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#### Abstract

We show that we can break SIDH in polynomial time, even with a random starting curve $E_{0}$.


## 1. Introduction

We extend the recent attacks by [CD22; MM22] and prove that there exists a proven polynomial time attack on SIDH, even with a random starting curve $E_{0}$. Both papers had the independent beautiful idea to use isogenies between abelian surfaces to break a large class of parameter on SIDH. Namely, on a random starting curve $E_{0}$, if the degree of the secret isogenies are $N_{A}>N_{B}$, their attack essentially apply whenever $a:=N_{A}-N_{B}$ is smooth. This is highly unlikely, however they use the fact that it is possible to tweak the parameters $N_{A}$ and $N_{B}$ to augment the probability of success (or reduce the smoothness bound on a), see Remark 1.2. In the case where $\operatorname{End}\left(E_{0}\right)$ is known, [CD22] also have a (heuristic) polynomial time attack, essentially because one can use the endomorphism ring to compute an $a$-isogeny on $E_{0}$ even if it is not smooth.

A natural idea is to go in even higher dimension to extend the range of parameters on which an attack is possible, even on a random curve $E_{0}$. We show that by going to dimension 8, it is possible to break in polynomial time all parameters for SIDH.

In an upcoming version, we will also show how to break a large class of parameters $N_{A}, N_{B}$ by going to dimension 4 rather than 8 . Namely, this is possible whenever we can write $N_{A}=b N_{B}+a$ with $a, b>0$ sum of two squares (along with some slight technical conditions). This is a much more likely condition than smoothness of $N_{A}-N_{B}$, hence (if possible tweaking $N_{A}$ and $N_{B}$ ), we expect this attack to be highly likely and more efficient than the one in this paper in practice.

The idea of the present attack is that we can always write $a, b$ as a sum of four squares, hence we always get an attack in dimension 8 . A rough version of this article was originally published late at night with many errors and mistakes...I originally intended to carefully write a more thorough version of this article fully spelling out the dimension 4 attack too. However, given the interest on this subject, I thought it would be better to first correct the existing mistakes, and leave the generalisation for later.

Many thanks are due to the persons who commented on the prior version. Special thanks to Benjamin Wesolowski and Marco Streng, for suggesting to simply use $b=1$ in the dimension 8 attack. This significantly simplify the description of the attack in this case. (Although as noted above the general $b>0$ case will still be useful for the dimension 4 attack, see Remark 1.2).

Theorem 1.1. We suppose that we are given the following input: we are given a secret $N_{B^{-}}$ isogeny over a finite field $\phi_{B}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{B}$ along with its images on (a basis of) the $N_{A}$-torsion points of $E_{0}$, where $N_{A}$ and $N_{B}$ are smooth coprime integers and $N_{A}>N_{B}$. We also assume

[^0]that we are given the factorisations of $N_{A}$ and $N_{B}$ and (for simplicity) that we are given a basis of $E_{B}\left[N_{B}\right]$.

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the smallest field such that $\phi_{B}$, and the points of $E_{0}\left[N_{A} N_{B}\right]$ are defined ${ }^{1}$. Then we can recover $\phi_{B}$ in time $O\left(\ell_{A}^{8} \log \ell_{A} \log N_{A}+\log ^{2} N_{A}+\log ^{2} N_{B}\right)$ arithmetic operations in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ where $\ell_{A}$ is the largest prime divisor of $N_{A}$.

Note that in in the context of SIDH, if $N_{B}>N_{A}$ we will simply try to recover Alice's secret isogeny $\Phi_{A}$ instead.

Remark 1.2. We can tweak the parameters $N_{A}$ and $N_{B}$ as follow, as in the strategies of [CD22; MM22]: we can replace $N_{A}$ by $N_{A}^{\prime}=e N_{A} / d_{A}$ where $e$ is a small integer (this will require to guess the image of $\Phi_{B}$ on the $N_{A} e$ torsion), and $d_{A}$ any divisor of $N_{A}$, and $N_{B}$ by $N_{B}^{\prime}=f N_{B} / d_{B}$ where $f$ is any smooth integer prime to $N_{A}$ (this requires prolonging $\Phi_{B}$ by an $f$-isogeny) and $d_{B}$ a small divisor of $N_{B}$ (this requires guessing the first $d_{B}$-isogeny step of $\Phi_{B}$. We can hope to find integers $N_{A}^{\prime}$ and $N_{B}^{\prime}, a^{\prime}>0, b^{\prime}>0$ such that $N_{A}^{\prime}>N_{B}^{\prime}$ and $N_{A}^{\prime}=b^{\prime} N_{B}^{\prime}+a^{\prime}$ where both $b^{\prime}$ and $a^{\prime}$ are a sum of two squares. In this case, suppose for simplicity that we can also find a decomposition $b^{\prime}=b_{1}^{\prime 2}+b_{2}^{\prime 2}$ where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is prime to $N_{B}^{\prime}$ (the general case will be tackled in an upcoming revision of this paper). Once these parameter tweaks are found, the complexity of Theorem 1.1 is reduced to $O\left(\ell_{A}^{\prime 4} \log \ell_{A}^{\prime} \log N_{A}^{\prime}+\log ^{2} N_{A}^{\prime}+\log ^{2} N_{B}^{\prime}\right)$ arithmetic operations, because we can replace the endomorphism computation $F$ from an $N_{A}$-endomorphism in dimension 8 to an $N_{A}^{\prime}$-endomorphism in dimension 4.

## 2. Proof

Since $N_{A}>N_{B}$, write $N_{A}=N_{B}+a$ for a positive integer $a>0$. Since $N_{A}$ is prime to $N_{B}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(N_{A}, a\right)=1$.

Let $M \in M_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a $4 \times 4$ matrix such that $t_{M} M=a \mathrm{Id}$, Explicitly we write $a=$ $a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}+a_{4}^{2}$ and take $M$ the matrix of the multiplication of $a_{1}+a_{2} i+a_{3} j+a_{4} k$ in the standard quaternion algebra $\mathbb{Z}[i, j, k]$. Let $\alpha_{0}$ be the endomorphism on $E_{0}^{4}$ given matricially by $M$, The dual $\tilde{\alpha}_{0}$ of $\alpha_{0}$ is given matricially by $t_{M}$ (since integer multiplications are their own dual), so $\tilde{\alpha}_{0} \alpha_{0}=a$ Id, hence $\alpha_{0}$ is an $a$-isogeny. We let $\alpha_{B}$ be the endomorphism of $E_{B}^{4}$ given by the same matrix $M$.

Remark 2.1. The decomposition of $a$ as a sum of four squares is a precomputation step that only depends on $N_{A}$ and $N_{B}$ and can be done by solving a norm equation.

Let $F=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha_{0} & \hat{\phi_{B}} \\ -\phi_{B} & \widetilde{\alpha_{B}}\end{array}\right)$, where $\hat{\phi_{B}}$ is the dual isogeny $E_{B} \rightarrow E_{0}$ of $\phi_{B} . F$ is an endomorphism on the 8-dimensional abelian variety $A=E_{0}^{4} \times E_{B}^{4}$. Since $N_{A}$ is prime to $N_{B}$, we know how $\widehat{\phi_{B}}$ acts on $E_{B}\left[N_{A}\right]$, hence we know how $F$ acts on $A\left[N_{A}\right]$ (we actually won't need to compute $\hat{\phi_{B}}$ on $E_{B}\left[N_{A}\right]$ ). Furthermore, since $\alpha_{0}$ is given by an integral matrix, it commutes with $\phi_{B}$ in the sense that we have the equation: $\phi_{B} \alpha_{0}=\alpha_{B} \phi_{B}$.

Since the dual $\tilde{F}$ of $F$ is given by $\tilde{F}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\widetilde{\alpha_{0}} & -\hat{\phi_{B}} \\ \phi_{B} & \alpha_{B}\end{array}\right)$, we compute

$$
\tilde{F} F=F \tilde{F}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
N_{B}+a & 0 \\
0 & N_{B}+a
\end{array}\right)=N_{A} \text { Id }
$$

[^1]Hence $F$ is an $N_{A}$-isogeny on $A$ (with respect to the product polarisations), and we can compute its action on the $N_{A}$-torsion.

It is easy to compute its kernel: it is given by the image of $\tilde{F}$ on $A\left[N_{1}\right]$. In fact, since $a$ is prime to $N_{A}$, the kernel of $F$ is exactly the image of $\tilde{F}$ on $E_{0}^{4}\left[N_{1}\right] \times 0$, so we immediately get the four generators $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right)$ of the kernel $\operatorname{Ker} F$. This step costs $O(\log a)$ arithmetic operations in $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

We can then compute $F$ (on any point $P \in A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ ) using an isogeny algorithm in dimension 8 , decomposing the $N_{A}$-endomorphism $F$ as a chain of $\ell$-isogeny for $\ell$ the prime factors of $N_{A}$. If $\ell_{A}$ is the largest prime divisor of $N_{A}$, the complexity of the first $\ell_{A}$-isogeny computation will first be $\widetilde{O}\left(\log N_{A}\right)$ arithmetic operations in $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ to compute the multiples $\frac{N_{A}}{\ell_{A}} g_{i}$, followed by the individual $\ell_{A}$-isogeny computation on $P$ and the $g_{i}$. This isogeny computation costs $O\left(\ell^{8} \log \ell\right)$ operations over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ using [LR22].
Remark 2.2. The isogeny computation in [LR22; BCR1o] uses a (level $n=4$ ) theta model of $A$, which we can compute as the (fourfold) product theta structure of the theta models of $E_{0}$ and $E_{B}$. It is also well known how to switch between the theta model and the Weierstrass model on an elliptic curve, and it is not hard to extend the conversion to the product of elliptic curves. The arithmetic on the theta models can be done in $O(1)$ arithmetic operations in a $O(1)$-extension of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (if $8 \mid N_{A} N_{B}$ the theta model will already be rational). However the big $O()$ notation hides an exponential complexity in the dimension $g$. In dimension 8 and level $n=4$, the theta model uses $2^{16}$ coordinates, so we would need in practice to switch to the Kummer model by working in level $n=2$ which "only" requires $2^{8}$ coordinates. This is another reason why we would prefer to compute an endomorphism in dimension $g=4$ rather than $g=8$ : in dimension 4 we would only need $2^{8}$ coordinates in level $n=4$, or $2^{4}$ coordinates in level $n=2$.

Since we compute a composition of at most $O\left(\log N_{A}\right)$ isogenies, the total cost of evaluating $F$ on $P$ is $O\left(\log ^{2} N_{A}+\log N_{A} \ell^{8} \log \ell\right)$.

Thus we can evaluate $F$ on any point of $A$, so we can evaluate $\phi_{B}$ or $\hat{\phi}_{B}$ on any point of $E_{0}$ (resp. $E_{B}$ ). We can now recover the kernel of $\phi_{B}$ on $E_{0}$ as the image of $\hat{\phi}_{B}$ on $E_{B}\left[N_{B}\right]$. If ( $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ ) is a basis of $E_{B}\left[N_{B}\right]$, we compute $Q_{i}^{\prime}=\hat{\phi}_{B}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ by evaluating $F$ on the point $\left(0,0,0,0, Q_{i}, 0,0,0\right)$, and the kernel of $\phi_{B}$ is generated by whichever $Q_{i}^{\prime}$ has order $N_{B}$. This step costs $O\left(\log ^{2} N_{B}\right)$ operations in $E_{0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, along with two calls to the evaluation of $F$. This concludes the complexity analysis.
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[^0]:    Date: August 11, 2022.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We make no further assumptions on $E_{0}$ and $E_{B}$ : we do not require them to be supersingular. In the context of SIDH, $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ will be the base field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$.

