

Provable Lattice Reduction of \mathbb{Z}^n with Blocksize $n/2$

Léo Ducas^{1,2*}

^{1*}Cryptology Group, CWI, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

^{2*}Mathematical Institute, Leiden University, The Netherlands.

Abstract

The Lattice Isomorphism Problem (LIP) is the computational task of recovering, assuming it exists, a orthogonal linear transformation sending one lattice to another. For cryptographic purposes, the case of the trivial lattice \mathbb{Z}^n is of particular interest (\mathbb{Z} LIP). Heuristic analysis suggests that the BKZ algorithm with blocksize $\beta = n/2 + o(n)$ solves such instances (Ducas, Postlethwaite, Pulles, van Woerden, ASIACRYPT 2022).

In this work, I propose a provable version of this statement, namely, that \mathbb{Z} LIP can indeed be solved by making polynomially many calls to a Shortest Vector Problem (SVP) oracle in dimension at most $n/2 + 1$.

Keywords: Lattice Isomorphism Problem, Lattice Reduction, Provable Algorithm

1 Introduction

Two lattices $\Lambda, \Lambda' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are said to be isomorphic if there exists a rotation between them, that is a linear orthogonal map $O \in \mathcal{O}_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $O \cdot \Lambda = \Lambda'$. Determining isomorphism and finding it if it exists is called the Lattice Isomorphism Problem (LIP). The best known provable algorithm [1] has super-exponential time $n^{O(n)}$, but in practice other methods are often preferred [1–4]. The modern approach consists in finding all the shortest vectors (and sometimes more, depending on the lattice), to then solve a (potentially large) instance of the graph isomorphism problem.

The Lattice Isomorphism Problem has recently been proposed as a foundation for cryptographic construction [5, 6], and the case of rotations of \mathbb{Z}^n quickly arose as a natural instantiation for simple and efficient cryptographic design [7, 8]. In this case (coined \mathbb{Z} LIP [6]), finding the shortest vectors is sufficient, which generically implies a

provable algorithm in time $2^{n+o(n)}$ thanks to the worst-case Shortest Vector Problem (SVP) algorithm [9, 10].

Yet, one might doubt that finding the shortest vector in a rotations of \mathbb{Z}^n should be as hard as in a worst-case lattice. It was suggested already by Szydło [11] than finding rather short yet not necessarily the shortest vector could be sufficient to solve LIP over \mathbb{Z}^n .

Bennett, Ganju, Peetathawatchai, and Stephens-Davidowitz [6] indeed proposed a provable algorithm with complexity $O(2^{n/2+o(n)})$ for this task, by reducing it to an approximate SVP, with a constant approximation factor.

On the other hand, Ducas, Postlethwaite, Pulles, and van Woerden [8] argued – using standard heuristic analysis [12]– that the block reduction algorithm BKZ [13, 14] should be successful in finding those shortest vectors using a blocksize of $\beta = n/2 + o(n)$ because the shortest vectors are unusually short compared to that of a random lattice by a factor $O(\sqrt{n})$. Plugging the best heuristic complexity of $O(2^{.292\beta+o(\beta)})$ for SVP [15] in dimension β leads to a heuristic complexity of $O(2^{.146n+o(n)})$.

The result

In this work, I propose a provable variant of the heuristic claim of [8], by proposing a block reduction algorithm for solving \mathbb{Z} LIP, that indeed relies on polynomially many calls to an SVP oracle in dimensions less than $n/2 + 1$. The algorithm is however not exactly BKZ [13, 14], but rather a specialization of the Slide algorithm [16–18].

Note that this does not directly improve the best provable complexity for \mathbb{Z} LIP, as plugging in the best provable algorithm [9, 10] for SVP in dimension $n/2$ also leads to a $2^{n/2+o(n)}$ complexity, as reached by different means in [6]. One quality of the considered algorithm might be its determinism, assuming the oracle is also deterministic.

The potential interest in this result is more technical; it appears to be the first case where we can prove that block reduction does find the shortest vector with a blocksize $\beta < n$ despite the lack of uniqueness of the shortest vector. Indeed, to the best of my knowledge, this was only proved [18] for lattices with polynomial gap of at least $\Omega(n^{1/2})$ between the first and second minima $\lambda_1(L)$ and $\lambda_2(L)$. On the contrary, lattices that are rotation of \mathbb{Z}^n have all their successive minima equal $\lambda_1(L) = \lambda_2(L) = \dots = \lambda_n(L) = 1$.

2 Preliminaries

We write a matrix B as $B = (b_0, \dots, b_{d-1})$ where b_i is the i -th column vector of B . We denote by I_n the $n \times n$ identity matrix. If $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ has full-column rank d , the lattice \mathcal{L} generated by the basis B is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(B) = B \cdot \mathbb{Z}^d = \{B \cdot x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. We denote by $B^* = (b_0^*, \dots, b_{d-1}^*)$ the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization (GS) of the matrix (b_0, \dots, b_{d-1}) . For $i \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$, we denote the orthogonal projection to the span of (b_0, \dots, b_{i-1}) by π_i ; π_0 denotes “no projection”, i.e. the identity. For $0 \leq i < j \leq d$, we denote by $B_{[i:j]}$ the local projected block $(\pi_i(b_i), \dots, \pi_i(b_{j-1}))$, and when the basis is clear from context, by $\mathcal{L}_{[i:j]}$ the lattice generated by $B_{[i:j]}$.

We write π_S^\perp for the projection orthogonal to the space spanned by S for any set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$.

The Euclidean norm of a vector v is denoted by $\|v\|$. The volume (or determinant) of a lattice $\mathcal{L}(B)$ is $\text{vol}(\mathcal{L}(B)) = \sqrt{|\det(B^T \cdot B)|} = \prod_i \|b_i^*\|$. It is an invariant of the lattice, it is also invariant under rotation, and is non-negative for any lattice L . The first minimum of a lattice \mathcal{L} is the norm of a shortest non-zero vector, denoted by $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L})$. We use the abbreviations $\text{vol}(B) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{L}(B))$ and $\lambda_1(B) = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(B))$.

The i -th minimal distance $\lambda_i(L)$ is defined as the smallest radius $r > 0$ such that L contains i many linearly independent vectors. These quantities are also invariants under rotation.

2.1 Reduction

Definition 1 (Size reduction). *A basis $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ of a lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is said to be size-reduced if $\langle b_j, b_i^* \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|b_i^*\|^2$ for all $j > i$.*

We recall that there is an polynomial time algorithm that size-reduces a basis [19, 20], and that this algorithm does not affect the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization B^* .

Definition 2 (SVP and HKZ reduction). *A basis $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ of a lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is said to be SVP-reduced if b_1 is a shortest vector of L . It is said HKZ-reduced if it is size-reduced and if each block $B_{[i:d]}$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ is SVP-reduced.*

Note that by the volume invariance, SVP reduction minimizes $\|b_1\| = \|b_1^*\|$, it also maximizes the remaining volume $\text{vol}(B_{[2:d]}) = \prod_{i=2}^{d-1} \|b_i^*\|$.

2.2 Duality

Definition 3 (Dual Lattice). *The dual lattice \mathcal{L}^\vee of a lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is the set of all $w \in \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda)$ such that $\langle w, \mathcal{L} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.*

A critical fact for our result is that \mathbb{Z}^n is self-dual, and so are all of its rotations.

There is a natural correspondence between bases of the primal and basis of the dual, given by the (pseudo-)inverse transpose: if B is a basis of Λ then $D = B \cdot (B^T \cdot B)^{-1}$ is a basis of the dual lattice \mathcal{L}^\vee . For our purpose, we will only need the fact that the last dual vector d_n is the reciprocal of the last Gram-Schmidt vector: $d_n = b_n^* / \|b_n^*\|^2$; in particular $d_n = 1 / \|b_n^*\|$.

For this reason, it is natural to consider the dual basis in reversed order. In particular, by applying SVP reduction in the dual, we mean to minimize $\|d_n\|$, or equivalently maximize $\|b_n^*\|$.

2.3 ZLIP

Let Λ be a rotation of \mathbb{Z}^n . We assume n to be odd and write $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k . The case of even n can be treated by artificially adding an extra orthogonal component defining a lattice $\Lambda^+ = \Lambda \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

We denote $E = (e_0, \dots, e_{2k})$ some orthogonal basis of Λ . The problem is to find any such orthogonal basis, i.e. a to find E up to signs and permutation. Note that the set $\{\pm e_i\}$ is precisely the set of shortest vectors of Λ . Note further that this is equivalent to finding an HKZ-reduced basis of Λ (a statement that is not necessarily true for all lattices).

3 A Provable \mathbb{Z} LIP algorithm

We consider the following algorithm, which may be viewed as a specialization of the Slide algorithm [16–18].

Algorithm 1 An algorithm for \mathbb{Z} -LIP

Require: A basis B of Λ , Λ being a rotation of \mathbb{Z}^n

Ensure: An orthonormal basis B of Λ

- 1: LLL reduce [19] the basis B
 - 2: **while** $\text{vol}(B_{[0\dots k-1]}) > 1$ **do**
 - 3: Dual-SVP reduce the block $B_{[0\dots k]}$
 - 4: Primal-SVP reduce the block $B_{[k\dots 2k]}$
 - 5: **end while**
 - 6: Primal-HKZ reduce the block $B_{[0\dots k-1]}$
 - 7: Primal-HKZ reduce the block $B_{[k\dots 2k]}$
 - 8: **return** B
-

Lemma 1. *Any sublattice $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ of rank $k \geq 1$ has non-zero integer squared volume. Furthermore, if $\text{vol}(L) = 1$, then L is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^k for some $k \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ be a basis of L . Because $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, B must be an integer matrix, and $\text{vol}(L)^2 = \det(|B^T \cdot B|)$ is therefore an integer. It is also non-zero, because L is a lattice.

For the second property, consider a basis B of L in Hermite Normal Form. Up to a permutation of the rows, $B = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix}$ where X is lower triangular. Note that $B^T B = X^T X + Y^T Y$. Using Courant-Fischer theorem, one can prove that $\det(X^T X + Y^T Y) \geq \det(X^T X)$. Because both $X^T X$ is integral and non-degenerate, and since $\det(B^T B) = 1$, we have $\det(X^T X) = 1$. By the properties of Hermite Normal Form, it must therefore be the case that X is the identity matrix I_k .

Let $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k \geq 0$ be the eigenvalues of $Y^T Y$. Because the identity I_k is co-diagonalizable with $Y^T Y$, the eigenvalues of $B^T B = I_k + Y^T Y$ are exactly $1 + \eta_1, \dots, 1 + \eta_k$. It remains to write $\det(B^T B) = \prod (1 + \eta_i)$ to conclude that $\eta_i = 0$ for all i , and therefore that $Y = 0$. That is, up to permutation of the rows, $B = \begin{bmatrix} I_k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The lattice L is indeed isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^k . □

Theorem 1. *Algorithm 1 is correct.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, when the while loop terminates (Steps 2-5 of Algorithm 1), the block $B_{[0\dots k-1]}$ has volume 1 and it is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^k ; after HKZ reduction (Step 6) we have recovered k orthogonal unit vectors. Then, the projected block $B_{[k\dots 2k]}$ is also isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} and we recover the remaining $k + 1$ orthogonal vectors at Step 7. □

Theorem 2. *Algorithm 1 terminates after at most $O(n^3)$ iterations of the main loop.*

Let us start with two lemmas.

Lemma 2. *Let L be a primitive sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n of rank $k < n$, and let $L' = \pi_L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Then $\lambda_1(L')^2 \leq 1$.*

Proof. Because L is not a full rank, there must exist an index j such that $e_j \notin L$. Therefore, $\pi_L^\perp(e_j) \in L'$ is non-zero, and $\|\pi_L^\perp(e_j)\| \leq \|e_j\| \leq 1$. \square

Lemma 3. *Let L be a primitive sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n with $\text{vol}(L) > 1$, and let $L' = \pi_L^\perp(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Then $\lambda_1(L')^2 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$.*

Proof. Consider an HKZ-reduced and size-reduced basis $B = [b_1 \dots b_m] \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ of L . Because $\text{vol}(L) > 1$, there is at least one b_i that is not a unit vector e_j . Let i be the minimal such index, and let S be the subset of indices j such that $e_j \in L$. Because the basis is HKZ-reduced and therefore size-reduced, b_i is orthogonal to all the e_k 's such that $e_k \in L$. That is, $b_i = \sum_{k \notin S} v_k e_k$ where $v_k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now consider an index j that maximize $|v_j|$, i.e., $|v_j| = \|b_i\|_\infty$; in particular $\langle e_j, b_i \rangle = \|b_i\|_\infty$. Note that e_j does not belong to L , so $\pi_L^\perp(e_j) \in L'$ is non-zero. Furthermore,

$$\|\pi_L^\perp(e_j)\| \leq \|\pi_{b_i}^\perp(e_j)\| = \left\| e_j - \frac{\langle e_j, b_i \rangle}{\|b_i\|^2} b_i \right\|.$$

We now apply the polar identity $\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - 2 \cdot \langle x, y \rangle$ to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_L^\perp(e_j)\|^2 &\leq 1 + \frac{\langle e_j, b_i \rangle^2 \cdot \|b_i\|^2}{\|b_i\|^4} - 2 \cdot \frac{\langle e_j, b_i \rangle^2}{\|b_i\|^2} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{\langle e_j, b_i \rangle^2}{\|b_i\|^2} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{\|b_i\|_\infty^2}{\|b_i\|^2} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

We are now ready to prove that Algorithm 1 terminates.

Proof of Theorem 2. The core claim is that, at each loop iteration (Steps 2-5 of Algorithm 1), the volume of the block $B_{[0 \dots k-1]}$ decreases by at least $\sqrt{1 - 1/n}$.

Indeed, the primal-SVP reduction step (Step 4) does not affect this block. Furthermore the Step 4 it leaves the Gram-Schmidt norm at position k to a value less than $\sqrt{1 - 1/n}$, by application of Lemma 3. Then, the dual-SVP reduction step (Step 3) is then going to increase this Gram-Schmidt norm to at least 1, by dual application of Lemma 2. This step therefore decrease the volume of the block $[0 \dots k - 1]$ by a factor $\sqrt{1 - 1/n}$.

Note that after the LLL reduction [19] (Step 1), the volume of that block is at most 2^{n^2} . There are therefore at most $\log(2^{n^2}) / \log(\sqrt{1 - 1/n}) = O(n^3)$ loop iteration. \square

Remark

One may note that the algorithm and its proof should still work if we replace exact SVP solvers with approximate SVP solver with approximation factor strictly less than $1/\sqrt{1-1/n} = 1 + 1/(2n) + O(1/n^2)$.

Acknowledgments

Author L.D. was supported by the ERC-StG-ARTICULATE project (no. 947821). I am grateful to Noah Stephens-Davidowitz, Wessel van Woerden, Eamonn Postlethwaite, Huck Bennett and Thomas Espitau for helpful and inspiring discussions on this problem.

References

- [1] Haviv, I., Regev, O.: On the lattice isomorphism problem. In: Proceedings of the Twenty-fifth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, pp. 391–404 (2014). SIAM
- [2] Plesken, W., Pohst, M.: Constructing integral lattices with prescribed minimum. *i. mathematics of computation* **45**(171), 209–221 (1985)
- [3] Plesken, W., Souvignier, B.: Computing isometries of lattices. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **24**(3-4), 327–334 (1997)
- [4] Sikiric, M.D., Haensch, A., Voight, J., Woerden, W.P.: A canonical form for positive definite matrices. *ANTS XIV*, 179 (2020)
- [5] Ducas, L., Woerden, W.: On the lattice isomorphism problem, quadratic forms, remarkable lattices, and cryptography. In: *Advances in Cryptology–EUROCRYPT 2022: 41st Annual International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptographic Techniques, Trondheim, Norway, May 30–June 3, 2022, Proceedings, Part III*, pp. 643–673 (2022). Springer
- [6] Bennett, H., Ganju, A., Peetathawatchai, P., Stephens-Davidowitz, N.: Just how hard are rotations of \mathbb{Z}^n : Algorithms and cryptography with the simplest lattice. *Cryptology ePrint Archive* (2021)
- [7] Blanks, T.L., Miller, S.D.: Generating cryptographically-strong random lattice bases and recognizing rotations of \mathbb{Z}^n . In: *Post-Quantum Cryptography: 12th International Workshop, PQCrypto 2021, Daejeon, South Korea, July 20–22, 2021, Proceedings 12*, pp. 319–338 (2021). Springer
- [8] Ducas, L., Postlethwaite, E.W., Pulles, L.N., Woerden, W.v.: Hawk: Module lip makes lattice signatures fast, compact and simple. In: *Advances in Cryptology–ASIACRYPT 2022: 28th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Taipei, Taiwan, December 5–9, 2022, Proceedings, Part IV*, pp. 65–94 (2023). Springer

- [9] Aggarwal, D., Dadush, D., Regev, O., Stephens-Davidowitz, N.: Solving the shortest vector problem in 2^n time using discrete gaussian sampling. In: Proceedings of the Forty-seventh Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 733–742 (2015)
- [10] Aggarwal, D., Stephens-Davidowitz, N.: Just take the average! an embarrassingly simple 2^n -time algorithm for svp (and cvp). arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.01535 (2017)
- [11] Szydło, M.: Hypercubic lattice reduction and analysis of ggh and ntru signatures. In: International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptographic Techniques, pp. 433–448 (2003). Springer
- [12] Lattice Attacks on NTRU and LWE: A History of Refinements. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, pp. 15–40. Cambridge University Press (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108854207.004>
- [13] Schnorr, C.-P.: A hierarchy of polynomial time lattice basis reduction algorithms. Theoretical computer science **53**(2-3), 201–224 (1987)
- [14] Schnorr, C.-P., Euchner, M.: Lattice basis reduction: Improved practical algorithms and solving subset sum problems. Mathematical programming **66**(1-3), 181–199 (1994)
- [15] Becker, A., Ducas, L., Gama, N., Laarhoven, T.: New directions in nearest neighbor searching with applications to lattice sieving. In: Proceedings of the Twenty-seventh Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, pp. 10–24 (2016). SIAM
- [16] Gama, N., Nguyen, P.Q.: Finding short lattice vectors within mordell’s inequality. In: Proceedings of the Fortieth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 207–216 (2008)
- [17] Nguyen, P.Q.: Hermite’s constant and lattice algorithms. In: The LLL Algorithm, pp. 19–69. Springer, ??? (2009)
- [18] Aggarwal, D., Li, J., Nguyen, P.Q., Stephens-Davidowitz, N.: Slide reduction, revisited—filling the gaps in svp approximation. In: Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO 2020: 40th Annual International Cryptology Conference, CRYPTO 2020, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, August 17–21, 2020, Proceedings, Part II, pp. 274–295 (2020). Springer
- [19] Lenstra, A.K., Lenstra, H.W. Jr., Lovász, L.: Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. Mathematische Annalen **261**(4), 515–534 (1982)
- [20] Babai, L.: On Lovász’ lattice reduction and the nearest lattice point problem. Combinatorica **6**(1), 1–13 (1986)