

# On The Dihedral Coset Problem

Javad Doliskani\*

## Abstract

We propose an efficient quantum algorithm for a specific quantum state discrimination problem. An immediate corollary of our result is a polynomial time quantum algorithm for the Dihedral Coset Problem with a smooth modulus. This, in particular, implies that  $\text{poly}(n)$ -unique-SVP is in BQP.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}^n$  and let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}^\Sigma$  be the complex Euclidean space with basis  $\Sigma$ . Define two probability distributions  $\mu_1, \mu_2 : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  on the unit sphere  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$  as follows. The distribution  $\mu_1$  is defined by choosing (not necessarily independent) random  $x, y \in \Sigma$  and outputting the state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|x\rangle + |1\rangle|y\rangle). \quad (1)$$

The distribution  $\mu_2$  is defined by choosing random  $(b, x) \in \{0, 1\} \times \Sigma$  and outputting the state  $|b\rangle|x\rangle$ . In this note, we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** *There is a quantum algorithm that distinguishes, with high probability, between the distributions  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and runs in  $\text{poly}(n)$  operations.*

## 2 A Quantum Walk Algorithm

Our algorithm is based on a quantum walk algorithm introduced in [1]. Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $d$  be a positive integer such that  $2^n \leq p^d$ . Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^d$  be any efficiently invertible injection of sets. Using  $f$ , we can assume that the outputs of the distributions  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are in the space  $\mathbb{C}^\Gamma$  where  $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_p^d$ .

For the sake of consistency, we follow the notations of [1]. Define  $\Delta(x) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$  for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_r$  be the sphere of radius  $r$  around zero in  $\mathbb{F}_p^d$ , that is, the points of  $\mathbb{F}_p^d$  on the hypersurface  $\Delta(x) - r = 0$ . Note that we have  $|\mathcal{S}_r| = \Theta(p^{d-1})$  [3, Section 6.2]. Assume for a moment that we could efficiently perform the (non-unitary) operation

$$U : \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{F}_p^d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{F}_p^d} \\ |x\rangle \longmapsto |\mathcal{S}_1 + x\rangle, \end{array} \quad (2)$$

where

$$|\mathcal{S}_1 + x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{S}_1|}} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_1} |s + x\rangle.$$

---

\*Department of Computer Science, Ryerson University, (javad.doliskani@ryerson.ca).

Then we can distinguish between  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  as follows. Given an unknown distribution  $\rho$  that is one of the  $\mu_1$  or  $\mu_2$ , obtain a sample state  $|\psi\rangle$  from  $\rho$ . Then compute  $(\mathbf{1} \otimes U)|\psi\rangle$  and measure the second register. Let us analyze the post-measurement state.

Case 1:  $|\psi\rangle \in \mu_2$ . The state  $|\psi\rangle$  is of the form  $|b\rangle|x\rangle$  for a random  $(b, x) \in \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_p^d$ , so the post-measurement state is a random bit  $|b\rangle$ .

Case 2:  $|\psi\rangle \in \mu_1$ . The state  $|\psi\rangle$  is of the form (1), so the outcome of the measurement is an element in  $(\mathcal{S}_1 + x) \cap (\mathcal{S}_1 + y)$  with probability  $\Theta(1/p)$ . This is because for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p^d$  we have  $|(\mathcal{S}_1 + x) \cap (\mathcal{S}_1 + y)| \geq \Theta(p^{d-2})$  [3, Remark 6.28]. So the post-measurement state is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$  with probability at least  $\Theta(1/p)$ .

Next, we measure the remaining qubit in the Hadamard basis. If we observe  $|+\rangle$  we return  $\mu_1$ , otherwise we return  $\mu_2$ . Therefore, given a poly( $p$ ) number of the states  $|\psi\rangle$ , we can distinguish, with overwhelming probability, between the two cases. The problem is that we do not know how to perform  $U$  efficiently. However, we show that we can replace  $U$  by a quantum walk unitary and still be able to perform the above steps and obtain a correct result! The following is adapted from Section 3 of [1].

Define the Cayley graph  $G$  with vertices the points in  $\mathbb{F}_p^d$  and generating set the points in  $\mathcal{S}_1$ . The adjacency matrix of  $G$  is

$$A = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_1} |s+x\rangle\langle x|.$$

The eigenvectors of  $A$ , which are independent of the generating set  $\mathcal{S}_1$ , are  $|\tilde{x}\rangle := F_{p^d}|x\rangle$  where  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d$  and  $F_{p^d}$  is the quantum Fourier transform over  $\mathbb{F}_p^d$ . Let  $\omega_p = \exp(2\pi i/p)$ . Then the eigenvalues of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvectors  $|\tilde{x}\rangle$  are

$$\lambda_x = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{S}_1} \omega_p^{\langle x, y \rangle} = \begin{cases} |\mathcal{S}_1| & x = 0, \\ \frac{G_1^d}{p} K_{\chi^d}(1, \frac{\Delta(x)}{4}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $G_1 = \sqrt{p}$  when  $p = 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $G_1 = i\sqrt{p}$  when  $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ , and where  $K_{\chi^d}$  is the  $\chi^d$ -twisted Kloosterman sum defined by

$$K_{\chi^d}(a, b) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi^d(c) \omega_p^{ac+bc^{-1}}.$$

The eigenvalues  $\lambda_x$  can be computed in time poly( $p$ ). Define  $\bar{A} = A - \lambda_0|\tilde{0}\rangle\langle\tilde{0}|$  so that  $\|\bar{A}\| \leq 2\sqrt{p^{d-1}}$ . Let  $t = 1/\sqrt{p^{d-1} \log p}$ , and let  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^d$ . Define the operator  $U$  to be the continuous quantum walk with the Hamiltonian given by  $\bar{A}$  for time  $t$ , i.e.,  $U = e^{i\bar{A}t}$ . Then  $U$  leaves the subspace  $\text{span}\{|x\rangle, |\mathcal{S}_0+x\rangle, |\mathcal{S}_1+x\rangle, \dots, |\mathcal{S}_{p-1}+x\rangle\}$  invariant, so we can write

$$U|x\rangle = \alpha|x\rangle + \alpha_0|\mathcal{S}_0+x\rangle + \alpha_1|\mathcal{S}_1+x\rangle + \dots + \alpha_{p-1}|\mathcal{S}_{p-1}+x\rangle.$$

Using the Taylor expansion of  $U$  we obtain

$$\alpha_1 = \langle \mathcal{S}_1+x | U|x\rangle = it\sqrt{|\mathcal{S}_1|}(1 - O(p^{-1})) + O(\|\bar{A}^2\|t^2).$$

Note that  $\alpha_1$  is independent of the starting vertex  $x$ . If we measure  $U|x\rangle$  in the vertex basis, we obtain an element of  $\mathcal{S}_1+x$  with probability

$$|\alpha_1|^2 = \frac{1}{\log p} + O(\log^{-3/2} p).$$

If we apply  $\mathbb{1} \otimes U$  to the state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|x\rangle + |1\rangle|y\rangle)$$

and measure the second register, the post-measurement state will be  $(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$  with probability  $\Theta(1/(p \log p))$ . Therefore, replacing the non-unitary  $U$  in (2) with the unitary  $U = e^{i\hat{A}t}$  in the above algorithm will only incur a  $\Theta(1/\log p)$  loss in the distinguishing advantage.

Setting  $p = O(n)$  in the above algorithm results in a  $\text{poly}(d \log p) = \text{poly}(n)$  running time complexity, which is enough to prove Theorem 1. However, it is important to note that the number of samples required by the algorithm depends only on  $p$ , so the algorithm can be made sample-efficient by choosing small  $p$ . In any case, the running time complexity will remain  $\text{poly}(n)$ .

### 3 The Dihedral Coset Problem

Let  $N$  be a positive integer. A dihedral coset over the group  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  is a state of the form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|x\rangle + |1\rangle|x+s\rangle), \tag{3}$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  is uniformly random and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  is fixed. Let  $\Sigma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}^\Sigma$ . Define the distribution  $\mu_s : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by choosing  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  uniformly at random and outputting the state (3). The search Dihedral Coset Problem over  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , denoted by  $\text{DCP}_N$ , is the problem of recovering  $s$  given outputs from  $\mu_s$ . The decision- $\text{DCP}_N$  is the problem of distinguishing between  $\mu_s$  and the distribution  $\mu : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by choosing  $(b, x) \in \Sigma$  uniformly at random and outputting the state  $|b\rangle|x\rangle$ .

**Corollary 2.** *There is a quantum algorithm for decision- $\text{DCP}_N$  that runs in  $\text{poly}(\log N)$  operations.*

*Proof.* Set  $\mu_1 = \mu_s$  and  $\mu_2 = \mu$  in Theorem 1. □

When the modulus  $N$  has  $\text{poly}(\log N)$ -bounded prime factors, the search- $\text{DCP}_N$  can be reduced to the decision- $\text{DCP}_N$  in time  $\text{poly}(\log N)$  [2]. Therefore, we have

**Corollary 3.** *For a modulus  $N$  with  $\text{poly}(\log N)$ -bounded prime factors, there is a quantum algorithm for search- $\text{DCP}_N$  that runs in  $\text{poly}(\log N)$  operations.*

It was shown in [4] that a polynomial time quantum algorithm for  $\text{DCP}_N$  with  $N = 2^{\Theta(n^2)}$  implies a polynomial time quantum algorithm for  $\text{poly}(n)$ -unique-SVP. Therefore, we have

**Corollary 4.** *There is a polynomial time quantum algorithm for  $\text{poly}(n)$ -unique-SVP.*

## References

- [1] Andrew M Childs, Leonard J Schulman, and Umesh V Vazirani. Quantum algorithms for hidden nonlinear structures. In *48th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'07)*, pages 395–404. IEEE, 2007.
- [2] Javad Doliskani. Efficient quantum public-key encryption from learning with errors. 2020. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2020/1557>.
- [3] Rudolf Lidl and Harald Niederreiter. *Finite fields*. Number 20. Cambridge university press, 1997.

- [4] Oded Regev. Quantum computation and lattice problems. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 33(3):738–760, 2004.