discussed in the result section.

## 2. Algorithm Parameters \& Symbols

### 2.1. Symbols used in algorithm

The following symbols are used in the secure hash algorithm specifications, and each operates on 64-bit words.

1. $\wedge \quad$ Bitwise AND operation.
2. $\vee \quad$ Bitwise OR (inclusive-OR) operation.
3. $\oplus \quad$ Bitwise XOR (exclusive-OR) operation.
4. $ᄀ$ Bitwise complement operation.
5. $+\quad$ Addition modulo $2^{w}$. $(w=64$ bit as the word size is 64 bit in both SHA-512 and MSHA-512).

6 . $\ll \quad$ Left-shift operation, where $\mathrm{w} \ll \mathrm{n}$ is obtained by discarding the left-most n bits of the word w and then padding the result with $n$ zeroes on the right.
7. $\gg$ Right-shift operation, where $\mathrm{w} \gg \mathrm{n}$ is obtained by discarding the right-most n bits of the word w and then padding the result with n zeroes on the left.

### 2.2. Parameters

1. $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \ldots, \mathrm{h}$ Working variables that are the w-bit words used in the computation of the hash values, $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{i})$
2. N Number of blocks in the padded message.
3. M Message to be hashed
4. $\mathrm{K}_{t} \quad$ Constant value to be used for iteration t of the hash computation.
5. $\ell \quad$ Length of the message M , in bits.

## 3. SHA-512 Standard hash

Table 1
SHA-2 Family

| Algorithm | Message Size (bits) | Block Size (bits) | Word Size (bits) | Message Digest Size (bits) | Rounds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SHA-256 | $<2^{64}$ | 512 | 32 | 256 | 64 |
| SHA-384 | $<2^{128}$ | 1024 | 64 | 384 | 80 |
| SHA-512 | $<2^{128}$ | 1024 | 64 | 512 | 80 |

### 3.1. SHA-512 Functions \& Constants

### 3.1.1. Functions

1. $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=(\mathrm{x} \wedge \mathrm{y}) \oplus(\neg \mathrm{x} \wedge \mathrm{z})$
2. $\operatorname{Maj}(x, y, z)=(x \wedge y) \oplus(x \wedge z) \oplus(y \wedge z)$
3. $\sum_{0}^{512}=\operatorname{ROTR}^{28}(\mathrm{x}) \oplus \operatorname{ROTR}^{34}(\mathrm{x}) \oplus \operatorname{ROTR}^{39}(\mathrm{x})$
4. $\sum_{1}^{512}=\operatorname{ROTR}^{14}(\mathrm{x}) \oplus \operatorname{ROTR}^{18}(\mathrm{x}) \oplus \operatorname{ROTR}^{41}(\mathrm{x})$
5. $\mathrm{W}_{t}=\sigma_{1}^{512}\left(W_{t-2}\right)+W_{t-7}+\sigma_{0}^{512}\left(W_{t-15}\right)+W_{t-16}$
6. $\sigma_{0}^{512}=\operatorname{ROTR}^{1}(\mathrm{x}) \oplus \operatorname{ROTR}^{8}(\mathrm{x}) \oplus \operatorname{SHR}^{7}(\mathrm{x})$
7. $\sigma_{1}^{512}=\operatorname{ROTR}^{19}(\mathrm{x}) \oplus \operatorname{ROTR}^{61}(\mathrm{x}) \oplus \operatorname{SHR}^{6}(\mathrm{x})$
```
k[0..79] := [ 0x428a2f98d728ae22, 0x7137449123ef65cd, 0xb5c0fbcfec4d3b2f, 0xe9b5dba58189dbbc, 0x3956c25bf348b538,
    0x59f111f1b605d019, 0x923f82a4af194f9b, 0xablc5ed5da6d8118, 0xd807aa98a3030242, 0x12835b0145706fbe,
    0x243185be4ee4b28c, 0x550c7dc3d5ffb4e2, 0x72be5d74f27b896f, 0x80deb1fe3b1696b1, 0x9bdc06a725c71235,
    0xc19bf174cf692694, 0xe49b69c19ef14ad2, 0xefbe4786384f25e3, 0x0fc19dc68b8cd5b5, 0x240calcc77ac9c65,
    0x2de92c6f592b0275, 0x4a7484aабeабe483, 0x5cb0a9dcbd41fbd4, 0x76f988da831153b5, 0x983e5152ee66dfab,
    0xa831c66d2db43210, 0xb00327c898fb213f, 0xbf597fc7beef0ee4, 0xc6e00bf33da88fc2, 0xd5a79147930aa725,
    0x06ca6351e003826f, 0x142929670a0e6e70, 0x27b70a8546d22ffc, 0x2elb21385c26c926, 0x4d2c6dfc5ac42aed,
    0x53380d139d95b3df, 0x650a73548baf63de, 0x766a0abb3c77b2a8, 0x81c2c92e47edaee6, 0x92722c851482353b,
    0xa2bfe8a14cf10364, 0xa8la664bbc423001, 0xc24b8b70d0f89791, 0xc76c51a30654be30, 0xd192e819d6ef5218,
    0xd69906245565a910, 0xf40e35855771202a, 0x106aa07032bbd1b8, 0x19a4cl16b8d2d0c8, 0xle376c085141ab53,
    0x2748774cdf8eeb99, 0x34b0bcb5e19b48a8, 0x391c0cb3c5c95a63, 0x4ed8aa4ae3418acb, 0x5b9cca4f7763e373,
    0x682e6ff3d6b2b8a3, 0x748f82ee5defb2fc, 0x78a5636f43172f60, 0x84c87814alf0ab72, 0x8cc702081a6439ec,
    0x90befffa23631e28, 0xa4506cebde82bde9, 0xbef9a3f7b2c67915, 0xc67178f2e372532b, 0xca273eceea26619c,
    0xd186b8c721c0c207, 0xeada7dd6cde0eble, 0xf57d4f7fee6ed178, 0x06f067aa72176fba, 0x0a637dc5a2c898a6
    0x113f9804bef90dae, 0x1b710b35131c471b, 0x28db77f523047d84, 0x32caab7b40c72493, 0x3c9ebe0a15c9bebc,
    0x431d67c49c100d4c, 0x4cc5d4becb3e42b6, 0x597f299cfc657e2a, 0x5fcb6fab3ad6faec, 0x6c44198c4a475817
```

Figure 1: Constants used in the Secure hash algorithm

### 3.1.2. Constants

SHA-512 uses the sequence of 80 constant 64 -bit words , $K_{0}^{512}, K_{1}^{512}, K_{2}^{512}, \ldots \ldots ., K_{79}^{512}$. These constants are formed by the fractional part of cube roots of the first eighty prime numbers. The Constants represented in the Figure 1are in Hexadecimal (from left to right).

### 3.1.3. Initialization of vectors

For SHA-512, Initialization vectors, in hex:

1. $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{(0)}=0 \times 6 \mathrm{a} 09 \mathrm{e} 667 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{bcc} 908$
2. $\mathrm{H}_{4}^{(0)}=0 \times 510 \mathrm{e} 527$ fade 682 d 1
3. $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{(0)}=0 x b b 67 \mathrm{ae} 8584 \mathrm{caa} 73 \mathrm{~b} 16$
4. $\mathrm{H}_{5}^{(0)}=0 \times 9 \mathrm{~b} 05688 \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{e} 6 \mathrm{c} 1 \mathrm{f}$
5. $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{(0)}=0 \times 3 \mathrm{c} 6 \mathrm{ef} 372 \mathrm{fe} 94 \mathrm{f} 82 \mathrm{~b}$
6. $H_{6}^{(0)}=0 x 1 f 83 d 9 a b f b 41 b d 6 b$
7. $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{(0)}=0 \times 254 \mathrm{ff} 53 \mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{f} 1 \mathrm{~d} 36 \mathrm{f} 1$
8. $\mathrm{H}_{7}^{(0)}=0 \times 5 \mathrm{be} 0 \mathrm{~cd} 19137 \mathrm{e} 2179$

These words are obtained by drawing the fractional part of square roots of the first eight prime numbers.

### 3.2. Propagating Hash values

SHA-512 Algorithm can be obtained from two methods : Pre-Processing and hash computation.

### 3.2.1. Pre-Processing

The process includes padding of message.The padded message is divided into m-bit blocks which are used for hash computation.The first hash is generated for block-1 using initial vector values. The process will be continued for remaining blocks by using previous generated hash value.

Appending the bit " 1 " to the end of the message. For example Message( 8 -bit ASCII) "abc" has length $8 \times 3=24$ ,so the message is padded with a " 1 " bit, then $896-(24+1)=871$ " 0 " bits and then the message length $(\ell)$, to become the 1024- bit padded message.

### 3.2.2. Word Generation

The first 16 words from $W_{0}$ to $W_{15}$ are fetched from the message block of size 1024 bits. Remaining words from $W_{16}$ to $W_{79}$ are calculated from the formula 5 in 3.1.1 section.


The length of the padded message should now be a multiple of 1024 bits.
Figure 2: 1024 bits message block

### 3.3. SHA-512 Hash Computation

The padded message of 128 bit length is a complete formatted input, this input is divided into different blocks of size 1024 bits. Each block acts as message schedule which consists of 80 words ( $W_{0} t_{0} W_{79}$ ), each word of size 64 bit. Hash is generated by applying SHA-512 hash functions using Words and constants. The procedure is repeated for all the blocks to generate final hash.


Figure 3: 1024 bits message block

### 3.3.1. SHA-512 Hash Propagation using 80 rounds (Rounds and Addition)

SHA-512 consists of 80 rounds, in each round hash function is used to generate hash for the next round which is stored in 8 buffers as shown in the figure 4 . In each round, Word $W_{i}$ and hash constant $K_{i}$ is used to generate intermediate hash. After completing 80 rounds final result is added with the Initial vectors to get final hash message.


Figure 4: SHA-512 Hash generator using 80 rounds

### 3.3.2. SHA-512 Round function

Initially 8 buffers are assigned with initial vectors 3.1.3.In each round from 0 to 79 , buffer values will get updated and which is calculated by the round functions. Round functions are referred from $1,2,3$ and 4 . These functions are applied as shown in the Figure 5.


Figure 5: SHA-512 Round function for each round

The final hash propagated after applying round function is shown below for message "abc":
ddaf35a193617aba cc417349ae204131 12e6fa4e89a97ea2 0a9eeee64b55d39a
2192992a274fc1a8 36ba3c23a3feebbd 454d4423643ce80e 2a9ac94fa54ca49f

## 4. Implementation of MSHA-512

MSHA-512 is implemented in order to increase the performance of the SHA-512 algorithm. We propose a new hash function which will reduce the rounds of SHA-512 to its half. In SHA-512 we need minimum 80 rounds to generate the hash of any message but in MSHA-512 40 rounds are enough to generate exactly the same hash for the same message.
For example as we discussed in the SHA-512 for message "abc" hash generated was :
ddaf35a193617aba cc417349ae204131 12e6fa4e89a97ea2 0a9eeee64b55d39a
2192992a274fc1a8 36ba3c23a3feebbd $454 d 4423643 c e 80 e \quad$ 2a9ac94fa54ca49f
The above hash was generated by applying the SHA-512 round functions to all 80 rounds. Similarly for the same message "abc" hash is generated using MSHA-512 is :
ddaf35a193617aba cc417349ae204131 12e6fa4e89a97ea2 0a9eeee64b55d39a
2192992a274fc1a8 36ba3c23a3feebbd 454d4423643ce80e 2a9ac94fa54ca49f
The above hash was generated by applying the MSHA-512 round functions in to 40 rounds. More rounds increases the security in cryptography but it also decreases the performance therefore the aim of MSHA-512 is to increase the performance of the algorithm by reducing the rounds and the same security is maintained by applying the new hash functions in MSHA-512.

### 4.1. MSHA-512 hash computation ( Reducing rounds )

In MSHA-512 hash is generated after completion of 40 rounds. In each round we will use two consecutive words and two consecutive constants to generate hash by using round function. Obtained hash is used in next rounds for generating final hash.Reference 1 constants are used in this process.


Figure 6: MSHA-512 hash computation

### 4.1.1. Hash computation procedure

Eight buffers are stored using initial vectors $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{(0)}, \mathrm{H}_{1}^{(0)}, \mathrm{H}_{2}^{(0)}, \mathrm{H}_{3}^{(0)}, \mathrm{H}_{4}^{(0)}, \mathrm{H}_{5}^{(0)}, \mathrm{H}_{6}^{(0)}, \mathrm{H}_{7}^{(0)}$ reference 3.1.3. Each vector named as a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h respectively. In each round these eight buffers are used to store intermediate result.


Figure 7: Message compression technique for MSHA-512

Where $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}=\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{W}_{t+1}+\mathrm{K}_{t+1} \mathrm{~h}^{\prime}=\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{W}_{t}+\mathrm{K}_{t}$
From round 0 to 39 , buffer value will get updated and these buffer values are calculated by the round functions 1 , 2,3 and 4 referred from section 3.1.1. These functions are applied as shown in the Figure 7 and 8 .


Figure 8: Buffer Swapping

MSHA-512 hash computation procedure involves two methods in each round.

- Message compression technique.
- Buffer swapping.


### 4.1.2. MSHA-512 Hash Computation algorithm

MSHA-512 uses both message compression technique and buffer swapping method in each round as shown in algorithm 4.1.2 to generate final hash.

```
Fori=1 to N
{
```

1. Prepare the message schedule, $\left\{W_{t}\right\}$ :

$$
W_{t}= \begin{cases}M_{t}^{(i)} & 0 \leq t \leq 15 \\ \sigma_{1}^{\{512\}}\left(W_{t-2}\right)+W_{t-7}+\sigma_{0}^{\{5127}\left(W_{t-15}\right)+W_{t-16} & 16 \leq t \leq 79\end{cases}
$$

2. Initialize the eight working variables, $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}$, and $\boldsymbol{h}$, with the $(i-1)^{\text {st }}$ hash value:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=H_{0}^{(i-1)} \\
& b=H_{1}^{(i-1)} \\
& c=H_{2}^{(i-1)} \\
& d=H_{3}^{(i-1)} \\
& e=H_{4}^{(i-1)} \\
& f=H_{5}^{(i-1)} \\
& g=H_{6}^{(i-1)} \\
& h=H_{7}^{(i-1)} \\
& \text { 3. } \boldsymbol{f o r}(\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{t}<\mathbf{8 0} ; \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{2}) \\
& \text { \{ } \\
& h^{\prime}=h+W_{t}+K_{t} \\
& g^{\prime}=g+W_{t+1}+K_{t+1} \\
& T_{1}=\sum_{1}^{512}(e)+\operatorname{ch}(e, f, g)+h^{\prime} \\
& T_{2}=\sum_{0}^{512}(a)+\operatorname{Maj}(a, b, c) \\
& T_{3}=T_{1}+T_{2} \\
& T_{4}=T_{1}+d \\
& \Theta_{1}=\sum_{1}^{512}\left(T_{4}\right)+\operatorname{ch}\left(T_{4}, e, f\right)+g^{\prime} \\
& \Theta_{2}=\sum_{0}^{512}\left(T_{3}\right)+\operatorname{Maj}\left(T_{3}, a, b\right) \\
& h=f \\
& g=e \\
& f=T_{4} \\
& e=c+\Theta_{1} \\
& d=b \\
& c=a \\
& b=T_{3} \\
& a=\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{2} \\
& \text { \} }
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Compute the $i_{t h}$ intermediate hash value $H^{(i)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}^{(i)}=a+H_{0}^{(i-1)} \\
& H_{1}^{(i)}=b+H_{1}^{(i-1)} \\
& H_{2}^{(i)}=c+H_{2}^{(i-1)} \\
& H_{3}^{(i)}=d+H_{3}^{(i-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{4}^{(i)}=e+H_{4}^{(i-1)} \\
& H_{5}^{(i)}=f+H_{5}^{(i-1)} \\
& H_{6}^{(i)}=g+H_{6}^{(i-1)} \\
& H_{7}^{(i)}=h+H_{7}^{(i-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 9: MSHA-512 Hash Computation algorithm

### 4.2. MSHA-512 example (one-block Message)

### 4.2.1. Preprocessing - Message scheduling

Preprocessing involves padding message , initialize buffer, assigning the constants. Let the message ' M ' of ASCII string be "abc" of 24-bit ( $\ell=24$ ) , 24-bit binary string : 011000010110001001100011 which is equivalent to 616263 in hex value The length of string ' M ' is 24 bits which is equivalent to 18 hex value The message is padded by appending a "1" bit, followed by 871 " 0 " bits, and ending with the hex value 00000000000000000000000000000018 .
The 1024-bit message block, in hexadecimal is,

| 6162638000000000 | 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 |
| 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 |
| 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000000 | 0000000000000018 |

First 16 Words are generated from message block that is from $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ to $\mathrm{W}_{15}$
$\mathrm{W}_{0}=6162638000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{1}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{2}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{3}=0000000000000000$
$\mathrm{W}_{4}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{5}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{6}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{7}=0000000000000000$
$\mathrm{W}_{8}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{9}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{10}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{11}=0000000000000000$
$\mathrm{W}_{12}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{13}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{14}=0000000000000000 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{15}=0000000000000018$ MSHA-512 needs 80 words for processing and the remaining words from $\mathrm{W}_{16}$ to $\mathrm{W}_{79}$ is calculated using formula reference 5 in section 3.1.1
MSHA-512 constants are drawn from SHA-512, reference 1.

### 4.2.2. Hash generation

The following schedule shows the hex values for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$, and h after pass t of the "for $\mathrm{t}=0$ to 39 " loop described in Figure 7 and 8.

Table 2
Hash table for 40 rounds

| Rounds | $a / e$ | $b / f$ | $c / g$ | $d / h$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{t}=0$ | 1320f8c9fb872cc0 | f6afceb8bcfcddf5 | 6a09e667f3bcc908 | bb67ae8584caa73b |
|  | c3d4ebfd48650ffa | 58cb02347ab51f91 | 510e527fade682d1 | 9b05688c2b3e6c1f |
| $\mathrm{t}=1$ | 5a83cb3e80050e82 | ebcffc07203d91f3 | 1320f8c9fb872cc0 | f6afceb8bcfcddf5 |
|  | 0b47b4bb1928990e | dfa9b239f2697812 | c3d4ebfd48650ffa | 58cb02347ab51f91 |
| $\mathrm{t}=2$ | af573b02403e89cd | b680953951604860 | 5a83cb3e80050e82 | ebcffc07203d91f3 |
|  | 96f60209b6dc35ba | 745aca4a342ed2e2 | 0b47b4bb1928990e | dfa9b239f2697812 |
| $\mathrm{t}=3$ | 8093d195e0054fa3 | c4875b0c7abc076b | af573b02403e89cd | b680953951604860 |
|  | 86f67263a0f0ec0a | 5a6c781f54dcc00c | 96f60209b6dc35ba | 745aca4a342ed2e2 |
| $\mathrm{t}=4$ | 81782d4a5db48f03 | f1eca5544cb89225 | 8093d195e0054fa3 | c4875b0c7abc076b |
|  | 00091f460be46c52 | d0403c398fc40002 | 86f67263a0f0ec0a | 5a6c781f54dcc00c |
| $\mathrm{t}=5$ | db0a9963f80c2eaa | 69854c4aa0f25b59 | 81782d4a5db48f03 | f1eca5544cb89225 |
|  | 475975b91a7a462c | d375471bde1ba3f4 | 00091f460be46c52 | d0403c398fc40002 |
| $\mathrm{t}=6$ | 44249631255d2ca0 | 5e41214388186c14 | db0a9963f80c2eaa | 69854c4aa0f25b59 |
|  | 860acf9effba6f61 | cdf3bff2883fc9d9 | 475975b91a7a462c | d375471bde1ba3f4 |


| Rounds | a/e | b/f | c/g | d/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}=7$ | 0ae07c86b1181c75 | fa967eed85a08028 | 44249631255 d 2 ca 0 | $5 \mathrm{e} 41214388186 \mathrm{c} 14$ |
|  | a77b7c035dd4c161 | 874bfe5f6aae9f2f | 860acf9effba6f61 | cdf3bff2883fc9d9 |
| $\mathrm{t}=8$ | 4725be249ad19e6b | caf81a425d800537 | 0ae07c86b1181c75 | fa967eed85a08028 |
|  | f47e8353f8047455 | 2 deecc 6 b 39 d 64 d 78 | a77b7c035dd4c161 | 874bfe5f6aae9f2f |
| $\mathrm{t}=9$ | 9a3fb4d38ab6cf06 | 3 c 4 b 4104168 e 3 edb | 4725be249ad19e6b | caf81a425d800537 |
|  | f14998dd5f70767e | 29695 fd 88 d 81 dbd 0 | f47e8353f8047455 | 2deecc6b39d64d78 |
| $\mathrm{t}=10$ | da34d6673d452dcf | 8dc5ae65569d3855 | 9a3fb4d38ab6cf06 | 3 c 4 b 4104168 e 3 edb |
|  | 8e30ff09ad488753 | 4bb9e66d1145bfdc | f14998dd5f70767e | 29695fd88d81dbd0 |
| $\mathrm{t}=11$ | 4f6877b58fe55484 | 3e2644567b709a78 | da34d6673d452dcf | 8dc5ae65569d3855 |
|  | c66005f87db55233 | 0ac2b11da8f571c6 | 8e30ff09ad488753 | 4bb9e66d1145bfdc |
| $\mathrm{t}=12$ | 0bc5f791f8e6816b | 9aff71163fa3a940 | 4f6877b58fe55484 | 3e2644567b709a78 |
|  | 6ddf1fd7edcce336 | d3ecf13769180e6f | c66005f87db55233 | 0ac2b11da8f571c6 |
| $\mathrm{t}=13$ | eab4a9e5771b8d09 | 884c3bc27bc4f941 | 0bc5f791f8e6816b | 9aff71163fa3a940 |
|  | 09068a4e255a0dac | e6e48c9a8e948365 | 6ddf1fd7edcce336 | d3ecf13769180e6f |
| $\mathrm{t}=14$ | 74bf40f869094c63 | e62349090f47d30a | eab4a9e5771b8d09 | 884c3bc27bc4f941 |
|  | f0aec2fe1437f085 | Ofcdf99710f21584 | 09068a4e255a0dac | e6e48c9a8e948365 |
| $\mathrm{t}=15$ | ff4d3f1f0d46a736 | 4c4fbbb75f1873a6 | 74bf40f869094c63 | e62349090f47d30a |
|  | 3 cd 388 e 119 e 8162 e | 73e025d91b9efea3 | f0aec2fe1437f085 | Ofcdf99710f21584 |
| $\mathrm{t}=16$ | 60d4e6995ed91fe6 | a0509015ca08c8d4 | ff4d3f1f0d46a736 | 4c4fbbb75f1873a6 |
|  | efabbd8bf47c041a | e1034573654a106f | 3 cd 388 e 119 e 8162 e | 73 e 025 d 91 b 9 efea 3 |
| $\mathrm{t}=17$ | 1a081afc59fdbc2c | 2c59ec7743632621 | 60d4e6995ed91fe6 | a0509015ca08c8d4 |
|  | f098082f502b44cd | Ofbae670fa780fd3 | efabbd8bf47c041a | e1034573654a106f |
| $\mathrm{t}=18$ | 002bb8e4cd989567 | 88df85b0bbe77514 | 1a081afc59fdbc2c | 2c59ec7743632621 |
|  | 66adcfa249ac7bbd | 8fbfd0162bbf4675 | f098082f502b44cd | Ofbae670fa780fd3 |
| $\mathrm{t}=19$ | 8e01e125b855d225 | b3bb8542b3376de5 | 002bb8e4cd989567 | 88df85b0bbe77514 |
|  | 0c710a47ba6a567b | b49596c20feba7de | 66adcfa249ac7bbd | 8fbfd0162bbf4675 |
| $\mathrm{t}=20$ | e96f89dd48cbd851 | b01521dd6a6be12c | 8e01e125b855d225 | b3bb8542b3376de5 |
|  | f0996439e7b50cb1 | 169008b3a4bb170b | 0c710a47ba6a567b | b49596c20feba7de |
| $\mathrm{t}=21$ | 35d7e7f41defcbd5 | bc05ba8de5d3c480 | e96f89dd48cbd851 | b01521dd6a6be12c |
|  | cc5100997f5710f2 | 639cb938e14dc190 | f0996439e7b50cb1 | 169008b3a4bb170b |
| $\mathrm{t}=22$ | 021fbadbabab5ac6 | c47c9d5c7ea8a234 | 35 d 7 e 7 f 41 defcbd5 | bc05ba8de5d3c480 |
|  | e95c2a57572d64d9 | 858d832ae0e8911c | cc5100997f5710f2 | 639cb938e14dc190 |
| $\mathrm{t}=23$ | 6 b 69 fc 1 bb 482 feac | f61e672694de2d67 | $021 \mathrm{fbadbabab5ac6}$ | c47c9d5c7ea8a234 |
|  | 35264334c03ac8ad | c6bc35740d8daa9a | e95c2a57572d64d9 | 858d832ae0e8911c |
| $\mathrm{t}=24$ | ca9bd862c5050918 | 571 f 323 d 96 b 3 a 047 | 6 b 69 fc 1 bb 482 feac | f61e672694de2d67 |
|  | dfe091dab182e645 | 271580ed6c3e5650 | 35264334 c 03 ac 8 ad | c6bc35740d8daa9a |
| $\mathrm{t}=25$ | d43f83727325dd77 | 813a43dd2c502043 | ca9bd862c5050918 | 571 f 323 d 96 b 3 a 047 |
|  | 483f80a82eaee23e | 07a0d8ef821c5e1a | dfe091dab182e645 | 271580ed6c3e5650 |
| $\mathrm{t}=26$ | d63f68037ddf06aa | 03df11b32d42e203 | d43f83727325dd77 | 813a43dd2c502043 |
|  | a6781efe1aa1ce02 | 504f94e40591cffa | 483f80a82eaee23e | 07a0d8ef821c5e1a |
| $\mathrm{t}=27$ | 63b460e42748817e | f650857b5babda4d | d63f68037ddf06aa | 03df11b32d42e203 |
|  | c6b4dd2a9931c509 | 9ccfb31a86df0f86 | a6781efe1aa1ce02 | 504f94e40591cffa |


| Rounds | a/e | b/f | c/g | d/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}=28$ | 4b81c3aec976ea4b | 7a52912943d52b05 | 63b460e42748817e | f650857b5babda4d |
|  | 70505988124351 ac | d2e89bbd91e00be0 | c6b4dd2a9931c509 | 9ccfb31a86df0f86 |
| $\mathrm{t}=29$ | 2c074484ef1eac8c | 581ecb3355dcd9b8 | 4b81c3aec976ea4b | 7a52912943d52b05 |
|  | 4797cde4ed370692 | 6a3c9b0f71c8bf36 | 70505988124351 ac | d2e89bbd91e00be0 |
| $\mathrm{t}=30$ | cfcd928c5424e2b6 | 3857dfd2fc37d3ba | $2 \mathrm{c} 074484 \mathrm{ef1eac8c}$ | 581ecb3355dcd9b8 |
|  | 09aee5bda1644de5 | a6af4e9c9f807e51 | 4797cde4ed370692 | 6a3c9b0f71c8bf36 |
| $\mathrm{t}=31$ | ab44e86276478d85 | a81 dedbb9f19e643 | cfcd928c5424e2b6 | 3857 dfd 2 fc 37 d 3 ba |
|  | cd881ee59ca6bc53 | 84058865d60a05fa | 09aee5bda1644de5 | a6af4e9c9f807e51 |
| $\mathrm{t}=32$ | eeb9c21bb0102598 | 5a806d7e9821a501 | ab44e86276478d85 | a81dedbb9f19e643 |
|  | 3b5fed0d6a1f96e1 | aa84b086688a5c45 | cd881ee59ca6bc53 | 84058865d60a05fa |
| $\mathrm{t}=33$ | 54ba35cf56a0340e | 46c4210ab2cc155d | eeb9c21bb0102598 | 5a806d7e9821a501 |
|  | 1c66f46d95690bcf | 29fab5a7bff53366 | 3b5fed0d6a1f96e1 | aa84b086688a5c45 |
| $\mathrm{t}=34$ | fb6aaae5d0b6a447 | 181839d609c79748 | 54ba35cf56a0340e | 46c4210ab2cc155d |
|  | e3711cb6564d112d | 0ada78ba2d446140 | 1c66f46d95690bcf | 29fab5a7bff53366 |
| $\mathrm{t}=35$ | f15e9664b2803575 | 7652c579cb60f19c | fb6aaae5d0b6a447 | 181839d609c79748 |
|  | 947c3dfafee570ef | aff62c9665ff80fa | e3711cb6564d112d | Oada78ba2d446140 |
| $t=36$ | 20878dcd29cdfaf5 | 358406d165aee9ab | f15e9664b2803575 | 7652c579cb60f19c |
|  | 054d3536539948d0 | 8c7b5fd91a794ca0 | 947c3dfafee570ef | aff62c9665ff80fa |
| $\mathrm{t}=37$ | c8960e6be864b916 | 33d48dabb5521de2 | 20878dcd29cdfaf5 | 358406d165aee9ab |
|  | $995019 \mathrm{a} 6 \mathrm{ff} 3 \mathrm{ba3de}$ | 2ba18245b50de4cf | 054d3536539948d0 | 8c7b5fd91a794ca0 |
| $\mathrm{t}=38$ | d67806db8b148677 | 654ef9abec389ca9 | c8960e6be864b916 | 33d48dabb5521de2 |
|  | 25c96a7768fb2aa3 | ceb9fc3691ce8326 | $995019 \mathrm{a} 6 \mathrm{ff} 3 \mathrm{ba3de}$ | 2ba18245b50de4cf |
| $\mathrm{t}=39$ | 73a54f399fa4b1b2 | 10d9c4c4295599f6 | d67806db8b148677 | 654ef9abec389ca9 |
|  | d08446aa79693ed7 | 9bb4d39778c07f9e | 25c96a7768fb2aa3 | ceb9fc3691ce8326 |

The Process will completed for one message block $\mathrm{M}^{(1)}$, if we have ' N ' message blocks $\mathrm{M}^{(N)}$ each message block will be processed 40 rounds as shown in figure 3 . After $40^{\text {th }}$ round the final hash is generated by adding Hash ' N ' and initial vectors.
$\mathrm{H}_{0}^{(0)}=0 \times 6 \mathrm{a} 09 \mathrm{e} 667 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{bcc} 908+73 \mathrm{a} 54 \mathrm{f} 399 \mathrm{fa} 4 \mathrm{~b} 1 \mathrm{~b} 2=\mathrm{ddaf} 35 \mathrm{a} 193617 \mathrm{aba}$
$\mathrm{H}_{1}^{(0)}=0 \times \mathrm{xb} 67 \mathrm{ae} 8584 \mathrm{caa} 73 \mathrm{~b} 16+10 \mathrm{~d} 9 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 4295599 \mathrm{f} 6=\mathrm{cc} 417349 \mathrm{ae} 204131$
$\mathrm{H}_{2}^{(0)}=0 \times 3 \mathrm{c} 6 \mathrm{ef} 372 \mathrm{fe} 94 \mathrm{f} 82 \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{d} 67806 \mathrm{db} 8 \mathrm{~b} 148677=12 \mathrm{e} 6 \mathrm{fa} 4 \mathrm{e} 89 \mathrm{a} 97 \mathrm{ea} 2$
$\mathrm{H}_{3}^{(0)}=0 \times 354 \mathrm{ff} 53 \mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{f} 1 \mathrm{~d} 36 \mathrm{f} 1+654 \mathrm{ef} 9 \mathrm{abec} 389 \mathrm{ca} 9=0 \mathrm{a} 9$ eeee64b55d39a
$\mathrm{H}_{4}^{(0)}=0 \times 510 \mathrm{e} 527 \mathrm{fade} 682 \mathrm{~d} 1+\mathrm{d} 08446 \mathrm{aa} 79693 \mathrm{ed} 7=2192992 \mathrm{a} 274 \mathrm{fc} 1 \mathrm{a} 8$
$\mathrm{H}_{5}^{(0)}=0 \mathrm{x} 9 \mathrm{~b} 05688 \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{e} 6 \mathrm{c} 1 \mathrm{f}+9 \mathrm{bb} 4 \mathrm{~d} 39778 \mathrm{c} 07 \mathrm{f} 9 \mathrm{e}=36 \mathrm{ba3c} 23 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{feebbd}$
$\mathrm{H}_{6}^{(0)}=0 \times 1 f 83 \mathrm{~d} 9 \mathrm{abfb} 41 \mathrm{bd} 6 \mathrm{~b}+25 \mathrm{c} 96 \mathrm{a} 7768 \mathrm{fb} 2 \mathrm{aa} 3=454 \mathrm{~d} 4423643 \mathrm{ce} 80 \mathrm{e}$
$\mathrm{H}_{7}^{(0)}=0 \times 5 \mathrm{be} 0 \mathrm{~cd} 19137 \mathrm{e} 2179+$ ceb9fc3691ce8326 $=2 \mathrm{a} 9 \mathrm{ac} 94 \mathrm{fa} 54 \mathrm{ca} 49 \mathrm{f}$
The resulting 512-bit message digest for string message "abc",
ddaf35a193617aba cc417349ae204131 12e6fa4e89a97ea2 0a9eeee64b55d39a
2192992a274fc1a8 36ba3c23a3feebbd 454d4423643ce80e 2a9ac94fa54ca49f

## 5. Results

### 5.1. Hash comparison

Experimental result shows that the final hash generated in the $40^{\text {th }}$ round of MSHA-512 is similar to the final hash generated by SHA-512.

| Hash generated in SHA-512 [3] | Hash generated in MSHA-512 |
| :--- | :--- |
| ddaf35a193617aba cc417349ae204131 12e6fa4e89a97ea2 | ddaf35a193617aba cc417349ae204131 12e6fa4e89a97ea2 |
| 0a9eeee64b55d39a 2192992a274fc1a8 36ba3c23a3feebbd | 0a9eeee64b55d39a 2192992a274fc1a8 36ba3c23a3feebbd |
| 454d4423643ce80e 2a9ac94fa54ca49f | 454d4423643ce80e 2a9ac94fa54ca49f |

Table 4
Hash generated in both SHA-512 and MSHA-512

### 5.2. Features of SHA-512 and MSHA-512

By comparing the features of SHA-512 and MSHA-512 algorithm we can observe that the time consumed for processing is less in MSHA-512 and also the performance rate is higher when compared to SHA-512 algorithm.

| FEATURES | SHA-512 | MSHA-512 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clock Cycles | 80 | 40 |
| MaxFrequency $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 118.043 MHz | 118.043 MHz |
| Throughput | 1.51 Gbps | 3.02 Gbps |
| Digest Length | 512 | 512 |
| Time delay $(\mathrm{ns})$ | 677.719 ns | 314.931 ns |

Table 5
Features of SHA-512 and MSHA-512

### 5.3. Throughput

The Maximum data throughput can be computed by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Throughput }=\frac{\text { Message Block Size } * \text { Max Clock Frequency }}{\text { Number of Rounds }} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Max Clock Frequency }=\frac{1}{(\text { Max Data Path Delay }- \text { Min Data Path Delay }+ \text { Tsetup })} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For one message block of ASCII string "abc".
Message Block size $=1024$ bits.
Max clock Frequency of SHA-512 is 118.043 MHz from the timing analysis.
Assuming that we have Max Clock Frequency of MSHA-512 is 118.043 MHz . Even though frequency will be less than that of SHA-512. Below throughput can be drawn using above formula.
Using the (eq. 1) maximum expected throughput for SHA-512 is: $\mathbf{1 0 2 4 b} \times \mathbf{1 1 8 . 0 4 3 M H z 8 0}=\mathbf{1 . 5 1 G} \mathbf{G b p s}$.
Using the (eq. 1) maximum expected throughput for MSHA-512: $\mathbf{1 0 2 4 b} \times \mathbf{1 1 8 . 0 4 3 M H z 4 0}=\mathbf{3 . 0 2 G b p s}$

### 5.4. Performance comparison of SHA-512 and MSHA-512

To measure the performance of SHA-512 and MSHA-512 we have implemented in JavaScript, for easy access and quick generation of hash particularly in Blockchain technology.The average time for generating hash in both SHA-512 and MSHA-512 is calculated and the timing graph is generated based on the performance. The timing graph shown below represents the time taken for hash generation in both SHA-512 and MSHA-512 for one block message of ASCII string "abc".


Figure 10: Execution time of an both SHA-512 and MSHA-512 using web servers

As shown in the figure 10 timing graph of MSHA-512 algorithm yields 0.62 ms to execute the function and the total time taken to generate hash is 1.84 ms , similarly SHA-512 algorithm yields 1.43 ms to execute function and the total time taken to generate hash is 2.25 ms .
The Time comparison between MSHA-512 and SHA-512 is shown below :

| MSHA-512 hash generation time |  |  | SHA-512 hash generation time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self Time | Total Time | Activity | Self Time | Total Time | Activity |
| $0.6 \mathrm{~ms} 33.8 \%$ | $1.8 \mathrm{~ms} 100.0 \%$ | - MSHA512 | 1.4 ms 63.6\% | 2.3 ms 100.0 \% | - SHA512 |
| $0.5 \mathrm{~ms} 27.5 \%$ | $0.5 \mathrm{~ms} 27.5 \%$ | - safe_add_2 | $0.2 \mathrm{~ms} 10.6 \%$ | $0.2 \mathrm{~ms} 10.6 \%$ | - safe_add_4 |
| 0.4 ms $24.0 \%$ | $0.4 \mathrm{~ms} 24.0 \%$ | - maj | $0.2 \mathrm{~ms} 10.4 \%$ | $0.2 \mathrm{~ms} 10.4 \%$ | - safe_add_5 |
| $0.2 \mathrm{~ms} \quad 8.6 \%$ | $0.2 \mathrm{~ms} \quad 8.6 \%$ | - $\square$ rotr | $0.1 \mathrm{~ms} \quad 5.3 \%$ | $0.1 \mathrm{~ms} \quad 5.3 \%$ | - $\square \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $0.1 \mathrm{~ms} \quad 6.2 \%$ | $0.1 \mathrm{~ms} \quad 6.2 \%$ | - binb2hex | $0.1 \mathrm{~ms} 5.1 \%$ | 0.2 ms 10.1 \% | - sigma1 |
|  |  |  | $0.1 \mathrm{~ms} 5.1 \%$ | $0.1 \mathrm{~ms} 5.1 \%$ | - rotr |

Table 6
Functions time comparison

## 6. Conclusion

SHA-512 is a powerful hash function which generates hash with high collision resistance feature. Because of its message compression technique and 80 rounds to generate hash provides us more security for the different kind of applications like Integrity maintenance, message authentication and Blockchain technology. We have presented MSHA-512 with high performance having 40 rounds instead of 80 rounds when compared with SHA-512 algorithm.Result shows that MSHA-512 produces less clock cycles and less frequency with high throughput. The experimental result shows that generation of hash for particular string is same in both SHA-512 and MSHA-512.The Results also discussed that MSHA-512 is more powerful than SHA-512 in both performance as well as in security. The code was tested and successfully implemented in JavaScript. The Google development tools are used to analyse and compare both SHA-512 and MSHA-512 functions. MSHA-512 in future helps in generating hash for blockchain with quick access and fast retrieval of blocks and we can merge blockchain with IOT for better communication process.
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