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#### Abstract

This paper continues previous ones about compression of points on elliptic curves $E_{b}: y^{2}=x^{3}+b$ (with $j$-invariant 0 ) over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of characteristic $p>3$. More precisely, we will show in detail how any two (resp. three) points from $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ can be quickly compressed to two (resp. three) elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (apart from a few auxiliary bits) in such a way that the corresponding decompression stage requires to extract only one cubic (resp. sextic) root in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (with several multiplications and without inversions). As a result, for many $q$ occurring in practice the new compression-decompression methods are more efficient than the classical one with the two (resp. three) $x$ or $y$ coordinates of the points, which extracts two (resp. three) roots in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. It will be explained why the new methods are useful in the context of modern real-world pairing-based protocols such as Groth16. As a by-product, when $q \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ (in particular, $E_{b}$ is supersingular), we will obtain a two-dimensional analogue of Boneh-Franklin's encoding, that is a way to sample two "independent" $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points on $E_{b}$ at the cost of one cubic root in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Finally, the case of four and more points from $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ will be commented on.
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## 1 Introduction

Nowadays, pairing-based cryptography [12] can be certainly considered as an independent fruitful area of public-key cryptography, which is interesting from both mathematical and practical points of view. There are countless pairingbased protocols, many of which have found applications in the real world. It is worth noting protocols based on composite-order groups such as Boneh-Goh-Nissim's (BGN) somewhat homomorphic encryption [5] or Boneh-SahaiWaters's fully collusion resistant traitor tracing [6]. It is also impossible not to mention succinct non-interactive zero-knowledge (NIZK) proofs among which one of the most popular is Groth16 [15]. And their recursive compositions are constructed via chains of elliptic curves as first suggested in [2].

Unfortunately, composite-order subgroups of $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ must be very large to be protected against sub-exponential factorization algorithms. By virtue of Hasse's inequality (see, e.g., [12, Theorem 2.9]) we have $\# E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \approx q$, hence pairing computation on $E_{b}$ turns out to be very cumbersome as confirmed in [17]. Fortunately, with the help of so-called Freeman's transformation 14 (cf. [16, Sections $9-10]$ ) we can almost always rewrite a protocol in the composite-order setting to the prime-order one operating with point vectors from $E_{b}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ for a smaller $q$ and some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, an instance of the subgroup decision problem is a (prime-) order subgroup of $E_{b}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. For the majority of protocols it is sufficient to take $n=2$, but there are some protocols (such as Katz-Sahai-Waters's predicate encryption [14, Section 7]) needing $n=3$.

As said, e.g., in [11, Section 2.2] for the sake of efficiency of recursive proofs one needs to leverage pairing-friendly elliptic curves defined over highly 2-adic fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, that is the number $q-1$ should be divisible by a non-small power $2^{m}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. More precisely, this allows to apply the fast Fourier transform (FFT) in order to speed up the polynomial arithmetic over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. To be definite, we will suppose that high 2 -adicity takes place if $m \geqslant 3$, but in practice usually $20<m<60$. Our choice follows from the fact that (as is known, e.g., from [12, Section 5.1 .7$])$ for $q \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ it is problematic to express a square root in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ via one exponentiation. Of course, we can always utilize TonelliShanks's algorithm, namely [12, Algorithm 5.14] (cf. [32]), but it has a greater computational complexity.

Recall that curves $E_{b}$ are ordinary (a.k.a. non-supersingular) if and only if the characteristic $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ or, equivalently, a primitive cubic root $\omega:=\sqrt[3]{1}$ lies in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Since only curves $E_{b}$ possess order 6 automorphism (of the form $[-\omega](x, y):=(\omega x,-y))$, according to [12, Section 3.2.5] such pairing-friendly ordinary curves are preferred in pairing-based cryptography. To the author's knowledge, at the moment, the most popular curves are BLS12-381 31, Section 4.2.1] for a general use and BLS12-377 [11, Table 2] for one layer proof composition, where the numbers after the hyphen equal $\left\lceil\log _{2}(q)\right\rceil$. Moreover, the field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of the latter curve (in contrast to the former one) is highly 2 -adic with $m=46$. Among other things, the pages [19], [20] specify 2 -cycles of curves of $j$-invariant 0 (over highly 2 -adic fields) among which only one is pairing-friendly.

In compliance with [18, Examples IV.1.3.5-6] elliptic curves are not rational, i.e., they are not birationally isomorphic to the affine line $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. Therefore from the geometric point of view the most compact representation of them is on the affine plane $\mathbb{A}_{(x, y)}^{2}$, for example in the Weierstrass form. Consequently, any point from $E_{b}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2 n}$ is obviously represented with the help of $2 n\left\lceil\log _{2}(q)\right\rceil$ bits. In particular, for $n=2$ (resp. $n=3$ ) and $\log _{2}(q) \approx 380$ we obtain $\approx 1520$ (resp. $\approx 2280$ ) bits, which is quite a lot. For instance, two $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points constitute a half of the proof in Groth16 [15, Table 1]. In comparison, with the same 128-bit security level classical (i.e., non-pairing-friendly) elliptic curves are defined over 256-bit fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. And many widespread cryptosystems on such curves (e.g., ECDH or ECDSA) don't require compressing several points at once, so it is sufficient to manipulate only 512 bits.

At the same time, by virtue of Hasse's inequality $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points on $E_{b}$ can be compressed to about half with regard to information theory. There is the classical compression-decompression method representing a point as its $x$ (resp. $y$ ) coordinate in addition to one (resp. two) bits to uniquely recover the initial $y$ (resp. $x)$ coordinate via extracting in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ the square (resp. cubic) root. In comparison with standard arithmetical operations in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the latter one is very costly, because even for $q \not \equiv 1(\bmod 8)($ resp. $q \not \equiv 1(\bmod 27))$ it consists in one exponentiation in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ according to Lemma 3 (resp. 4 ). As a result, after compressing $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-point vectors of length $n=2$ (resp. $n=3$ ) we obtain $\approx 760$ (resp. $\approx 1140$ ) bits at the price of $n$ exponentiations in the decompression stage.

### 1.1 Brief description of the new compression method and its relevance

Apart from $\tau_{6}:=[-\omega]$ there are on $E_{b}$ the automorphisms

$$
\tau_{2}:=\tau_{6}^{3}:(x, y) \mapsto(x,-y), \quad \tau_{3}:=\tau_{6}^{4}:(x, y) \mapsto(\omega x, y)
$$

of orders 2 and 3 , respectively. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in\{2,3,6\}$ consider the diagonal subgroup $G_{n, m}:=\left\langle\left(\tau_{m}, \ldots, \tau_{m}\right)\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / m$ of the automorphism group on $E_{b}^{n}$. Notice that it is Frobenius invariant even if $\omega \notin \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Further, introduce the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-quotient $G K_{n, m}:=E_{b}^{n} / G_{n, m}$, which is called generalized Kummer variety [34, Section 7], because for $m=2$ this is a (usual) Kummer variety [34, Example 8.1]. Also, we need the notation of the quotient $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-cover $\varphi_{n, m}: E_{b}^{n} \rightarrow G K_{n, m}$, which, as usual [18, Theorem I.4.4], gives the function field extension $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(G K_{n, m}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(E_{b}^{n}\right)$. Whenever $m=2$ or $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, by virtue of Artin's theorem (see, e.g., [27, Theorem VI.1.8]) $\varphi_{n, m}$ is a Galois cover whose Galois group equals $G_{n, m}$. Therefore $\varphi_{n, m}$ is a Kummer cover due to [27, Theorem VI.6.2]. All of the above is illustrated with the famous examples $\varphi_{1,2}(x, y)=x$ and $\varphi_{1,3}(x, y)=y$.

We see that $G K_{1, m}$ are obviously rational curves. More generally, there is the analogous notion of (geometrically) rational variety as defined in [18, Example II.8.20.1]. Rationality of the surfaces $G K_{2,3}, G K_{2,6}$ is a classical fact. According to [28, Section 2] the threefold $G K_{3,6}$ is also rational and there are [8, Questions $1.3,1.4]$ about rationality of $G K_{4,6}, G K_{5,6}$. In turn, the varieties $G K_{n, m}$ are never rational for $n \geqslant m$ in accordance with [34, Example 8.10], [28, Remark 2.9]. In fact, we are interested in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rationality of $G K_{n, m}$. In a cryptographic context this concept 30, Definition 6.1] first arose in so-called torus-based cryptography for compressing $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points of algebraic tori. By the way, since pairing values can be interpreted as such points, this compression technique is known to be useful in pairing-based cryptography.

For the Kummer covers $\varphi_{n, m}$ computing an inverse image $\varphi_{n, m}^{-1}(P)$ of a point $P \in \varphi_{n, m}\left(E_{b}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)$ can be implemented by means of extracting in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ some root of degree $m$. Suppose that $G K_{n, m}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational variety and there are explicit formulas of a birational $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-isomorphism $\psi_{n, m}: G K_{n, m} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and its inverse $\psi_{n, m}^{-1}: \mathbb{A}^{n} \simeq G K_{n, m}$. As is customary in algebraic geometry, the arrow $\rightarrow$ (resp. $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ ) means a (bi)rational map rather than an (iso)morphism, that is the
map may be undefined at some points. Treating them separately, we thus get a new compression-decompression method for all $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points on $E_{b}^{n}$. Indeed, the compression (resp. decompression) stage consists in evaluating the map $\chi_{n, m}:=$ $\psi_{n, m} \circ \varphi_{n, m}$ at a general point $Q \in E_{b}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ (resp. finding $\chi_{n, m}^{-1}(R)$, where $R:=$ $\left.\chi_{n, m}(Q)\right)$.

For the surface $G K_{2,3}$ (resp. $G K_{2,6}$ ) $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rationality is explicitly established in Section 2 (resp. [22, Sections 2-3]), although these results cannot be considered very important for pure mathematics because of their simplicity. Besides, it turns out that $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-formulas of $\psi_{3,6}^{ \pm 1}$, derived in [28, Section 2] for $b=-1$, are still valid for any $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. However if the field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is not highly 2-adic, to compress points from $E_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ (resp. $E_{b}^{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ ) we will apply in Section 4 slightly another approach based on $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rationality of $G K_{1,3}$ (resp. $G K_{2,3}$ ). Nevertheless, since the varieties $G K_{n, 3}$ are not rational for $n>2$, we can only hope for breakthroughs concerning $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rationality of $G K_{4,6}, G K_{5,6}$. At the same time, cryptographers rarely come across protocols, obtained by Freeman's transformation, manipulating $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-point vectors of length greater than three.

We know that under the condition $q \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ a curve $E_{b}$ is supersingular and every element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ has a unique cubic root in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Moreover, in accordance with [33, Theorem 3.3.15] the group $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} /(q+1)$. Although $\varphi_{n, 3}$ are no longer Galois covers, we still can find the inverse image under $\varphi_{n, 3}$ via extracting a cubic root in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. In particular, $\varphi_{1,3}^{-1}: \mathbb{F}_{q} \rightarrow E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $\varphi_{2,3}^{-1}: G K_{2,3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \rightarrow$ $E_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ are true maps, that is they are correctly defined for each input argument. The former is widely known as Boneh-Franklin's encoding [12, Section 8.3.2]. The latter gives rise to the new encoding $\chi_{2,3}^{-1}: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2} \rightarrow E_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, because points of a (possibly reducible) $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-curve, where $\psi_{2,3}^{-1}$ is not defined, as usual, can be easily processed independently. Thus $\chi_{2,3}^{-1}$ allows to generate two "independent" $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ points on $E_{b}$ twice as efficient as $\varphi_{1,3}^{-1}$ applied two times. "Independence" means that the discrete logarithm between these points is unknown to anyone.

As far as the author knows, at the moment, supersingular curves are not preferable in the pairing context, because of their small embedding degrees $(\leqslant 3$ in the characteristic $p>3$ according to [12, Section 4.3]). The only exception is a recent verifiable delay function ( $V D F$ ) developed in [10], where pairings are combined with isogenies. However this and other isogeny-based protocols (such as CSIDH [7) deal with many supersingular curves. Of course, CSIDH has one starting curve, which may be of $j$-invariant 0 , but these protocols don't require to (often) sample points on it. Perhaps, in the near future advanced isogenybased protocols will appear for which the task of efficient regular sampling on the starting curve is important.

An idea of batch compressing points on an elliptic $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-curve is not new. It has already arisen in [13] for any number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of points (and not necessarily for $j$-invariant 0 ) under the name multiple point compression similarly to double and triple ones in [21]. The methods of these papers compress to $n+1$ elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, i.e., the representation is not optimal. However their decompression stages don't need to find any roots in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, but only one inverse element. In comparison
with root extraction, the inversion operation has much faster Euclidean-type constant-time implementations [4, [29].

Whenever $n$ is large, the previous approach is expected to be the best tradeoff between compactness and efficiency, even despite the fact that the number of necessary multiplications in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ grows quite rapidly with increasing $n$. This tendency is already evident in the cases $n \in\{4,5\}$ discussed in [13, Section 3]. For simplicity, let $k:=n / 3 \in \mathbb{N}$. Trivially, we can apply the new triple compression method separately $k$ times. Unfortunately, in the corresponding decompression stage it is inevitable to extract $k$ sextic roots, since there is no technique like Montgomery's trick for multiple inversions. Nevertheless, at least for $n \in\{2,3\}$ the new approach is the best if bandwidth/memory is more critical than speed.

## 2 Derivation of formulas

By analogy with [23, Theorem 9], we have
Lemma 1. There is (up to a birational $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-isomorphism) the affine model

$$
G K_{2,3}=\left(y_{1}^{2}-b\right) t^{3}-\left(y_{0}^{2}-b\right) \quad \subset \quad \mathbb{A}_{\left(t, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)}^{3}
$$

for which the corresponding quotient map has the form

$$
\varphi_{2,3}: E_{b}^{2} \longrightarrow G K_{2,3} \quad\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(\frac{x_{0}}{x_{1}}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)
$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(G K_{2,3}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(E_{b}^{2}\right)^{G_{2,3}}$, that is rational functions on $G K_{2,3}$ are $G_{2,3}$-invariant ones on $E_{b}^{2}$. Also, consider the field

$$
F:=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(t, y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(G K_{2,3}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad t:=\frac{x_{0}}{x_{1}}
$$

Note that $F\left(x_{1}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(E_{b}^{2}\right)$, because $x_{0}=t x_{1}$. Since $x_{1}^{3}=y_{1}^{2}-b$, the extension degree $\left[\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(E_{b}^{2}\right): F\right] \leqslant 3$. At the same time, $\left[\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(E_{b}^{2}\right): \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(G K_{2,3}\right)\right]=3$ according to Artin's theorem. Thus $F=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(G K_{2,3}\right)$. Finally, by looking at the equalities $t^{3}=x_{0}^{3} / x_{1}^{3}=\left(y_{0}^{2}-b\right) /\left(y_{1}^{2}-b\right)$, we obtain the aforementioned equation for $G K_{2,3}$. There are no other dependencies between the coordinates $t, y_{0}$, $y_{1}$, because $G K_{2,3}$ is a surface in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$. It remains to apply [18, Corollary I.4.5].

Theorem 1. The generalized Kummer surface $G K_{2,3}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational.
Proof. Let's borrow the approach used for proving [23, Theorem 12]. It is based on the theory of conic bundles (see, e.g., [23, Section 1.4]), but the reader can verify the formulas below (e.g., in Magma [26]) without knowledge of this theory. There is the natural conic bundle structure

$$
\pi: G K_{2,3} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{t}^{1} \quad\left(t, y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \mapsto t
$$

In other words, $G K_{2,3}$ can be seen as an $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$-conic. In a diagonal form,

$$
G K_{2,3}=-y_{0}^{2}+t^{3} y_{1}^{2}+b\left(1-t^{3}\right)
$$

Therefore the degenerate (i.e., reducible or, equivalently, singular) fibers of $\pi$ lie over $t \in\{0, \infty\} \cup\left\{\omega^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{2}$, where $\infty:=(1: 0) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. More precisely, for these $t$ we see that $\pi^{-1}(t)=L_{t}^{+} \cup L_{t}^{-}$, where

$$
L_{0}^{ \pm}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t=0, \\
y_{0}= \pm \sqrt{b},
\end{array} \quad L_{\infty}^{ \pm}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t=\infty, \\
y_{1}= \pm \sqrt{b},
\end{array} \quad L_{\omega^{i}}^{ \pm}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t=\omega^{i} \\
y_{1}= \pm y_{0}
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
$$

First, after the transformation

$$
\tau:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{0}:=y_{0}, \\
z_{1}:=t y_{1},
\end{array} \quad \tau^{-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{0}:=z_{0} \\
y_{1}:=z_{1} / t
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

we obtain the cubic surface

$$
G K_{2,3}^{\prime}:=\tau\left(G K_{2,3}\right)=-z_{0}^{2}+t z_{1}^{2}+b\left(1-t^{3}\right) \quad \subset \quad \mathbb{A}_{\left(t, z_{0}, z_{1}\right)}^{3}
$$

We then blow down [18, Section V.3] one of the components $\tau\left(L_{1}^{ \pm}\right)$by means of the transformation

$$
\theta:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{0}:=\frac{z_{0}-z_{1}}{1-t}, \\
y_{1}:=\frac{z_{0}-t z_{1}}{1-t},
\end{array} \quad \theta^{-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{0}:=-t y_{0}+y_{1} \\
z_{1}:=-y_{0}+y_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

coming to

$$
S:=\theta\left(G K_{2,3}^{\prime}\right)=t y_{0}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}+b\left(t^{2}+t+1\right) \quad \subset \quad \mathbb{A}_{\left(t, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)}^{3}
$$

Further, blowing down simultaneously some pair of components over $t \in\left\{\omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$ has the form

$$
\eta:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{0}:=\frac{(t+1) y_{0}+y_{1}}{t^{2}+t+1}, \\
z_{1}:=\frac{t y_{0}+(t+1) y_{1}}{t^{2}+t+1},
\end{array} \quad \eta^{-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{0}:=(t+1) z_{0}-z_{1} \\
y_{1}:=-t z_{0}+(t+1) z_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

which gives the simpler surface

$$
T:=\eta(S)=t z_{0}^{2}-z_{1}^{2}+b \quad \subset \quad \mathbb{A}_{\left(t, z_{0}, z_{1}\right)}^{3}
$$

Note that the maps $\tau, \theta, \eta$ respect the conic bundle $\pi$, that is they can be seen as $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$-isomorphisms of conics. That's why we avoid the tautology $t:=t$ in their description. Finally, the projection $\operatorname{pr}: T \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{A}_{\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right)}^{2}$ is a desired map, because $t=\left(z_{1}^{2}-b\right) / z_{0}^{2}$.

For the compositions $\psi_{2,3}:=p r \circ \eta \circ \theta \circ \tau$ and $\chi_{2,3}:=\psi_{2,3} \circ \varphi_{2,3}$ Magma [26] says that
$\chi_{2,3}: E_{b}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right)}^{2} \quad \chi_{2,3}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}z_{0}:=\frac{x_{1}\left(2 x_{0}^{2} y_{1}-x_{0} x_{1}\left(y_{0}-y_{1}\right)-2 y_{0} x_{1}^{2}\right)}{y_{0}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}}, \\ z_{1}:=\frac{x_{0}^{3} y_{1}+2 x_{0} x_{1}\left(x_{0} y_{1}-y_{0} x_{1}\right)-y_{0} x_{1}^{3}}{y_{0}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}},\end{array}\right.$
$\psi_{2,3}^{-1}: \mathbb{A}_{\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right)}^{2} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\sim} G K_{2,3} \quad \psi_{2,3}^{-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}t:=\frac{z_{1}^{2}-b}{z_{0}^{2}}, \\ y_{0}:=\frac{z_{0}^{3} z_{1}-2 z_{0}\left(z_{0}-z_{1}\right)\left(z_{1}^{2}-b\right)-\left(z_{1}^{2}-b\right)^{2}}{z_{0}^{3}}, \\ y_{1}:=-\frac{z_{0}^{2}\left(z_{0}-2 z_{1}\right)+\left(2 z_{0}-z_{1}\right)\left(z_{1}^{2}-b\right)}{z_{1}^{2}-b} .\end{array}\right.$
Let's consider the cases when the denominators equal zero. Obviously, $t \in$ $\{0, \infty\} \Rightarrow x_{0} x_{1}=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} / 6:\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=[-\omega]^{k}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In turn, it can easily be checked that $z_{0}=0$ (i.e., $z_{1}= \pm \sqrt{b}$ under the condition $t \neq 0)$ if and only if $\left(t, y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\varrho_{ \pm}\right)$for the sections of $\pi$ given by

$$
\varrho_{ \pm}: \mathbb{A}_{t}^{1} \rightarrow G K_{2,3} \quad \varrho_{ \pm}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{0}:= \pm \sqrt{b}(2 t+1) \\
y_{1}:=\frac{ \pm \sqrt{b}(t+2)}{t}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is readily seen that

$$
t=\frac{y_{0} \mp \sqrt{b}}{ \pm 2 \sqrt{b}}=\frac{ \pm 2 \sqrt{b}}{y_{1} \mp \sqrt{b}}
$$

and eventually we get the conics

$$
C_{ \pm 1}:=\operatorname{Im}\left(\varrho_{ \pm 1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(y_{0} \mp \sqrt{b}\right)\left(y_{1} \mp \sqrt{b}\right)-4 b \quad \subset \quad \mathbb{A}_{\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)}^{2},
$$

where $\varrho_{ \pm 1}^{\prime}:=p r \circ \varrho_{ \pm}$.

## 3 New compression method for two points

We need the auxiliary sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{\prime}:=\left\{(x, y) \in E_{b} \mid x y=0\right\} \cup\{\mathcal{O}\} \quad \subset \quad E_{b}[2] \cup E_{b}[3], \\
V:=E_{b} \times V^{\prime} \cup V^{\prime} \times E_{b},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}:=(0: 1: 0)$. Formally, for two points $P_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ from $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \backslash V^{\prime}$ the new compression map has the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{com}_{2,3}: E_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \backslash V \\
\operatorname{com}_{2,3}\left(P_{0}, P_{1}\right):= \begin{cases}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, k, 0\right) & \text { if } \left.\quad \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} / 6: P_{1}=[-\omega]^{k}\left(P_{0}\right), 5\right] \times[0,2] \\
\left(t, x_{1}, k, 1\right) & \text { if } \quad \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} / 2:\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in C_{(-1)^{k}}, \\
\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, n, 2\right) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right)=\chi_{2,3}\left(P_{0}, P_{1}\right)$ and $n \in[0,2]$ is the position number of the element $x_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ in the set $\left\{\omega^{i} x_{1}\right\}_{i=0}^{2} \cap \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ with respect to some order in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. For example, in the case of a prime $q$ this can be the usual numerical one. It is worth noting that in the definition of $\operatorname{com}_{2,3}$ the condition (1) is successively checked by iterating over elements of $\mathbb{Z} / 6$. The same strategy is applied for the second condition $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z} / 2:\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in C_{(-1)^{k}}$. Further, the set $[0,5] \times[0,2]$ clearly requires 5 bits for representing its elements. Finally, since in discrete logarithm cryptography points of small orders don't occur, we omit the definition of the compression map on $V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ for the sake of simplicity, although it can be easily defined if desired.

The corresponding decompression map is given as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{com}_{2,3}^{-1}: \operatorname{Im}\left(\operatorname{com}_{2,3}\right) \\
\operatorname{com}_{2,3}^{-1}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, m, \ell\right)= \begin{cases}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, x_{1}, y_{q}\right) & \text { if } \ell=0 \text { and }\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=[-\omega]^{m}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right), \\
\left(z_{0} z_{1}, y_{0}, z_{1}, y_{1}\right) & \text { if } \ell=1 \text { and }\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\varrho_{(-1)^{m}}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \\
\left(t x_{1}, y_{0}, x_{1}, y_{1}\right) & \text { if } \quad \ell=2 \text { and }\left(t, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\psi_{2,3}^{-1}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right),\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

where for $\ell=2$ the initial $x_{1}=\sqrt[3]{g_{1}}$ (for $g_{1}:=y_{1}^{2}-b$ ) can be determined with the help of $m=n$.

According to the next lemma for $q \not \equiv 1(\bmod 27)$ the cubic root $\sqrt[3]{g_{1}}$ can be extracted at the cost of one exponentiation in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (in particular, without inverting the denominator of $g_{1}$ ).

Lemma 2. Given $g=u / v \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{3}$ such that $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, we obtain:

$$
\sqrt[3]{g}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
u \cdot\left(u^{2} v\right)^{(q-2) / 3} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 2(\bmod 3) \\
u^{3} \cdot\left(u^{8} v\right)^{(q-4) / 9} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 4(\bmod 9) \\
u v^{5} \cdot\left(u v^{8}\right)^{(q-7) / 9} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 7(\bmod 9) \\
\zeta u v^{8} \cdot\left(u^{2} v^{25}\right)^{(q-10) / 27} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 10(\bmod 27) \\
\zeta u v^{17} \cdot\left(u v^{26}\right)^{(q-19) / 27} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 19(\bmod 27)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $\zeta \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{(q-1) / 9}$.

Proof. Let's consider, e.g., the case $q \equiv 7(\bmod 9)$, which is relevant for the curve BLS12-377. For $e:=(q+2) / 9 \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{e}=u^{e} \cdot v^{q-1-e}=u^{e} \cdot v^{(8 q-11) / 9}=u v^{5} \cdot\left(u v^{8}\right)^{(q-7) / 9} \\
& \left(g^{e}\right)^{3}=g^{(q+2) / 3}=g^{(q-1) / 3} \cdot g=g
\end{aligned}
$$

The cases $q \equiv 4(\bmod 9)$ and $q \equiv 10(\bmod 27)$ are similarly processed in $[25$, Equalities $(2),(3)]$. The remaining cases $q \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $q \equiv 19(\bmod 27)$ are left to the reader.

Since the projective or Jacobian coordinates [12, Sections 2.3.2 and 10.7.9] are preferred in practice, the decompression stage doesn't require finding inverse elements at all. By definition, in these coordinates the curve $E_{b}$ possesses the equations

$$
\overline{E_{b}}: Y^{2} Z=X^{3}+b Z^{3}, \quad \overline{E_{b}}: Y^{2}=X^{3}+b Z^{6}
$$

respectively. And there are the birational isomorphisms

$$
\sigma: \overline{E_{b}} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{b} \quad(X: Y: Z) \mapsto\left(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z}\right), \quad(X: Y: Z) \mapsto\left(\frac{X}{Z^{2}}, \frac{Y}{Z^{3}}\right)
$$

respectively. By the way, in both cases,

$$
\sigma^{-1}: E_{b} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{E_{b}} \quad(x, y) \mapsto(x: y: 1) .
$$

If the compression stage starts from the projective or Jacobian coordinates, then even in the classical method it is necessary to compute one inverse in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Indeed, given two points $\left(X_{i}: Y_{i}: Z_{i}\right) \in \overline{E_{b}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with $Z_{i} \neq 0$, one needs the value $v:=\left(Z_{0} Z_{1}\right)^{-1}$ in order to get $Z_{0}^{-1}=v Z_{1}$ and $Z_{1}^{-1}=v Z_{0}$. This famous trick, originally attributed to Montgomery, is clearly generalized to any number of inversions.

In the compression stage of the new method instead of the two inversions $v$, $\left(y_{0}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1}$ only one is also enough, because

$$
\chi_{2,3} \circ \sigma^{\times 2}=\left(\frac{\text { num }_{0}}{\operatorname{den}}, \frac{\text { num }_{1}}{\operatorname{den}}\right): \quad{\overline{E_{b}}}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right)}^{2}
$$

for some polynomials $\operatorname{num}_{i}$, den $\in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{i}, Y_{i}, Z_{i}\right]_{i=0}^{1}$ trivially obtained from the formulas of $\chi_{2,3}$. To determine the position number $n$ one needs to know $Z_{1}^{-1}$, hence we should in fact invert $Z_{1} \cdot$ den. It is worth emphasizing that all of the above is equally valid for the degenerate cases $\ell \in\{0,1\}$. To sum up, an optimized implementation of $\operatorname{com}_{2,3}^{ \pm 1}$ in Magma is represented in [26] for projective coordinates and $q \equiv 7(\bmod 9)$.

## 4 Folklore compression method for two points and its variation for three ones

First, we put $f_{i}:=x_{i}^{3}+b$ and $g_{i}:=y_{i}^{2}-b$. Since the numbers 2,3 are relatively prime, the roots $y_{0}=\sqrt{f_{0}}$ and $x_{1}=\sqrt[3]{g_{1}}$ can be extracted simultaneously, that
is at the cost of a sixth root in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Indeed, for $h:=f_{0}^{3} g_{1}^{2}$ it is sufficient to compute $\alpha:=\sqrt[6]{h}=\sqrt{f_{0}} \sqrt[3]{g_{1}}$, because $\sqrt[3]{g_{1}}=f_{0} g_{1} / \alpha^{2}$ and $\sqrt{f_{0}}=\alpha / \sqrt[3]{g_{1}}$. Moreover, by analogy with [22, Section 3], whenever $q \not \equiv 1(\bmod 8), q \not \equiv 1(\bmod 27)$, the value $\alpha$ can be expressed via one exponentiation in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. This follows directly from the fact that $\alpha=\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{h}}$ and from the next easily verified lemmas.

Lemma 3. Given a quadratic residue $a \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2}$, we have:

$$
\sqrt{a}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
a^{(q+1) / 4} & \text { if } & q \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \\
\zeta \cdot a^{(q+3) / 8} & \text { if } & q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $\zeta \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{(q-1) / 4}$.
Lemma 4. Given a cubic residue $a \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{3}$, we have:

$$
\sqrt[3]{a}= \begin{cases}a^{(2 q-1) / 3} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 2(\bmod 3), \\ a^{(8 q-5) / 9} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 4(\bmod 9), \\ a^{(q+2) / 9} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 7(\bmod 9), \\ \zeta \cdot a^{(2 q+7) / 27} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 10(\bmod 27), \\ \zeta \cdot a^{(q+8) / 27} & \text { if } \quad q \equiv 19(\bmod 27)\end{cases}
$$

for some $\zeta \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{(q-1) / 9}$.
In fact, the last lemma is nothing but Lemma 2 with $v=1$.
Thus there is the compression map

$$
E_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \backslash V \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2} \times[0,5] \quad\left(P_{0}, P_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{0}, y_{1}, n\right)
$$

where $n \in[0,5]$ is the position number of the element $y_{0} x_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ in the set $\left\{(-1)^{i} \omega^{j} \cdot y_{0} x_{1}\right\}_{i=0, j=0}^{1,2} \cap \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ with respect to some order in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. As above, $n$ is used in the decompression stage for recovering the original $y_{0}, x_{1}$. Notice that at the heart of this method is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rationality of

$$
E_{b}^{2} / G=E_{b} / G_{1,2} \times E_{b} / G_{1,3}, \quad \text { where } \quad G:=G_{1,2} \times G_{1,3} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 6
$$

Let's call the method folklore, because it doesn't require an algebraic geometry technique, so perhaps someone already knows it. The significant drawback of the folklore method consists in the fact that (in contrast to $\operatorname{com}_{2,3}$ ) it doesn't work efficiently over highly 2 -adic fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, that is Lemma 3 cannot be leveraged. The same drawback exists for the other method [22, Sections 2-3] based on $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ rationality of $G K_{2,6}$. Nevertheless, since the folklore one has a slightly simpler definition, we conclude that it is more preferred for use when possible.

Similarly, one can apply the folklore methodology to the new method with $z_{0}, z_{1}$ in order to compress three points $P_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ from $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \backslash V^{\prime}$. As earlier, consider the set

$$
V:=E_{b}^{2} \times V^{\prime} \cup E_{b} \times V^{\prime} \times E_{b} \cup V^{\prime} \times E_{b}^{2}
$$

It is about the compression map
$E_{b}^{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \backslash V \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3} \times[0,5] \times[0,2] \times[0,1] \quad\left(P_{0}, P_{1}, P_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, x_{2}, n, \ell, s\right)$,
where $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, m, \ell\right)=\operatorname{com}_{2,3}\left(P_{0}, P_{1}\right)$ and in the non-degenerate case $\ell=2$ the number $n \in[0,5]$ is the position of the element $x_{1} y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. In turn, for $\ell \in$ $\{0,1\}$ we put $n:=m$ and the additional sign bit $s$ is utilized to recover $y_{2}$ (regardless of $P_{0}, P_{1}$ ). Since for these $\ell$ the latter points are obtained without root computations, the overall complexity doesn't go beyond one exponentiation in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Besides, pay attention that for $\ell=2$ the root $\sqrt[6]{h}$ (where $h:=g_{1}^{2} f_{2}^{3}$ ) can still be found at the cost of one exponentiation in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ even if the inverse of the denominator of $h$ (i.e., of $g_{1}^{2}$ ) is unknown. By analogy with $\sqrt{\cdot}$ (see, e.g., [3, Section 5]) and $\sqrt[3]{ }$ ( see Lemma 24), it is explained in [24, Section 2] how to do this for $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4), q \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, or, equivalently, $q \equiv 11(\bmod 12)$. For self-completeness, let's repeat the reasoning. For $e:=(q+1) / 12 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h=u / v \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{6}$ such that $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{e}=u^{e} \cdot v^{q-1-e}=u^{e} \cdot v^{(11 q-13) / 12}=u v^{9} \cdot\left(u v^{11}\right)^{(q-11) / 12} \\
& \left(h^{e}\right)^{6}=h^{(q+1) / 2}=h^{(q-1) / 2} \cdot h=h .
\end{aligned}
$$

The author invites the reader to independently check that this trick is easily generalized to other $q \not \equiv 1(\bmod 8), q \not \equiv 1(\bmod 27)$.

Thus we completely justified Tables 1,2 , which contain a complexity comparison (all the operations are carried out in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ) of the compression-decompression methods for two and three points, respectively. As is customary, the addition, subtraction, and multiplication operations in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ are omitted, because they are much cheaper. Taking this opportunity, the author emphasizes that arguments of the given paper, related to avoiding the inversion operation, are equally valid for the previous compression-decompression methods. In other words, the number of inversions in [23, Theorem 13] and [22, Tables 1, 2] can be actually reduced to only one in the compression stage (at the price of several multiplications). So, inter alia, one can resort to those methods to compress the second half of the proof in Groth16.
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## Appendix A. Compressing $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right) \times E_{b_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$

Throughout the current supplementary section, we will assume that $q \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ or, equivalently, $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Unlike the main part of the paper, here the opposite situation would be drastically different as it becomes clear below. Given $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \backslash\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2}$, let $b=b_{0}+b_{1} \sqrt{\gamma}$ and $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $b b_{2} \neq 0$. Our goal is to simultaneously compress points $(x, y)=\left(x_{0}+x_{1} \sqrt{\gamma}, y_{0}+y_{1} \sqrt{\gamma}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ from the sets $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right), E_{b_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, respectively (here $\left.x_{j}, y_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. This problem is relevant for pairing delegation [1] and type 4 pairings [9, Section 3] whenever the embedding degree of the curve $E_{b_{2}}$ is equal to 12 . In this popular case, $E_{b}$ is a sextic twist of $E_{b_{2}}$ over the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. See [12, Section 3.2.5] to understand the significance of twists in pairing-based cryptography.

For compressing $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$ it is suggested to apply the method from 23]. The given method extracts a cubic root in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in the decompression stage. Therefore the concatenation of its result $z_{0}, z_{1}$ with $x_{2}$ gives rise to the compression method for $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right) \times E_{b_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with the cost of a sextic root in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, by analogy with compressing three $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points in Section 4 .

Table 3 exhibits a complexity comparison (all the operations are carried out in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ) of the compression-decompression methods for points in the projective or Jacobian coordinates. As is customary, the addition, subtraction, and multiplication operations in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ are omitted, because they are much cheaper. We use the fact (e.g., from [12, Section 5.2.1]) that an inverse element (resp. square root) in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ can be expressed via an inverse element (resp. two square roots) in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. However, to the author's knowledge, a cubic root in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ is not computed through a few radicals in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. As a result, in comparison with Table 2 the new table doesn't contain the very slow methods with the coordinates $y_{0}, y_{1}, x_{2}$ or $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}$.

The method of [23] is similar to the one of Sections 2, 3. It is based on $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rationality of the surface

$$
G K_{b}:=\alpha(t)\left(y_{0}^{2}+\gamma y_{1}^{2}-b_{0}\right)-\beta(t)\left(2 y_{0} y_{1}-b_{1}\right) \quad \subset \quad \mathbb{A}_{\left(t, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)}^{3}
$$

where $\alpha(t):=3 t^{2}+\gamma$ and $\beta(t):=t\left(t^{2}+3 \gamma\right)$. The latter is nothing but the generalized Kummer surface $R_{b} /[\omega]_{2}$ (up to a birational $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-isomorphism). Here

$$
R_{b}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{0}^{2}+\gamma y_{1}^{2}=\rho_{0}:=x_{0}^{3}+3 \gamma x_{0} x_{1}^{2}+b_{0}, \\
2 y_{0} y_{1}=\rho_{1}:=\gamma x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}+b_{1}
\end{array} \subset \quad \mathbb{A}_{\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)}^{4}\right.
$$

is the Weil restriction (see, e.g., [30, Section 4]) of $E_{b}$, equipped with the $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ automorphism $[\omega]_{2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right):=\left(\omega x_{0}, \omega x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)$ of order 3 . Notice that

$$
t=\frac{x_{0}}{x_{1}}, \quad x_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{2 y_{0} y_{1}-b_{1}}{\alpha(t)}}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{y_{0}^{2}+\gamma y_{1}^{2}-b_{0}}{\beta(t)}}
$$

|  | compression | decompression |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| classical method with $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$ |  | three $\sqrt{ }$ |
| folklore-classical method with $x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{2}$ | one inversion | one $\sqrt[6]{\cdot}$ and one $\sqrt{.}$ |
| new method with $z_{0}, z_{1}, x_{2}$ |  | one $\sqrt[6]{\cdot}$ |

Table 3. Worst-case complexity for compressing $\overline{E_{b}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right) \times \overline{E_{b_{2}}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ (with respect to the projective or Jacobian coordinates). All the methods compress to $\approx 3\left\lceil\log _{2}(q)\right\rceil$ bits.

Although [23] doesn't deal with the case $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ (including the BLS12377 curve), it is not difficult to generalize the results to the given case if desired. We are not going to do this, because our purpose is opposite, namely to specify
the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-parametrization of $G K_{b}$ as clearly as possible on the example of the BLS12-381 curve ( $b_{0}=b_{1}=4$ and $\gamma=-1$ ). That makes sense, since the description in [23, Section 3.1] is not sufficiently explicit.

First, $\sqrt{6}=\sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{-3} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, because $\sqrt{-3}=2 \omega+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, but $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Indeed, $4^{2} \cdot 2$ is the norm of $b=4(1+\sqrt{-1})$ with respect to the quadratic extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\sqrt{b} \notin \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ by virtue of [23, Remark 2]. Second, there is the birational $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-isomorphism

$$
\tau: G K_{b} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right)}^{2} \quad\left(t, y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(\frac{\operatorname{num}_{z_{0}}}{\operatorname{den}_{z}}, \frac{\operatorname{num}_{z_{1}}}{\operatorname{den}_{z}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{num}_{z_{0}}:=f_{0}(t) y_{0}+f_{1}(t) y_{1}, \quad \operatorname{num}_{z_{1}}:=-\sqrt{6} \cdot \alpha(t)\left(t^{2}-4 t+1\right) \\
\operatorname{den}_{z}:=g_{0}(t) y_{0}+g_{1}(t) y_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{0}(t) & :=6\left((7 \sqrt{6}-13) t^{3}-13 t^{2}+(3 \sqrt{6}-1) t-1\right) \\
f_{1}(t) & :=3 \sqrt{6} \cdot \alpha(t)\left((\sqrt{6}-3) t^{2}+\sqrt{6} \cdot t-1\right) \\
g_{0}(t) & :=3\left((\sqrt{6}+2) t^{4}+2 t^{3}-2(4 \sqrt{6}-5) t^{2}+10 t-\sqrt{6}\right) \\
g_{1}(t) & :=6 \alpha(t)((\sqrt{6}-1) t-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

It turns out that

$$
\tau^{-1}: \mathbb{A}_{\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right)}^{\sim} \xrightarrow{\sim} G K_{b} \quad\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(\frac{\operatorname{num}_{t}}{\operatorname{den}_{t}}, \frac{\operatorname{num}_{y_{0}}}{\operatorname{den}_{y}}, \frac{\operatorname{num}_{y_{1}}}{\operatorname{den}_{y}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{num}_{t}:=z_{0}^{2}+12 z_{1}^{2}-1, \quad \operatorname{den}_{t}:=-2\left(z_{0}+6 z_{1}^{2}\right), \quad \operatorname{den}_{y}:=-\sqrt{6} \cdot \alpha(t)\left(t^{2}+1\right), \\
\operatorname{num}_{y_{0}}:=\alpha(t)\left(F_{0}(t) Z_{0}+F_{1}(t) Z_{1}\right), \quad \operatorname{num}_{y_{1}}:=G_{0}(t) Z_{0}+G_{1}(t) Z_{1} \\
Z_{0}:=\frac{z_{0} \cdot \operatorname{den}_{t}+\operatorname{num}_{t}}{z_{1} \cdot \operatorname{den}_{t}}, \quad Z_{1}:=\frac{1}{z_{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{0}(t):=2((\sqrt{6}-1) t-1), \quad F_{1}(t):=(\sqrt{6}-4) t^{2}-4 t+\sqrt{6} \\
& G_{0}(t):=-(\sqrt{6}+2) t^{4}-2 t^{3}+2(4 \sqrt{6}-5) t^{2}-10 t+\sqrt{6} \\
& G_{1}(t):=(\sqrt{6}+2) t^{5}+2 t^{4}+2(3 \sqrt{6}-8) t^{3}-16 t^{2}+(5 \sqrt{6}-2) t-2
\end{aligned}
$$

All the written formulas are checked in Magma [26]. As usual, to compress any points from $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$ it remains to process the degenerate cases when the denominators equal zero. In order not to complicate the text this is left as an elementary exercise.

## Appendix B. Compressing $\boldsymbol{E}_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$ sub-optimally in such a way that decompressing is for free

Let's stick to the notation of the previous section. This one contains formulas obtained in the same way as in [21, Section 3.1] for compressing $E_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ to $\approx$ $3\left\lceil\log _{2}(q)\right\rceil$ bits. The new formulas are very simple and important, but the author didn't find them anywhere else. So the appendix is a good place to write out them. Probably, the similar approach from [21, Section 3.2] in the 3-dimensional case may be also adapted for compressing $E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right) \times E_{b_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ to $\approx 4\left\lceil\log _{2}(q)\right\rceil$ bits.

Given a non-zero point $P=(x, y) \in E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$, consider the $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$-elements

$$
Y:=y_{0}+y_{1}, \quad Y_{1}:=\frac{2\left(\rho_{0}-Y^{2}\right)+(\gamma+1) \rho_{1}}{2(\gamma-1) Y}
$$

Obviously, $\gamma \neq 1$. By looking at the defining equations of $R_{b}$, it is readily checked (see also [26]) that $y_{1}=Y_{1}$ whenever $Y \neq 0$. Therefore we get the compression map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{com}: E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right) \backslash\{\mathcal{O}\} \quad \hookrightarrow \\
\operatorname{com}(P):= \begin{cases}\left(x_{q}^{3} \times x_{1}, y_{1}, 0\right) & \text { if } \quad Y=0,1\} \\
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, Y, 1\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

The corresponding decompression map has the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{com}^{-1}: \operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{com}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \quad E_{b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right) \backslash\{\mathcal{O}\} \\
\operatorname{com}^{-1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, Y^{\prime}, \text { bit }\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(x_{0}, x_{1},-Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } & \text { bit }=0 \\
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, Y^{\prime}-Y_{1}, Y_{1}\right) & \text { if } & \text { bit }=1
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 4 exhibits a complexity comparison (all the operations are carried out in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ) of the compression-decompression methods for $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-points on $E_{b}$. It is worth noting that all the remarks given for Table 3 still hold for the new one.

|  | compression | decompression |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| classical method with $x_{0}, x_{1}$ |  | two $\sqrt{\cdot}$ |
| method from $[23]$ | one $\sqrt[3]{\cdot}$ |  |
| method from $[22$, Section 4$]$ with $\frac{x_{0}}{x_{1}}, \frac{y_{0}}{y_{1}}$ | one inversion | one $\sqrt[6]{\cdot}$ |
| new method with $x_{0}, x_{1}, Y$ |  | for free |

Table 4. Worst-case complexity for compressing $\overline{E_{b}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$ (with respect to the projective or Jacobian coordinates). The last method compresses to $\approx 3\left\lceil\log _{2}(q)\right\rceil$ bits and the other ones compress to $\approx 2\left\lceil\log _{2}(q)\right\rceil$ bits.

